



RECEIVED
May 19 2022
S.C. SUPREME COURT

ALAN WILSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 19, 2022

The Honorable Patricia A. Howard
Clerk, South Carolina Supreme Court
Post Office Box 11330
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RE: State v. Charles Brandon Rampey – Appellate Case No. 2020-001595

Dear Ms. Howard:

Earlier today, this Court held an oral argument in the above-referenced criminal appeal. Pursuant to Rule 208(b)(7) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules, the State seeks to provide the Court with a supplemental citation to a case referenced by the State during the oral argument based on the belief the citation will be relevant and helpful to the Court in resolving the appeal. Specifically, the State believes the following citation may be relevant to the issue raised on appeal: Cf. United States v. Cornell, 780 F.3d 616, 627 (4th Cir. 2015) (“[V]ery tellingly in this case, the jury returned a split verdict. Defendants’ claim of coercion is negated by the fact that the jury *acquitted* three co-defendants and found predicate acts in only five of the nine categories submitted for their consideration. These actions reflect a thoughtful and deliberate jury—not one acting under an impulse of coercion.”).

Sincerely,

Mark R. Farthing
Senior Assistant Attorney General
S.C. Bar No. 76901

MRF/

cc: William G. Yarborough, III, Esquire (via email service)
Lauren C. Hobbis, Esquire (via email service)