

NO. _____

RECEIVED

APR 06 2022

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES **SC Court of Appeals**

ARTHUR McQUILLA #152425---PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE UNITED STATES; THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA;
THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL ET. AL.,

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT ET. AL.,

APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES PURSUANT TO RULE 22;
MOTION TO STAY CASE 2021-001422 PENDING SEEKING LEAVE
TO FILE PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI

IN RE: SEEKING A STAY OF CASE 2021-001422 PURSUANT TO APPLICATION
TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES UNDER RULE 22.

TO: THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT,
THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT,
REDMOND K. BARNES CASE ANALYST,

THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL ET. AL.,

FOR THE RECORD. THE DOCUMENTS ATTACHED ARE SUBMITTED FOR NO OTHER PURPOSE BUT SOLELY IN SUPPORT OF SEEKING TO STAY CASE 2021-001422 OUT OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT DUE TO MULTI-DISTRICT SOUGHT LITIGATION. THUS, THERE SUBMISSION WOULD NOT BE INAPPROPRIATE OR A VIOLATION OF RULES WHERE THEY ARE SUBMITTED TO ESTABLISH THE JURISDICTIONAL FACTS TOWARDS THIS END. PER THE LETTER SENT TO THE PETITIONER BY REDMOND K. BARNES OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT DATED JANUARY 25, 2022. THE PETITIONER HAS (90) DAYS FROM THE TIME OF THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT TO BRING THIS MATTER MAKING THIS FILING TIMELY. HERE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT DATED JANUARY 26, 2022 DEMONSTRATING TIMELY FILING.

(2) A COPY OF THE LETTER SENT TO JUDGE ROBERTS RELATED TO CASE 21A425 AND RELATED MATTERS PRESENTLY BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT, [5] PAGES DATED MARCH 17, 2022.

(3) EXHIBIT, "2020-001615/2020-00974 PETITION". THIS IS A COPY OF THE PETITION SEEKING LEAVE FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI THAT IS SOUGHT TO BE FILED OUT OF TIME AND OR BEYOND THE TIME LIMIT PERTAINING TO CASES 2020-001615 AND 2020-00974 OUT OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT THAT ARE DIRECTLY CONNECTED TO CASE 21-1330 AND MY CASE 2021-001422 WHERE THESE CASES ARE SOUGHT TRANSFERRED AS TAG ALONG CASES PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407 AND IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE THAT WAS OBSTRUCTED FILING BY THESE CONSPIRING STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. §§ 1985(2) AND (3) AND THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE AS WELL AS IN VIOLATION OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE IN EFFORTS TO COMPROMISE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ITSELF IN BLATANT DEFIANCE OF

"JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS" AND DUE PROCESS LAW.

(4) EXHIBIT, "CASE NO. 2020-001615", [28] PAGES DATED MAY 6, 2021 FILED WITHIN OVER (20) PETITIONER(S)/INMATES CASES INCLUDING MINE SUBJUDICE WITHIN THE STATE COURTS OF SOUTH CAROLINA.

(5) EXHIBIT, "DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION", [22] PAGES DATED MARCH 20, 2021 FILED WITHIN OVER (20) PETITIONER(S)/INMATES CASES INCLUDING MINE SUBJUDICE IN THE STATE COURTS OF SOUTH CAROLINA. THESE TWO DOCUMENTS ELABORATE ON THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON WITHIN THESE MULTI-DISTRICT SOUGHT LITIGATION CASES THAT ESTABLISH THE DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION THAT ATTACHES TO THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT WHO ARE PARTY IN THE CRAWFORD CASES FOR WHICH I SEEK TO EXERCISE ALL DUE PROCESS RIGHTS PERTAINING TO NON PARTY RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL VIA ISSUE PRECLUSION WHERE THE LEGAL ISSUES ARGUED WITHIN ALL THESE CASES ARE ESSENTIALLY IDENTICAL TO THE LEGAL ISSUES ARGUED WITHIN MY CASES AS IS FURTHER DEMONSTRATED BY CASE 2022-CP-43-00157 FILED UNDER THE INDEPENDENT ACTION RULE FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT STILL PENDING WITHIN THE STATE COURT(S).

(6) A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "MOTION TO FILE APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES PURSUANT TO RULE 22; MOTION TO AMEND THE APPLICATION UNDER RULE 22 SEEKING TO STAY CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE 3rd. CIRCUIT TO SEEK LEAVE TO FILE PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI OUT OF TIME AND OR BEYOND THE TIME LIMIT FOR BOTH CASE(S) 20-7073 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND CASE(S) 2020-001615 AND 2020-00974 OUT OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT DUE TO OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, MULTI-DISTRICT LITIGATION AND THE SEEKING OF 28 U.S.C. § 1407 DISQUALIFICATION AND TRANSFER", [22] PAGES DATED FEBRUARY 25, 2022.

(7) A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "MOTION TO FILE

APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES PURSUANT TO RULE 22; MOTION TO AMEND THE APPLICATION UNDER RULE 22 SEEKING STAY OF CASE 21-1330 OUT OF THE 3rd. CIRCUIT TO SEEK TO STAY CASE 21-6275 OUT OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND TRANSFER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407 AND IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE DUE TO MULTI-DISTRICT SOUGHT LITIGATION", [22] PAGES DATED FEBRUARY 25, 2022. THE REMAINDER OF THE EXHIBITS SUBMITTED ARE THOSE LISTED AND MENTIONED WITHIN THESE TWO DOCUMENTS. THESE TWO DISTINCT AND SEPARATE DOCUMENTS, ITS EXHIBITS, AND THE OTHERS AFOREMENTIONED ARE NOW FILED TO DOCUMENT THE OBSTRUCTION ENGAGED IN BY THE DEFENDANTS INVOLVED AND IN SUPPORT OF SEEKING THAT THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT AND OR THE APPLICABLE JUSTICE STAY CASE 2021-001422 OUT OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT PENDING THE SEEKING OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI.

THERE IS NO NEED TO BE REDUNDANT. THE SAME ISSUES, DEFENSES AND CLAIMS ARGUED WITHIN THESE EXHIBITS THAT ARE IN THE PROCESS OF BEING FILED BY THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD AND McCRAY ARE IDENTICAL TO THOSE ARGUED IN MY CASE THUS PRESENTED FOR THE SAKE OF SEEKING THAT THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT RECALL THE REMITTITUR IN CASE 2021-001422 AND STAY THIS CASE UNTIL THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS HAD FULL OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THESE CASES IN THEIR TOTALITY. THE PETITIONER(S) ARTHUR McQUILLIA IS ONE OF THE INMATES CRAWFORD AND McCRAY REFERRED TO PURSUANT TO SEEKING THAT THESE CASES BE TRANSFERRED PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407 AS TAG ALONG CASES. THEREFORE, IT WOULD NOT BE INAPPROPRIATE FOR THE PETITIONER(S) McQUILLA TO BE ALLOWED TO SUBMIT THESE EXHIBITS SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF SEEKING THAT THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT RECALL THE REMITTITUR AND STAY CASE 2021-001422 UNTIL THE U.S. SUPREME COURT MADE FULL REVIEW OF THESE MATTERS AND TO DEMONSTRATE BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WHY THE PETITIONER COULD NOT GET THE MOTION TO STAY FROM ANY OTHER COURT DUE TO THE EGREGIOUS ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE OCCURRING AT ALL LEVELS, BOTH STATE AND FEDERAL, AS THEY RELATE TO THESE CASES AND THE EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES THAT

EXIST RELATED THERETO.

THE CASE(S) BY WHAT IS ARGUED INVOLVE POWERFULLY CONNECTED GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS VIOLATING THEIR OATHS OF OFFICE AND VIOLATING THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE AS WELL AS VIOLATING THE PROVISIONS OF 28 U.S.C. § 1602-1612 ET. SEQ. AS ARGUED WITHIN THE ATTACHMENTS, WHERE I AM ONE OF THE BENEFICIARIES OF THE TRUST BEING AFRICAN AMERICAN AND OF THE CHRISTIAN FAITH AND VIA THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON SUPPORTED BY FORTBEND COUNTY, TEXAS v. DAVIS, 139 S.Ct. 1843 (U.S.2019) AND THE DEFAULT INVOLVING THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT EMERGING FROM CASES 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 3569; 2013-CP-400-0084 THAT IS JURISDICTIONAL IN NATURE, CAN BE RAISED AT ANYTIME AND CANNOT BE FORFEITED OR WAIVED BY THE PETITIONER(S) FOR WHICH I AM INVOKING ALL RIGHTS OF RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL AS IT PERTAINS TO ISSUE PRECLUSION THAT DIRECTLY ATTACH TO MY CASE UNDER CASE 2021-001422 AND CASE 2022-CP-42-00157 WHERE THE LEGAL ISSUES BEING ARGUED ARE ESSENTIALLY IDENTICAL WITHIN ALL CASES INVOLVED. IT IS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST TO ALLOW MS. EMILY WALKER TO REMAIN HANDLING THE CRAWFORD AND McCRAY CASE WHEN SHE IS ONE OF THE PEOPLE POTENTIALLY INVOLVED IN EFFORTS TO COMPROMISE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ITSELF, WHICH HAVE A DIRECT IMPACT ON MY FILING ACTION BEFORE THIS COURT AS WELL, WHERE OUR LEGAL ISSUES ARE ESSENTIALLY IDENTICAL SEEKING 28 U.S.C. § 1407 TRANSFER COLLECTIVELY AND WE ARE FIDUCIARY AND BENEFICIARIES OF THE TRUST THAT IS ARGUED WHICH BEAR A NEXUS TO OUR EFFORTS AND ABILITY TO ARGUE THE CONVICTIONS THEMSELVES SUPPORTED BY "CONTRACT", "COVENANT". ANY LAW OR SUPREME COURT PROCESS WHICH IN ITS OPERATION AMOUNTS TO A DENIAL OR OBSTRUCTION OF RIGHTS ACCRUING BY CONTRACT, THOUGH PROFESSING TO ACT ONLY ON THE REMEDY, IS VIOLATIVE OF CONSTITUTIONAL INHIBITIONS AGAINST LEGISLATIVE AND OR JUDICIAL IMPAIRING RIGHTS OF CONTRACT, SVEEN v. MELIN, 138 S.Ct. 1815, 201 L.Ed.2d. 180, 86 U.S.L.W. 4392(U.S.2018).

THE FIFTH AMENDMENT'S TAKING CLAUSE PREVENTS LEGISLATIVE AND OTHER GOVERNMENT ACTORS (ei.MS. WALKER AND HER CONSPIRING COHORTS), FROM DEPRIVING PRIVATE PERSONS OF VESTED PROPERTY RIGHTS (e||.THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ARGUED IN THESE CASES), EXCEPT FOR PUBLIC USE AND UPON PAYMENT OF JUST COMPENSATION WHICH DID NOT OCCUR HERE AS IT RELATES TO THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, A "GRANT" WHICH HAVE RESTRICTIONS. THE CONTRACT CLAUSE APPLIES TO EVERY KIND OF CONTRACT WHERE WE ARE FIDUCIARY AND BENEFICIARIES OF THE TRUST POSSESSING LEGAL RIGHT TO CHALLENGE RELATED TO THE "GRANT" AND "COVENANT" ARGUED, DAVIS v. CANTRELL, 2018 WL 6169255, * 5+ E.D.La.; BUILDING AND REALTY INSTITUTION OF WESTCHESTER AND PUTNAM COUNTIES, 2021 WL 4198332, * 33 S.D.N.Y.; BANK MARKAZI v. PETERSON, 578 U.S. 212, 136 S.Ct. 1310, 194 L.Ed.2d. 463(U.S.2016); RAFAELI, LLC. v. OAKLAND COUNTY, 952 N.W.2d. 434, 472 Mich. (2020). BY MS. WALKER AND HER CO-CONSPIRATORS TAKING EFFORTS TO COMPROMISE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ITSELF, THE PROCESS BY THE FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION HAS INTERFERED WITH THE PETITIONERS IN THEIR TOTALITY REASONABLE EXPECTATIONS, AND PREVENTS THE PETITIONER(S) FROM SAFEGUARDING OR REINSTATING OUR RIGHTS. THE ISSUE IS NOT A CHALLENGE ON THE RULES OF FILING BUT ON THE PARTIES CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AND OR AUTHORITY SPOLIATING LEGALLY FILED INITIAL PLEADING COMPOUNDED BY THE USE OF THE RULES HOLDING US TO AN OUTRAGEOUS STRINGENT STANDARD WHEN WE ARE PRO SE LITIGANTS USING SUCH STANDARDS AND MACHINATION TO DELAY THESE CASES INITIAL FILING BY SPOLIATING, DESTROYING LEGAL FILINGS WARRANTING SANCTIONS AND THE JUSTICES INTERVENTION. OBLIGATIONS OF A CONTRACT ARE IMPAIRED BY LAWS OR EVEN A PROCESS UTILIZED TO OBSTRUCT AND DELAY OR INVALIDATE OR DIMINISH OR THAT EXTINGUISHES THEM, OR MERELY DELAYS THEM AS THE ACTION OF MS. WALKER AND HER COHORTS DID IN EFFORTS TO COMPROMISE THE SUPREME COURT ITSELF, WHERE SUCH ACTION VIOLATES THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE VIA THE EXTERNAL INFLUENCE EXERTED UPON AGENTS OF THIS COURT, MELLENDEZ v. CITY OF NEW YORK, 16 F. 4TH. 992, 996+, 2nd. Cir.(N.Y.); ASSOCIATION OF EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURERS v. BURGUM, 932 F3d. 727, 730+ 8TH. Cir.

(N.D.)(2019); HOME BLDG. & LOAN ASS'N v. BAISDELL, 290 U.S. 398, 54 S.Ct. 231, 78 L.Ed. 413(U.S.1934); JEVONS v. INSLEZ, --F.Sdpp.3d.--, 2021 WL 4443084(E.D.Wash.2021).

THUS, THE RECALLING OF THE REMITTUR AND STAYING OF CASE 2021-001422 WOULD BE JUSTIFIED BY THE AFOREMENTIONED AS IS ALSO ARGUED WITHIN THE ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED FOR THE PURPOSE OF SEEKING THE STAY, TO INCLUDE THE FACT THAT (A) THERE IS A "REASONABLE PROBABILITY" THAT [4] JUSTICES WILL GRANT CERTIORARI, OR AGREE TO REVIEW THE MERITS OF THIS CASE WHERE THE STATE COURT INAPPROPRIATELY DENIED THE 1407 TRANSFER AND STAY, (B) THERE IS A "FAIR PROSPECT" THAT THE MAJORITY OF THE COURT WILL CONCLUDE UPON REVIEW THAT THE DECISION BELOW WAS ERRONEOUS WHERE THE COURT ACTED TO PREVENT REVIEW PRETENDING LIKE THEY DID NOT KNOW WHAT THE PETITIONER(S) WERE TALKING ABOUT IN FRAUD, CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION TO USURP THE JURISDICTIONAL AUTHORITY OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT, (C) THAT IRREPARABLE HARM WILL RESULT FROM DENIAL OF THE STAY, (D) FINALLY, IN CLOSE CASE THE CIRCUIT JUSTICES MAY FIND IT APPROPRIATE TO BALANCE THE EQUITIES BECAUSE OF THE OBSTRUCTION IN THE ATTACK OF THESE MULTI-DISTRICT SOUGHT CASE POSSESSING POTENTIAL CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION DYNAMICS, BY EXPLORING THE RELATIVE HARM TO THE APPLICANT(S) UNDER CASE 2021-001422 VIA HIS RIGHTS OF NON PARTY RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL, AS WELL AS IN THE INTEREST OF THE RELIGIOUS PUBLIC AT LARGE PROTECTED BY THE 1st. AMENDMENT, THE ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE, THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE, 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) OF ADA, THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE, THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE AND THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. THE PETITIONER(S) MOTIONS THAT THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT RECALL THE REMITTUR IN THIS CASE AND THAT THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT REQUIRE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO STAY CASE 2021-001422 TO ALLOW THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT TO REVIEW ALL OF THESE CASES IN THEIR TOTALITY TO WHICH THE OTHER INMATES INVOLVED CASES ARE IN THE PROCESS OF BEING FILED BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT THAT ARE

COMING OUT OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA. IF THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT" OF THE SOLE CORPORATION RELIED UPON HERE PROTECTED BY THE 1st AND 14TH. AMENDMENT(S) OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION IS MADE FOR THE BENEFIT OF A THIRD PERSON AS IT IS IN THE PETITIONER'S CASE, FURTHER ESTABLISHED BY THE DEFAULT TO WHICH THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IS PARTY TO? THAT PERSON (THE PETITIONER) MAY ENFORCE THE "CONTRACT", "COVENANT", "GRANT", IF THE CONTRACTING PARTIES INTENDED TO CREATE A DIRECT, RATHER THAN INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL, BENEFIT OR DUTY TO SUCH THIRD PARTY AS THE "COVENANT" ESTABLISHED IT VIA ABRAHAM GOD TELLING HIM THAT HE SHALL COMMAND HIS CHILDREN AFTER HIM, ALLOWING THE PETITIONER TO STAY CASE 2020-001422 TO ALLOW THE MATTERS TO BE ADDRESSED, BEVERLY v. GRAND STRAND REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER, LLC.,--S.E.2d.--, 2022 WL 534191(S.C.2022); ARTHUR ANDERSON LLP. v. CARLISLE, 556 U.S. 624, 129 S.Ct. 1896, 173 L.Ed.2d. 832(U.S.2009); ASTRA U.S.A., INC. v. SANTA CLARA COUNTY, CAL., 563 U.S. 110, 131 S.Ct. 1342, 179 L.Ed.2d. 457(U.S.2011); SEATTLE'S UNION GOSPEL MISSION v. WOODS,--S.Ct.--, 2022 WL 827849 (MEM)(U.S.2022). THE PETITIONER PRAYS THAT THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WILL GRANT THIS RELIEF TO INCLUDE ANY AND ALL OTHER RELIEF THE COURT WOULD DEEM JUST, FAIR AND PROPER.

RESPECTFULLY,
ARTHUR McQUILLA



MARCH 23, 2022

NO: _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

RECEIVED

APR 06 2022

SC Court of Appeals

ARTHUR McQUILLA #152425---PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE UNITED STATES; THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA;
THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL ET. AL.,

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT ET. AL.,

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, ARTHUR McQUILLA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY ON THIS DATE OF MARCH 23, 2022, AS REQUIRED BY SUPREME COURT RULE 29, I HAVE SERVED THE ENCLOSED APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUAL JUSTICES PURSUANT TO RULE 22; MOTION TO STAY CASE 2021-001422 PENDING SEEKING LEAVE TO FILE PETITION SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI, ON EACH PARTY TO THE ABOVE PROCEEDINGS OR THAT PARTY'S COUNSEL, AND ON EVERY OTHER PERSON REQUIRED TO BE SERVED, BY DEPOSITING AN ENVELOPE CONTAINING THE ABOVE DOCUMENT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX PROPERLY ADDRESSED TO THEM, BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID. THE NAMES AND ADDRESSES ARE AS FOLLOWS:

(1) THE U.S. SUPREME COURT 1 FIRST STREET N.E.,
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20543.

(2) THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS 1100 EAST MAIN
STREET SUITE 501 RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219.

(3) THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS 21400 U.S.
COURTHOUSE 601 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, P.A. 19106.

(4) THE FIRST CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS J.J.M. U.S.
COURTHOUSE 1 COURTHOUSE WAY BOSTON, MA. 02210.

(5) THE FEDERAL ATTORNEYS FOR THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY
AT U.S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE 970 BROAD STREET 7th. FL. NEWARK, N.J.
07102.

(6) THE NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT CAMDEN DIVISION
M.H.C. BUILDING U.S. COURTHOUSE 4TH. & COOPER STREET ROOM 1050
CAMDEN, N.J. 08101.

(7) THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT P.O. BOX 835
CHARLESTON, S.C. 29402.

(8) THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS GENERAL COUNSEL
ATTORNEY IMANI DIANE BYAS S.C.D.C. HEADQUARTERS 4444 BROAD RIVER
ROAD, COLUMBIA, S.C. 29221.

(9) THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS P.O. BOX 11629 COLUMBIA,
S.C. 29211.

(10) THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AND
JUDGE NEWMAN 1701 MAIN STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201.

(11) THE S.C. SUPREME COURT P.O. BOX 11330 COLUMBIA,

S.C. 29211.

(12) ATTORNEY D. SETTANA AT THE MCKAY LAW FIRM 1303
BANDING STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201.

(13) THE LAW FIRM OF DUBOSE-ROBINSON 935 BROAD STREET
CAMDEN, S.C. 29020.

(14) THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. BOX 11549
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS
TRUE AND CORRECT.

EXECUTED MARCH 23, 2022

RESPECTFULLY,



ARTHUR McQUILLA

Arthur McQuilla


RECEIVED

APR 06 2022

SC Court of Appeals

FOR YOUR RECORDS. U.S. SUPREME COURT RULES REQUIRE SERVICE ON ALL
CASES INVOLVED. FILE RELATED TO CASES 2020-001667 AND 2021-00354.
THANK YOU.

RESPECTFULLY,
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lawrence L. Crawford", is written over the typed name. The signature is stylized with large loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

JMS

LAWRENCE L CRAWFORD

#300839 PAB Rm 1260

LEE CR 990 Wisacky Hwy

Bishopville, SC 29010

RECEIVED

APR 08 2022

SC Court of Appeals

SC COURT OF APPEALS

P.O. Box 11629

Columbia, SC 29211