

Isaac Smith, Jr
PLAINTIFF(S)

Sonja I Lewis
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

The plaintiff's motion for a protective order is dismissed for failure to prosecute. He must respond to discovery.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 11/21/2019 .

Isaac Smith, Jr for Isaac Smith, Jr
Isaac Smith, Jr for Isaac Smith, Jr

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

A hearing on this motion was scheduled for November 19, 2019. At the designated time, defense counsel was present, but the plaintiff failed to appear. The file reflects that the pro se plaintiff filed a motion for continuance on November 7, 2019, but the continuance request referred to a hearing schedule for October 24, which date had obviously passed. Assuming that was a mistake and that the request was to continue this hearing, no continuance had been granted by the Chief Judge for Administrative Purposes. The file reflects that the plaintiff resides in Los Angeles, California, but the reason stated for the continuance request is not related to travel or unavailability. Since the plaintiff failed to appear, failed to obtain a continuance, and failed to provide anything to substantiate his motion for protective order, the motion is dismissed for failure to prosecute.



Lexington Common Pleas

Case Caption: Isaac Smith Jr VS Sonja I Lewis

Case Number: 2019CP3201270

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

Circuit Judge (Code #2050)

s/ William P. Keesley

Electronically signed on 2019-11-21 12:00:56 page 3 of 3