

The South Carolina Court of Appeals.

Case# 2021-001412.

The State, Respondent.
Vs.

James B. Curry #186737.
Appellant.

RECEIVED

JAN 14 2022

SC Court of Appeals

Dear, S.C. Court of Appeals.

"TO, Show the Court how Corrupt Clerk Carpenter is Im Sending the order dated. 12-10-21. that She intentionally withheld FOR over 30 days to make my ~~appeal~~ untimely, will you please change appellant's info in RE: to what order appellant is appealing to order dated. 12-10-21." Thus, on the same grounds, that Juror #38. Patricia B. Giather. Was not present to testify as to her occupation in Feb, 2008.

P.S. Notice how she did not put her return address on the envelope, so that the mail room would not have me sign for it as proof of when I received it.

cc: Thus, Grant appellant's Appeal.

James B. Curry #186737
Perry Ct. #2-A-Rm#114
#430 Oaklawn Rd.
Pelzer, SC 29669

Thank you.

cc Clerk Carpenter

Withheld order from appellant until Jan 11, 2021 to make appellant untimely in RE: to his appeal

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
) SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
 COUNTY OF CHESTER)
) 2012CPI200184
)
 State of South Carolina.) 2007-GS-12-529
) 2007-GS-12-530
 Vs.) 2007-GS-12-531
) 2007-GS-12-532
 James B. Curry.)
)
 Defendant.) ORDER

RECEIVED
 JAN 14 2022
 SC Court of Appeals
 FILED
 2021 DEC 10 PM 3: 57
 CLERK OF COURT
 CHESTER CO S.C.


This matter comes before the Court on *pro se* Defendant's motion for a rehearing on a matter heard on November 3, 2021. The procedural history of this matter is rather convoluted in that the Defendant has previously filed appeals under the original indictment numbers and a PCR collateral attack under a new civil case number. Defendant has combined the two captions and filed this motion for a rehearing in an attempt to renew his argument for a new trial. The Court understands that the Defendant's motion is better characterized as a motion to reconsider its ruling under Rule 59(e) SCRPC. This Court issues this Order in light of both captions for the sake of simplicity.

At the November 3, 2021 hearing Defendant was heard in regards to his motion for a new trial on the basis of after-discovered evidence pursuant to Rule 29(b), SCRCrimP from a jury trial. Notwithstanding the Order issued by the Court on December 4, 2014, the Court allowed Defendant to provide oral testimony and argument on the merits of his motion. After hearing the Defendant's arguments on his motion, this Court found these allegations lacked merit and denied Defendant's motion for a new trial.

Defendant now argues in his motion to reconsider claiming that he erred in his original motion. The defendant has made a continued and repeated motions regarding his trial and his perceived denial of due process, violation of court rules, and misconduct by court officials. Defendant has not provided any new evidence to corroborate the claims he continues to repeatedly make. For these reasons, this Court finds Defendant's motion for rehearing lacks merit and is therefore denied.

This Court again makes reference to the prior Order of The Honorable Brian Gibbons dated December 4, 2014. This Order went into great detail about the procedural history of the many challenges the defendant has made to his convictions and that he has exhausted all his challenges. That Order outlines the conditions under which the Defendant must comply in order to make filings valid. This Court also finds that the Defendant has failed to comply with that Order in his recent filings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.


 Eugene C. Griffith, Jr.

December 10, 2021
 Chester County, South Carolina

* James B. Curry # 186737
Perry C. J. Q2-A-Rm # 114
#430 Oaklawn Rd.
Petzer, S.C., 29669

RECEIVED
JAN 14 2022
SC Court of Appeals

* Very Important *

Appeal # ^{Case} 2021-001412
S.C. Court of Appeals
#1220 Senate Street
P.O. Bx # 11629
Columbia, SC 29201

RECEIVED
JAN 12 2022
FCI MAIL ROOM

LEGAT

The S.C. Court of Appeals.

Appeal # 2021-001412

RECEIVED

JAN 14 2022

The State, Respondent. SC Court of Appeals

Vs.

James B. Curry #186737.

RE: appellant received
order dated 12-10-21.
On 1-11-22 From -
Corrupt Carpenter -
who is the Clerk of
Court For Chester, CO,

Dear, S.C. Court of appeals

"I've sent to you the order dated 12-10-21 that
Clerk Carpenter withheld for over 30 days before
sending it to appellant, which is 100% prejudice
and corrupt, I move the S.C. Court of appeals
to grant appellant a new trial on the grounds of
prejudice

P.S. Clerk Carpenter did this intentionally.
Notice on the envelope she did not
put her return address so that the
mail room would not see it
as legal mail, and appellant would
not have to sign for it. Appellant
now move's the Court to grant a new
trial based on prejudice.

cc:

* James B. Curry #186739:

Perry C. I. Q2-A Am #114.

#430 Oaklawn Rd.

Pelzer, S.C. 29669

RECEIVED

JAN 12 2022

PCI MAILROOM

* Very Important *

S.C. Court of Appeals,

Appeal #2021-001412

#1220, Senate Street

P.O. Bx. #11629

Columbia, S.C. 29201

RECEIVED

JAN 14 2022

SC Court of Appeals

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Case # 2021-001412

Information to the Court

James B. Curry #186737
Appellant.

vs.

The State,
Respondent.

RECEIVED

JAN 14 2022

SC Court of Appeals

*
*

The Clerk of Court in this matter, Sue K. Carpenter, is a personal friend of the accused ~~XXXXXX~~ 8 yrs. ago I took this same juror #38 Ms. Patricia B. Giather back before the Court in RE: to a vior-dire violation - when she failed to inform appellant and the Court that she had formerly worked at the Great Falls police dept. Note: Clerk Carpenter, Juror #38, Patricia B. Giather, and a man name Don Camp, came walking into the Court room together, the lawyer Clerk Carpenter had appointed to me, saw them come into the Court room together, Thus she asked the Judge to ask Clerk Carpenter, and Don Camp to leave the Court room


pg. #2

the Judge ruled that since it was 12 yrs. before my trial, that Juror #38. Patricia Giather had worked at the Great Falls police dept. and denied my case, because i didnt know any better at that time, i did not appeal, "Now that i have discovered that this same Juror #38, was employed in gov, or state at the time of my trial, Clerk Carpenter, has broken all rules to keep her friend Juror #38, from being held in contempt of court, including scheduling a hearing on 11-3-21, ~~without~~ without Juror #38 being present. Note. "She knew i had forgotten about the order from 2014. Stating that appellant must submit an affidavit with any future filing, "Note, appellant "This, filed a petition with the court ordered affidavit requesting a rehearing to ~~include~~ include Juror #38. She has refused to file the petition and affidavit, which appellant gave 30 days for the court to respond or appellant would file to the sic court of appeals seeking the relief sought. a new trial. which is case #2021-001412.

* James B. Curry #186737
Perry C.T. Q2-A-RM #114.
#430 Oaklawn
Pelzer, SC 29669.

GREENVILLE SC 29609

12 JAN 2022 PM 3



US POSTAGE PAID PITNEY BOWES



ZIP 29669 \$ 000.53⁰
02 4W
0000378425 JAN. 12. 2022

Attn: Catherine Hammy, deputy Clerk.

#1220. Senate Street.

P.O. BX #11629

Columbia, SC 29211.

RECEIVED
RECEIVED

JAN 12 2022

JAN 14 2022

PCI MAILROOM

SC Court of Appeals

SCDC

FEB 05 2022

COMMISSARY

Legal Mail

1-162929

