

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA **RECEIVED**
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Mar 30 2022

Appeal from Greenville County
Court of Common Pleas

SC Court of Appeals

Alex Kinlaw, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2020-CP-23-01728

Debbie Stroud,
Guardian ad Litem for James C. Stroud,

Respondent,

v.

THI of South Carolina at Greenville, LLC
d/b/a Magnolia Manor-Greenville,
THI of Baltimore, Inc., and
THI of South Carolina, LLC,

Appellants.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

CLEMENT RIVERS, LLP
Stephen L. Brown (SC Bar No. 66468)
D. Jay Davis, Jr. (SC Bar No. 12084)
Matthew O. Riddle (SC Bar No. 76650)
Russell G. Hines (SC Bar No. 72100)
25 Calhoun Street, Suite 400
Charleston, South Carolina 29401
P.O. Box 993 (29402)
(843) 720-5488

Attorneys for Appellants

Other Counsel of Record:

C. Daniel Pruitt (SC Bar No. 66497)
300 Pettigru Street
Greenville, South Carolina 29601
(864) 232-4273

Attorney for Respondent

Defendants, THI of South Carolina at Greenville, LLC d/b/a Magnolia Manor-Greenville (the “Facility”), THI of Baltimore, Inc. (“THIB”), and THI of South Carolina, LLC (“THISC”) (collectively, “Appellants”), hereby appeal the following orders of the Honorable Alex Kinlaw, Jr., Circuit Court Judge:

- **Order [Denying the Facility’s Motion to Stay Action and Compel Arbitration and Denying THIB and THISC’s Motions to Stay Court Proceedings]**, filed August 17, 2021, and
- **Order Denying Defendants’ Motion to Alter, Amend, or Reconsider**, filed March 1, 2022.

Copies of the appealed orders are attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.¹

Respectfully submitted,
CLEMENT RIVERS, LLP

By: s/Russell G. Hines
Stephen L. Brown (SC Bar No. 66468)
D. Jay Davis, Jr. (SC Bar No. 12084)
Matthew O. Riddle (SC Bar No. 76650)
Russell G. Hines (SC Bar No. 72100)
25 Calhoun Street, Suite 400
Charleston, South Carolina 29401
P.O. Box 993 (29402)
(843) 720-5488
Attorneys for Appellants

Charleston, South Carolina

March 30, 2022

¹ Because the service of this Notice of Appeal is within 30 days of entry of the most recent appealed order, the date Appellants received written notice of such entry is not jurisdictionally relevant; however, out of an abundance of caution, Appellants would note that no NEF appears to have been generated for the order and that instead, as stated thereon, the clerk’s office mailed out a copy of the order to counsel on March 1, 2022.