

RECEIVED

APR 11 2022 BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT ("S Ct") OF SOUTH CAROLINA ("SC")

S.C. SUPREME COURT
City of Columbia
v.

Plaintiff/Respondent,

Number 2021-000047

From Richland County 2010CP4007063

Marie Assa'ad-Faltas, MD, MPH

Defendant/Appellant.

City of Columbia
v.

Plaintiff/Respondent,

Number 2021-000048

From Richland County 2010CP4008650

Marie Assa'ad-Faltas, MD, MPH

Defendant/Appellant.

City of Columbia
v.

Plaintiff/Respondent,

Number 2021-000049

From Richland County 2011CP4002111

Marie Assa'ad-Faltas, MD, MPH

Defendant/Appellant.

DEFENDANT/APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR: (1) RECUSAL OF MR. SHEAROUSE FROM THESE CASES; (2) PLACEMENT OF THESE CASES ON *PUBLICLY-VISIBLE* C-TRACK; (3) TRANSCRIPT OF THE 21 AUGUST 2017 HEARING IN THESE CASES *before the transcript gets destroyed in 3 months*; and (4) SUMMARY AND SPEEDY RULING IN DEFENDANT/APPELLANT'S FAVOR.

[O]ur system is broken[.]

SC Associate Justice Hearn dissenting in *Moore v. Sterling*, Opinion 28088, slip at 19

No one has the *right* to lie to the court and manipulate the proceeding.

SC Associate Justice Kittredge dissenting in *State v. Samuel*, Opinion 27768, slip at 19

No "*right to lie*" *unless* she is Heather Savitz Weiss whose father contributed to Alan Wilson's campaigns? Before 6 October 2010, Heather Savitz Weiss had lied, or caused lies to be told, to SC Circuit Judge Clifton Newman **373 times according to objective documentation yet failed to convict Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas.**

Weiss "manipulated the proceeding" by "remanding" to the City of Columbia's (*the City*) Municipal Court ("CMC") **which has no jurisdiction over first-degree harassment.** No warrant for second-degree harassment ever issued; and, on 26 February 2010, Weiss has insisted to SC Circuit Judge Newman that second degree is NOT a lesser included offense in first-degree in response to a jury question about a lesser charge.

Judge Newman declared a mistrial shortly before midnight on 26 February 2010. When the two first-degree harassment charges were finally again called for (re)trial on 13 August 2012, then-Columbia Assistant City Attorney ("CACA"), now-Assistant-SC-Attorney-General ("SCAAG"), David Fernandez agreed that CMC never had jurisdiction over any first-degree charge, the penalty for which exceeds 30 days, or over any second-degree charge for lack of warrant *and* lack of waiver of warrant. Then-CMC Judge Lincoln Jenkins, III dismissed both harassment charges **with prejudice** on Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas' *well-supported pro se* motion.

SC Associate Justice Kittredge's mocking Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas' pre-2009 cases as "glorious" (22 March 2022 event in 2021-000815 at Tr. p 115, line 10) and her legal thoughts as "clever and prescient" (*City of Columbia v. Assa'ad-Faltas*, Opinion 27723 at slip p 11), and U.S. Magistrate-Judge L.P. Auld's (MDNC), who *unethically* sat on his paternal-paternal first cousin's case **after lying about the closeness of the cousins' relationship**, insulting Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas as "frivolous and malicious" and getting quoted at *id.* at slip p 3, cannot overrule **Long v. McMillian and Hutto, 226 S.C. 598, 86 S.E.2d 477 (1955): contempt cannot happen before a court lacking jurisdiction**, and, during the 6 October 2010 event in which contempt was first pronounced, CMC had **no criminal jurisdiction over three-year charges and no civil jurisdiction at all under the statute which created said court.** This Court may *wrongly* attribute its 27 September 2017 ORDER to its power to regulate the trial courts; **but surely the lowest trial court in SC's unified judicial system has no regulatory or rule-making powers.** Further, contrast what then-Acting-SC-Chief-Justice Kittredge said at Tr. pp 110, line 23, to 111, line 12, of the 22 March 2022 event:

And you're aware of this as much as we are, Dr. Faltas, your right to remain silent. You referenced the Fifth Amendment several hours ago when we started when I asked you if you would admit or deny that you had made the phone calls or sent the emails. And you didn't respond,

and you had absolute right to invoke the Fifth Amendment. And you have a right to stand on that at this time. You don't have to testify, you don't have to present evidence. Because the burden is on the State to prove the elements of contempt, willful violation of a court order beyond a reasonable doubt. So I want you to know something you're already familiar with, you have the right to testify and present evidence, or you have the right to decline to do so based on the Constitution. So the choice is yours, ma'am.

with what CMC's Marion Oneida Hanna on 28 March 2011 shouted at Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas so violently and rudely that SC's Office of Disciplinary Counsel ("ODC") imposed some sanction (attached proof) on Hanna:

[Minute 27:22] **Marion O. Hanna:** And Dr. Faltas, please stand. (**Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas stands.**) Now, I have read or summarized somewhat the e-mail. Is, was this e-mail that I earlier summarized into the record, was that from you? It indicates so on this document. Was that from you?

[Minute 28:22] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** May I respectfully --

[Minute 28:26] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, yelling and scolding) You may answer my question.

[Minute 28:28] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** May I respectfully say--

[Minute 28:30] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, yelling and scolding) You may answer my question.

[Minute 28:33] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** -- that the court has no jurisdiction to hold this hearing.

[Minute 28:34] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, yelling and scolding) Ma'am

[Minute 28:36] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** It had no jurisdiction to enter the order to begin with --

[Minute 28:40] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, yelling and scolding) If, if you want to be heard, if you don't want to go to jail this morning, then you're gonna have to answer my questions. I read your earlier, what you handed up. All that is denied. This court has court has jurisdiction to enforce its own orders. Get that. And answer my question. Did you send this e-mail that I earlier read, summarized into the record? Did you send it?

[Minute 29:06] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** I do not recall.

[Minute 29:09] **Marion O. Hanna:** You don't recall.

[Minute 29:10] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** But I want to say something.

[Minute 29:12] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, yelling and scolding loudly) Answer my questions!

[Minute 29:13] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** The answer is: I do not recall.

[Minute 29:16] **Marion O. Hanna:** You don't recall. All right. I have another question. Did you send this e-mail to Adel Assa'ad?

[Minute 29:24] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** I do not recall.

[Minute 29:26] **Marion O. Hanna:** Did you recall having sent it to anyone?

[Minute 29:29] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Ma'am, may, may, may I say ---

[Minute 29:32] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, yelling and scolding) You may answer my questions.

[Minute 29:33] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Ma'am, ma'am, first of all ---

[Minute 29:36] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) Are you denying that you sent this e-mail?

[Minute 29:38] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** I'm saying: I do not recall.

[Minute 29:40] **Marion O. Hanna:** You don't recall?

[Minute 29:44] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Yes, ma'am.

[Minute 29:46] **Marion O. Hanna:** All right then. Did you call the City Attorney's office and, when you called, did Ms. Sutton answer your call?

[Minute 29:50] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** I do not recall.

[Minute 29:51] **Marion O. Hanna:** You don't remember?

[Minute 29:13] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** That's correct.

[Minute 29:51] **Marion O. Hanna:** O.K.

[Minute 29:53] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** The other thing is ---

[Minute 29:54] **Marion O. Hanna:** Now ---

RECEIVED

APR 11 2022

S.C. SUPREME COURT

APR 11 2022

[Minute 29:55] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** (inadvertently interposing) Please ---

[Minute 29:56] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, *yelling and scolding*) Don't talk when I'm talking. You have told me and you have demonstrated that you have no respect for this court. But it is required that you do. It is required. I don't care what you think about other judges or myself personally. That doesn't matter. But this is a court of law. And you're not above it. So, answer my question. Did you call the City Attorney's office as said so by Ms. Sutton here.

[Minute 30:33] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** I do not recall.

[Minute 30:35] **Marion O. Hanna:** All right then.

[Minute 30:36] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** But I want to say that you had no jurisdiction to enter ---

[Minute 30:37] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, *yelling and scolding very loudly*) You said that, you wrote that, I heard that. I have jurisdiction. I have ruled.

[Minute 30:46] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** --- to enter the order to begin with because you have no civil jurisdiction. And that is by statute. In addition, your order is under appeal and the Supreme Court certified the appeal to itself. So, you don't, you had no jurisdiction ---

[Minute 31:01] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) You have anything on that?

[Minute 31:04] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** This, that the Court ---

[Minute 31:05] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) Did you have anything to show me that?

[Minute 31:06] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Absolutely, absolutely.

[Minute 31:08] **Marion O. Hanna:** Pass it up. Give it to one, give it to the court officer.

[Minute 31:11] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** But I need it back.

[Minute 31:12] **Marion O. Hanna:** (*yelling and scolding*) You need to pass it up and you need to do it now.

[Minute 31:14] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** I, I haven't finished. I haven't finished.

[Minute 31:21] **Marion O. Hanna:** (*yelling and scolding*) You need to pass it up and you need to do it now.

[Minute 31:23] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** I am giving it now.

[Minute 31:24] **Marion O. Hanna:** (*yelling and scolding*) Do it.

[Minute 31:28] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** There is one, one other one, just.

[Minute 31:33] **Marion O. Hanna:** Ma'am, all I need to see from you on that, I don't need see your documents that you sent to a court. I need to see just evidence that evidence that it may be on appeal

[Minute 31:43] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Yeah. Oh, absolutely. You can, this is, this is it. The, all, all ---

[Minute 31:51] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) Your theory, Ms., Ms. Faltas, is that this court cannot issue an order against you; and, if it does, this court cannot enforce it. That's your theory. Pass that up over here please.

[Minute 32:04] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** A civil, a civil, in juris, an order in civil jurisdiction, an order in civil jurisdiction you do not have, ma'am. The, the statute, the statute says it---

[Minute 32:25] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) Ma'am, I'm sorry. I'm passing this back over to you. You need to have something showing me that you appealed this to the circuit court.

[Minute 32:31] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Oh, yes ---

[Minute 32:33] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing and *yelling and scolding very loudly and banging the gavel*) These cases are appealed to the circuit court, not the Supreme Court.

[Minute 32:36] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Ma'am, they, it was filed here and the appeal numbers; and this court made the return to the circuit court.

[Minute 32:40] **Marion O. Hanna:** Where is your circuit court proof? I don't see any. You passed me with something stamped in the Supreme Court.

[Minute 32:45] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** It's in this court's own files. This court can take judicial notice of its own ---

[Minute 32:48] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) I don't have it. I don't have any such thing.

[Minute 32:50] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** It is in ---

[Minute 32:51] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) Besides, this is an order ongoing of the court.

[Minute 32:56] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Ma'am, it had no jurisdiction because it has no civil jurisdiction.

[Minute 33:01] **Marion O. Hanna:** Dr. Faltas, just because you say so doesn't make it true. It is for me.

[Minute 33:04] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** The statute says so.

[Minute 33:06] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) This is for me to decide.

[Minute 33:08] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** O.K. May I give you the statute number please?

[Minute 33:10] **Marion O. Hanna:** Statute?

[Minute 33:11] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Yes, ma'am, the statute that says the civil ---

[Minute 33:15] **Marion O. Hanna:** I understand this is a criminal court, Dr. Faltas.

[Minute 33:16] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** South Carolina Code of Laws ---

[Minute 33:20] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, *yelling and scolding*) No! I don't want to hear that. This is a court of criminal jurisdiction. However, we issue orders. When people violate those orders, we have jurisdiction to hear a rule to show cause as to why you should not be held in contempt of court and you have not given me one reason yet.

[Minute 33:36] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Ma'am I just say, please, first of all, first of all, first of all: that motion to restrict my access, blah, blah, blah, was a civil motion. You had no jurisdiction to entertain it *ab initio* ---

[Minute 33:50] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) Who had? Who had jurisdiction then?

[Minute 33:56] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** A, a court of general jurisdiction ---

[Minute 34:00] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) Nobody?

[Minute 34:01] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Pardon?

[Minute 34:03] **Marion O. Hanna:** Nobody had jurisdiction to hear it?

[Minute 34:04] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Ma'am, they could have ---

[Minute 33:20] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) Would the circuit court have jurisdiction to hear it?

[Minute 34:06] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Absolutely.

[Minute 34:07] **Marion O. Hanna:** Ah!

[Minute 34:08] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** But they didn't bring an action in circuit court because circuit court has general jurisdiction. This court, under the statute which I was about to read to you and you interrupted me is section, Code of Laws, and I'm sorry, may I sit please? It's, may I sit? Thank you.

[Minute 34:25] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, *yelling and scolding*) I didn't say you can sit. Stand up!

[Minute 34:34] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** South Carolina Code of Laws, Section 14-25-45: "Each municipal court shall have jurisdiction to try all cases arising under the ordinances of the municipality for which established. The court shall also have such powers, duties and jurisdiction in criminal cases made under state law and conferred upon magistrates. The court shall have no jurisdiction in civil matters." That's one thing. The other thing is: the order doesn't say that I may not use e-mail. It says "shall not accost or confront." Even if that e-mail were sent, it is not accosting or confronting. It is, if it were sent, specifically saying that someone is working in a flood plain area without a permit and the City needs to know that to, to immediately abate that nuisance. It was neither confronting nor accosting. And the ---

[Minute 35:40] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) Well, was it contacting?

[Minute 35:43] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** It's a ---

[Minute 35:44] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, *yelling and scolding*) Was it contacting?

[Minute 35:46] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Well ---

[Minute 35:47] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, *yelling and scolding*) Was it contacting?

[Minute 35:49] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Well. It says, no, no, no ---

[Minute 35:50] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, *yelling and scolding*) Was it contacting?

[Minute 35:51] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** I don't know.

[Minute 35:53] **Marion O. Hanna:** You don't know that.

[Minute 35:56] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Because the order says "shall not confront or accost" ---

[Minute 35:55] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, *yelling and scolding*) Was it contact?

[Minute 35:58] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** It doesn't say "shall not contact by e-mail" ---

RECEIVED
APR 11 2022
S.C. SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED
APR 11 2022
S.C. SUPREME COURT

[Minute 35:59] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing, yelling and scolding) Was it contact?

[Minute 36:01] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** It does not say "shall not contact."

[Minute 36:02] **Marion O. Hanna:** (yelling and scolding) I asked you: was it contact? I'm not asking you what the order said. Stand up and answer! Was it contact with the City Attorney?

[Minute 36:15] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** I do not believe so.

[Minute 36:17] **Marion O. Hanna:** You don't think so. E-mail is not contact.

[Minute 36:20] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** You're asking me. First of all, we have not established that a call was made. But, I, I am talking about if the e-mail had been made, it does not violate the, the letter or the spirit of the order. Besides, you're asking me for a legal opinion. And my legal opinion is: No. I do not believe so. And the order doesn't say "shall not contact." But, in any event, this hearing should ---

[Minute 36:45] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) Listen to me Dr. Faltas and let me read this to you again. Perhaps you can get it this time. "Now, therefore, the defendant Marie-Theresa [sic] Assa'ad-Faltas is hereby restricted from entering or coming about the Columbia municipal courthouse and from coming into contact with court personnel except: (a) only for her scheduled court appearances and (b) for the purpose of filing documents with the Clerk of Court relating to her criminal charges and only between the hours of 2:00 pm and 4:00 pm during the normal operating hours of court. The defendant is further restricted from entering or coming about the office of the City Attorney for the City of Columbia or the building in which it's located, 1401 Main Street, and from any, coming into contact with persons employed therein and with any individuals involved in the prosecution of any of her several criminal charges, whether at their places of employment, and so forth, their respective parking lots. She is prohibited from confronting or accosting, whether by phone, e-mail, or in person, any of the judges and employees of the City Court, the defendant, and excuse me, the attorneys employees of the City Attorney's office. Defendant Faltas shall make no *ex parte* communications with the City, with the judges, the City Attorney's office's personnel or that of the City Court." I ask you again: is an e-mail communication? Do you consider it such?

[Minute 38:25] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** I do not consider it a violation of this order ---

[Minute 38:26] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) That's not what I asked you.

[Minute 38:27] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** I am ---

[Minute 38:28] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) All right, Dr. Faltas, you know, you are terribly uncooperative. You are in contempt of court this morning. You refused to stand when the judge entered the room. You refused to answer my questions in a straight-forward manner. You have refused to conduct yourself properly. This is a court of law. You are not above this court or any other court in this country. You are not above the law. You are not above the law. And you need to get that through your head. You are not above the law. Do you understand that? No. You don't. Do you?

[Minute 39:20] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Ma'am, I perfectly understand it. In fact ---

[Minute 39:24] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) Very good. Have a seat.

[Minute 39:26] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** In fact, thank you. In fact I ---

[Minute 39:32] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) Have a seat and don't talk any more. Now, you have not given me any reason not to hold you in contempt of court, which is what the hearing is for: for you to give me some reason ---

[Minute 39:40] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** (interposing) Actually, the hearing is on the City's motion for a rule to show cause order. If you want to issue a rule to show cause order, then I am entitled to a hearing on the actual rule to show cause ---

[Minute 39:52] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) That's what we're here for.

[Minute 39:55] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** (interposing) No. You cannot combine both of them. There is a motion. If you grant the motion, then you issue a rule to show cause. And then, you need to appoint for me stand-by counsel, assuming you even have jurisdiction to hold the next hearing---

[Minute 40:09] **Marion O. Hanna:** (interposing) How many times have you had stand-by counsel appointed for you?

[Minute 40:11] **Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas:** Ma'am ---

RECEIVED

APR 11 2022

S.C. SUPREME COURT

[Minute 40:12] Marion O. Hanna: (interposing) How many times have you had stand-by counsel?

[Minute 40:16] Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas: They were conflicted. And I have no duty to accept ---

[Minute 40:21] Marion O. Hanna: (interposing) How many of them have you had? Just as stand-by counsel? How many?

[Minute 40:23] Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas: Four.

[Minute 40:26] Marion O. Hanna: Four. And what happened to each one of them?

[Minute 40:31] Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas: They, they, they told the judge, three of them, I'm sorry, two of them told the judge that they have a conflict. One of them was developing a conflict and did not disclose it until later. The third one, the fourth one was ineffective. I have no duty ---

[Minute 40:51] Marion O. Hanna: (interposing) And what was the conflict?

[Minute 40:52] Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas: The conflict with April Sampson? Is that she was negotiating to work with the Solicitor's office. That's as clear a conflict as can be.

[Minute 41:01] Marion O. Hanna: What was the conflict with the next one?

[Minute 41:02] Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas: No, that was the previous two, the public defenders. They said they had conflicts as far as the timing, as the time. And that was their motion and that was the judge said that they had a conflict. So, I'm not required to accept a conflicted counsel.

[Minute 41:22] Marion O. Hanna: And you've had appointed counsel, have you not?

[Minute 41:24] Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas: Totally appointed? No. Only as stand-by. No, I did not have counsel appointed totally except in 2001, and that was, he was also ineffective. And I fired him.

Hanna later *increased* Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas' sentence after it was imposed, *fully served*, **and an appeal from it was taken, all in total desecration of the Fifth Amendment.** Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas is assured that a copy of audio-recording of that 28 March 2011 atrocity was sent from Richland County Circuit Court, where it is an exhibit in 2011-CP-40-02111, to this Court **in response to its 13 December 2021 ORDER.**

Further, in the 22 February 2022 Faretta hearing in 2021-000815, SC Associate Justice Few indicated he had read the transcript of the 28 March 2011 event with Marion O. Hanna. That should have shocked His Honor as it had shocked ODC into imposing a punishment, albeit private, on Hanna.

Despite the clear attack on "the integrity of the courts" by Hanna, Retired/Active SC S Ct Clerk Shearouse testified in his "humble opinion," Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas is "totally frivolous" in that case. **Clerks of court must maintain at least the appearance of impartiality.** That is no longer possible with Shearouse; and public, or at least Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas' confidence in the judiciary can only be maintained by recusing Shearouse and undoing his decisions, including keeping those appeals secret, which resulted from his sheer bias.

It is indeed a broken system when Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas' motion to appoint counsel for that appeal stayed unheard for four years, the rehearing of the denial of that motion stayed unheard for three more years, and the appeal from the denial stayed frozen in secret by decree of a biased Shearouse for 14 months so far. And Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas is being made a criminal for having *allegedly* inquired by e-mail during courthouse closure.

Now-Justice James is familiar with, or can review the transcripts of, the poison tree of the false claims that Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas "harassed" a-then-unemployed Teresa Ingram by "blocking her from going to work." If Acting SC Chief Justice Kittredge is genuinely offended by "lying in court," he should restore Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas to her position on 16 November 2009, before Amanda Star Blanton prepared her false case summary.

The above two contempt cases should promptly be resolved in Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas' favor for lack of CMC jurisdiction and the above front-yard parking case should also be resolved in Dr. Assa'ad-Faltas' favor due to the City of Columbia's ordinance which infringes on private property rights for no purpose *at all*.

Submitted, and served by hand-delivery to Amye Rushing, counsel for the City, and Donald Zelenka, counsel for the State, in their respective offices in Columbia, SC 29201, all on 11 April 2022, and all God so willing.

[s/] Marie Assa'ad-Faltas, MD, MPH, Defendant/Appellant *pro se*
P.O. Box 9115, Columbia, SC 29290 Phone (803) 783-4536, Cell: (330) 232 - 4164
e-mail: Marie.Faltas@hotmail.com



The Supreme Court of South Carolina

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

Lesley M. Coggiola
Disciplinary Counsel

Joseph P. Turner Jr.
Assistant Disciplinary Counsel

Post Office Box 12159
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Telephone: (803) 734-2038
Fax: (803) 734-1964

June 28, 2012

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Marie A. Faltas
P.O. Box 9115
Columbia, SC 29290

RE: NOTICE OF FINAL DISPOSITION
Judge: Municipal Court Judge Marion Oneida Hanna
Matter Number: 11-DE-J-0092

Dear Dr. Faltas:

You previously filed a complaint with the Commission on Judicial Conduct concerning Municipal Court Judge Marion Oneida Hanna. This matter was concluded on September 27, 2011. Your complaint was not dismissed, but the disposition is confidential under the provisions of the Rules for Judicial Disciplinary Enforcement, Rule 502, SCACR.

This constitutes final disposition of the proceedings in this matter. Your cooperation with the Commission and this office is appreciated. I apologize for my oversight in not getting this letter to you sooner.

Sincerely,

Joseph P. Turner Jr.

RECEIVED

APR 11 2022

S.C. SUPREME COURT