

May 7, 2013

RECEIVED

MAY - 8 2013

S.C. Supreme Court

Hand Delivery

The Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse
Clerk, South Carolina Supreme Court
Supreme Court Building
1231 Gervais Street
Columbia, SC 29201

**Re: Willie Singleton and Julie Thomas, Heirs at Law of Victoria Gadson v.
City of Georgetown Building Official Stephen Stack, et.al.
Appellate Case # 2012-211946
Our File No.: 2047-223**

Dear Mr. Shearouse:

I write in response to Petitioner's filing of Supplemental Citation on or about April 26, 2013, which brings to the Court's attention purportedly pertinent authority and additional argument with regard to the previously filed Petition for a Writ of Certiorari.

Respondents respectfully request this Court decline to consider the contents of Petitioner's Supplemental Filings in your decision regarding certiorari in this case because Petitioner has not complied with Rule 208(7), SCACR, which governs supplemental authority. Specifically, Petitioner's submission is **not** in the form of a letter as required by the rule; and the submission does not reference the issue(s) in the brief to which the citations pertain. Moreover, the authorities cited in Petitioner's purported Supplemental Citation are at least two years old and there is no reason such authority could not have been included in his original brief to this Honorable Court. Most importantly, the submission contains argument, which Rule 208(7), SCACR, explicitly prohibits. Instead of complying with Rule 208(7), SCACR, Petitioner's submission reads like an additional brief in this case, with new arguments and issues presented for this Court's review. Respondents respectfully request this Court disregard the submitted Supplemental Citation filing.

With best regards, I am,

Sincerely,

Sheila M. Bias

Sheila M. Bias

cc: Willie Singleton, *Pro Se*.