

Sonnys Grill et al
PLAINTIFF(S)

Langston Black Real Estate
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:


See page 2.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 11/29/2021.

Langston Black Real Estate for Langston Black Real Estate	 RECEIVED DEC 29 2021 SC Court of Appeals
Sonnys Grill for Sonnys Grill	
Sonnys Grill for Sonnys Grill	
Langston Black Real Estate for Langston Black Real Estate	
Sonny Carter	
Local Celebrity Llc	
East Greenville Summary Court	
NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL	

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCF.

This matter comes before the court upon Appellant's Motion to reconsider. On November 18, 2021, this case was scheduled to be heard. Appellant was provided with timely Notice of the hearing, but Appellant failed to appear at the appointed time, and failed to request a continuance. After the hearing, Appellant contacted the Clerk's office and provided conflicting information about why he was unable to attend. In his current Motion for reconsideration, Appellant states that he simply got the times wrong. This court finds that this not a proper basis for amending the previous Order and that a hearing is not necessary to address the issues raised in Appellant's Motion. The Court would also note that Appellant appears to be an LLC, and the current Motion to reconsider was filed by an individual who does not appear to be a South Carolina licensed attorney as required for representation of a business entity in Circuit Court. See Rogers Townsend & Thomas, PC v. Peck, 419 S.C. 240, 797 S.E.2d 396 (2017). Therefore, Appellant's Motion is denied, and the Court affirms its previous Order, and remands the case back to Magistrate Court for further action. It is so Ordered.

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2021
SC Court of Appeals



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Sonnys Grill, Appellant vs. Langston Black Real Estate, Respondent

Case Number: 2021CP2302796

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/ Honorable Perry H. Gravely, #2755

Electronically signed on 2021-11-29 11:43:05 page 3 of 3

RECEIVED
DEC 29 2021
SC Court of Appeals