

**From:** [Barry Stanton](#)  
**To:** [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)  
**Cc:** [Pete Balthazor](#)  
**Subject:** Frieda H. Dortch v. City of Columbia; Appellate Case No. 2019-000868  
**Date:** Tuesday, December 21, 2021 7:11:20 PM  
**Attachments:** Kitchings 22 let.pdf

---

**\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. **\*\*\***

Dear Ms. Allen:

I apologize for the delay in responding to your e-mailed letter of December 14. You asked that we advise the court of the specific nature of any conflict we may have on April 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, or 14, 2022, in the event the case is selected by the Court of Appeals for oral argument.

Before progressing to those plans, respectfully, would the Clerk of Court respond to my letter of October 18, 2021, which is attached and filed again herewith. My inquiry concerns a matter on which there is already a standing order on file in this case by the South Carolina Supreme Court as to which appellate court should hear the matter and the procedure for the Court of Appeals to follow. However, if a motion for compliance is required, please let me know.

I would add that the matter of transfer has only to do with what the primary question presented is, and accordingly which appellate court is statutorily designated to answer the question, not with how it is anticipated that question or lesser questions might be answered or with whether the Court of Appeals is capable of answering.

With regard to the dates inquired about, I currently have no insurmountable conflict, but if the subject of my letter is addressed, it may moot scheduling in the Court of Appeals.

Respectfully,

Barry Stanton

M. Baron (“Barry”) Stanton  
STANTON LAW OFFICES, P.A.  
Cell 803-530-2642

Offc. 803-929-1484 (pending building changes)

POB 245

Cola., SC 29202