

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Anthony Houlk Craven, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2020-000777

Appeal From Berkeley County
Roger M. Young, Sr., Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2021-UP-428
Submitted October 1, 2021 – Filed December 8, 2021

APPEAL DISMISSED

Appellate Defender Jessica M. Saxon, of Columbia, for
Appellant.

Matthew C. Buchanan, of South Carolina Probation,
Parole and Pardon Services, of Columbia, for
Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Dismissed after review pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386
U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel's motion to be relieved is granted.

APPEAL DISMISSED.¹

LOCKEMY, C.J., and WILLIAMS and MCDONALD, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.