

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM GREENWOOD COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Frank R. Addy, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2019-000637
Civil Action No. 2016-CP-24-00157

Tony Young

v.

Greenwood County Detention Center and
Greenwood County Sheriff's Office, Respondents.

RECEIVED

Jul 28 2020

SC Court of Appeals

SUPPLEMENTAL RECORD ON APPEAL

Joshua T. Hawkins, #78470
Helena L. Jedziniak, #100825
Hawkins & Jedziniak, LLC
1225 South Church Street
Greenville, South Carolina 29605
Telephone: (864) 275-8142

Kyle J. White, #101426
White, Davis and White Law Firm
209 E. Calhoun Street
Anderson, South Carolina 29621
Telephone: (864) 231-8090

Attorneys for Appellant

Russell W. Harter, Jr., #2778
Carly H. Davis, #100112
Chapman, Harter & Harter, P.A.
14 Lavinia Avenue
Post Office Box 10224 (29603)
Greenville, South Carolina 29601
Telephone: (864) 233-4500

Attorneys for Respondents

INDEX

Order dated January 5, 2016	1045
Certificate of Counsel	1047



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

TONY YOUNG,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
vs.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 8:13-2185-MGL-KFM
	§	
GREENWOOD COUNTY DETENTION	§	
CENTER and GREENWOOD COUNTY	§	
SHERIFF'S OFFICE,	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION,
GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
AS TO PLAINTIFF'S 42 U.S.C. § 1983 CLAIMS,
DECLINING TO EXERCISE SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION OVER
PLAINTIFF'S STATE LAW CLAIMS, AND REMANDING THE CASE TO THE
GREENWOOD COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

This case was filed as a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that Defendants' motion for summary judgment as to Plaintiff's claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 be granted, the Court decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims but, instead, remand the case to the Greenwood County Court of Common Pleas. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court.

Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on December 11, 2015, but Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the Report. “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court that Defendants’ motion for summary judgment as to Plaintiff’s claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 is **GRANTED**. The Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s state law claims. Instead, the case is **REMANDED** to the Greenwood County Court of Common Pleas for consideration of Plaintiff’s those claims.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 5th day of January, 2016, in Columbia, South Carolina.

s/ Mary Geiger Lewis

 MARY GEIGER LEWIS
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL

The undersigned counsel for the Respondents certifies that the Supplemental Record on Appeal contains no matter which is irrelevant to the appeal and further certifies that now the Record on Appeal and Supplemental Record on Appeal contains all materials proposed to be included by both parties.

RECEIVED
Jul 28 2020
SC Court of Appeals

Respectfully submitted,

CHAPMAN, HARTER & HARTER, P.A.

s/ Carly H. Davis, #100112
Carly H. Davis, #100112
Russell W. Harter, Jr., # 2778
P.O. Box 10224
Greenville, South Carolina 29603
Telephone: 864-233-4500
Counsel for Respondents

July 28, 2020
Greenville, South Carolina