

RECEIVED

APR 11 2022

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Maurice A. Odom #199677

vs.

State of South Carolina

Appellate Case No. 2021-000950

Motion: For the Courts To Review & Consider the Hearings
of this Case.....

A.) They were a search warrant issued to my property signed by a magistrate and vehicles to conduct a search, there was nothing at all completely found or anything on my property that could link back to a burglary, absolutely nothing. Also it was signed off that nothing was found.

B.) There's absolutely no evidence physically of any sort linking me to a burglary but one individual Christopher Mixon, that says that he did the burglary but I was with him, after at 1st he continuously said that he didn't do it, but after hours of threats and badgering Mr. Mixon, he finally said that he did it, and I was with him, plus he was giving a 3 year plea guilty for him saying I did it with him if a trial was to occur, Mr.

continuance B.) - Mixon even said on record that he doesn't drive and I drove him to the scene, when it was discovered that through D.M.V. records that Mr. Mixon did in fact have a driver license, which added to his other lies. They also re-opened Mr. Mixon charges after there was a disposition in Mr. Mixon case, but when it was asked why did they reopened his case, they replied that it was an error and should have never been reopened but however it stayed open, and we believed it was prosecutorial misconduct performed and abuse of powers in order that they wanted to use a scare tactic that you better do as you said that you would do, by saying Maurice Odom was with him, making him believe that if he didn't say I was involved, he would be faced off with his original charges. So they re-open them but their excuse is it was an error.

c.) There was even a pair of gloves that was found at the scenery and etc... A pair that they believe was used in the burglary and they conducted a DNA diagnostic test on the

continuante C.) Gloves and no D.N.A. of any sort was linked back to me, and in fact the D.N.A. they found was a match to me.


D.) I had no idea that I was even considered going to trial until they brought me to Newberry County Jail from here of the Department of Corrections. Me and my attorney never discussed trial, tactics, preparedness of any sort detailing a trial, me knowing the speaking of trials at the county jail knowing that I wasn't prepared ~~and~~ nor did I and the attorney prepare for it, so knowing all this, that put me in position and forced me plead under the North Carolina vs. Alford plead. And again that put me in position to plead knowing I probably would have taken the trial, but this made my attorney ineffective of counsel along with other reasons like not investigating bringing my phone records to me or I and it should have been in my Rule 5 or motion of Discover. This wasn't discovered until after my trial and after my North-Carolina vs. Alford plead.

E.) IF my phone records would have been put in my Motion of Discovery / Rule 5, it would have helped prove my innocence and by showing that I was no way in the area by the cell phone ~~towers~~^{towers}. Instead the state intentionally withheld evidence knowing this existed, of the phone records that very well been beneficial in my favor. The phone records were signed by circuit court judge the Honorable Eugene Griffith so how is it possible that they didn't know about this? very highly unlikely. This has deprived me of my rights, knowing they withheld evidence from me and I didn't receive until later after trial and pleading guilty to North Carolina vs. Alford plead. This violates many things, of my rights.

F.) As you can see 2 individuals were viewed in the burglary by video wearing masks but no one's identity could confirm whom those 2 men were, no more than one man saying one of them was him after threats and forced to say the other was me with no evidence to support that and is very much hearsay only his words of →

continuance F. J. - Mr. Christopher Mixon whom had a lot to gain by saying Mr. Odom was with him, without any supporting evidence.

Conclusion: I should not be held accountable or responsible for another individual by hearsay or him calling my name, without any physical or supporting evidence. These are facts and cannot be disputed in any form of fashion. I am an innocent man that had his life taken all over another man's word. I'm an innocent man, this is unfair and unjust. I suffered a penalty for something I did not do and evidence of such is pointing towards but off the word of one man. I feel and I'm requesting that my charges be remanded vacated and or dismissed. That's my loyal request to the courts respectfully.

Respectfully Submitted,


MAURICE A. ODOM

Maurice A. Odom #199677
B.R.C.I. MU#259A
4460 Broad River Rd.
Columbia, S.C. 29210



US POSTAGESM PITNEY BOWES



ZIP 29210 \$ 000.53⁰
02 4W
0000378366 APR 08 2022

RECEIVED

APR 11 2022

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Patricia A. Howard
Clerk of Court
P.O. Box 11330
Columbia, S.C. 29211

LEGAL

SCDC

APR 29 RECD

MAIL ROOM

RECEIVED

APR 08 2022

BRCI
MAILROOM

2921181330 BOSS



