



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

April 29, 2022

The Honorable Cheryl L. Graham
5200 E Jim Bilton Blvd
St George SC 29477-8020

REMITTITUR

Re: The State v. Terek R. Goodwin
Lower Court Case No. 2014GS1800011, 2014GS1800012, 2014GS1800013
Appellate Case No. 2019-001014

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

V. Claire Allen

CLERK

Enclosure

cc: Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
William M. Blich, Jr., Esquire
Tommy Arthur Thomas, Esquire
David Michael Pascoe, Jr., Esquire
The Honorable Perry M. Buckner, III

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Terek Rasheed Goodwin, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2019-001014

Appeal From Dorchester County
Perry M. Buckner, III, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2022-UP-159
Submitted March 1, 2022 – Filed April 6, 2022

AFFIRMED

Tommy Arthur Thomas, of Irmo, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Senior
Assistant Deputy Attorney General William M. Blich,
Jr., both of Columbia; and Solicitor David Michael
Pascoe, Jr., of Orangeburg, all for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Terek Rasheed Goodwin appeals his conviction and thirty-year sentence for kidnapping, first-degree burglary, and common-law robbery. On appeal, he argues the trial court erred in conducting jury qualification outside his presence.

We hold Goodwin failed to preserve this issue for appellate review because he did not contemporaneously object to the manner in which the trial court conducted jury qualification. *See State v. Johnson*, 363 S.C. 53, 58, 609 S.E.2d 520, 523 (2005) ("To preserve an issue for review there must be a contemporaneous objection that is ruled upon by the trial court."); *State v. Williams*, 303 S.C. 410, 411, 401 S.E.2d 168, 169 (1991) ("A defendant must object at his first opportunity to preserve an issue for appellate review."); *Johnson*, 363 S.C. at 58, 609 S.E.2d at 523 ("The objection should be addressed to the trial court in a sufficiently specific manner that brings attention to the exact error."); *id.* at 58-59, 609 S.E.2d at 523 ("If a party fails to properly object, the party is procedurally barred from raising the issue on appeal.").

AFFIRMED.¹

WILLIAMS, C.J., and KONDUROS and VINSON, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.