

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

State

v.

Alfred T. Walker

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2019-001842

RECEIVED

MAY 31 2022

SC Court of Appeals

THE HONORABLE PERRY M. BUCKNER, III
BARNWELL COUNTY

TRIAL COURT CASE NO. 2001G50600118-121, 2001G50600123
2001G50600134

THIS APPELLANT SUBMITS THAT ON MAY 18th 2022. THE SOUTH CAROLINA Dept of CORRECTIONS, BROADRIVER CORR. INS DID TAKE HIM TO RECIIVE, at the mailroom, mail addressed to him from the SOUTH CAROLINA COURT of Appeals dated MAY 12th, 2022. THE LETTER WAS INFORMING THE APPELLANT THAT HE WAS GRANTED HIS REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION. HOWEVER AT THE TIME HE WAS ACCEPTING THIS MAIL THE TIME HAD ALREADY PASSED. (SEE ATTACHMENTS) THEREFORE, UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT GOOD CAUSE HAS BEEN SHOWN ON MY BEHALF THAT FAULT WAS NOT MINE I SUBMIT TO THE RECORD MY APPEAL AND DISAGREEMENT WITH THIS COURTS RULING.

IN SEPT. OF 2019 THIS APPELLANT STOOD BEFORE HONORABLE JUDGE PERRY M. BUCKNER III, FOR A SECOND TIME IN HIS LIFE AND FOR A SECOND TIME WAS DENIED. THIS COURT AFFIRMED THE DENIAL OF THE CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE CONTENDING THAT THE LOWER COURT DID NOT ABUSE ITS DISCRETION

By denying appellant's motion for a new trial based upon newly discovered evidence because the evidence could have been discovered with the exercise of due diligence prior to trial and that it would not have changed the result if a new trial were given and was impeaching.

THE appellant disagrees though and asks this court to reconsider its decision and remand this case to the lower courts for further review. Appellant argues that the evidence could not have been discovered so easily prior to trial but even if the attorneys of the appellant did not try hard enough to discover the necessary tools they needed defensively to get his illegally obtained statements properly excluded then it would still have been on the prosecutor, pursuant to Brady v. Maryland, to provide any evidence whether incriminating, impeaching or exculpatory to the defense to allow them every possible opportunity to prepare. TO NOT DO SO IS A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION NOT SO EASILY OVERLOOKED ONCE AGGRAVATED.

BUT SINCE NO REAL EFFORT WAS PUT TOWARDS A DEFENSE OR AID FOR A DEFENSE A GUILTY PLEA WAS RENDERED AND A PERSON WAS CONSTITUTIONALLY INJURED MAKING WHAT THIS COURT FEELS TO NOT BE RESULT-CHANGING, ACTUALLY THAT BECAUSE THE EVIDENCE IN QUESTION GOES TOWARDS ADMISSIBILITY AND THE VALIDITY OF A PLEA BOTH WHICH ARE CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS NOT SO EASILY OVERLOOKED ONCE AGGRAVATED.

IN: Regards to Appellant's issue of the trial court refusing to consider a reconsideration of his sentence appellant simply elaborates for the record that it is a clear abuse of discretion for a judge to "not" consider cutting the sentence of someone who did not actually and physically by his own hand ever take another human beings life. Who at the time of the incident was still living with his mother, was still in high school, had a troubled childhood, was overwhelmingly intoxicated, who was 18 yrs old at the time and whose crime happened over 20 yrs ago. As well it is unfair to reconsider this sentence when the principal first of a crime is no longer eligible for the excessive sentence. For a judge to "not" at least consider mercy and leniency and forgiveness is wrong.

With all due and the upmost respect I submit this appeal to this court to the best of my knowledge and under the circumstances. I plead this court's indulgence and mercy and aid and pursuant to SCACR 240 B Request that this court submit with clarity its reasons for granting or denying my chance to advance.

With Sincerity May it please the Court
I, ALFRED T. WALKER, 387941 MO127
4460 Broadriver RD
Columbia SC 29210

Conclude,

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
Office of General Counsel
LEGAL/PRIVILEGED/CERTIFIED MAIL DELIVERY LOG

Date Received	Inmate Name and SCDC Number:	Sender's Name and Address	Date Mail/Order to Report Delivered to Inmate	Inmates Recipient's Signature	Inspected/Delivered in Inmate's Presence By:	Comments
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
05/12/22	Alfred T-Walker 307941-LF MOA-127-A	SC Court of Appeals Jenny Abbott Kitchigs, Clerk PO Bx 11629 Columbia SC 29211	5/18/22	[Handwritten Signature]	[Handwritten Signature]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	X	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	X	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Alfred Tyrone Walker, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2019-001842

The Honorable Perry M. Buckner, III

Barnwell County

Trial Court Case No. 2001GS0600118, 2001GS0600119,
2001GS0600120, 2001GS0600121, 2001GS0600123,
2001GS0600134

ORDER

The request for an extension to serve and file a petition for rehearing is granted and extended until May 16, 2022. Pursuant to the order of the Supreme Court of South Carolina dated March 18, 2009 (www.sccourts.org/courtOrders/displayOrder.cfm?orderNo=2009-03-18-01), any further extension request must be based on a showing of good cause.

FOR THE COURT

BY


CLERK

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Alfred Tyrone Walker, 00307941

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

Tommy Evans, Jr., Esquire


FILED
May 09 2022

Donald J. Zelenka, Esquire
Melody Jane Brown, Esquire
John William Weeks, Esquire

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

THIS IS TO INFORM THE COURTS THAT AFTER RECEIVING
the May 18th letter The Broadriver Correctional Institution
placed the housing unit / Monticello on lockdown for
Security Reasons. So I was unable to make it to the
MAILROOM. MY FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO DO SO CAME TODAY
05-27-2022.

I submit now that I have sent one copy
of this appeal to the Attorney General's Office, ONE ALAN
Wilson, postage paid to: P.O. BOX 11549 Columbia SC
29211 AS WELL AS TO THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF
APPEALS. Addressed as follows: South Carolina Court of Appeals Clerk
Jenny A. Kitchings P.O. Box 11629 Columbia SC 29211



May I please the Court

#460 Broadriver Rd BRCI M022
Columbia SC 29210

SWORN OR AFFIRMED TO BEFORE ME THIS
27 day of May, 2022

RECEIVED

MAY 31 2022

SC Court of Appeals



NOTARY PUBLIC

my commission expires: 8/30/2026

A. WALKER 307941 MO 127
4400 Broadriver Rd.
Columbia SC
29210



US POSTAGE by PITNEY BOWES



ZIP 29210 \$ 000.20⁰
02 4W
0000378366 MAY. 27. 2022



RECEIVED SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS
MAY 31 2022 ATTN: Hon. Jenny A. Kitchings clerk
SC Court of Appeals P.O. BOX 11629
Columbia SC

29211

SCDC
Christmas
Packet

2921181623 8012

