

RECEIVED

Feb 22 2022

SC Court of Appeals

Jamal Coburn

Appellate case no. 2020-001528

Complaint against:

Adam Ruffin

Complaint:

On January 21,2022, I received a Manila envelope containing the State's response to my initial brief and after reading the documents, I decided I wanted to do a reply brief. On January 25, 2022, I called Mr. Adam Ruffin and told him of my intentions on wanting him to do a reply brief and that I would send him a letter containing my input on that reply brief. He told me that it was okay for me to do that. I went thru cases and took three days putting together my opinion pertaining to the reply brief and sent it to him. On February 9, 2022, I called because he should of received it by then and/or had been started on the reply brief, but I got his voicemail. On February 16, 2022, I received a letter from Mr. Adam Ruffin stating that it was too late to do a reply brief and that what I sent to him could possibly be brought up in an oral argument. I think it is unprofessional on his part. Reply brief is apart of the appeal process and I let him know that I wanted a reply brief and the importance to me in having a reply brief. He didn't inform of a time limit until it was too late. I feel Mr. Ruffin is jeopardizing my appeal by not putting in a reply brief and therefore I am not given my proper due process. I read a supreme court judges opinion on the importance of having a last say so through a reply brief because some case can not even make it as far as an oral agruement. This will be sent to multiple judicial systems as to where I stand at this point.

Jamal Coburn

Ridgeland Correctional institute

P.o. box 2039

Ridgeland, s.c. 29936