

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE
#300839 F2B. RM. 1260
LEE C.I. 900 WISACKY HWY.
BISHOPVILLE, S.C. 29010

RON SANTA McCRAY
#353031 COOPER B-59
LIEBER C.I. P.O. BOX 205
RIDGEVILLE, S.C. 29472

IN RE: FILING SUPPLEMENT TO APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES
UNDER RULE 22 TO ESTABLISH A COMPLETE RECORD IN CASE 21A425.

RECEIVED

MAR 24 2022

TO: THE HONORABLE JUDGE ROBERTS,
THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ET. AL.,

SC Court of Appeals

JUDGE ROBERTS SIR, THE PETITIONER(S) INVOLVED WITHIN THESE
MULTI-DISTRICT SOUGHT LITIGATION CASES TRULY ARE GRATEFUL FOR
YOUR KIND ASSISTANCE IN ESTABLISHING CASE 21A425 SIR. BUT STILL
WE HAVE A SERIOUS AND SUBSTANTIAL PROBLEM AND OR CONCERN IN HOW
THIS CASE IS NOW ESTABLISHED BEFORE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES
SUPREME COURT SIR. THE RECORD UNDER CASE 21A425 IS NOT COMPLETE
FOR THE SAKE OF ESTABLISHING FULL DISCLOSURE AS TO HOW AND WHY WE
GOT HERE. THUS, THE PETITIONER(S) RESPECTFULLY SEEK AND MOTION TO
SUPPLEMENT THE PLEADING IN THIS CASE TO ENSURE THAT INDEED THAT
THE RECORD BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT UNDER CASE
21A425 IS COMPLETE AND THE JURISDICTIONAL FACTS AS TO HOW AND WHY
WE GOT HERE IS ALSO FULLY ESTABLISHED WITHIN THE COURT RECORD IN

CASE THE APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES UNDER RULE 22 IS DENIED WHERE THE PETITIONERS WOULD THEN SEEK TO EXERCISE THE DUE PROCESS RIGHT AND OR PROCEDURAL OPTION TO SEEK THEIR REQUEST BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL JUSTICES OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT AS SUCH APPLICABLE RULES WOULD PERMIT. WHAT HAPPENED IN THE INITIAL FILING OF THESE CASES IS OUTRAGEOUS JUDGE ROBERTS AND IS A SLAP IN THE FACE OF NOT JUST THE PETITIONER(S) INVOLVED WITHIN THESE CASES, BUT ALSO A SLAP IN THE FACE OF THE HONORABLE JUSTICES OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT THEMSELVES. THEREFORE, IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS TO THE INMATES/PETITIONERS INVOLVED SUBJUDICE. IT WOULD NOT BE INAPPROPRIATE TO ALLOW THE PETITIONER(S) TO SUPPLEMENT THE FILINGS UNDER CASE 21A425 TO ESTABLISH A FULL OFFICIAL RECORD, WHICH INCLUDE THE OFFICIAL REQUEST OF REMOVING MS. WALKER FROM HANDLING ANY ASPECT OF THESE CASES THUS PRESENTED WHERE THESE INDIVIDUALS CONSPIRED UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO COMPROMISE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ITSELF IN EGREGIOUS VIOLATION OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE AND THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE TO PUSH THE PETITIONER(S) PAST ANY PRESCRIBED TIMELINES TO HAVE THESE MATTERS PROPERLY AND TIMELY HEARD BEFORE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. THESE DOCUMENTS ARE NOW SOUGHT FILED TOWARDS THAT END.

INSOMUCH, THIS SUPPLEMENT TO ESTABLISH A COMPLETE RECORD TAKES PLACE IN THE FORM OF THREE DOCUMENTS HEREWITH ATTACHED: (1) A COPY OF THE PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI FOR THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT CASES 2020-001615 AND 2020-00974 THAT WAS IN OBSTRUCTION PUSHED PASSED THE TIME LIMIT TO FILE SEEKING TO FILE IT OUT OF TIME AND OR BEYOND THE TIME LIMIT ALSO SEEKING ADDITIONAL TIME TO GET THE EXHIBITS RELATED TO THIS FILING BEFORE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT; (2) THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "MOTION TO FILE APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES PURSUANT TO RULE 22; MOTION TO AMEND THE APPLICATION UNDER RULE 22 SEEKING STAY OF CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE 3rd. CIRCUIT TO SEEK STAY OF CASE 21-6275 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS DUE TO SEEKING DISQUALIFICATION OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND TRANSFER

PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407 AND IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE DUE TO MULTI-DISTRICT SOUGHT LITIGATION", (22) PAGES DATED FEBRUARY 25, 2022; (3) A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "MOTION TO FILE APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES PURSUANT TO RULE 22; MOTION TO AMEND THE APPLICATION UNDER RULE 22 SEEKING TO STAY CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE 3rd. CIRCUIT TO SEEK LEAVE TO FILE PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI OUT OF TIME AND OR BEYOND THE TIME LIMIT FOR BOTH CASE(S) 20-7073 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND CASE(S) 2020-001615 AND 2020-00974 OUT OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT DUE TO OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, MULTI-DISTRICT LITIGATION AND THE SEEKING OF 28 U.S.C. § 1407 DISQUALIFICATION AND TRANSFER", (22) PAGES DATED FEBRUARY 25, 2022. THE REMAINDER OF THE DOCUMENTS ATTACHED ARE EXHIBITS LISTED WITHIN THESE THREE LISTED DOCUMENTS.

PLEASE BE ADVISED SIR, THAT THIS ATTACHED EXHIBIT ENTITLED, "INITIAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI" RELATED TO CASE 20-7073 IS NOT THE PETITION THAT THE PETITIONER(S) SEEK WRIT OF CERTIORARI FOR. DUE TO THE OBSTRUCTION THAT OCCURRED WITHIN THE FILING OF THESE CASES, THE INITIAL PETITION SOUGHT TO BE FILED MUST NOW BE AMENDED. THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBMITTED SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSES OF ESTABLISHING THE JURISDICTIONAL FACTS AND PLACE ON THE COURT RECORD WHAT EXACTLY OCCURRED WHEN THESE CASES WERE INITIALLY SOUGHT FILED BEFORE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. THE PETITIONER(S) GIVE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT AND PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT THESE DOCUMENTS WERE ALSO SERVED ON JUDGE ALITO RELATED TO THE 3rd. CIRCUIT CASE INVOLVED AND PURSUANT TO SEEKING STAY OF CASE 21-6275 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS. BUT FOR THE SAKE OF JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS A COMPLETE RECORD MUST BE PERMITTED TO BE ESTABLISHED WITHIN ALL CASES INVOLVED. THIS INCLUDE THE PETITIONER(S) EXERCISING THEIR DUE PROCESS RIGHTS TO SEEK POTENTIAL SANCTIONS AND OR ANY OTHER RIGHT OR ACTION NECESSARY TO REMEDY THE DAMAGE AND CLAIM/CAUSE OF THE DEFENDANTS INVOLVED WITHIN THESE MULTI-DISTRICT SOUGHT LITIGATION CASES TAKING POTENTIALLY CRIMINAL STEPS TO COMPROMISE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME

COURT ITSELF THROUGH MS. WALKER, SPOILIATION, AND OBSTRUCTION TO IMPEDE THE DUE COURSE OF JUSTICE WHERE THE INJUSTICES RELATED TO SEEKING TO FILE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI RELATED TO CASES 2020-001615 AND 2020-000974 OUT OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT HAVE YET TO BE ADDRESSED OR REMEDIED. THE PETITIONER(S) WITHIN THESE CASES SEEK TO HAVE THE MOTION TO GRANT FILING OF CASES 2020-001615 AND 2020-00974 OUT OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT TO BE FILED OUT OF TIME AND OR BEYOND THE TIME LIMIT GRANTED AS WELL AS TO ADDRESS THESE EGREGIOUS CRIMINAL ACTS OF CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT HAS OCCURRED RELATED TO THESE CASES IN THEIR TOTALITY. THE HONORABLE JUDGE ROBERT'S RULING UNDER CASE 21A425 RELATED TO CASE 20-7073 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT WHERE THESE ARE DIRECTLY RELATED PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SEEKING THE DISQUALIFICATION OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AT BOTH THE STATE AND FEDERAL LEVEL HAS FAILED TO ADDRESS THE FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND EGREGIOUS VIOLATIONS OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE THAT HAS OCCURRED RELATED TO THESE CASES IN THEIR TOTALITY AS THEY ARE PRESENTED BEFORE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS TO THE PETITIONER(S) A RULING RELATED TO FILING THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT CASES OUT OF TIME AND OR BEYOND THE TIME LIMIT MUST BE OBTAINED FOR THE SAKE OF "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS". THE PETITIONER(S) RESPECTFULLY SEEK FROM THE HONORABLE JUSTICES OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT THAT MS. WALKER BE REMOVED FROM HANDLING ANY MATTERS RELATED TO THESE CASES IF POSSIBLE IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS.

THESE PLEADINGS WERE INITIALLY SENT TO JUDGE ALITO BY CERTIFIED MAIL # 7021 0950 0001 0779 5586 AND ACCORDING TO THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE. THE PLEADING WAS PICKED UP BY AN AGENT OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ON MARCH 9, 2022 ACCORDING TO THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THE PETITIONER(S) RESPECTFULLY SEEK THAT THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT UNDER CASE 21A425 OBTAIN A COPY OF ANY NEEDED AND OR REQUIRED FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS AND OR FORMS RELATED TO MCCRAY AND CRAWFORD FROM WITHIN THOSE CERTIFIED SENT FILINGS WHERE OUR


FINANCIAL STATUS HAS NOT CHANGED AND THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD IS ARGUING THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER AS IT RELATES TO THESE PENDING CASES. FOR THE RECORD, ALL PARTIES REQUIRED HAVE BEEN SERVED A COPY OF THE FILING AS UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT RULES REQUIRE. THE PETITIONER(S) PRAY THAT THE HONORABLE JUDGE ROBERTS WOULD GRANT THE SUPPLEMENT TO INCLUDE ANY AND ALL OTHER RELIEF THE COURT WOULD DEEM JUST, FAIR AND PROPER.

RESPECTFULLY,
RON SANTA McCRAY

Ron Santa McCray

JONAH THE TISHBITE

MARCH 17, 2022

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, featuring a prominent circular loop and several sweeping horizontal strokes.

NO. 21A425

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RECEIVED

MAR 24 2022

SC Court of Appeals

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE;
RON SANTA McCARY---PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE LINARES; WARDEN NELSON; S.C.D.C.;
DIRECTOR BRYAN STIRLING; THE S.C.D.C. MUSLIM CHAPLAINS;
MS. FOX ET. AL.,---DEFENDANTS-APPELLEES

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE FOURTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

MOTION TO FILE APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES PURSUANT
TO RULE 22; MOTION TO AMEND THE APPLICATION UNDER RULE
22 SEEKING STAY OF CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE 3rd. CIRCUIT
TO SEEK STAY OF CASE 21-6275 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT
COURT OF APPEALS DUE TO SEEKING DISQUALIFICATION OF THE
4th. CIRCUIT AND TRANSFER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407
AND IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE DUE TO MULTI-DISTRICT
SOUGHT LITIGATION

IN RE: CRAWFORD AND McCRAY ET. AL.,

TO: THE HONORABLE JUDGE ALITO,
THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT,
THE FOURTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE THIRD CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.

HERE THE HONORABLE JUDGE ALITO, THE U.S. SUPREME COURT AND
ALL PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) EXHIBIT, "U.S. SUPREME COURT SERVICE". THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBMITTED TO DEMONSTRATE THE REPEATED EFFORT THAT THE PETITIONER(S) HAVE MADE TO GET THESE MATTERS TIMELY FILED BEFORE THE HONORABLE U.S. SUPREME COURT ONLY TO BE MET WITH EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD, CONSPIRACY VIOLATING 42 U.S.C. §§ 1985(2)(3) AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE DUE TO POTENTIALLY RELIGIOUS HATRED. THIS DON'T EVEN COUNT THE TIMES THE PETITIONER(S) SENT IN THE PLEADING(S) WHEN IT WAS NOT CERTIFIED.

(2) EXHIBIT, "SPOILIATION/OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE". THIS DOCUMENT GOES MORE INTO THE DETAILS OF THE OBSTRUCTION THAT OCCURRED BY THE DEFENDANTS WHO ARE POWERFUL GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS WHO TOOK STEPS TO COMPROMISE THE HONORABLE SUPREME COURT ITSELF VIA CERTAIN OF ITS EMPLOYEES WHO ARE PARTAKERS WITHIN THE INJUSTICE THAT HAS OCCURRED WHICH WAS INITIALLY SOUGHT REVIEW BY JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR. A COPY OF THE FINAL ORDER FROM THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT IS ATTACHED TO THIS EXHIBIT FOR REVIEW.

(3) EXHIBIT, "CASE(S) 20-7073/ 21-6275".

(4) EXHIBIT, "FUNCTIONAL EQUIVALENT".

(5) EXHIBIT. "THREAT TO SOVEREIGN'S SAFETY # 1".

(6) EXHIBIT, "THREAT TO SOVEREIGN'S SAFETY # 2". THE FINAL ORDER DENYING THE STAY IN CASE 21-6275 IS FOUND ATTACHED AT THE END OF THIS EXHIBIT.

(7) EXHIBIT, "S.C. SUPREME COURT PETITION". EXHIBITS 3 THROUGH 7 DEMONSTRATE THAT CASE 21-1330, 21-6275, 20-7073 WITHIN THE FEDERAL COURT(S) AND CASE(S) 2020-001615 AND 2020-00974 ARE DIRECTLY RELATED AND ARE ESSENTIALLY SISTER CASES OF EACH OTHER WHERE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND THE 4th. CIRCUIT IN ITS ENTIRETY IS SOUGHT DISQUALIFIED AND THE STATE AND FEDERAL CASES SOUGHT TRANSFERRED TO THE NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT AND 3rd. CIRCUIT DUE TO MULTI-DISTRICT SOUGHT LITIGATION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407 AND IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE BY WHAT IS ARGUED WITHIN THE ATTACHMENTS.

(8) EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE". THIS EXHIBIT IS SUBMITTED IN SUPPORT TO DEMONSTRATE A POINT OF MOTIVE FOR THE CONSPIRING FEDERAL AND OR STATE OFFICIALS COMPROMISING CERTAIN EMPLOYEES OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ITSELF TO KEEP THESE CASES FROM THE HONORABLE JUSTICES SCRUTINY AND PRIVY AND TO PUSH THE PETITIONER(S) PAST THE PERIOD FOR TIMELY FILING PETITION TO SEEK LEAVE TO FILE WRIT OF CERTIORAI TO THWART U.S. SUPREME COURT REVIEW VIOLATING THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE.

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT VIA ITS LETTER SENT TO THE PETITIONER(S) DATED FEBRUARY 1, 2022 INFORMED THE PETITIONER(S) THAT THERE WERE SEVERAL DEFICIENCIES THAT HAD TO BE CORRECTED BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE ALITO WOULD BE PERMITTED TO HEAR AND REVIEW A MOTION TO STAY CASE 21-1330. THEY ARE: (1) THAT THE PETITIONER(S) DEMONSTRATE THAT THEY FIRST SOUGHT THE SAME RELIEF FROM THE LOWER COURT(S), AND (2) THAT THE PETITIONERS MUST SET FORTH WHY THE RELIEF IS NOT AVAILABLE FROM ANY OTHER COURT AND WHY A STAY IS JUSTIFIED. THE REASON WHY SUCH IS NOT AVAILABLE IS THAT DUE TO THE EXTRAORDINARY CLAIMS BEING MADE THERE IS CLEAR OBSTRUCTION AT ALL LEVELS AS IS DEMONSTRATED BY THE PLEADING. THE

STAY IS JUSTIFIED BY THE AFOREMENTIONED THAT IS TO BE FURTHER ELABORATED ON WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT TO INCLUDE THE FACT THAT (A) THERE IS A "REASONABLE PROBABILITY" THAT [4] JUSTICES WILL GRANT CERTIORARI, OR AGREE TO REVIEW THE MERITS OF THE CASE, (B) THERE IS A "FAIR PROSPECT" THAT THE MAJORITY OF THE COURT WILL CONCLUDE UPON REVIEW THAT THE DECISIONS BELOW BOTH STATE AND FEDERAL WERE ERRONEOUS, (C) THAT IRREPARABLE HARM WILL RESULT FROM DENIAL OF THE STAY, (D) FINALLY, IN CLOSE CASE THE CIRCUIT JUSTICES MAY FIND IT APPROPRIATE TO BALANCE THE EQUITIES BECAUSE OF THE OBSTRUCTION, BY EXPLORING THE RELATIVE HARM TO THE APPLICANT(S) AS WELL AS THE INTEREST OF THE RELIGIOUS PUBLIC AT LARGE PROTECTED BY THE 1st. AMENDMENT, THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE AND THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE.

THE PETITIONER(S) BRING THE HONORABLE JUDGE ALITO AND THE U.S. SUPREME COURT'S ATTENTION TO EXHIBIT(S) 1 THROUGH 8 ATTACHED TO THIS DOCUMENT. SINCE THERE IS NO FINAL ORDER ISSUED RULING ON THE MOTION TO STAY UNTIL PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN CASE 21-1330, AND IT IS CLEAR FROM THE EXHIBITS NOW REFERRED TO, THAT THESE CASES INVOLVE SOUGHT MULTI-DISTRICT LITIGATION AND § 1407 TRANSFER, WHICH IS ALSO PENDING BEFORE THE MULTI-DISTRICT PANEL AND FILED SEEKING JURY TRIAL AND TRANSFER UNDER CASE 9:21-cv-2526-TLW-MHC IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA DISTRICT COURT; AND THERE IS INDEED A FINAL ORDER ISSUED RULING ON THE MOTION TO STAY UNDER CASE 21-6275 WHICH IS ALSO DIRECTLY RELATED TO CASE 21-1330 WHERE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IS SOUGHT DISQUALIFIED AND ALL PROCEEDINGS WITHIN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT STATE AND FEDERAL BE MOVED AND TRANSFERRED TO THE NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT AND 3rd. CIRCUIT BY THE EXHIBITS PRESENTED. IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS TO THE PETITIONER(S), IT WOULD NOT BE INAPPROPRIATE FOR THE PETITIONER(S) TO MOTION TO AMEND THE SOUGHT APPLICATION BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT TO NOW SEEK THE STAY FOR CASE 21-6275 FROM 4TH. CIRCUIT AS OPPOSED TO CASE 21-1330 FROM OUT OF THE 3rd. CIRCUIT WHERE THESE CASES ARE DIRECTLY RELATED AND ARE

ESSENTIALLY SISTER CASES PURSUANT TO SEEKING 28 U.S.C. § 1407 TRANSFER AND IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, AND THE 4TH. CIRCUIT BE DEEMED DISQUALIFIED BASED UPON WHAT IS ARGUED IN THE EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO THIS APPLICATION ACCEPTING AND ADDING THE NAME OF RON SANTA McCRAY AS A PARTY AS EQUITIES WOULD REQUIRE. APPLICATION TO TRANSFER BEFORE COMMENCEMENT OF TRIAL UNDER RULE 22 IS NOT TOO LATE, SCHOENTHAL v. IRVING TRUST CO., 287 U.S. 92, 53 S.Ct. 50, 77 L.Ed. 185(U.S.1932); IN RE: SLOECKER, 117 B.R. 342 (E.D.ILL.1990).

THE PETITIONER(S). WITH LEAVE OF THE COURT SEEK TO AMEND THIS APPLICATION IN TWO PARTS (1) TO SEEK THAT THE REVIEW PURSUANT TO OBTAINING THE STAY BE DONE FOR CASE 21-6275 IN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AS OPPOSED TO CASE 21-1330 IN THE 3rd. CIRCUIT SINCE THERE IS A FINAL ORDER RULING ON AND DENYING THE MOTION TO STAY CASE 21-6275 WHICH IS THIS DOCUMENT'S AIM., AND (2) THE PETITIONER(S) ALSO SEEK TO AMEND THE APPLICATION TO ALSO SEEK LEAVE TO FILE PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORAI FOR CASE 20-7073 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND CASE(S) 2020-001615 AND 2020-00974 OUT OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT OUT OF TIME AND OR BEYOND THE TIME LIMIT DUE TO POTENTIAL EGREGIOUS ACTS OF CONSPIRACY VIOLATING 42 U.S.C. § 1985(2)(3), AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE INVOLVING THE DEFENDANTS WHO ARE PARTY TO THESE CASES WHO HAVE POWERFUL CONNECTIONS REACHING UP INTO BOTH THE U.S. SENATE AND CONGRESS WHO ENGAGED IN POTENTIAL CRIMINAL EFFORTS TO THWART U.S. SUPREME COURT REVIEW AND KEEP THESE CASES FROM THE HONORABLE JUSTICES SCRUTINY AND PRIVY TO PUSH THE PETITIONER(S) PAST THE TIME FOR NORMAL FILING IN CLEAR ACTS OF FRAUD, OBSTRUCTION, AND VIOLATIONS OF THEIR OATHS OF OFFICE TO UPHOLD THE STATE AND OR FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONS, ALSO IN VIOLATION OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE EXTREMELY PREJUDICING THE PETITIONER(S), WARRANTING SANCTIONS AT MINIMUM AND POTENTIALLY SOME SORT OF INTERNAL AND OR EXTERNAL INVESTIGATION WHICH IS INDEED SOUGHT BY THE ADDITIONAL MOTION SENT WITH THIS DOCUMENT TO ACCOMPLISH THE SECOND PORTION OF THE AMENDMENT OF THE SUPREME COURT APPLICATION; WHICH IS

SEEKING TO FILE THE PETITION SEEKING LEAVE FOR WRIT OF CERTIORAI FOR BOTH CASE 20-7073 AND THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT CASE(S) 2020-001615 AND 2020-00974 OUT OF TIME AND OR BEYOND THE TIME LIMIT TO CORRECT THIS MANIFEST INJUSTICE AND ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE.

THIS IS WHAT OCCURRED JUSTICE ALITO SIR. DUE TO THE EXTRAORDINARY CLAIMS BEING MADE RELATED TO THESE CASES, THE PETITIONER(S) SENT THE PETITIONS SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI FOR CASE 20-7073 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND CASE(S) 2020-001615 AND 2020-00974 OUT OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT, THESE TWO DISTINCT AND SEPARATE FILINGS, INTO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IN TWO SEPARATE, INDEPENDENT, ENVELOPES AT MINIMUM A WEEK APART FROM EACH OTHER. DUE TO THE EXTRAORDINARY UNPRECEDENTED CLAIMS BEING ARGUED IN THESE CASES, DEFAULTED ON BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND THE OTHER [192] MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS EMERGING FROM CASES 2006-CP-400-3567, 35678, 3569; 2013-CP-400-0084, SEE EXHIBITS 3 THROUGH 7. THE STATE AND FEDERAL DEFENDANTS CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY, ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS, TOOK STEPS TO COMPROMISE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ITSELF, BY COMPROMISING CERTAIN EMPLOYEES OF THIS COURT TO THWART U.S. SUPREME COURT REVIEW TO PREVENT THE HONORABLE JUSTICES OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT FROM EVER HEARING THESE CASES THUS PRESENTED. THE CONSPIRING DEFENDANTS WITH POTENTIALLY THE AID OF MS. EMILY WALKER. ONCE THEY VIEWED THE MAGNITUDE OF THE LITIGATION PRESENTED. THE CONSPIRING ACTORS DESTROYED, SPOLIATED THE LEAD PETITION FROM THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT, AND AT LEAST [5] EXHIBITS THAT WERE PRESENTED AS EVIDENCE OUT OF THE [27] EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF THE CLAIMS MADE WHICH DEMONSTRATE THEIR EFFORTS WERE SPECIFICALLY TARGETED TO DESTROY EVIDENCE OF THEIR GUILT AND DEFAULT AT THE STATE LEVEL. THEY ALSO DESTROYED THE FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS FOR BOTH CRAWFORD AND McCRAY WHEN INITIALLY SENT TO THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. THE CONSPIRING ACTORS IN COMPROMISING THIS COURT THEN TOOK THE LEAD STATE

PETITION AND DESTROYED IT, SPOLIATED IT, SENT WITH THE FILING FOR THE STATE COURT, THEN THEY TOOK THE PETITION IN CASE 20-7073 FILED FOR THE FEDERAL PETITION, AND PLACED THE FEDERAL PETITION FOR CASE 20-7073 IN PLACE OF THE STATE PETITION SENT FOR THE S.C. SUPREME COURT CASES, FRAUDULENTLY CLAIMING THAT THE MISTAKE WAS THE PETITIONER(S), THAT WE SENT THE STATE AND FEDERAL PLEADING INTO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT TOGETHER IN ONE ENVELOPE AS THEY CLAIMED THEY HAVE RECEIVED IT, WHICH OF COURSE IS FAR FROM THE TRUTH. THE LEAD STATE PETITION BEING DESTROYED WAS ONE THING THOUGH THAT WAS SERIOUSLY SUSPECT. BUT WHEN THEY ALSO DESTROYED [5] KEY EXHIBITS OF EVIDENCE OF THEIR GUILT. IT BECOMES PERSPICUOUS THAT THIS CRIMINAL ACT WAS INTENTIONALLY DESIGNED TO PRODUCE THE VERY RESULTS THAT HAVE PRESENTLY OCCURRED IN THESE CASES FOR WHICH WE OBJECT. THIS EGREGIOUS ACT OF SPOLIATION, DESTRUCTION OF LEGAL PLEADING AND FILINGS AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE ON THE PART OF THE CONSPIRING PARTIES COMPROMISING EMPLOYEES OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ITSELF, CAUSED A CASCADE OF SUBSEQUENT EVENTS THAT PUSHED THE PETITIONER(S) PAST THE TIME ALLOTTED TO FILE THE VARIOUS PETITIONS, INCLUDING THE ACT OF MISREPRESENTATION AND FRAUD ON THE PART OF MS. WALKER CLAIMING SHE COULD NOT SEE WHERE McCRAE IS A PARTY WHICH IS ELABORATED ON WITHIN THE ATTACHMENTS, CLAIMING THERE WAS NO SUCH THING AS A MOTION TO EXPEDITE A CASE BEFORE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, AND THAT THE PETITIONER(S) COULD NOT FILE AN APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES UNDER RULE 22, SPOLIATING, DESTROYING SUBSEQUENT FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS AND OTHER ACTS OF MACHINATION THAT PUSHED THE PETITIONER(S) PAST THE PRESCRIBED TIMELINES TO PREVENT THE HONORABLE U.S. SUPREME COURT FROM EVER GIVING REVIEW ON THESE MATTERS IN ACTS OF CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE TO THWART THE EXERCISE OF UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT JURISDICTION IN VIOLATION OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE, THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE AND THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE, AND ROSS v. BLAKE, 136 S.Ct. 1850(U.S.2016) VIA MACHINATION. WITH THE ORDER DENYING THE MOTION TO STAY BEING ISSUED DECEMBER 28, 2021, THE PETITIONERS HAVE [90] DAYS UNTIL

MARCH 28, 2022 MAKING THE SUBMISSION TIMELY FOR CASE 21-6275. BY RECENT LETTER FROM THE SUPREME COURT, THE PETITIONER(S) WERE ALSO INFORMED THAT DUE TO THE EFFECTS OF THE COVIT-19 VIRUS, THE TIME FOR REVIEW IS EXTENDED TO [150] DAYS. THUS, IT IS NOT INAPPROPRIATE FOR THE PETITIONER(S) TO SEEK THE AMENDING OF THE APPLICATION UNDER RULE 22 TO HAVE THESE MATTERS ADDRESSED, SUSINKA v. U.S., 19 F.Supp.3d. 829 (N.D.ILL.2014); MADDEN v. TEXAS, 498 U.S. 1301, 111 S.Ct. 902, 112 L.Ed.2d. 1026 (U.S.1991); PERRY v. TEXAS, 515 U.S. 1304, 116 S.Ct. 2, 132 L.Ed.2d. 887(U.S.1995); WILKINS v. U.S., 441 U.S. 468, 99 S.Ct. 1829, 60 L.Ed.2d. 365(U.S.1979).

THE QUESTION NOW BECOMES WHAT EXACTLY IS IN THESE CASES THAT WOULD ESTABLISH MOTIVE AS TO WHY THESE POWERFUL GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS WOULD GO OUT THEIR WAY TO COMPROMISE EMPLOYEES OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ITSELF TO THWART THE HONORABLE JUSTICES REVIEW GIVING CREDENCE AND VERITY TO THESE CLAIMS THUS PRESENTED? THE ANSWER TO THAT IS AS FOLLOWS:

(1) FOR THE RECORD. THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD WAS NOT THE ONE WHO INITIALLY BROUGHT THESE RELIGIOUS CLAIMS BEFORE THE COURT. THE STATE PROSECUTOR DID FORCING THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD TO RESPOND, REBUT AND ANSWER THE RELIGIOUS CLAIMS WHILE ON THE STAND DURING TRIAL AS DUE PROCESS LAW REQUIRED WHERE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ESSENTIALLY CONVICTED THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD FOR THESE RELIGIOUS BELIEFS THAT HAD ABSOLUTELY NOTHING TO WITH THE CRIME OF MURDER FOR WHICH HE PRESENTLY STANDS CONVICTED OF TO TAINIT THE MINDS OF THE JURY DURING THE TIME OF 9/11 BECAUSE THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD WAS TOLD THE PROSECUTION BY HIS FAMILY MEMBERS THAT HE WAS CHRISTIAN, MUSLIM AND JEW COMBINED AND A MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AND OF ROYAL BLOODLINE VIOLATING U.S. SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER MASTERPIECE CAKESHOP LTD. v. COLORADO CIVIL RIGHTS COMM'N, 138 S.Ct. 1719(U.S.2018) AND HOBBS v. HOLT, 574 U.S. 352, 135 S.Ct. 853, 190 L.Ed.2d. 747(U.S.2015).

(2) YOU HAVE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA CONCEALING EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE WHEN SPECIFICALLY ASKED FOR THIS EVIDENCE ON THE COURT RECORD BEFORE TRIAL, INVOLVING HENRY McMASTER WHO WAS ATTORNEY GENERAL AT THE TIME WHO IS NOW PRESENTLY GOVERNOR OF THIS STATE AND FUTURE POTENTIAL PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE, SUPPRESSING DNA EVIDENCE THAT IF TESTED WOULD PROVE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA SIMILAR TO THE JIM CROW ERA, PRODUCED A FICTITIOUS HOMICIDE WHERE THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD'S CHILD DIED OF NATURAL CAUSES DUE TO THE SEXUAL ASSAULT OF HER HALF BROTHER, SUPPRESSING THE TRUE CAUSE OF DEATH IN THE AUTOPSY BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED DUE TO CRAWFORD'S FAMILY CLAIM TO THE STATE PROSECUTOR THAT HE WAS A MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, WHICH IS WHY THEY PREVENTED THIS DNA EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE FROM BEING TESTED FOR OVER [20] YEARS VIOLATING U.S. SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER WEARRY v. CAIN, 136 S.Ct. 1002(U.S.2016).

(3) YOU HAVE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA PRODUCING A FRAUDULENT INDICTMENT GIVING THE FALSE IMPRESSION THAT IT ACTUALLY WENT BEFORE A GRAND JURY WHEN IN TRUTH, IT DID NOT, BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED PURSUANT TO RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, AS WAS DONE TO MANY OF THE OTHER INMATES INVOLVED IN THESE CASES, ORLANDO PARKER, ROMEO BROWN, YUSIF AQUIL, BENJAMIN ERIC CASE, CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON, SEQUOIA McKINNON, ARTHUR McQUILLA, RICHARD BEEKMAN AND NUMEROUS OTHERS WHERE THESE INMATES SOUGHT TO AID THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD TO OBTAIN THAT EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE WHICH CAUSED THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA TO ATTACK THEIR PCR'S AND DUE PROCESS MATTERS IN EGREGIOUS ACTS OF RETALIATION TO CONCEAL THE PRODUCING OF THESE FRAUD INDICTMENTS WHERE CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS COVER THESE INMATES INVOLVED PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, AS WELL AS PROTECTIONS UNDER THE 5TH., 6TH., 13TH., 14TH., AND 15TH. AMENDMENTS VIA CONSPIRACY TO DEPRIVE OF VOTING RIGHTS BASED UPON SHAM LEGAL PROCESS CREATING A FORM OF RACIAL CASTE SYSTEM AS HAS BEEN DEPICTED WITHIN THE BOOK ENTITLED

"MASS INCARCERATION DURING THE AGE OF COLORBLINDNESS, THE NEW JIM CROW", BY MICHELLE ALEXANDER AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATOR, WHICH IS PLACE BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT AS EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF THESE CLAIMS AND IN ATTACKING THE 1996 CLINTON BILL DUE TO IT DISPROPORTIONATELY TARGETING AFRICAN AMERICANS TO THEIR DETRIMENT. SOUTH CAROLINA HAS BEEN DOING THIS AND GETTING AWAY WITH THIS MANIFEST INJUSTICE FOR DECADES WHERE THEY WERE ACTUAL CAUGHT DOING THIS AND SUCH WAS DOCUMENTED BY NPR (PUBLIC RADIO) OCCURRING IN YORK, SPARTANBURG AND VARIOUS OTHER COUNTIES WITHIN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA PROVING THAT THIS IS NOT A CONCLUSORY CLAIM, VIOLATING U.S. SUPREME COURT RUINGS UNDER MONTGOMERY v. LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718 (U.S.2016) BY THIS UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION. IF THIS CASE IS HEARD BY THE HONORABLE U.S. SUPREME COURT, HENRY McMASTER A KEY FIGURE IN THE REPUBLICAN PARTY A POTENTIAL FUTURE PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE'S CAREER WOULD BE POTENTIALLY RUINED BEHIND THE SCANDAL FURTHER ESTABLISHING MOTIVE FOR COMPROMISING THE EMPLOYEES OF THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. THERE MAY POTENTIALLY BE PRISON TIME INVOLVED DEALING WITH THESE STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS WHO ACTED WITHOUT JURISDICTION VIOLATING THEIR OATHS OF OFFICE TO UPHOLD THE STATE AND FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONS REQUIRING SANCTIONS PURSUANT TO 5 U.S.C. §§ 3331, 3333 AND 7311.

(4) YOU HAVE THE CONSPIRING PARTIES BLOCKING THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD FROM THE PCR COURT TO PREVENT THE TRUTH OF THESE CLAIMS FROM BEING REVEALED FOR OVER [16+] YEARS WITHOUT ANY JUDICIAL ORDER BEING FILED EXPLAINING WHY, VIOLATING U.S. SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER BETTERMAN v. MONTANA, 136 S.Ct. 1609(U.S.2016). THIS LED TO THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD BEING FORCED TO FILE FALSE IMPRISONMENT TORT IN RICHLAND COUNTY S.C. TO CIRCUMVENT THE BLOCK AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE PRODUCING CASE(S) 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 3569; 2013-CP-400-0084, 2294 WHERE DUE TO THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA BRINGING THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD'S RELIGIOUS BELIEFS IN THAT TRIAL COURTROOM TO ESTABLISH LAW AND THOSE RELIGIOUS BELIEFS HAD ABSOLUTELY NOTHING TO DO WITH THE CRIME FOR WHICH HE PRESENTLY STANDS CONVICTED. THE PETITIONER

CRAWFORD WAS NOW FORCED TO ARGUE THE CLAIMS PROPERLY SERVING THE [193] MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS, THE U.S. STATE DEPT., THE U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE AND FEDERAL ATTORNEY GENERAL WHO APPEARED WITHIN THOSE STATE COURT PROCEEDINGS WHERE THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT CONCEALED THEIR APPEARANCE HIDING IN THE BACK OF THE COURTROOM AND NEVER CHALLENGED ANY OF THE CLAIMS MADE HAVING FULL OPPORTUNITY TO REBUT THEM WHICH FAILURE BINDS ALL STATES BY THE SUPREMACY CLAUSE. THEY COULD HAVE CLAIMED THAT THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD WAS NUTS, THAT THE ELEVATOR DIDN'T QUITE GO UP TO THE TOP FLOOR, SOMETHING, ANYTHING.

INSTEAD OF PLEADING, THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT CHOSE FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND RAN RIGHT DEAD SMACK INTO THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON BY THE PETITIONER(S) AND OR INMATES MENTIONED IN THEIR TOTALITY IN THE LOWER COURTS INVOLVED, A PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE BEING JURISDICTIONAL IN NATURE WHICH CANNOT BE WAIVED OR FORFEITED SUBJECTING THE DEFENDANTS, INCLUDING THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT TO DEFAULT, JUDGMENT AND FORFEITURE ON ALL CLAIMS MADE TO INCLUDE THE VOIDING OF THE LOWER COURT(S) JURISDICTION FOR THIS UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION SO THEY HELD THE CASE IN LIMBO SINCE 2006 VIOLATING BETTERMAN v. MONTANA. THIS DEFAULT IS COMPOUNDED BY THE FACT THAT AT THE LAST SCHEDULED HEARING IN THE RICHLAND COUNTY S.C. COURT OF COMMON PLEAS NOVEMBER 2020, THE PARTIES FAILED TO APPEAR TO PLEAD OR CHALLENGE AS THEY WERE ORDERED TO DO BY THE COURT FURTHER ESTABLISHING THE DEFAULT AND FORFEITURE SUPPORTED BY U.S. SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER FORTBEND COUNTY, TEXAS v. DAVIS, 139 S.Ct. 1843(U.S.2019). THIS PRODUCED SEVERAL JURISDICTIONAL RESULTS:

(5) THE DEFAULT NOW LEGALLY MADE THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD, ALSO DUE TO THE OTHER [193] MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS BEING PARTY TO THE DEFAULT, **THE FIDUCIARY HEIR OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN** ESTABLISHED BY RELIGIOUS PROPHECY OF CHRISTIANITY, JUDAISM AND ISLAM, A MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION

WITH LEGAL RIGHTS, TITLES, PRIVILEGES, IMMUNITIES AND STANDING NOW PROTECTED BY THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, STATE AND FEDERAL PROBATE LAW, THE LAW OF TRUSTS, THE LAW OF CONTRACTS AND THE F.S.I.A. OF 28 U.S.C. § 1602-1612 ET. SEQ., BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH WRITTEN WITHIN THE [3] HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH), LEGAL BINDING "CONTRACTS", "COVENANTS", ESTABLISHED BY GOD, HIS HOLY PROPHETS, HIS APPOINTED KINGS, KHALIFAHS AND HIGH PRIEST, MEMBERS OF THE SOLE CORPORATION WHERE THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD DENOUNCED HIS AMERICAN CITIZENSHIP ON BOTH THE STATE AND FEDERAL COURT RECORD ADOPTING THE ISRAELI CITIZENSHIP OF HIS FOREFATHER(S) KINGS DAVID AND SOLOMON ALSO INVOKING THE ISRAELI LAW OF RETURN TO ASSERT THE NOW LEGAL RIGHT TO SIT UPON THE THRONE OF ISRAEL AS IS WRITTEN IN THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" IN THE BOOK OF ZECHARIAH 6:12-13; JEREMIAH 23:5; 33:15-21 AUTHORIZED KING JAMES BIBLE.

(6) IT ESTABLISHED LEGAL ISSUES RELATED TO CONVICTION THAT ARE JURISDICTIONAL IN NATURE AND CANNOT BE WAIVED OR FORFEITED BY THE PETITIONER(S) THAT THEY FAILED TO ADDRESS IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, THAT ARE NOT JUST FILED IN THE PETITIONER(S) PCR CONVICTION AND FALSE IMPRISONMENT TORT CASE, BUT ALSO WITHIN NUMEROUS OTHER INMATES CASES AT THE STATE LEVEL, INCLUDING THOSE OTHER INMATES LISTED, THAT ESTABLISH RIGHTS OF NON PARTY RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ALLOWING THEIR TRANSFER AS TAG ALONG CASES, ALSO ARGUED IN THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY UNDER CASE 1:18-cv-13459-NLH IN THE NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT ESTABLISHING MULTI-DISTRICT LITIGATION, FOR WHICH THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA IS BEING SOUGHT DISQUALIFIED AND FOR WHICH THEY DEFAULTED ON BASED UPON THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON SUPPORTED BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER FORTBEND COUNTY, TEXAS v. DAVIS, SEEKING 28 U.S.C. § 1407, 1602-1612 TRANSFER REGARDING THESE TAG ALONG CASES. THESE FACTS, ALONG WITH CHALLENGES TO THE 1996 CLINTON BILL DUE TO IT DISPROPORTIONATELY TARGETING AFRICAN AMERICANS TO THEIR DETRIMENT, WOULD AUTOMATICALLY FORCE THE NATION TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF NATIONAL

PRISON REFORM WHICH WOULD FULFILL JEWISH AND CHRISTIAN PROPHECY WRITTEN IN THE BOOK OF ISAIAH 61:1-3 WHICH STATE AS A SIGN FROM GOD THAT THIS MAN IS WHO HE CLAIMS TO BE. HE WOULD CAUSE THE PRISON DOORS TO OPEN AND PROCLAIM A DAY OF LIBERTY FOR THE CAPTIVES.

(7) BY THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM THE STATE CASES PREVIOUSLY REFERRED TO, THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND [193] MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS HAVE DEFAULTED ON, THE PAYING OF REPARATIONS FOR THE ATROCITIES COMMITTED PURSUANT TO THE TRANS-ATLANTIC AND EUROPEAN SLAVE TRADES VIA THE CAPTIVITY OF AFRICAN SLAVES IS ALSO NOW LEGALLY ESTABLISHED INCLUDING THE MAINTAINING OF AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN ITS ORIGINAL FORM AS IT WAS ESTABLISHED DURING THE TIME OF MARTIN LUTHER KING JR., FULFILLING MARK 9:12 AND ISLAMIC PROPHECY STATING THAT THIS MAN WOULD RESTORE ALL THINGS AND FILL THE EARTH WITH JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS THE SAME WAY IT WAS FILLED WITH TYRANNY AND OPPRESSION, WHICH IS WHY THE CONSPIRING FEDERAL ACTORS BLOCKED HIM FROM THE BOSTON DISTRICT COURT FAILING TO RULE ON THE TIMELY MOTION TO INTERVENE IN ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION AND FRAUD UPON THE COURT.

(8) BY THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM THE STATE CASE(S) REFERRED TO, THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT HAS DEFAULTED ON THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY BEING THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN, WHICH WAS GIVEN TO THE GLOBAL NATIONS AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS BEING THAT IT CAN ONLY BE GIVEN TO HETEROSEXUAL COUPLES DUE TO THE "GRANT'S EXPLICIT TERMS AND CONDITIONS THAT THE ONE IN THE PUBLIC ARENA AND OR SOCIETY THAT PARTAKES IN AND OR MAKES USE OF THIS "GRANT" ESTABLISHED SINCE THE TIME OF ADAM, REAFFIRMED THROUGH THE PROPHET ABRAHAM, WHERE GOD PROMISED TO MAKE ABRAHAM THE "FATHER OF MANY NATIONS", THUS, THE CHRISTIAN, JEWISH AND MUSLIM WORLD; THE ABILITY TO PROCREATE BY NATURAL CONCEPTION BETWEEN THE TWO PARTIES PARTAKING IN AND OR MAKING USE OF THE "GRANT" WAS REQUISITE, UNLESS THERE WAS SOME MEDICAL IMPEDIMENT BETWEEN THE

HETEROSEXUAL COUPLE THAT WAS BEYOND THEIR CONTROL, WHERE GOD EXPLICITLY SAID, "MAN AND WOMAN CREATED HE THEM", NOT MAN AND MAN, OR WOMAN AND WOMAN, AND THAT SUCH INTIMATE SAME SEX RELATIONSHIPS ARE AN ABOMINATION, HIGHLIGHTING THIS ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENT AND TERMS OF THE "GRANT". BY THE GLOBAL NATIONS EXECUTING AND OR ARRESTING AND OR ATTACHING THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION IN VIOLATION OF THE TERMS OF THE "GRANT" GIVEN TO THE GLOBAL NATIONS. AS FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN AND MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION VIA THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD'S ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH BEFORE THIS NATION WAS FORMED ESTABLISHED BY "CONTRACT", "COVENANT". THIS GIVES THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD AND EVERY CHRISTIAN, MUSLIN AND JEWISH PERSON STANDING TO CHALLENGE THIS INJUSTICE AS FIDUCIARY HEIR AND BENEFICIARIES OF THE TRUST GIVEN TO US BY THE ONE TRUE GOD PRODUCING CLEAR OBLIGATION TO PROTECT AND PRESERVE THE TERMS OF THE "GRANT" GIVEN TO THE GLOBAL NATION FOR THE SAKE OF "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS".

ALL THE FOREMENTIONED GIVES CREDENCE, OBJECTIVE VERITY, AND SERVE TO SUBSTANTIATE THE PETITIONER(S) CLAIMS AND ESTABLISH MOTIVE AS TO WHY POWERFUL FEDERAL ACTORS OF OUR GOVERNMENT WOULD GO OUT THERE WAY NOT JUST TO SPOLIATE, DESTROY, CORRUPT NOT JUST EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, BUT ALSO THE INITIAL PLEADING AND FILINGS THAT PRODUCED MANIFEST INJUSTICE AND EXTREME PREJUDICE TO THE PETITIONER(S) CREATING THE SEQUENCE OF EVENTS THAT PUSHED THE PETITIONER(S) PAST THE PRESCRIBED DEADLINES FOR FILING THE PETITION(S) SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI FOR CASES 70-7073 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND CASE(S) 2020-001615, 2020-00974 OUT OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT IN THEIR ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND VIOLATIONS OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE WARRANTING THE STAYING OF CASE 21-6275 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT TO ALLOW THE PETITIONER(S) TO PLACE FORTH THE EVIDENCE AND LEGAL DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF ALL CLAIMS MADE AND TO SEEK THE DISQUALIFICATION OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ALTOGETHER AND 28 U.S.C. § 1407 AND IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE TRANSFER. WE SEEK

APPLICATION TO STAY CASE 21-6275.

THE PETITIONER(S) GIVE THE HONORABLE JUDGE ALITO AND U.S. SUPREME COURT JUDICIAL NOTICE, THAT THE PETITIONER(S) INTEND TO SEEK LEAVE TO FILE PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI OUT OF TIME AND OR BEYOND THE TIME LIMIT REGARDING CASE 70-7073 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND FOR CASES 2020-001615, 2020-00974 OUT OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT OR S.C. COURT OF APPEALS DUE TO THE DEFENDANTS CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY TO COMPROMISE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IN ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE TO THWART THE JUSTICES REVIEW AND TO CAUSE DELAY PUSHING THE SOUGHT FILED PETITION(S) IN FRAUD PAST THE PRESCRIBED TIME LINES GIVEN BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT TO FILE THOSE PETITIONS WHICH ARE DIRECTLY RELATED TO CASES 21-6275 AND 21-1330. THAT SUBSEQUENT MOTION IS SUBMITTED WITH THIS MOTION TO STAY CASE 21-6275 IN A SEPARATE AND INDEPENDENT DOCUMENT EVIDENT BY THAT DOCUMENT'S CAPTION. THE PETITIONER(S) WILL NEED THE SUBSEQUENT ATTACHED MOTION GRANTED ALSO BECAUSE DUE TO THE ATTACK UPON THESE CASES BY RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED WHERE THE STATE ACTORS SIMILARLY IN THE CASE OF OBERGEFELL v. HODGES, 576 U.S. 644, 135 S.Ct. 2584(U.S.2015) WHERE THAT CLERK BECAME ATTACKED FOR HER RELIGIOUS OBSERVANCE AND BELIEF RELATED TO SAME SEX MARRIAGE, A SIMILAR AND ALMOST IDENTICAL IMPETUS EXIST IN THESE CASES BASED UPON THE POSITION TAKEN AGAINST SAME SEX MARRIAGE WHERE THEY RETALIATED AGAINST US AND SOUGHT TO MAINTAIN THE UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONVICTIONS RELATED TO SUCH RELIGIOUS POSITION AND BELIEFS AMONG OTHER THINGS, GIVING MOTIVE AS TO WHY THESE OFFICIALS SOUGHT TO COMPROMISE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT ITSELF AS A SLAP IN THE FACE OF BOTH THE PETITIONER(S) AND HONORABLE JUSTICES OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. A PURELY ECCLESIASTICAL MATTER IS TO BE DETERMINED BY CHURCH TRIBUNAL ALONE, WHEREAS MATTERS OF PROPERTY RIGHTS (ei. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REGARDING SAME SEX MARRIAGE), ARE TO BE DETERMINED BY THE CIVIL COURTS ALONE, HATCHER v. SOUTH CAROLINA COUNCIL OF ASSEMBLIES OF GOD INC., 267 S.C. 107, 226 S.E.2d. 253(S.C.1976).

WHEN THE WORLD WITHDREW FROM DIRECT CONTROL OF CHURCH ESTABLISHING SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE, TITLE TO THE FIDUCIARY HEIR AND GLOBAL BELIEVERS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND THE PARAMETERS ON WHICH IT IS TO BE PARTAKEN AND OR USED REMAINS WITH THE SOLE CORPORATION AND DOES NOT GO TO GENERAL PUBLIC ABSENT THE TERMS ESTABLISHED BY THE "GRANT". SEE EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE" PAGES 12 THROUGH 30. ALSO SEE FIRE BAPTIZED HOLINESS CHURCH OF GOD OF AMERICA v. GREATER FULLER TABERNACLE FIRE BAPTIZED HOLINESS CHURCH, 323 S.C. 418, 475 S.E.2d. 767(S.C.App.1996); IN RE: ALL SAINTS EPISCOPAL CHURCH, 2021 WL 6140256 (N.D.Tex.2021).

INSOMUCH, THE MOTION TO FILE CASE(S) 20-7073 (FEDERAL) AND CASE(S) 2020-001615, 2020-00974 (STATE CASES) OUT OF TIME AND OR BEYOND THE TIME LIMIT SHOULD BE GRANTED FOR THE SAME REASONS THE MOTION TO STAY CASE 21-6275 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT IS JUSTIFIED, THAT BEING, (A) THERE IS A "REASONABLE PROBABILITY" THE [4] JUSTICES WILL GRANT CERTIORARI, OR AGREE TO REVIEW THE MERITS OF THE CASE, (B) THERE IS A "FAIR PROSPECT" THAT THE MAJORITY OF THE COURT UPON REVIEW WILL CONCLUDE THAT THE OBSTRUCTION AND DECISIONS BELOW BOTH STATE AND FEDERAL WERE ERRONEOUS, (C) THAT IRREPARABLE HARM WILL RESULT FROM DENIAL OF THE MOTION TO FILE THE PETITION(S) OUT OF TIME AND OR BEYOND THE TIME LIMIT, (D) FINALLY, IN CLOSE CASE THE CIRCUIT JUSTICES MAY FIND IT APPROPRIATE TO BALANCE THE EQUITIES BECAUSE OF THE FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION AND THE INSULT WAS NOT JUST AGAINST THE PETITIONER(S), BUT WAS ALSO AIMED AT THE HONORABLE JUSTICES OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ITSELF, IN CONSPIRING TO IMPEDED THE NORMAL FUNCTIONS OF THE COURT, DEFEAT THE DUE COURSE OF JUSTICE AND USURP THE U.S. SUPREME COURT'S JURISDICTIONAL AUTHORITY VIOLATING THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE. HAD THE PETITIONER(S) NOT FILED APPEAL SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE 3rd. CIRCUIT. THE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION, SPOILIATION, AND DESTRUCTION OF LEGAL PLEADING TO

THWART THE U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICES FROM EVER HEARING THESE CASES BY MS. WALKER AND THE CONSPIRING DEFENDANTS MAY HAVE NEVER BEEN DISCOVERED AND GONE UNCHALLENGED UNTIL IT WAS TOO LATE, CAUSING IRREPARABLE DAMAGE. THE PETITIONERS STILL SEEK TO HAVE MS. WALKER REMOVED FROM HANDLING THESE CASES AND MS. WOODS BE PLACED OVER THE CASES IN QUESTION IF THIS IS AT ALL POSSIBLE. IF WHAT MS. WALKER SAID WAS TRUE, GIVING CLEAR INDICATION BY HER STATEMENTS THAT THE PETITIONER(S) HAD NO REMEDY UNDER RULE 22 APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES, AND WE HAD NOT SOUGHT APPEAL REGARDING CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE 3rd. CIRCUIT WHERE THE HONORABLE JUDGE ALITO AND MS. LAURIE WOODS NOW BEGAN INITIAL REVIEW. THEN WHAT THE HECK ARE THE PETITIONER(S) DOING HAVING CASE NUMBERS ASSIGNED BEFORE THIS COURT PRODUCING CASE NUMBERS 21A383 AND 21A425? APPARENTLY THERE IS REMEDY UNDER RULE 22 WHICH MS. WALKER INITIALLY LIED AND MISINFORMED THE PETITIONER(S) ABOUT. NEVERTHELESS, STILL THE MATTER ADDRESSING THE CORRUPTING AND DESTRUCTION OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT CASE HAS NOT BEEN REMEDIED, ACKNOWLEDGED NOR A CASE NUMBER ASSIGNED FOR THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT PETITION(S) REQUIRING THAT THE PETITIONER(S) FOLLOW UP WITH THIS DOCUMENT AND PLEADING. EMILY WALKER IS NOW ATTACHED TO CASE 21A425. WHY DID SHE INITIALLY LIE TO THE PETITIONER(S) GIVING INDICATION THAT WE COULD NOT SEEK APPLICATION UNDER RULE 22 WHICH GOES TO SUPPORTING THE PETITIONER(S) CLAIMS THAT THE DEFENDANTS INVOLVED IN THIS CASE HAS SOUGHT TO COMPROMISE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT ITSELF VIA ITS EMPLOYEES. WHY WAS THE INITIAL FILING AND PLEADING CORRUPTED, SPOILIATED, DESTROYED CAUSING THE MANIFEST INJUSTICE CRIMINALLY PUSHING THE PETITIONER(S) PAST THE TIME TO NORMALLY FILE? CIVIL COURTS DO HAVE JURISDICTION AS TO CIVIL, CONTRACT, TRUSTS, AND PROPERTY RIGHTS WHICH ARE INVOLVED IN A CHURCH CONTROVERSY, EVEN THOUGH THEY HAVE NO JURISDICTION OF ECCLESIASTICAL QUESTIONS AND CONTROVERSIES, JENKINS v. REFUGE TEMPLE CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST, INC., 424 S.C. 320, 818 S.E.2d. 13 (S.C.App.2018).

DISPOSITION OF ECCLESIASTICAL, REAL, PERSONAL, AND

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY FOLLOWING THE WORLD'S DISASSOCIATION FROM THE CHURCH ESTABLISHING CHURCH AND STATE POLICIES, IS A QUESTION OF CHURCH GOVERNANCE THAT WAS NOT PERMITTED TO BE RESOLVED BY THE COURTS REGARDING THE "GRANT" RELATED TO MARRIAGE ALLOWING THE SUPREME COURT TO GRANT THIS RIGHT TO SAME SEX COUPLES REQUIRING THAT THE HONORABLE U.S. SUPREME COURT'S ACTIONS BE REVISITED, PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN THE DIOCESE OF SOUTH CAROLINA v. EPISCOPAL CHURCH, 421 S.C. 211, 806 S.E.2d. 82(S.C.2018); SERBIAN EASTERN ORTHODOX DIOCESE OF U.S. OF AMERICA AND CANADA v. MILIVOJEVICH, 426 U.S. 696, 96 S.Ct. 2372(U.S.1976).

THE ISSUE HERE IS WHETHER THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN QUESTION, DEVOTED BY THE EXPRESSED TERMS OF THE "GIFT", "GRANT", OR SALE BY WHICH IT WAS ACQUIRED, TO THE SUPPORT OF ANY SPECIFIC RELIGIOUS DOCTRINE OR BELIEF, OR WAS IT ACQUIRED FOR THE GENERAL USE OF THE SOCIETY FOR RELIGIOUS PURPOSES, WITH NO OTHER LIMITATIONS. IN THIS CASE, THE LIMITATION WAS THAT IT BE GIVEN OR PARTAKEN BY HETEROSEXUAL COUPLES ONLY DUE TO ONE OF ITS MANDATES BEING PROCREATION BY NATURAL CONCEPTION AS DETERMINED BY THE ONE TRUE GOD AND THE SOLE CORPORATION, WATSON v. JONES, 80 U.S. 679, 1871 WL 14848, 20 L.Ed. 666, 13 WALL 679, U.S. 1871; PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH IN U.S. v. MARY ELIZABETH BLUE HULL MEMORIAL PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH, 393 U.S. 440, 89 S.Ct. 601, 21 L.Ed.2d. 658(U.S.1969); IN RE: ZION WESTERN EPISCOPAL DISTRICT, 629 B.R. 69 (E.D.Cal.2021); BRUNDAGE v. DEARDORF, 92 F. 214 (6th.Cir.1899); IN RE: ROMAN CATHOLIC ARCHBISHOP OF PORTLAND OREGON, 335 B.R. 842 (D.OREGON.2005).

BY HISTORY AND TRADITION, BUT NOT BY "GRANT", "COVENAT", THE DEFINITION AND REGULATION OF MARRIAGE HAS BEEN TREATED AS BEING WITHIN THE AUTHORITY AND REALM OF THE SEPARATE STATES. STATE POWER AND AUTHORITY OVER MARRIAGE CANNOT BE PERMITTED TO DIVEST AN ESTATE OF ITS INHERITANCE AND PROPERTY RIGHTS PROTECTED UNDER STATE AND FEDERAL PROBATE LAW AND THE 1st. AMENDMENT ESTABLISHMENT AND FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE, AS WELL AS ARTICLE 1 § 10

OF THE U.S. CONST.. THEREBY IT CANNOT BE MEASURED IN ABSENCE OF DETERMINATION OF THE CONDITIONS OF THE "GRANT" PLACED AND ESTABLISHED WITH CLEAR RESTRICTIONS AND LIMITATIONS AS DEFINED BY THE SOLE CORPORATION. THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF A FOREIGN SOVEREIGN STATE MUST BE PROTECTED FROM ENCROACHMENT IN A MANNER THAT VIOLATES THE TERMS OF THE "GRANT" GIVING THE PETITIONER(S) STANDING TO ADDRESS THE MATTERS AS THE FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, 28 U.S.C. § 2679, 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.; ALLEN v. COOPER, 140 S.Ct. 994, 2020 WL 1325815 (U.S.2020); GEORGIA v. PUBLIC RESOURCE ORG., INC., 140 S.Ct. 1498, 206 L.Ed.2d. 732(U.S.2020); ZIVOTOFSKY EX REL ZIVOTOFSKY v. KERRY, 576 U.S. 1, 135 S.Ct. 2076, 192 L.Ed.2d. 83 (U.S.2015). IN THIS CASE RELIGIOUS PROTECTIONS OF THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE AND ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE ATTACH AS WELL WHERE BY GIVING THE RIGHT TO LEGALLY MARRY TO GAYS AND LESBIANS YOU HAVE ESTABLISHED A RELIGIOUS RIGHT VIOLATING THE ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE, SLAUGHTER-HOUSE CASES, 83 U.S. 36, 1872 WL 15386, 21 L.Ed. 394, 16 WALL 36; MASTERPIECE CAKESHOP, LTD. v. COLORADO CIVIL RIGHTS COMM'N, 138 S.Ct. 1719(U.S.2018); FULTON v. CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA, 141 S.Ct. 1868, 210 L.Ed.2d. 137(U.S.2021). RELIGIOUS AND PHILOSOPHICAL OBJECTIONS TO GAY MARRIAGE ARE PROTECTED VIEWS AND IN SOME INSTANCES PROTECTED FORMS OF EXPRESSION UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT, WHERE SUCH RIGHTS ATTACH TO THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN, EVEN ESTABLISHING VIOLATIONS OF THE HOBBS ACT EFFECTING INTERSTATE COMMERCE AND FALLS WITHIN THE COMMERCIAL EXCEPTION OF THE F.S.I.A. WHERE PEOPLE MAKE MONEY FROM THIS EVIL ENTERPRISE THAT STANDS IN BLATANT DEFIANCE TO THE TERMS OF THE "GRANT" GIVEN TO YOUR GLOBAL NATIONS VIA ABRAHAM. SEE EXHIBIT, "TRUSTEE" ATTACHED PAGES 12 THROUGH 30, NEW HOPE FAMILY SERVICE INC. v. POOLE, 966 F3d. 145, 161+ 2nd.Cir.(N.Y.); TELESCOPE MEDIA GROUP v. LUCERO, 936 F3d. 740, 751+ 8th.Cir.(MINN.); CHELSEY NELSON PHOTOGRAPHY LLC. v. LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO GOVERNMENT, 479 F.Supp.3d. 543 (W.D.Ky.2020). CHALLENGE TO WINDSOR IS ESTABLISHED, UNITED STATES v. WINDSOR, 570 U.S. 744,

THE CONSTITUTION REQUIRES THAT NO MAN SHALL BE DEPRIVED OF LIFE LIBERTY OR PROPERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW. UNLESS THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT CAN DEMONSTRATE THAT THEY TIMELY FILED TO DEFEAT THE UNCONTESTED AFFIDAVITS OF DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION, BEING JURISDICTIONAL IN NATURE WHICH CANNOT BE WAIVED OR FORFEITED, REQUIRING THAT THEY RESPOND BEFORE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT CAN RULE ON THIS ISSUE? THE RIGHT TO MARRY IS NOW LEGALLY A "GRANT" GIVEN TO THE GLOBAL NATIONS BY THE SOLE CORPORATION WITH RESTRICTIONS. SINCE THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD WAS NOT PARTY TO THE WINDSOR RULING IT DOES NOT BIND AT THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN, AND THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IS IN VIOLATION OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT AND 28 U.S.C. § 2679. WE SEEK THAT THE COURT ORDER THAT THE PETITIONER(S) BE BROUGHT BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. WE ARE NO THREAT FOR THE SAKE OF "JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS". THE SOLE CORPORATION HAS BEEN UNJUSTLY DEPRIVED OF DUE PROCESS LAW REQUIRING THAT THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT REVISIT BOTH THE WINDSOR AND OBERGEFELL RULING TO DETERMINE THE JURISDICTIONAL FACT(S) THAT IF THE RIGHT TO MARRY IS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION BEING GIVEN TO THE GLOBAL NATIONS, SOCIETY, AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS. WOULD THIS MATERIAL UNDETERMINED FACT REQUIRED THAT THE HONORABLE U.S. SUPREME COURT'S RULING IN THESE CASES BE ALTERED AND OR AMENDED SINCE THE DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS REGARDING THE SOLE CORPORATION, ALSO WOULD BE A VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE, THE ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE AND FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE AS THE COURT DETERMINED SUCH EQUAL PROTECTION VIOLATION EXISTED IN THE CASE REGARDS TO GAYS AND LESBIANS WHERE HERE, IT EVEN PRODUCES A VIOLATION OF THE HOBBS ACT EFFECTING INTERSTATE COMMERCE FORCING BUSINESS TO CATER TO THESE INDIVIDUALS?, CLEVELAND BD. OF EDUC. v. LOUDERMILL, 470 U.S. 532, 105 S.Ct. 1407(U.S.1985); OBERGEFELL v. HODGES, 576 U.S. 644, 135 S.Ct. 2584(U.S.2015). NO STATE SHALL MAKE OR

ENFORCE ANY LAWS WHICH SHALL ABRIDGE THE PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES. THIS CITIZEN BEING OF ROYAL BLOOD ANCESTRY TAKEN BY FORCE DURING THE U.S. SLAVE TRADE WHOSE RIGHTS OF DUE PROCESS WERE VIOLATED BEFORE THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD OFFICIALLY DENOUNCED HIS AMERICAN CITIZENSHIP AND IS STILL PROTECTED BY THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT DUE TO THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM THE STATE CASES IN QUESTION BASED UPON THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON SUPPORTED BY U.S. SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER FORTBEND COUNTY, TEXAS v. DAVIS, 139 S.Ct. 1843(U.S.2019). ALSO SEE McDONALD v. CITY OF CHICAGO ILL., 561 U.S. 742, 130 S.Ct. 3020(U.S.2010); KANSAS v. BOETTGER, 140 S.Ct. 1956 (MEM)(U.S.2020); RAMOS v. LOUISIANA, 140 S.Ct. 1390(U.S.2020).

IF SOMEHOW MICHELLE CHILDS IS VOTED TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, THE PETITIONER(S) MOTION FOR HER RECUSAL FROM THESE CASES. SHE IS A DEFENDANT SUBJUDICE FOR THE PART SHE PLAYED IN THIS FIASCO. PROCREATION IS AN ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENT WHICH IS AN ESTABLISHED IMPETUS FOR RESTRICTION TO THOSE WHO DO NOT HAVE THE PROPER NATURALLY GIVEN BIOLOGICAL COMPONENTS TO ALLOW THEM TO ADHERE TO THE TERMS OF THE "GRANT" WHERE SUCH SAME SEX MARRIAGES OR INTIMATE RELATIONSHIPS ARE ADJUDICATED BY THE SOLE EXECUTIVE LAW MAKING FOREIGN SOVEREIGN ENTITY, THE ONE TRUE GOD AND SOLE CORPORATION AS AN ABOMINATION. MARRIAGE SAFEGUARD CHILDREN AND FAMILIES AND DRAWS MEANING FROM THE DIRECTLY RELATED RIGHT AND MANDATE TO PROCREATE BY NATURAL CONCEPTION WHICH SAME SEX COUPLES CANNOT DO IN VIOLATION OF THE TERMS OF THE "GRANT" GIVEN TO YOUR GLOBAL NATIONS, VIOLATED BY AT LEAST (30) COUNTRIES, INCLUDING THE UNITED STATES, WHICH WAS WHY THE UNITED NATIONS WAS PROPERLY SERVED BEING SUBJECT TO THE DEFAULT AS WAS FOR REPARATIONS SOUGHT FOR THE SLAVE TRADE. CAUSE IS ESTABLISHED, OBERGEFELL v. HODGES, 576 U.S. 644, 135 S.Ct. 2584(U.S.2015); HAWKINS v. GRESE, 68 Va. App. 462, 809 S.E.2d. 441 (Va.2018); BEDELL v. PRICE, 70 Va. App. 497, 828 S.E.2d. 263(Va.2019); GALSBY v. GALSBY, 169 IDAHO 308, 495 P.3d. 996 (2021).

THE COURTS MISINTERPRETED THE JURISDICTIONAL FACTS HERE WHICH CANNOT BE WAIVED OR FORFEITED. THE SO-CALLED FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT ARGUED VIA THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, THE RIGHT TO MARRY, IS NOT DEFINED BY WHO EXERCISED THEM IN THE PAST BUT BY THOSE WHO CREATED AND ESTABLISHED THEM AS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GIVEN AS A "GRANT" WITH RESTRICTIONS AND THE TERMS OF THE GRANT MUST BE ADHERED TO. THUS, THE PETITIONER(S) MOTION FOR A STAY ON ~~CASE~~ ^{TRANSFER} CASES 21-6275 OUT OF THE 4th. CIRCUIT AND CASE 9:21-cv-2526-TLW-MHC IN THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT UNTIL THE U.S. SUPREME COURT HAS HAD FULL OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THESE MATTERS ALLOWING THE PETITIONER(S) TO ESTABLISH THE COURT RECORDS AND REQUIRE THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT TO RESPOND, PROPERTIES OF CHARLES RIVER BRIDGE v. PROPERTIES OF WARREN BRIDGE, 36 U.S. 420, 11 PET. 420, 1837 WL 3561(U.S.1837); CADY v. NOLAN, 72 R.I. 496, 53 A.2d. 472(R.I.1947); INGLES v. TRUSTEES OF SAILOR'S SNUG HARBOR, 28 U.S. 99, 3 PET. 99, 1830 WL 3891 (U.S.1830).

RESPECTFULLY,
RON SANTA MCCRAY

Ron Santa McCray

JONAH THE TISHBITE

FEBRUARY 25, 2022

[Handwritten signature]

NO. 21A425

RECEIVED

MAR 24 2022

SC Court of Appeals

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE;
RON SANTA McCARY---PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE LINARES; WARDEN NELSON; S.C.D.C.;
DIRECTOR BRYAN STIRLING; THE S.C.D.C. MUSLIM CHAPLAINS;
MS. FOX ET. AL.,---DEFENDANTS-APPELLEES

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE FOURTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

WE, RON SANTA McCRAY AND LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY ON THIS DATE OF FEBRUARY 26, 2022, AS REQUIRED BY SUPREME COURT RULE 29 WE HAVE SERVED THE ENCLOSED MOTION TO FILE APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES PURSUANT TO RULE 22; MOTION TO AMEND THE APPLICATION UNDER RULE 22 SEEKING STAY ON CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE 3rd. CIRCUIT TO SEEK STAY OF CASE 21-6275 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS DUE TO SEEKING DISQUALIFICATION OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND TRANSFER PURSUANT TO 28

U.S.C. § 1407 AND IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE DUE TO MULTI-DISTRICT SOUGHT LITIGATION ON EACH PARTY TO THE ABOVE PROCEEDING OR THAT PARTY'S COUNSEL, AND ON EVERY OTHER PERSON REQUIRED TO BE SERVED, BY DEPOSITING AN ENVELOPE CONTAINING THE ABOVE DOCUMENTS IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX PROPERLY ADDRESSED TO THEM, BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID. THE NAMES AND ADDRESSES ARE AS FOLLOWS:

(1) THE U.S. SUPREME COURT 1 FIRST STREET N.E.,
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20543.

(2) THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS 1100 EAST MAIN
STREET SUITE 501 RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219.

(3) THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS 21400 U.S.
COURTHOUSE 601 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, P.A. 19106.

(4) THE FIRST CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS J.J.M. U.S.
COURTHOUSE 1 COURTHOUSE WAY BOSTON, MA. 02210.

(5) THE FEDERAL ATTORNEY FOR THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY
AT U.S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE 970 BROAD STREET 7th. FL. NEWARK, N.J.
07102.

(6) THE NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT CAMDEN DIVISION
M.H.C. BUILDING U.S. COURTHOUSE 4TH. & COOPER STREET ROOM 1050
CAMDEN, N.J. 08101.

(7) THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT P.O. BOX 835
CHARLESTON, S.C. 29402.

(8) THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS GENERAL COUNSEL
ATTORNEY IMANI DIANE BYAS S.C.D.C. HEADQUARTERS 4444 BROAD RIVER
ROAD, COLUMBIA, S.C. 29221.

(9) THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS P.O. BOX 11629 COLUMBIA,

S.C. 29211.

(10) THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AND
JUDGE NEWMAN 1701 MAIN STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201.

(11) THE S.C. SUPREME COURT P.O. BOX 11330 COLUMBIA,
S.C. 29211.

(12) ATTORNEY D. SETTANA AT THE MCKAY LAW FIRM 1303
BLANDING STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201.


(13) THE LAW FIRM OF DUBOSE-ROBINSON 935 BRAD STREET
CAMDEN, S.C. 29020.

(14) THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. BOX 11549
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211.


WE DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS
TRUE AND CORRECT.

EXECUTED FEBRUARY 25, 2022.

RESPECTFULLY,
RON SANTA McCRAY



LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD



LAURENCE L CRAWFORD

#300839 FIB Rm 1260

LEE CIE 990 Winckley Hwy

Bishopville SC 29010

RECEIVED
MAR 24 2012
SC Court of Appeals

SC Court of Appeals

P.O. Box 11629

Columbia, SC 29211