

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COURT OF APPEALS COLUMBIA

AMMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL  
APPEALS COURT CASE# 2013-00143

EMMETT W CALDWELL appellant  
IN ESTATE OF IRIS WADSWORTH

CIRCUIT COURT CASE# 12 -4976

RESPONDANT SUNTRUST BANK

PROBATE COURT CASE # ES 10 1841

APPELLANT HEREBY

APPEALS TO THE S.C.COURT OF APPEAL THE 2 ORDERS OF JUDGE

NICHOLSON OF CIRCUIT COURT CHARLESTON 11/27/12 ECT DENYING APPEAL & FILED 12/6/12 SERVED 12/15/12

ADLIS RECONSIDERATION DENIAL ORDER (EXHIBITS A )

(A APPEAL OF THE TWO ORDERS OF JUDGE IRVINE CONDON DATED 5/15/12 & 4/28/12  
EXS B )

*Emmett W Caldwell*      *Emmett W Caldwell*  
Resigned

DATED 1/29/12

VERIFIED UNDER LAWS TRUE EMMETT W CALDWELL POB10816 MIAMI

mailto:FL33101web.neta@yahoo.com

SERVED THIS DAY US MAIL ON CIRCUIT COURT & PARTYS OF RECORD & PARTYS  
REQUESTING

NOTIFICATION:SUNTRUST RESPONDANT VIA M SCARDATO McNAIR LAW FIRM  
100 CALHON ST CHARLSTON SC 29401 843 723 7831& CIRCUIT COURT 100 BROAD ST  
CHARLESTON SC

PETER KOUTON POB 340 JOHNS ISLAND SC 29457 843 6703919

ITRESS.JENKINS 215 E BAY CHARLESTON SC 843 608 3407

*Emmett W Caldwell*

RECEIVED  
APR 13 2013  
SC Court of Appeals

Date: 04:55 PM EDT, 04/08/2013

From: Neta Web <web.neta@yahoo.com>

To: ups <store1666@theupsstore.com>

Subject: #2 WEB.NETA

RECEIVED  
APR 13 2013

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS  
COLUMBIA SC

EMMETT W CALDWELL appellant

1841

ESTATE OF IRIS WADSWORTH

JURISDICTION &  
SUNTRUST BANK RESPONDANT  
APPEALLED &

COURT OF APPEAL 2013 0143  
CIRCUIT CT # 10CP 4976 PROBATE 10-

SUPPLEMENT TO APPEAL BRIEF &  
MOTION FOR DU NUEVO ADJUDACATION

CRITICAL FACTS IN BRIEF & ORDERS

EXPIDITED ADJUDICATION &  
& APPROPRIATE RELIEF (TRANSCRIPS UNEEDED

statement)

IT IS BELIVED TRANSCRIPTS UNEEDED AS ORDERS SPECIFY FACTS DETERMINED BY LOWER COURT(S)  
APPEALLED

IT IS UNDISPUTED THE SOLE PARTYS OF RECORD IN THIS APPEAL IS RESPONDANT SUNTRUST BANK  
PLAINTIFF FILED VALID NOTICE OF APPEAL & AMMENDED NOTICES CLERK REFUSES TO FILE

"RETURNED APPEAL BRIEF SERVED (EXa)

PLAINTIFF DOES NOT HAVE CURRENTLY COPY OF ORDERS APPEALLED PRIOR MAILED TO COURT WILL  
FORWARD & UNDER EXTREM DURESS DUE TO PAUPER STATUS NO INCOME LEGAL PAPERS STOLEN AND  
CANNOT RECIVE MAIL POB KEY STOLEN CANNOT ATTTOFR REPLACEMENT RPO REFUSES TO GIVE MAIL  
REQUEST ORDER ALL ORDERS NMOTIONS SERVED BY EMAIL [web.neta@yahoo.com](mailto:web.neta@yahoo.com)

DUE TO TOTAL LACK OF SC CODE JURISDICTION OVER ESTATE 10 1841 CHARLESTON & PARTYS &  
SUBJECT MATTER SC CANNOT DEMAND ANYTHING OF APPELLANT EXCEPT COMMON LAW NOTICE OF  
APPEAL COMPLIED WITH SEE EXHIBIT AMMENDED NOTICE (EX )  
CLERK APPEAL HAD COPY OF ORDERS APPEALLED DATE OF ORDERS PROOF OF SERVICE NAME OF COURT  
& JUDGE & COURT DOCKET #

NAME ADDRESS & TELE OF RESSPONDANT FOR SUNTRUST IN COMPLIANCE WITH SCC ODE 203 & 203e1  
1ST NOTICE DEFFICENCYS WERE NOT SUBSTANTIAL TO DENY DUE PROCESS SAID SATATUE  
UNCONSTITUTIONAL IF APPEAL IS DENIED FOR DEFFICENCYS OF NOTICE(S) APPEAL OF 2 ORDERS OF  
JUDGE CONDON 4/28/1275/15/12; HAVING NO JURISDICTION PER SC CODE 62 TO PROBATE & DE JURE ROB  
A NON SC ESTATE OF DECEDENT NON RESIDENT WITH NO NC FUNDS ASSETS NOR PROPERTY  
FRAUDENTLY

SUNTRUST FILED A OPPOSITION TO CIRCUIT COURT APPEAL ON 11/26 A DAY PRIOR TO HEARING OF  
11/27 FAILING TO SERVE APPELLANT IN DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS A UNDISPUTED FACT REQUIREING  
DISMISSAL OF SUNTRUSTS ORDER DISMISING APPEAL

THIS IS A EXAMPLE OF RACEIST SABATOGE OF APPEAL BY CLERKS OFFICES OF THE PROBATE  
CIRCUIT & CIRCUIT & APPEALS COURTS  
SUNTRUST BANKS POWERFUL MC NAIR LAW FIRM & FACT SC ELECTS JUDGES MAY BE PARTLY TO BLAME  
FOR THE APPEALS SABATOGE.

APPELLANT SENT CLERK AMMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL REQUESTED TOGETHER WITH APPEAL  
BRIEF CLERK FILED AMMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL CLAIMED IT DEFFICIENT & "RETURNED  
BRIEF & EVIDENCE PER 1 CLERK ANOTHER CLERK KITCHENS DENIED RECIEPT OF APPEAL BRIEF  
SUNTRUST HAS NO LEGAL RIGHT TO BE LISTED AS RESPONDANT HAD NO STANDING IN & NON CREDITOR  
OF ESTATE

CLERKS REFUSE APPELLANT THE REASON AMMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL IS DEFFICIENT & REFUSE  
DUTY TO FILE APPEALS & BRIEF

CLERK WHO "OWNS" FILE REFUSED TO CALL EMAIL APPELLANT 2 MONTHS & FALSELY ALLEGED THEY  
SENT PROMISED EMAIL 4/4/13

WHY BRIEF WAS NOT FILED & SUBMITTED FOR ADJUDICATION (INSTEAD CLERK CONTACTS ADLITEM  
WHOSE APPOINTMENT (ORDER OF 3/11)

IS CHALLENGED IN APPEAL) DEMANDING HE SEND AMMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL & PER CLERK  
REFUSEING ANY FROM APPELLANT

TO DENY DUE PROCESS JUST 4/4 NOTICEING ALLEGED DEFFICENCY OF AMMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL  
 THE 1ST NOTICE OF APPEAL WAS ADEQUATE TO COMPLY WITH SC CODE & US CONSTUTIONAL  
 REQUIREMENTS FOR A APPEAL

APPEALS COURT & KITCHENS QUASI SLAVERY TACTIS TO DENY APPEAL FORCE A FEDERAL LAWSUIT  
 FOR CONSPIRACY TO DENY

APPELLATE REVIEW OF THE MOST

GROSS REINTRODUCTION OD SLAVERY & CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS AND ALLOWING A NO STANDING  
 PARTY TO COST ESTATE

OVER\$ 50,000.00 DUE TO RACEISM AND BIASED JUDGE CONDONS VOID ORDERS IN COMPLETE ABCSENCE  
 OF ALL JURISDICTION AS

DECEDANT ESTATE FRAUDENTLY PROBATED DID NOT DIE RESIDE NOR HAVE SC ASSETS& PROPERTY

THIS IS A EXAMPLE FOR NO COMPETENT EVIDENCE FOR ORDERS& FINDINGS OF A BIASED COURT

COSTING\$50,000.00

APPELLANT PRIOR IN FEB MAILED TO COURT&SERVED BRIEF & REQUESTED EXPIDITED RELIEF DUE TC  
 DIS

ABILITY & INDIGENCY TOGETHER WITH MOTION TO NOT HAVE TO PUT CASES IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER  
 (FILED)

BRIEF WAS "RETURNED" OR THROWN AWAY BOTH MAILED IN SAME PRIORITY MAIL PACKAGE THE BRIEF  
 STATEMENT

OF CASE & 2 ORDERS APPEALED & EXHIBITS CLERK SAID THEY" RETURNED BRIEF BECAUSE IT WAS NOT  
 DUE"

THIS IS A CASE WITH ABSOLUTELY NO JURISDICTION OF S . C. COURTS OVER THE ESTATE OF NON  
 RESIDENT IRIS

WADSWORTH KILLED IN NC 3 DAYS AFTER RECIVEING \$122,000.00 IN BANK ON WAY TO BANK TO  
 PAY APPELLANT\$120,000.

JUDGE CONDON DECIDED TO PAY SUNTRUST PER A NONEXISTANT CONTRACT INSTEAD OF APPELLANT  
 (EX A)

APPELLANT DID NOT RECIVE "RETURNED BRIEF FROM APPEALS CLERK&MICHAEL SCARDATO MC  
 NAIR SUNTRUSTS

ATTY REFUSED A COPY OF 2/13 SERVED BRIEF SINCE ORDER APPEALED BASED ON MOTION UNTIMELY  
 FILED (DAY BEFORE

HEARING& UNSERVED ON APPELLANT WHO RECIVED A EMAILED COPY ONE HOUR BEFORE HEARING  
 11/27 12 APPROX!!!!!!!

THE LOWER COURTS ERRED IN GRANTING SUNTRUST ORDERS&"RESPONDANT & PARTY"STATUS  
 PLAINTIFF NEVER FILED A

PETITION NOR MOTION NAMEING SUNTRUST AS SUCH .SUNTRUST HAS NO LEGAL RIGHT TO BE A PARTY  
 NOR A" RESPONDANT"

AS SUNTRUST HAD NO LAWFUL NOR PECUNIARY INTREST IN OUTCOME OF ESTATE EXCEPT A VENDETTA  
 AGAINST BENEFICIARY

SUBMITTING FRAUDLENT AFFIDAVITS & REPETEDLY ACCUSEING INAPPROPIATE ACTS & BEHAVIOR  
 JUDGE REITERATED ECT

WITHOUT ANY COMPETENT EVIDENCE

APPELLANT IS INDIGENT HOMELESS DISABLED IN EXTREEM PAIN CAN'T AFFORD DECENT  
 HOUSEING&HAS TO WALK

CAUSEING PAIN NEEDS APPEAL EMERGENCY HEARD TO RELIEVE IRREPREABLE PAIN

.\$ 120,000.00 IS OWED APPELLANT "UPON DEATH PER WILL"LOWER COURT & REPS BY FRAUD PROBATE  
 ACTS PREVENT JUSTICE

ESTATE ROBBED(\$50,000 TO DATE) BY SUNTRUST(NO STANDING NON CREDITOR) & JUDGE CONDON &  
 HIS ILLEGGALLY

APPOINTED"COURT REPRESENTATIVES"WHICH SUNTRUST BRAGGED IN"REPORT" 11/12 OPP  
 TO ADLITsLAW FEE MOTION:

"APPOINTMENT OF 2 COURT REPS WAS BENEFICIAL RESULT OF SUNTRUSTS INTERVENTION"(REFUSAL TO  
 PAY \$122,000 OWED

ESTATE TO APELLANT AS PER REP & PETE KOUTON SPECIAL ADMN FROM 12/22/10 THRU 3/16/11 WHEN  
 ORDERED BY COURT!

APPEALED IS APPELLANT'S 7 MOTIONS DENIED IN 1 5/1/5/12 ORDER WHERE COURT ERRED&ADDED  
 NEW ISSUES IN RECONSID

ERATION ORDER ALSO APPEALED IS ADLITs MOTION FOR SUNTRUST TO PAY ALL LEGAL FEES& RETURN  
 \$20,000.00 PAID IT FOR

1) ILLEGAL INTERVENTION IN ESTATE 2) CHALLENGEING ESTATE&BENEFICIARYS 3) REFUSAL TO PAY  
 ESTATE FUNDS TWICE

APPELLANT IS DISABLED & SUFFERING EXTREME PAIN HAVING NO CAR HAVING TO AMBULATE ON  
 DISABLED LEFT FOOT 1896 NYC ACCIDENT & DISABLED  
 R ANKLE & LEFT KNEE 3/12 FL ACCIDENT & BACK PAIN 10/11 FL ACCIDENT APPEAL IS VICTIM OF  
 CONSPIRACY BY CLERKS WHO HELP SUNTRUST (McNAIR  
 LAWYERS) ETAL BY REFUSING TO FILE APPEAL DOCS CAUSING DISMISSAL ORDER CORRUPT JUDGE  
 NICHOLSON WHO ADMITTING JURISDICTION IN ONE ORDER  
 (EX ) FRAUDENTLY SIGNED SUNTRUSTS OPP & TO DISMISS APPEAL MOTION RETITLED "ORDER" TO DENY  
 APPELLATE REVIEW OF PROBATE ILLEGAL PER SC CODES  
 SUNTRUST ORDER OF 11/12 BEING APPEALED IS IN EXACT SAME WORDS A COPY OF MOTION TO  
 DISMISS APPEAL UNSERVED ON APPELLANT IN FLORIDA & FILED DAY BEFORE HEARING 11/27 IN GROSS  
 VIOLATION OF SC RULES PROVEING MORE THAN APPEARANCE OF BIAS & FRAUD OF CIRCUIT COURT  
 IRIS WADSWORTH (NOT SC RESIDENT) ESTATE CANNOT BE PROBATED UNDER AUTHORITY OF SC CODE  
 TITLE 62 ECT NON RESIDENT NON PROPERTY OWNER NO  
 SC ASSETS NOR PROPERTY DID NOT DIE IN SC WILL FILED IN ERROR APPELLANT SEEKS  
 VACATE & DISMISSAL

TRANSCRIPTS ARE BELIVED UNNEEDED IF ANY TAKEN AT HEARING OF JUDGE NICHOLSONS 1ST  
 ORDER ORDER & ORDER DENYING  
 RECONSIDERATION 2 ORDERS APPEALED AS JUDGE CLAIMED NO APPEALABLE ISSUES DESPITE  
 REFUSING TO CONSIDER NO JURISDICTION & NO STANDING ISSUES

THIS IS A CASE WHERE SC COURTS HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO JURISDICTION OVER PLAINTIFFS  
 MOTHERS ESTATE NOR OVER SEIZED OUT OF STATE BANK ACCOUNT  
 SEIZED BY JUDGE CONDON OVER STATE LINES. IRIS WADSWORTH 10 1841 ESTATE OPENED IN ERROR &  
 REFUSED VACATE

JUDGES CONDONS ORDERS 2 OF 4/28/12 & 5/15/12 ARE THE ORDERS BEING APPEALED TO CIRCUIT &  
 COURT OF APPEALS (EXHIBIT A & B) UNSERVED ON APPELLANT UNTIL  
 3 DAYS BEFORE SERVICE ON PROBATE & CIRCUIT COURT & ALL PARTYS SUNTRUST SPECIAL ADMN.  
 ADLIT & CIRCUIT COURT

CIRCUIT COURT CLERK REFUSED TO FILE STAMPING RECIVED APPEAL TOGETHER WITH A  
 NOTARIZED AFFIDAVIT OF INDIGENCY WHICH ALSO CONTAINED  
 WORDS "NO INCOME NOR ASSETS" CLERK DEMANDED THIS BE REPETED IN A SEPRATE DOCUMENT  
 UNNEEDED TO DELAY PAST 10 DAY RULE TO FILE APPEAL TO KILL  
 APPEAL.

CLERK FINALLY STAMPED FILED ON 7/31 AFTER STAMPING APPEAL RECIVED 6/4/12 APPROX  
 GIVING JUDGE FALSE PRETEXT TO DISMISS APPEAL PER SUNTRUST ORDER"  
 PLAINTIFF COMPLIED WITH TIMELY FILEING APPEAL 10 DAYS AFTER RECIEPT COURT REFUSED TO FILE  
 APPEAL FOR OVER 30 DAYS CAUSING 10 DAY RULE DISMISSAL

SUNTRUSTS MOTION RETITLED ORDER HAD ALTERNATIVE CONFLICTING GROUNDS FOR DISMISSING  
 APPEAL LACKING MERIT ALSO WITHOUT ANY PROOF AND MOTION FILED DAY BEFORE HEARING &  
 UNSERVED COULD NOT BE CONSIDERED BY THE COURT DUE TO RULES REQUIREING TIMELY FILEING &  
 SERVICE IT WAS NEVER SERVED

IT IS UNDISPUTED 1) SUNTRUST a) WAS NOT A CREDITOR OF ESTATE OF IRIS WADSWORTH 10 1841  
 CHARLESTON & HAD NO LEGAL STANDING & CHALLENGED ESTATE JURISDICTION

b) REFUSED UNLAWFULLY TO COMPLY WITH PROBATE LETTERS OF  
 TESTAMENTARY FROM APPELLANT PER PREP. & THEN PETE KOUTON SPEC. ADMN.

c) REFUSED TO RELEASE \$122,000.00 OF DECEDANTS FUNDS IN BANK  
 ACCOUNT TO 2 ESTATE REPS APPELLANT & SP ADMN KOUTON

d) DEMANDED COURT MAKE A DETERMANATION IT ITS "BANK  
 CONTRACT ACCOUNT AGREEMENT RULES & REGULATIONS REQUIRED LAW FEES PAID

e) SUBMITTED FALSE UNPROVEN CLAIMS THAT SOCIAL SECURTY  
 OVERPAID DECEDANT & RE TAXES DUE & IT WOULD BE LIBAL IF IT PAID \$ OWED ESTATE

f) CAUSED 2 MOTIONS TO COMPEL IT TO RELEASE FUNDS TO ESTATE 1st BY APPELLANT THEN  
 PETER KOUTON (APPELLANT REPLACED 1/16/11 ORDER: "PRIMERLY BECAUSE OF HIS  
 INABILITY TO MARSHALL ESTATE ASSETS") (ON 1/25/11 RMC REJECTED VOID DEED ON WHICH  
 JURISDICTION WAS RELIED BY APPELLANT ILL ADVISED TO OPEN ESTATE IN SC)

SPECIAL ADMN KOUTON WITHDREW HIS OPP TO APPEAL SUNTRUST HAS NO LEGAL RIGHT TO APPEAL  
 A NONSTANDING NON CREDITOR FILED MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL VIOLATION RULE 1 DAY  
 BEFORE 11/27 HEARING & NO SERVICE DENYING DUE PROCESS

F A C T S

IT IS UNDISPUTED SUNTRUST BANK A NON CREDITOR WITH NO LEGAL STANDING UNDER SC LAW TO  
 INTERVENE & CHALLENGE ESTATE & DEMAND ORDER(S) FROM THE COURT UNDISPUTED COMPLETE  
 ABSCENCE OF JURISDICTION

1st ORDER DEMANDED THAT SUNTRUST TO RELEASE \$122,000.00 FUNDS OWED ESTATE IT REFUSED TO PAY

2nd ORDER DEMANDED A RELEASE FROM LIABILITY TOTALLY UNNEEDED AS A RELEASE IS CUSTOMARY FROM ESTATE SPEC ADMN

3rd ORDER DEMANDED COURT NO PROBATE ESTATE JURISDICTION CHALLENGED SUNTRUST HAD NO STANDING TO DEMAND ORDER

4th A 5/15/12 GRANTED PROTECTIVE ORDER" FROM APPELLANTS MOTIONS (THAT IT RETURN \$ PAID TO IT FROM ESTATE& PAY ESTATES & ADLITS & APPELLANTS COSTS)& ANY FURTHER MOTIONS & APPEAL(S) FROM APPELLANT SOLE BENEFICIARY.

IT IS UNDISPUTED THAT IRIS WADSWORTH WAS NEVER DIED IN NOR WAS A SC RESIDENT NOR HAD ANY SC ASSETS NOR PROPERTY DESPITE UNTRUE JURISDICTIONAL CLAIM IN ORDER BY JUDGE CONDON 5/15/12"(APPELLANT)MADE CONTRADICTING CLAIMS AS TO DECEDANTS INTREST IN SC REAL ESTATE " ( DECEPTIVE WORDS TO AVOID ADJUDUCATEING FACT OF ABSOLUTELY NO SC JURISDICTION CODE TITLE 62 NOR OTHER TO ALLOW SC PROBATE OF IRIS WADSWORTH ESTATE NOR OVER &SUBJECT MATTERS NOR PARTYS)

IT IS UNDISPUTED DECEDANT DID NOT OPEN A SC SUNTRUST BANK ACCOUNT NO SC TRANSACTIONS NOR HAD MINIMUNAL STATE CONTACTS TO JUSTIFY JUDGE CONDON SIEZEING OUT OF STATE BANK ACCOUNT; LYING: "SINCE SUNTRUST HAS SC BRANCHES DECEDANTS FUNDS COULD BE CONSIDERED IN SC"SEE ADLITS REPETITION OF JUDGES WHOLELLY INADEQUATE EXCUSE(EX ) "SINCE SUNTRUST HAS SC BRANCH ACCOUNT COULD NOT BE CONSIDERED...N.C.(OUT OF STATE ) ACCOUNT"

IT IS UNDISPUTED SC TITLE 62 ESTATE CODES CAN ONLY APPLY TO ESTATES OF SC RESIDENTS & THOSE WITH PROPERTY & OR SC ASSETS OR COMEING INTO FUDICUITARY SUBJECT TO SC LAWS) DECEDANT DID NOT RESIDE OR DIE IN SC& HAD NO SC ASSETS ECT..

JUDGE CONDON COURT REPS & JUDGE CONDON WAS NOTIFIED 1/22/11 THAT DEED WAS REJECTED AS VOID APPELLANT FILED DAY

AFTER ARRIVEING IN SC & OPENING ESTATE HAVING BEEN ILLADVISED FILEING DEED WOULD CONFER ON SC ESTATE JURISDICTION

AFTER RMC REJECTED DEED PRIOR TO COURT ORDERS COURT SHOULD HAVE VACATED DISMISSED ESTATE FOR NO JURISDICTION

HOWEVER NOT ON SUNTRUSTS MOTION ON APPELLANTS AS SUNTRUST HAD NO STANDING TO MAKE ANY MOTION IN THE ESTATE ACCORDING TO SC LAW IN CANNOT UNLAWFULLY INTERVEEN IN ESTATE & CHALLENGE JURISDICTION& HEIRS AS ECT AS IT DID

THERE ARE NO ISSUES SUNTRUST HAS ANY LEGAL RIGHT TO RAISE IN ESTATE NOR IN ESTATES APPEAL AS A NO STANDING PARTY

AT COMMENCEMENT OF CASE CANNOT ACQUIRE STANDING AS GRANTED SUNTRUST BY J.CONDON THEREBY CAUSEING LEGAL FEES AGAINST ESTATE COURT COSTS & SUNTRUST WAS NOT & COULD NOT BE A "PREVAILING PARTY"(SEE APPEAL BRIEF& CITATIONS ON NO STANDING NO JURISDICTION)

WHEREFORE

THE ISSUES ARE VERY SIMPLE THERE IS A COMPLETE ABSCEENCE OF ANY AND ALL SC JURISDICTION AND THE FACTS FOUND BY LOWER COURT HAD NO BASIS IN REALITY DELUSIONAL & FRAUDLENT TOTALLY UNSUPORTED BY COMPETENT EVIDENCE

APPELLANT REQUESTS EXPIDITED ADJUDACATION OF APPEAL & LOWER COURT FILES ORDERED FOR APPEALS COURT REVIEW&

APPELLANT REQUESTS JUDGES NICHOLSONS ORDER BE VACATED AS ALL JUDGE CONDON'S ORDERS OF 4/28/12& 5/15/12 & 3/16/11

CETERA; ORDERS VOID FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION ;ALSO A ORDER SUNTRUST BE ORDERED TO RETURN ALL ESTATE FUNDS PAID :LAW FEES ECT PER VOID ORDERS ECT

ALSO A ORDER SUNTRUST PAY ESTATES ADLITEMS & SPECIAL REPS LAW FFES IT CAUSED BY REQUIREING MOTION TO COMPEL SUNTRUST TO PAY LEGAL FEES & NON STANDING LITIGATION AGAINST ESTATE & BENEFICIARYS ;AAL;SO A ORDER ESTATE COURT MUST RETURN ALL ESTATE FUNDS PAID & ESCROW \$ 122,000.00) WITH BANK SO APPELLANT ESTATE PERSONAL REP MAY OPEN

ESTATE IN ANOTHER JURISDICTION & PRESENT LETTERS OF TESTIMONY FOR ESCROWED FUND PREFERABLY WITH CHARLESTON ATTORNEY GEORGE MORRIS CHURCH ST WHO ADVISED APPELLANT IN THIS CASE HE WOULD BE WILLING TO OPENESTATE ESCROW BANK ACCOUNT AND APPROPRIATE RELIEF

Also allow extension of time to reserve court ect claiming non service of process

I VERIFY UNDER LAWS I SERVED THIS DOCUMENT DEPOSITING PREPAID US MAIL ON PARTY(S) OF RECORD:

RESPONDANT SUNTRUST M SCARDATO  
100 CALHOUN ST CHARLESTON SC  
COPY TO REQUESTING NON PARTYS  
PETER KOUTON POB 340 JOHNS ISLAND SC  
ITIRESS JENKING 215 E BAY CHARLESTON SC  
JUDGE CONDON 84 BROAD ST CHARLESTON SC

*St. W. Allen* 4/3/12