

Whitfield-Cargile Law, PLLC

Sam Wheeler, Attorney
23 S. Broad Street, Suite 204
Post Office Box 1101
Brevard, North Carolina 28712
828-884-4529 (P)
828-884-4528 (F)
sam@whitfieldcargilelaw.com (E)

January 11, 2022

RECEIVED

Jan 11 2022

SC Court of Appeals

Via U.S. Mail and Email

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211
ctappfilings@sccourts.org

**Re: Molly M. Morpew, Appellant v. Stephen Dudek, et al., Respondents
Appellate Case No. 2018-002185**

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

I am writing for several reasons.


First, I have recently moved, and I changed firms in the process. I will remain counsel of record for Respondents Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, Michael Scarafile, Susan Nicholson and Carolina One Real Estate, whom I have at all times represented in this appeal. Steven L. Smith is also counsel of record for these Respondents, though, after my firm change, he will have no more involvement. I assume he and my old firm will have to move to be relieved as counsel, but I will defer to the Court. I request that the Court update its system with my new contact information, listed above. If this requires a motion on my part, I will be happy to oblige.

Second, I recently received the Appellant's updated Record on Appeal, which I assume the Court has received or soon will receive. I have not fully reviewed the Record to ensure that it includes all items listed in the various Designations of Matter and complies with previous orders of this Court. However, I needed to immediately bring to the Court's attention a sensitive issue. Recall that on or about June 21, 2021, I wrote the Appellant (and copied the Court) to inform her that certain files included in a previous version of the Record contained unredacted, sensitive information concerning Respondents Dudek and Cross' personal and financial affairs. Since that time, the Court has not posted online that Record to protect their privacy. With my June letter, I enclosed a redacted version of the files for use in later versions of the Record. The version I supplied in no way altered the content of the files. It merely contained redactions of sensitive information. In looking at the latest version of the Record, the Appellant has not used the redacted version I previously supplied. Instead, it appears that the Appellant has attempted to redact information, but, in many instances, the redactions are inadequate to protect my clients. I would request that this latest version of the Record not be posted online. And I would prefer that the redacted I previously provided be used in the final Record. Again, if this request requires a

motion, I will gladly file one. But my immediate concern was to notify the Court so no sensitive information about my clients is posted online.

I stand ready to take any action required by the Court. Please do not hesitate to contact me.

Best regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Wheeler', written in a cursive style.

Sam Wheeler

cc: All counsel for Respondents and Respondents of record (via email)
Appellant (via U.S. Mail)