

NO. 21A561

RECEIVED

MAY 03 2022

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

SC Court of Appeals

ARTHUR McQUILLA; ORLANDO PARKER; CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON;
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIAL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE; RON
SANTA McCRAY; ROMEO BROWN ET. AL.,---PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; THE S.C. DEPT. OF
CORRECTIONS; THE UNITED STATES ET. AL.,
RESPONDENTS---APPELLEES

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT
FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

MOTION TO ADVANCE OR EXPEDITE THE CAUSE

IN RE: McQUILLA, PARKER, WILSON, CRAWFORD, McCRAY AND BROWN ET.
AL.,

TO: THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ET. AL.,

THE PETITIONER(S) WITHIN THIS CASE BEFORE THE UNITED
STATES SUPREME COURT MOTION TO ADVANCE THE CAUSE AND OR TO

EXPEDITE THIS CASE TO HAVE IT MOVED UP ON THE DOCKET DUE TO CONTINUOUS THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER TO THE FIDUCIARY HEIR THAT EXISTED AT THE TIME THIS PROCEEDING THAT IS EVEN FILED WITHIN CASE 9:21-cv-02526-TLW-MHC PENDING BEFORE THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT. WE SEEK TO MOTION TO EXPEDITE THIS CASE ALSO DUE TO THE EXTRAORDINARY WHERE THE CONSPIRING CONNECTED FEDERAL OFFICIALS WHO ARE DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE MADE EFFORTS TO COMPROMISE THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT ITSELF TO THWART FAIR AND JUST REVIEW IN EGREGIOUS VIOLATION OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE. THE INORDINATE DELAY IN THAT THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA NOT ONLY BLOCKED THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD FROM THE PCR COURT SINCE 2006 WITHOUT ANY JUDICIAL ORDER FILED DETERMINING WHY RENDERING THE CONVICTION VOID AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ALSO ESTABLISHING RIGHTS OF NON PARTY RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL FOR ALL PETITIONER(S), WHERE THIS INORDINATE DELAY, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE WAS DONE BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND RACIAL HATRED TO ALSO CONCEAL DNA EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE, SUCH MANIFEST INJUSTICE WOULD WARRANT THIS. A MOTION TO ADVANCE THE CAUSE AND OR TO EXPEDITE THIS CASE, IN A CRIMINAL CAUSE MADE ON BEHALF OR INVOLVING THE UNITED STATES WHICH THE CASE DUE TO THE UNITED STATES BEING PARTY IN THESE MULTI-DISTRICT LITIGATION CASES, ALSO PARTY TO THE DEFAULT WITHIN THIS CASE BASED UPON THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON SUPPORTED BY FORTBEND COUNTY, TEXAS v. DAVIS, 139 S.Ct. 1843(U.S.2019), MUST STATE FACTS IN SUCH A MANNER THAT THE COURT MAY JUDGE WHETHER THE GOVERNMENT WILL BE EMBARRASSED IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF ITS AFFAIRS BY DELAY, U.S. v. NORTON, 91 U.S. 558, 1 OTTO 558, 1875 WL 17934, 23 L.Ed. 250(U.S.1875); CENTRAL R. CO. v. BOURBON COUNTY, 116 U.S. 538, 6 S.Ct. 601, 29 L.Ed. 725(U.S.1886); GONZALEZ v. CROSBY, 545 U.S. 524, 125 S.Ct. 2641, 162 L.Ed.2d. 480(U.S.2005); NATURAL GAS CO. OF WEST VIRGINIA v. PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WEST VIRGINIA, 55 S.Ct. 646 (MEM)(U.S.1935).

IF THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WOULD TAKE NOTICE OF THE RECORD SUBJUDICE BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURTS INVOLVED

WITHIN THESE MULTI-DISTRICT SOUGHT LITIGATION CASES. THE SUPREME COURT WILL SEE THROUGH ALL THE YEARS OF THIS CASE THAT THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD WAS ARGUING THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER DOCUMENTING AT EVERY STAGE OF THE RECORD THE VARIOUS AND NUMEROUS PHYSICAL ASSAULTS AND OR ASSASSINATION ATTEMPTS THAT WERE LEVIED AT THE PETITIONER(S) DURING THE ENTIRE COURSE OF EVENTS PRESENTED WHERE THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD ESSENTIALLY ESCAPED DEATH BY THE SKIN OF HIS TEETH. IT DOESN'T MATTER HOW EXTRAORDINARY THE CLAIMS WHEN THEY ARE SUPPORTED BY THE DEFAULT BASED UPON THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON AND DUE TO THE NUMEROUS ATTEMPTED PHYSICAL ASSAULTS. IT BECOMES OBVIOUS THAT SOME OF THE PARTIES OF THE CONSPIRATORS SUBJUDICE BELIEVE THE CLAIMS OR THE ACTUAL ASSAULTS WOULD HAVE NEVER BEEN MADE. THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD HAS A SCAR IN THE MIDDLE OF HIS CHEST WHERE ONE ATTEMPTED ASSASSINATION EFFORT BY AN INMATE SENT BY THE DEFENDANTS INVOLVED IN THESE CASES, TRIED TO STAB THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD THROUGH THE HEART WITH A SWORD MADE FROM A LAWMOWER BLADE THAT INMATE TOOK OFF A MACHINE THE INMATES USE TO CUT THE GRASS. THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD ALSO HAS A SCAR IN HIS NOSE WHERE THE DEFENDANTS VIA S.C.D.C. EMPLOYEES MOVED AN INMATE THAT WAS NORMALLY IN THE CELL WITH CRAWFORD, OUT OF THE CELL, TO PUT IN PLACE ANOTHER PSYCHOTIC HOMICIDAL INMATE IN WITH THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD, POINTING HIM LIKE A LOADED GUN; WITH THE SPECIFIC INTENT AND INSTRUCTION TO KILL THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD WHERE THAT INMATE STABBED THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD IN THE FACE WITH A "SHANK" (PRISON MADE KNIFE) AND NEARLY SUCCEEDED HAD IT NOT BEEN FOR THE PETITIONER'S TRAINING. THESE PHYSICAL SCARS ARE READILY SEEN ON THE PETITIONER(S) CRAWFORD'S BODY AND ARE RECORDED WITHIN S.C.D.C. MEDICAL RECORDS. THIS DOESN'T EVEN TAKE INTO ACCOUNT OVER (6) OTHER ASSAULTS AND OR ASSASSINATION ATTEMPTS MADE BY THE CONSPIRING PARTIES WHICH INCLUDE RECENT ATTEMPTS BY WHITE SUPREMACIST WHO SUPPORT PRESIDENT TRUMP DUE TO DISPARAGING COMMENTS MADE ABOUT THE FORMER PRESIDENT IN LEGAL DOCUMENTS FILED BEFORE THE COURT AND THAT ARE A MATTER OF PUBLIC RECORD THAT WERE


PLACED ON THE FORMER WEBSITE
THEMAHDITHEBRANCHTHEELIJAHTHEBLACKMESSIAH.COM. THIS CANNOT BE
DEEMED A TOTALLY MERITLESS CLAIM DUE TO SIMILAR THREATS BEING
MADE TODAY AGAINST CONGRESSMEN, WOMEN AND SENATORS OF THIS NATION
WHO MADE SIMILAR STATEMENTS AGAINST THE FORMER PRESIDENT TRUMP
AND THE RECENT INSURRECTION ATTEMPT THAT OCCURRED AT THE NATION'S
CAPITAL. THE PETITIONER CRAWFORD LIVES IN AN ATMOSPHERE OF
CONSTANT FEAR OF NOT KNOWING FROM WHERE THE NEXT PHYSICAL ASSAULT
AND OR ATTACK MAY COME. THIS IS COMPOUNDED BY THE FACT THAT THE
PETITIONER CRAWFORD WAS CRIMINALLY, ILLEGALLY, BLOCKED FROM THE
PCR PROCESS AND OR HELD IN LIMBO WITHIN THE RICHLAND S.C. COMMON
PLEAS COURT FOR OVER (16+) YEARS AND THE CONSPIRING DEFENDANTS
COMPROMISED EMPLOYEES OF THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES SUPREME
COURT TO THWART AND OR HINDER FAIR AND JUST REVIEW, ESSENTIALLY
SPITTING IN THE FACES OF NOT JUST THE PETITIONER(S), BUT ALSO THE
HONORABLE JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT ITSELF. ANY LAW OR UNITED
STATES SUPREME COURT PROCESS WHICH IN ITS OPERATION (AS THE
MACHINATION PULLED BY MS. WALKER BEING COMPROMISED BY THESE
DEFENDANTS) AMOUNTS TO A DENIAL OR OBSTRUCTION OF RIGHTS ACCRUING
BY CONTRACT, THOUGH POSSESSING TO ACT ONLY ON THE REMEDY, IS
VIOLATIVE OF CONSTITUTIONAL INHIBITIONS AGAINST LEGISLATIVE AND
OR JUDICIAL (WALKER AND HER COHORTS) IMPAIRMENT RIGHTS OF
CONTRACT, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT THE CONVICTIONS
ARE ALREADY INVALIDATED BY THE FRAUD, CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION
OF JUSTICE AND THE DEFAULT EMERGING FROM THE STATE CASES IN
QUESTION, SVEEN v. MELIN, 138 S.Ct. 1815, 201 L.Ed.2d. 180, 86
U.S.L.W. 4392(U.S.2018). THE FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IS OVERWHELMING. THE COURT IS NOT BOUND TO
HEAR TO ADHERE TO STRICT ORDER OF DOCKET IF THERE BE ANY REASON
OF JUSTICE, NECESSITY OR CONVENIENCE FROM DEPARTING FROM IT. THE
CASE WILL EMBARRASS THE OPERATION OF THE GOVERNMENT IF LEFT
UNSETTLED, BRANNON POE, C.P.A., LLC. v. STRAVOLO, S.E.2d., 2016
WL 2745274 (S.C.App.2016); STATE v. BROAD RIVER POWER COMPANY,
164 S.C. 208, 162 S.E. 74(S.C.App.1931); FORBES v. DEHON, 17 S.C.
Eq. 45, SPEARS Eq. 45, 1843 WL 2962; U.S. v. FOSSATT, 62 U.S.

455, 21 HOW. 445, 1858 WL 9345; ATLAS TRAVEL SERVICE, INC. v.
MORELLY, 97 SO.2d. 496 (1957).

RESPECTFULLY,
ORLANDO PARKER




ARTHUR McQUILLA



RON SANTA McCRAY



JONAH THE TISHBITE



APRIL 27, 2022

NO. 21A561

RECEIVED

MAY 03 2022

SC Court of Appeals

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ARTHUR McQUILLA; ORLANDO PARKER; CHRISTOPHER DARNELL WILSON;
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIAL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE; RON
SANTA McCRAY; ROMEO BROWN ET. AL.,---PETITIONER(S)

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; THE S.C. DEPT. OF
CORRECTIONS; THE UNITED STATES ET. AL.,
RESPONDENTS---APPELLEES

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT
FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

WE, ARTHUR McQUILLA, ORLANDO PARKER, RON SANTA McCRAY,
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE ET.
AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY
ON THIS DATE OF APRIL 28, 2022, AS REQUIRED BY SUPREME COURT, RULE
29 WE HAVE SERVED THE ENCLOSED MOTION TO ADVANCE OR EXPEDITE THE
CAUSE, ON EACH PARTY TO THE ABOVE PROCEEDINGS OR THAT PARTY'S
COUNSEL, AND ON EVERY OTHER PERSON REQUIRED TO BE SERVED, BY
DEPOSITING AN ENVELOPE CONTAINING THE ABOVE DOCUMENT IN THE

INSTITUTION MAILBOX PROPERLY ADDRESSED TO THEM, BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID. THE NAMES AND ADDRESSES ARE AS FOLLOWS:

(1) THE U.S. SUPREME COURT 1 FIRST STREET N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20543.

(2) THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS 1100 EAST MAIN STREET SUITE 501 RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219.

(3) THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS 21400 U.S. COURTHOUSE 601 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, P.A. 19106.

(4) THE FIRST CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS J.J.M. U.S. COURTHOUSE 1 COURTHOUSE WAY BOSTON, MA. 02210.

(5) THE FEDERAL ATTORNEY FOR THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY AT U.S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE 970 BROAD STREET 7TH. FL. NEWARK, N.J. 07102.

(6) THE NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT CAMDEN DIVISION M.H.C. BUILDING U.S. COURTHOUSE 4TH. & COOPER STREET ROOM 1050 CAMDEN, N.J. 08101.

(7) THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT P.O. BOX 835 CHARLESTON, S.C. 29402.

(8) THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS GENERAL COUNSEL ATTORNEY IMANI DIANE BYAS S.C.D.C. HEADQUARTERS 4444 BROAD RIVER ROAD, COLUMBIA, S.C. 29221.

(9) THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS P.O. BOX 11629 COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211.

(10) THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS AND JUDGE

NEWMAN 1701 MAIN STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201.

(11) THE S.C. SUPREME COURT P.O. BOX 11330 COLUMBIA, S.C.
29211.

(12) ATTORNEY D. SETTANA AT THE MCKAY LAW FIRM 1303
BLANDING STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201.

(13) THE LAW FIRM OF DUBOSE-ROBINSON 935 BROAD STREET
CAMDEN, S.C. 29020.

(14) THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. BOX 11549 COLUMBIA,
S.C. 29211.

WE DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS
TRUE AND CORRECT.

EXECUTED ON APRIL 27, 2022.


RESPECTFULLY,
ARTHUR McQUILLA



ORLANDO PARKER




RON SANTA McCRAY



JONAH THE TISHBITE

3-of-3



IMS

LAWRENCE L Crawford

#300839 F2B Rm 1260

LEE CIE 990 Wiskay Hwy

Bishopville, SC 29010

RECEIVED

MAY 03 2022

SC Court of Appeals

SC COURT of

APPEALS

PO Box 11629

Columbia, SC 29211