

South Carolina Court of Appeals

Carl J. Chisolm, 185789
Appellant
vs.

Notice of Appeal
Pursuant to Rule 4

South Carolina Department
of Corrections. Respondent.

RECEIVED

APR 13 2022

SC Court of Appeals

The above named Appellant (Carl J. Chisolm) hereby reject the enclosed "Order of Dismissal" handed down by the Admin. Law Judge Milton G. Kimpson based upon the facts presented here-in. Appellant requests that this honorable Court Review this matter based upon the sound merits. I would also like to request that this honorable Court direct this matter to the "Tort Court" or provide me with proper information as to how to do so.

Nevertheless, please find enclosed the reasons as to why this matter must be addressed in a Court of law. Or at the very least allow me to present a formal brief addressing this matter for Full Judicial Review.

(Pg. 1042)

South Carolina Court of Appeals

Appellant Carl S. Chisolm no. 185789 hereby challenge the enclosed "Order of Dismissal" based upon the following factors:

- 1.) Admin. Law Court failed to address Appellant's Eighth Amendment Violation incurred by the Perry Core Inst. failure to act, when action was required thus, resulting in Appellant's pain and suffering, as well as contraction of the deadly Covid-19 Virus; at a time when the medical community had yet to come up with a vaccine.
- 2.) Admin. Law Court failed to address the Deliberate Indifference and ill-disregard by the Perry Core Inst. prison officials, when this health issue (liberty to live) was brought to their attention.
- 3.) Admin. Law Court failed to address the Intentional infliction of Emotional Distress that Appellant endured as a result of the Perry Core officials failure to act when it was clear that action was needed. SCDC standard of Oath is to protect the Public, Staff members, and inmates alike. It is clear they failed to do so for this prisoner creating Insignificant Hardship.
- 4.) Admin. Law Court has improperly handled this matter concerning Appellant Carl S. Chisolm. It is clear from the opening lines of their Order of Dismissal when Appellant was addressed as Michael A. Odom. Which can only mean that Appellant's brief has been improperly managed.

(pg. 2 of 2)

Certificate of Service

I, Carl J. Chisolm Agency no. 185789 do hereby attest that I placed a copy of the enclosed Notice of Appeal in the Kershaw Postal Service to the following parties:

Clerk of Court
Admin. Law Court
1205 Pendleton Street, Ste. 224
Columbia, S.C. 29201

Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

APR 13 2022

SC Court of Appeals

Date Stamp
Sent 2

Carl J. Chisolm
Carl J. Chisolm 185789
Kershaw Cour. Inst. CYP 44A
4848 Goldmine Hwy.
Kershaw, S.C. 29067

LEGAL

CHISOLM-185789

Carl S. Chisolm, 185789
Kershaw Corr. Inst. CYP 44A
4848 Goldmine Hwy
Kershaw, S.C. 29067

RECEIVED

APR 13 2022

SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

APR 12 2022

KIRCH
MALLON

Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
1220 Senate Street
Columbia, South Carolina
29201

Chisolm
LEGAL
185789