

The State of South Carolina
In the Supreme Court

Appeal From Greenville County
Court of General Sessions

Letitia H. Verdin, Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2002-GS-23-6529

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

S.C. SUPREME COURT

The State, ----- Respondent,

vs.

Nathaniel Glenn, Jr., ----- Appellant.

Notice of Appeal

Nathaniel Glenn, Jr. appeals his conviction and sentence in this case. The sentence was imposed by the Honorable Edward W. Miller on July 13, 2004. This appeal is taken from the Order of the Honorable Letitia H. Verdin, dated April 10, 2013, which denied appellants motion for new trial. Appellant received written notice of entry of this order on April 22, 2013.

Dated April 26, 2013

cc: Filed

cc: Joyce R. Monts, Solicitor
305 E. North Street, Suite 325
Greenville, S.C. 29601

S/ Nathaniel Glenn, Jr.
Nathaniel Glenn, Jr., # 303563
Broad River C.I.
4460 Broadriver Rd.
Columbia, S.C. 29210

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

S.C. SUPREME COURT

State of South Carolina
County of Greenville

In the Court of General
Sessions

Case No. 2002-GS-23-6529

State of South Carolina,
Respondent,
vs.
Nathaniel Glenn, Jr., #303563,
Defendant.

Proof of Service


I certify that I have served the letter in reference to the Rule 29(b) motion that was filed May 19, 2011, upon the following persons below by depositing a copy of it in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on April 26, 2013, addressed to the following:

The Honorable Letitia H. Verdin
Judge of the 13th Judicial Circuit
305 E. North Street, Suite 318
Greenville, S.C. 29601

The Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse
Clerk, Supreme Court of South Carolina
Post Office Box 11330
Columbia, S.C. 29211

Joyce K. Monts Solicitor
305 E. North Street, Suite 325
Greenville, S.C. 29601

Date: April 26, 2013


Nathaniel Glenn, Jr., #303563
Broad River C.I.
4460 Broadriver Rd.
Columbia, S.C. 29210

RECEIVED

MAY 02 2013

S.C. SUPREME COURT

The Honorable Letitia H. Verdin
Judge of the 13th Judicial Circuit
305 E. North Street, Suite 318
Greenville, SC. 29601

Re: Case No. 2002-GS-23-6529

Dear Judge Letitia H. Verdin:

I am writing in reference to the above case number that my original motion was clock-dated and stamped copied filed at 10:16 am on the day of May 19, 2011.

And to inform the Courts that I did not and could not challenge the dismissal of the second application for (PCR) with the Honorable Judge Garrison Hill because my case was still pending in the appellate Federal Court til April of 2011.

Then on May 9, 2011, I filed upon the Greenville County Clerk of Courts Office the Rule 29(b) motion of new found evidence.

That motion was in reference to the issue of Solicitor Joyce Monts had confirmed the false testimony that was given by the two main witnesses Detective Melissa Lawson and Confidential Informant Chadwick Teasley of his arrest record.

My issue was that the jurors was enable to weigh-out the credibility of the witnesses during their deliberations because of the falsehoods and inconsistancies of their testimony.

Next upon the issue of audio and video surveillance, the original recordings were recorded onto two seperate 8mm video tapes for the video footage and two seperate sony minidiscs for the audio upon the property and evidence record.

After trial I requested to view the original recordings multiple times from records division of property and evidence, and the solicitors office.

I was denied the right to view the original recordings before they were destroyed on August 8, 2007, several months before my (PCR) hearing November 13, 2007.

I later discovered after my (PCR) hearing that the detective had re-recorded two alleged and separate trafficking crimes onto a single VHS video tape after they had joined the audio to each video footage and played this evidence two to three times before the jurors. (see transcript pages 38 and 90)

My argument upon that issue was inadmissible prior bad acts, presenting tainted evidence, broken chain of custody and denial of Brady material once that I was denied to examine the original audio and video surveillance before they were destroyed.

For the reasons stated, may I request to have my original filed motion and exhibits to be included upon the Rule 59(e) motion to Alter and Amend for the safe-guard and protection upon my appeal to the State Supreme Court.

Date: April 26, 2013

cc: Filed

cc: Solicitor, Joyce Monts

cc: State Supreme Court, Clerk
Daniel Shearouse

Respectfully Submitted,
S/ Nathaniel Glenn Jr.
Nathaniel Glenn, Jr. # 303563
Broad River Co. I.
4460 Broadriver Rd.
Columbia, S.C. 29210

The Honorable Letitia H. Verdin
Judge of the Thirteenth Judicial Circuit
305 E. North Street, Suite 318
Greenville, S.C. 29601

Re: Rule 29(b) Motion filed May 9, 2011

Your Honorable Letitia H. Verdin:

If it may please the Court, I would like to respectfully make a request to have my original motion for New Found Evidence ruled upon for the safe guard of my appeal.


The original motion and certificate of service was notarized and deposited in the United States Postal Mail and forwarded to the Greenville County Clerk of Court May 9, 2011. The enclosed motion was the first motion that I had filed Pro Se, and my Attorney Thomas, Esq., was hired the month of July 2011, which was after the date of my original filing to begin this case.

Mr. Thomas's motion for new found evidence on the date of January 14, 2013, was filed to be "Amended" to my original motion of May 19, 2011.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated, defendant would kindly ask Your Honorable Courts to include the enclosed documents that pertains to his case upon your final review of the motion to alter and amend.

Date: April 26, 2013

Respectfully Submitted

Nathaniel Glenn, Jr.,
Broad River C.I.
4460 Broadriver Rd.
Columbia, S.C. 29210

North Carolina # 2013-17
BRCI, Saluda - 20
4460 Broadriver Rd.
Columbia, S.C. 29210



The Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse
Clerk, Supreme Court of South Carolina
P.O. Box 11330
Columbia, S.C. 29210

RECEIVED
APR 30 2013
MAIL ROOM

LEGAL MAIL

SALUDA UNIT



THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS HAS NOT CENSORED
THIS ITEM. THEREFORE, THE DEPARTMENT DOES NOT
ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR ITS WRITTEN CONTENTS
WARDEN
BROAD RIVER CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
S.C. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS