



RECEIVED

May 03 2022

SC Court of Appeals

ALAN WILSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 3, 2022

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of Court, South Carolina Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29201

RE: The State v. Bryan Austin Seidle
Appellate Case No. 2020-001485

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

It has come to my attention that I made a partially inaccurate assertion in my brief in this case. On page 10 of my brief, I wrote that "[the victim Ingersoll's] sex offender registry requirement was based on a sex crime he committed as a seventeen-year-old against a fifteen-year-old victim." This assertion was based off of a misreading of a question by the trial judge to a defense attorney. In his reply brief, Seidle pointed out that this comment by the judge was phrased as a hypothetical question. Upon reviewing this portion of the transcript, I agree that the portion of the judge's statement regarding the victim's age was hypothetical. While Ingersoll was 17 years old when he committed the offense which landed him on the sex offender registry, I do not believe the records establishes the age of the victim in that case. I apologize for this error, and ask this court to disregard this portion of this sentence in my brief. Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Joshua A. Edwards
Bar No. 101188

cc: Robert M. Dudek, Esquire (*via electronic mail*)
Lara M. Caudy, Esquire (*via electronic mail*)