



RECEIVED
DEC 07 2021
SC Court of Appeals

ALAN WILSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 7, 2021

The Honorable Jenny A. Kitchings
Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
PO Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

Re: State of South Carolina v. State v Tammy Caison Moorer, 2018-001938

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

This case is scheduled for oral argument before this Court on December 9, 2021 at 11:20

a.m. Pursuant to Rule 208(b)(7), SCACR, I would refer to the following as additional authority:


State v. Bratschi, 413 S.C. 97, 107, 775 S.E.2d 39, 45 (Ct. App. 2015) (discussing motive as evidence and explaining, “[A] directed verdict is not required merely because the State cannot conclusively show the defendant was at the crime scene at the relevant time.”) [issue I];

Mitchell v. State, 865 P.2d 591, 596-97 (Wyo. 1993) (discussing the probative purpose of motive in proving identity) [issue I];

State v. Haselden, 353 S.C. 190, 197, 577 S.E.2d 445, 448-49 (2003) (discussing character evidence) [issue II].

I am also forwarding a copy of this letter to opposing counsel.

Sincerely,


David Spencer
Senior Assistant Attorney General

cc: Lara M. Caudy, Esquire (lcaudy@sccid.sc.gov).