

RECEIVED

Apr 25 2022

SC Court of Appeals

From: [Janet Frisco](#)
To: [Price, Bentley Law Clerk \(Aimee Intagliata\)](#); [Court Of Appeals Filings](#); [McCoy, Jennifer B. Law Clerk \(Victoria Tuten\)](#)
Subject: APPELLATE APPEAL
Date: Monday, April 25, 2022 8:53:43 AM

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Judge Bentley,

An appeal will be filed in the same grounds as my current appeal to the SC Appellate Court that is abuse of discretion by court officials and fraud upon the court by the Plaintiff's attorney, Mr. Futeral, who has gone from concealing evidence to manufacturing evidence in submitting a falsified adoption application at the hearing Friday that you accepted without further investigation as proof that my dog Toby's adoption was legitimate merely because Plaintiff's attorney submitted it.

You and the Court of Common Pleas' abuse of discretion concerning their judgement on the Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgement and my motion to amend my answer that was supposed to be heard on Friday. It was merged into the Plaintiff's Partial Summary Judgement, and I was not allowed to present my case as usual. They are the ones that are guilty of malicious prosecution in their attempt to cover up fraud in collecting donations on a fake adoption.

You should not have allowed the Plaintiff's lawyer to file a motion for a "partial" summary judgement because they are no other issues or parties involved, but it was allowed by the Courts because they think it will prevent me from appealing. I should have been granted the continuance to submit my own Discovery Requests to the Plaintiff to support my counterclaim that Pet Helpers staged the adoption and Melissa Susko is certified to euthanize dogs and has done so in the past according to my witness, Sue Lambert, who worked at Pet Helpers with Ms. Susko.

After I review legal standards there are probably additional grounds for appeal, but these are the main arguments. I will also mention and provide evidence that R. Markley Denni< Jr. in The Court of Common Pleas assisted Debra Walker when she committed fraud attempting to gain ownership of my stolen vehicle in Janet Frisco vs Debra Walker by dismissing the case and not requiring Ms. Walker to appear for the trial. Case #2005-CP-100-3681

Mr. Futeral abused the courts and SCRCF to conceal his client's criminal behavior and obtain restraining orders making false statements on legal documents and during hearings that I had trespassed on Pet Helpers property and threatened employees without evidence and I was not allowed to present evidence to the contrary.

He also submitted oppressive and burdensome interrogatories and production for the sole purpose of preventing me from taking any legal action to defend myself from his false accusations and malicious lawsuit. There was no other reason because he had a record of everything I said that he claimed was defamation.

There is no malice involved because I merely told the truth that Pet Helpers committed fraud as I stated in my complaint and counterclaim and should be exposed and put out of business for the sake of justice. At the hearing Mr. Futeral cites my appeal to the Appellate Court and the correction I had to make due to a date error as if I shouldn't have the right to defend myself from Pet Helpers malicious prosecution and was "squandering my time" instead of competing discovery. He also mentions the number of emails I sent but he doesn't say anything about the threatening emails he sent to me threatening to take more of my money and afterwards deleted.

I have a cause of action that is the intentional inflicting of emotional pain, the injury of the lawsuit itself which has also caused financial loss and affected my health, new business endeavor and caused the

trauma of incarceration.

This entire lawsuit is nothing more than a cover up of criminal fraud and that is blatantly obvious to everyone. The court system needs to be reformed and lawyers like Mr. Futeral need to be disbarred and held accountable. He's probably been doing this for decades and getting away with it although it probably doesn't usually go this far because people see that they are up against the entire corrupt judicial system and are intimidated.

Janet Frisco,
Pro Se

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)