



# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1220 SENATE STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1839  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

February 11, 2022

Mr. Robert P. Wood, Esquire  
PO Box 100200  
Columbia SC 29202-3200

Re: The Gulfstream Café v. Palmetto Industrial  
Appellate Case No. 2019-000885

Dear Counsel:

Attached is the ex parte email from your paralegal dated February 7, 2022. Pursuant to Rule 404 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules, local counsel is required to appear at a hearing with pro hac vice counsel unless excused. If you cannot appear, you must file a motion to be excused and the motion will be presented to the Court.

Very truly yours,

*V. Claire Allen*

CLERK

cc: Simon H. Bloom, Esq, Esquire  
Adam D. Nugent, Esq, Esquire  
Angela Joy Pearson, Esquire  
Henrietta U. Golding, Esquire

RECEIVED

Feb 07 2022

SC Court of Appeals

**From:** [Singleton, Mary C.](mailto:msingleton@sccourts.org)  
**To:** [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)  
**Subject:** FW: The Gulfstream Cafe v. Palmetto Industrial / 2019-000885  
**Date:** Monday, February 7, 2022 1:15:16 PM

---

**From:** Jennifer L. Tucker [mailto:Jennifer.Tucker@rogerstownsend.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 7, 2022 12:52 PM  
**To:** Singleton, Mary C. <msingleton@sccourts.org>  
**Cc:** Jennifer L. Tucker <Jennifer.Tucker@rogerstownsend.com>  
**Subject:** The Gulfstream Cafe v. Palmetto Industrial / 2019-000885

\*\*\* **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\*

Good Morning,

I tried calling last week but I was transferred to someone's voicemail (not sure what the extension was) to advise that Mr. Wood has a day-certain, multi-week jury trial in Horry County that may still be going on the date of the arguments. Mr. Wood is local counsel and will not be arguing the case. If Mr. Wood is required to appear pursuant to the rules, would you prefer that we add another attorney in our firm to be added as local counsel so that they can appear as local counsel.

I believe Mr. Wood was not required to appear at the last oral argument case where he was local counsel since he was not going to be arguing the case.

If you could let me know, I would really appreciate it.

Thank you so much,



Jennifer L. Tucker  
Litigation Paralegal

Direct 803.744.1323  
Fax 803.346.7017

Rogers Townsend, LLC  
1221 Main Street 14th Floor  
Columbia, SC 29201

Main 803.771.7900  
Visit [rogerstownsend.com](http://rogerstownsend.com)

---

## NOTICES

*OPT OUT OF EMAILS: You may opt out of receiving further emails from Rogers Townsend sent to this email address by replying with the word "Stop" in the subject line of your reply email.*

*PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL: This electronic message (including any attachments) is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is attorney-client privileged, may be confidential work product, or may be exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is wrongful, is strictly prohibited, and may subject you to civil liability. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at 803-771-7900 (Columbia office) or 704-442-9500 (Charlotte office) or by return e-mail, and destroy any copies (electronic, paper, or otherwise) that you may have of this communication.*

*DEBT COLLECTOR: This firm collects debts for mortgage lenders and other creditors. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose. However, if you have previously received a discharge in bankruptcy, this message is not and should not be construed as an attempt to collect a debt, but only as an attempt to enforce a lien.*