

## Clark, Tyler P.

---

**From:** Joe Clemons <clemonswelding1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 11:28 PM  
**To:** Holmes, Tammie; Clark, Tyler P.; cobbshunter@gmail.com; Barry Bernstein; supcpfilings@sdocourts.org; joejefferson@tds.net  
**Subject:** Re: Transcript Challenge

**\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

Mrs. Holmes, thank you for your very very late inaccurate response of my transcript, and where did you get this correction from the audio or the transcript that came from Mrs. Hardoon? I still reject this sad effort in an attempt to say that you fulfill your responsibility and obligation of your job requirement, but this is a long way from being corrected and accurate. Mrs. Holmes, there have been added over 100 pages to this transcript, and have taken out all the things that would help my case and added all the things that would help the opposing party. I reject this sad attempt and am requesting that I and the Appellate Court get to listen to the Audio Recording if you and your office have no dog in this fight and are a neutral party, then please ma'am do your job and grant my request.

On Tue, Jan 18, 2022 at 3:39 PM Holmes, Tammie <[tholmes@sccourts.org](mailto:tholmes@sccourts.org)> wrote:

Good afternoon Mr. Clemons.

As you can read in our e-mail thread below, I responded to you on December 8, 2021, explaining the correct way to challenge a transcript. As stated in #6 of the instructions, A list of items that **specifically identifies what the party is disputing**, such as page and line numbers. **It is not acceptable to say the whole transcript is being challenged.** After you received the correct way to submit a challenge, on December 10, 2021, you submitted a challenge that was not acceptable. You sent an e-mail stating pages 61, lines 1-25 through page 92, lines 1-25 were incorrect. Despite submitting an unacceptable challenge, I have made an exception in this single instance and have reviewed the transcript in question. Please see my findings below regarding your challenge.

Page 65, Line 24            “I think” should have been added before you,

Page 70, Line 2            “I do” should be added after ma’am,

Page 71, Line 24            add “and ask them”

Page 74, Line 5            Replace “\_somewhere\_” with “\_someplace\_”

Page 75, Line 18            Replace “\_OCA\_” with “\_ODC\_”

Page 80, Line 23            Replace “\_option\_” with “\_objection\_”

Page 81, Line 25            “\_Morris\_” should be “\_Norris\_”

Page 84, Line 15      After you, add “ there is no”  
Page 85, Line 8      “not” should be added after would  
Page 89, Line 24      change “was” to “is”  
Page 91, Line 7      replace “for” with “through”

My review of the transcript you challenged stands as is with those few changes I mentioned above. None of the changes mentioned above appear to substantively alter the statements made in court on August 23, 2021. The transcript reflects what was said in court on August 23, 2021.

Respectfully,

---

**From:** Joe Clemons <[clemonswelding1@gmail.com](mailto:clemonswelding1@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, December 10, 2021 1:41 AM  
**To:** Holmes, Tammie <[tholmes@sccourts.org](mailto:tholmes@sccourts.org)>; Transcripts <[transcripts@sccourts.org](mailto:transcripts@sccourts.org)>; Kohn, Tonnya K. <[tkohn@sccourts.org](mailto:tkohn@sccourts.org)>; Clark, Tyler P. <[tpclark@sccourts.org](mailto:tpclark@sccourts.org)>; McCoy, Jennifer B. Law Clerk (Victoria Tuten) <[jmccoyle@sccourts.org](mailto:jmccoyle@sccourts.org)>; [vernonstephens@sccourts.org](mailto:vernonstephens@sccourts.org); Ritchie, Sierra <[siritchie@sccourts.org](mailto:siritchie@sccourts.org)>; Stephens, Angelique <[astephens@sccourts.org](mailto:astephens@sccourts.org)>; Hardoon, Sharon G. <[shardoon@sccourts.org](mailto:shardoon@sccourts.org)>  
**Subject:** Re: Transcript Challenge

\*\*\* **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

CHALLENGE

PLEAS

Joe Clemons

2202 Addidas St

In THE COURT OF COMMON

NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Civil Action No. 2019- CP-08-00424

Eutawville, SC 29048

E-mail - [clemonswelding1@gmail.com](mailto:clemonswelding1@gmail.com)

834-753-7007, cell 834-906-1133

JOE CLEMONS  
proceeding:

OPPOSING COUNSEL:

Date of court

Charles R. Norris

PLAINTIFF

VS

2021

8 - 23 & 24 -  
Whealan Mellen & Norris, LLC at [charle@whelanmellen.com](mailto:charle@whelanmellen.com)

PEGGY PINELL, AGENCY, INC

STATE FARM LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY.  
CSR

Sharon G. Hardoon,  
Formerly, He was with Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP

Official Circuit Reporter III, At-

Large

Hello, Mrs Sharon

I Joe Clemons, is challenging the transcript of 8-23 & 24 -2021, before Judge Jennifer McCoy, because their is a whole lot of altering, additions and omitting that this transcript has and is not accurate. I will just list some of the things that have been added and altered, page 61 line 1-25, page 62 line 1-25, page 63 line 1-25, page 64 line 1-25, page 65 line 1-25, page 66 line 1-25, page 67 line 1-25, page 68 line 1-25, page 69 line 1-25, page 70 line 1-25, page 71 line 1-25, page 72 line 1-25, page 73 line 1-25, page 74 line 1-25, page 75 line 1-25, page 76 line 1-25, page 77 line 1-25, page 78 line 1-25, page 79 line 1-25, page 80 line 1-25, page 81 line 1-25, page 82 line 1-25, page 83 line 1-25, page 85 line 8-25, page 86 line 1-25, page 87 line 1-25, page 88 line 1-25, page 89 line 1-25, page 90 line 1-25, page 91 line 1-25, page 92 line 1-25. their is still more that is missing and other things I will not take the time to point out, just please compare the AUDIO RECORDING TO THIS TRANSCRIPT.

On Wed, Dec 8, 2021 at 1:04 PM Holmes, Tammie <[tholmes@sccourts.org](mailto:tholmes@sccourts.org)> wrote:

Good afternoon Mr. Clemons.

Please see the below procedures if you would like to challenge a transcript.

## A. Challenge Procedures

When a party has concerns about the accuracy of a transcript, the party must submit his or her challenge in writing to the court reporter and copy the Court Reporting Section Management at [transcripts@sccourts.org](mailto:transcripts@sccourts.org) with the word CHALLENGE in the subject line. The challenge may also be submitted by postal mail to: SC Court Administration, Transcript Challenge, [1220 Senate St. Suite 200, Columbia, SC 29201](#). The written challenge must include the following:

1. Their name and contact information;
2. Date of the court proceeding;
3. Case name and caption;
4. Court reporter name;
5. Name and contact information of opposing counsel/parties; and
6. A list of items that specifically identifies what the party is disputing, such as page and line numbers. It is not acceptable to say the whole transcript is being challenged.

Upon receipt of a challenge to the accuracy of a transcript, the Court Reporting Section Management will respond to the challenger in writing and copy the court reporter and the other parties. The Court Reporting Section Management will then review the record and submit their findings to the challenger, the court reporter, and the other parties. Any inaccuracies will be corrected and the pages forwarded to the challenger at no cost.

~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.