

A. Mattison Bogan  
T: (803) 255-9589  
matt.bogan@nelsonmullins.com

1320 Main Street, 17th Floor  
Columbia, SC 29201  
T: 803.799.2000 F: 803.256.7500  
nelsonmullins.com

December 1, 2021

**RECEIVED**

**Dec 01 2021**

**SC Court of Appeals**

**Via E-Mail**

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings  
Clerk of Court  
South Carolina Court of Appeals  
1220 Senate Street  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

RE: Shirley M.B. Williams v. Lyft, Inc. et al.  
Appellate Case No. 2021-001029  
Our File No. 062616.01501

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

On November 30, 2021, Respondent filed a Petition for Rehearing of the Court's Order granting Lyft's Motion for a Limited Remand. Rule 221(c) provides that this Court "will not entertain petitions for rehearing on a motion or petition unless the action of the court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding a party's appeal." Rule 221(c), SCACR; see *also* Rule 240(i), SCACR (same). Lyft is the Appellant and the party that sought remand. Therefore, the Court's order did not have the effect of dismissing or finally deciding **Respondent's** appeal. As a result, Respondent has no right to seek rehearing.

Pursuant to Rule 221(a), unless the Court requests a response to a Petition for Rehearing, there is no right to file a return. To the extent Respondent's motion is procedurally proper and the Court would like a response, Lyft will gladly provide one upon request.

Sincerely,

*/s/ A. Mattison Bogan*

A. Mattison Bogan

AMB:btw

December 1, 2021  
Page 2

cc: Brooklyn A. O'Shea, Esq.  
Karlen K. Senn, Esq.  
O. Edworth Liipfert, III, Esq.  
Duke R. Highfield, Esq.  
Whitney B. Harrison, Esq.