

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

John Dewayne Garvin, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.


Appellate Case No. 2020-001418


ORDER


By order dated December 9, 2021, this Court denied Petitioner's request to exceed the page limits set forth in Rule 243(e)(3), SCACR, and instructed Petitioner to serve and file an amended petition for a writ of certiorari that complies with the twenty-five page limit under the rule within fifteen days. The order provided that Petitioner's failure to do so would result in the dismissal of this matter.


Petitioner subsequently filed a twenty-eight page amended petition for a writ of certiorari and a motion to exceed the page limits of Rule 243(e)(3). The motion was denied, and this matter was dismissed for Petitioner's failure to comply with Rule 243(e)(3) and this Court's order dated December 9, 2021.


Petitioner has now filed a "Notice And Motion For Reinstatement of Appeal And a Subsequent Application For Relief, Pursuant To Rule – 260(a), AND 266, SCACR." Because Petitioner has not shown good cause for his failure to comply with the page limits of Rule 243(e)(3), we deny the motion.


_____ C.J.


_____ J.


_____ J.



J.


J.

Columbia, South Carolina
March 15, 2022

cc:
Chelsey Faith Marto, Esquire
Office of Appellate Defense
John D. Garvin, 355509