

From: [Shealy, Brenda](#)
To: ["asinger@crowell.com"](#); ["larry.kristinik@nelsonmullins.com"](#); ["csdev023@gmail.com"](#); ["jlucey@lucey-law.com"](#); ["kbeach@wc.com"](#); ["stownes@lucey-law.com"](#); ["mary_lewis@scd.uscourts.gov"](#); ["brett.ingerman@dlapiper.com"](#); ["brett.solberg@dlapiper.com"](#); ["matt.bogan@nelsonmullins.com"](#); ["mgilinsky@andersonkill.com"](#); ["markbillion@billionlaw.com"](#); ["rorin@andersonkill.com"](#); ["jkosek@andersonkill.com"](#); ["asaharia@wc.com"](#); ["shanonp@smithrobinsonlaw.com"](#); ["jon@smithrobinsonlaw.com"](#); ["murrell@smithrobinsonlaw.com"](#); ["mcooper@crowell.com"](#)
Subject: Sullivan Management v. Fireman's Fund
Date: Tuesday, March 1, 2022 9:43:00 AM

Dear All,

The supplemental citations that were included with the amicus curiae brief of American Property will be accepted. The brief itself is accepted as filed. The citations, since they do not present any argument, are being accepted as supplemental citations and ten copies of the citations should be submitted as such. Please bind the supplemental citations separately from the actual brief portion. You may bind it in green also and label it appropriately. Normally, supplemental citations are not bound, but because of the volume of citations, I think it best to handle it this way. Please let me know if any of you have any questions.

The amicus brief of United Policyholders is entirely different. The attorneys representing United Policyholders should advise the Court immediately if they are filing a motion with the certifying court to have the addendum materials certified to the Supreme Court. Please advise.

Thank you,
Brenda F. Shealy
Chief Deputy Clerk
South Carolina Supreme Court