THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM OCONEE COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

J. Cordell Maddox, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

Case No. 2014-CP-37-00526
Appellate Case No. 2019-000614

RECEIVED
JAN 22 2020
SC Court of Appeals

Debi Baker Brookshire,

Appellant,

v.

Community First Bank and
Benjamin Hiott,

Defendants,

Of Which, Community First
Bank is

Respondent.

RECORD ON APPEAL
Volume I of III

Warren Blair Giese
SC Bar No. 2219
Kelly L. Giese
SC Bar No. 100089
THE GIESE LAW FIRM, LLC
1315 Blanding Street
Columbia, SC 29201

Jonathan D. Waller
SC Bar No. 76290
Waller Law Group
1116 Blanding Street, Suite 2B
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT

Robert Yates Knowlton, Sr.
SC Bar No. 3589
Elizabeth H. Black
SC Bar No. 76067
1201 Main Street, Suite 2200
Post Office Box 11889 (29211-1889)
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

INDEX

Form 4 Order of August 29, 2017 1
Form 4 Order of September 8, 2017 3
Order of September 26, 2017 5
Form 4 Order of March 22, 2019 11

PLEADINGS

Complaint 12
Community First's Answer 45
Brookshire's Answer to Counterclaims 56
Community First's Motion for Summary Judgment 60
Exhibit A 80
Exhibit B 84
Community First's Memorandum in Support of Summary Judgment 126
Exhibit 1 170
Exhibit 2 286
Exhibit 3 291
Exhibit 4 294
Exhibit 5 297
Exhibit 6 306
Exhibit 7 315
Exhibit 8 318
Exhibit 9 320
Exhibit 10 324
Exhibit 11 327
Exhibit 12 338
Exhibit 13 340
Exhibit 14 343
Exhibit 15 355
Exhibit 16 357
Exhibit 17 362
Exhibit 18 370
Exhibit 19 384

Exhibit 20 386
Exhibit 21 388
Exhibit 22 390
Exhibit 23 392
Exhibit 24 395
Exhibit 25 437
Exhibit 26 447
Exhibit 27 459
Exhibit 28 464
Exhibit 29 467
Exhibit 30 472
Exhibit 31 474
Exhibit 32 482
VOLUME II
Exhibit 33 487
Exhibit 34 500
Exhibit 35 505
Exhibit 36 508
Exhibit 37 589
Exhibit 38 591
Exhibit 39 596
Exhibit 40 598
Exhibit 41 636
Exhibit 42 644
Exhibit 43 649
Exhibit 44 658
Exhibit 45 712
Exhibit 46 740
Exhibit 47 754
Exhibit 48 770
Exhibit 49 777
Exhibit 50 780
Exhibit 51 782

Exhibit 52 808
Exhibit 53 810
Exhibit 54 817
Exhibit 55 820
Exhibit 56 822
Brookshire's Memorandum in Opposition to Summary Judgment 825
Exhibit A 866
Exhibit B 882
Exhibit C 887
Exhibit D 911
Exhibit E 915
Exhibit F 932
Exhibit G 935
Exhibit H 938
Exhibit I 942
Exhibit J 944
Exhibit K 949
Exhibit L 953
Exhibit M 956
Exhibit N 958
Exhibit O 960
Exhibit P 963
Exhibit Q 965
Exhibit R 967
Exhibit S 971
Exhibit T 973
Exhibit U 976
Exhibit V 978
VOLUME III
Exhibit W 981
Exhibit X 988
Exhibit Y 990
Exhibit Z 998

Exhibit AA 1002
Exhibit BB 1006
Exhibit CC 1010
Exhibit DD 1014
Exhibit EE 1018
Exhibit FF 1041
Exhibit GG 1043
Exhibit HH 1046
Exhibit II 1056
Exhibit JJ 1060
Exhibit KK 1065
Exhibit LL 1087
Exhibit MM 1093
Exhibit NN 1096
Exhibit OO 1099
Exhibit PP 1102
Exhibit QQ 1107
Exhibit RR 1111
Exhibit SS 1115
Exhibit TT 1117
Exhibit UU 1119
Exhibit VV 1123
Exhibit WW 1131
Community First's Reply Memorandum in Further Support of Summary Judgment .. 1137
Exhibit 57 1148
Exhibit 58 1167
Exhibit 59 1171
Exhibit 60 1177
Exhibit 61 1187
Exhibit 62 1191
Brookshire's Motion to Alter or Amend the Judgment Pursuant to Rule 59(e), SCRCP and Support Memorandum 1197

TRANSCRIPTS

Summary Judgment Motion Hearing Transcript heard on December 13, 2016 1208

Motion to Alter or Amend Pursuant to 59(e) Hearing Transcript heard on December 6, 2017 1296

Court's Exhibit 1 from December 6, 2017 Hearing 1334

April 17, 2017 email from Law Clerk Courtney R. Huether to all counsel 1335

Brookshire's Email, Letter, and Certificate of Service of October 19, 2017 1336

Certificate of Appellant 1339

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF Oconee
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2014-CP-37-00526

Debi Baker Brookshire
PLAINTIFF(S)

Community First Bank Inc.
Benjamin Lee Hiott
DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: The Honorable J. Cordell Maddox, Jr.	Attorney for : ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant or ☒ Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

☐ **JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.

☒ **DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. ☐ See Page 2 for additional information.

☐ **ACTION DISMISSED** *(CHECK REASON)*: ☐ Rule 12(b), SCRCP; ☐ Rule 41(a), SCRCP (Vol. Nonsuit); ☐ Rule 43(k), SCRCP (Settled); ☐ Other

☐ **ACTION STRICKEN** *(CHECK REASON)*: ☐ Rule 40(j), SCRCP; ☐ Bankruptcy; ☐ Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; ☐ Other

☐ **STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**

☐ **DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT** *(CHECK APPLICABLE BOX)*: ☐ Affirmed; ☐ Reversed; ☐ Remanded; ☐ Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: ☐ See attached order (formal order to follow) ☐ Statement of Judgment by the Court.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ☐ ends ☐ does not end the case.
Additional Information for the Clerk : All Motions for Summary Judgment are Denied.

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)
		$
		$
		$

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. **Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.**

E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the Court will electronically sign this form using a separate electronic signature page.

D. Maddox — 2131 — 8/29/17

Circuit Court Judge | **Judge Code** | **Date**

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Aug 29 4:44 PM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Aug 29 4:44 PM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on the day of , 20 and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this day of , 20 to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

CLERK OF COURT

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgement to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING DECISION BY THE COURT AS REFERENCED ON PAGE 1.

This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF OCONEE
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2014 CP-37-00526

Debi Baker Brookshire
PLAINTIFF(S)

Community First Bank Inc.
Benjamin Lee Hiott
DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: The Honorable J. Cordell Maddox, Jr.	Attorney for : ☐ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant or ☐ Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

☐ **JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.

☒ **DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. ☒ See Page 2 for additional information.

☐ **ACTION DISMISSED** (*CHECK REASON*): ☐ Rule 12(b), SCRCP; ☐ Rule 41(a), SCRCP (Vol. Nonsuit); ☐ Rule 43(k), SCRCP (Settled); ☐ Other

☐ **ACTION STRICKEN** (*CHECK REASON*): ☐ Rule 40(j), SCRCP; ☐ Bankruptcy; ☐ Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; ☐ Other

☐ **STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**

☐ **DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT** (*CHECK APPLICABLE BOX*): ☐ Affirmed; ☐ Reversed; ☐ Remanded; ☐ Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: ☐ See attached order (formal order to follow) ☐ Statement of Judgment by the Court:

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ☐ ends ☐ does not end the case.
Additional Information for the Clerk: Benjamin Lee Hiott's Motion for Summary Judgment is Denied. Formal Order to Follow.

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)
		$
		$
		$

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. **Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.**
E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the Court will electronically sign this form using a separate electronic signature page.

Circuit Court Judge | 2131 Judge Code | 8/7/17 Date

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Sep 08 9:32 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the Court will electronically sign this form using a separate electronic signature page.

Circuit Court Judge | Judge Code | Date

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on the day of , 20 and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on this day of , 20 to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)

CLERK OF COURT

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgement to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING DECISION BY THE COURT AS REFERENCED ON PAGE 1.

This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.

The Order entered and filed on August 29, 2017, at 4:44 PM contained an error in denying "all motions" for summary judgment. This Order replaces and supersedes in its entirety that August 29, 2017 Order.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Sep 08 9:32 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Sep 26 11:30 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF OCONEE

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Debi Baker Brookshire,

Plaintiff,

v.

Community First Bank, Inc. and Benjamin Lee Hiott,

Defendants.

Case No. 2014-CP-37-526

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DENYING DEFENDANT BENJAMIN LEE HIOTT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

This matter is before the Court on Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s ("Community First") Motion for Summary Judgment on all claims ("Community First's Motion"), filed October 13, 2016, and Defendant Benjamin Lee Hiott's ("Hiott") Motion for Summary Judgment ("Hiott's Motion"), filed October 19, 2016. The Court heard oral argument on these Motions on December 13, 2016. Appearing for Plaintiff Debi Baker Brookshire ("Plaintiff") were W. Barney Giese, Esq. and M. David Scott, Esq. Appearing for Community First were Robert Y. Knowlton, Esq. and Elizabeth H. Black, Esq., and appearing for Hiott was Daryl G. Hawkins, Esq. Prior to or during the December 13, 2016 hearing, Community First submitted a Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment, a Reply Memorandum in Further Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment, a combined 61 exhibits, and presented two demonstrative exhibits during oral argument. Prior to or during the December 13, 2016 hearing, Plaintiff submitted a Memorandum in Opposition to Defendant CFB and Defendant Hiott's Motions for Summary Judgment along with 49 exhibits.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Sep 26 11:30 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

As to Community First's Motion, after considering these various submissions and the arguments of counsel, Community First's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED as to all claims for the reasons set forth in its motion, memoranda, and arguments, as follows:

1. Plaintiff's power of attorney to Hiott bars her claims against Community First because there is no genuine issue of material fact that, by the power of attorney, Plaintiff authorized Hiott to take all of the actions he did with regard to Plaintiff's account at Community First, and the law imposes no duty on Community First to monitor or regulate Hiott's activities and decisions undertaken pursuant to the power of attorney.

2. Plaintiff's claims that Hiott's disbursements from Plaintiff's account at Community First were unauthorized are time barred under the Uniform Commercial Code because there is no genuine issue of material fact that Plaintiff failed to timely challenge the disbursements.

3. All of Plaintiff's claims are time barred pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 15-3-530(5) because there is no genuine issue of material fact that Plaintiff received statements for the subject account more than three years prior to August 1, 2014, and Plaintiff believed that Hiott had misappropriated her funds or otherwise was untruthful with her about her affairs more than three years prior to August 1, 2014.

4. S.C. Code Ann. § 15-3-110 does not apply to this matter for the reasons outlined in Community First's Reply Memorandum.

5. Neither equitable tolling nor equitable estoppel apply to save Plaintiff's time-barred claims for the reasons outlined in Community First's memoranda.

6. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's breach of fiduciary duty cause of action because there is no genuine issue of material fact that Community First did not owe fiduciary duties to Plaintiff with regard to the checking account at issue in this matter. Further,

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Sep 26 11:30 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

there is no genuine issue of material fact that Hiott was not serving as Community First's agent in making disbursements from Plaintiff's account at Community First, but was rather acting as Plaintiff's agent under the power of attorney Plaintiff gave to Hiott.

7. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for conversion for the reasons outlined in Community First's motion and memoranda.

8. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for negligent supervision for the reasons outlined in Community First's motion and memoranda.

9. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for negligence/gross negligence for the reasons outlined in Community First's motion and memoranda.

10. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for breach of contract accompanied by a fraudulent act for the reasons outlined in Community First's motion and memoranda.

11. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for an accounting for the reasons outlined in Community First's motion and memoranda.

12. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on its offset and counterclaims against Plaintiff in the total amount of $780,855.58 for the reasons outlined in Community First's motion and memoranda. Further, there is no genuine issue of material fact that the statute of limitations does not bar Community First's counterclaim.

As to Hiott's Motion for Summary Judgment, after considering these various submissions and the arguments of counsel, Hiott's Motion for Summary Judgment is DENIED.

This Order further replaces and supersedes in its entirety the Form 4 Order entered on August 29, 2017, as that August 29, 2017 Order contained an error in denying "all motions" for

summary judgment. This Order is supplemental to the Form 4 Order entered on September 8, 2017, and constitutes the forthcoming formal order referenced therein.

It is therefore ORDERED:

1. Community First is granted summary judgment in its favor on all claims asserted against it, and Plaintiff's claims against Community First are hereby dismissed with prejudice.

2. Community First is granted summary judgment in its favor on its counterclaims asserted against Plaintiff, and a judgment in the principal amount of $780,855.58 is hereby rendered in Community First's favor and against Plaintiff.

3. Pursuant to Rule 54(b), SCRCP, this Order is a final judgment as to all of Plaintiff's claims against Community First and as to all of Community First's claims against Plaintiff. There is no just reason for delay, and the Clerk is hereby directed to so enter such final judgment.

4. Hiott's motion for summary judgment is denied.

5. The Form 4 Order entered on August 29, 2017, is hereby replaced and superseded in in its entirety by this Order.

And it is so ordered.

_____
The Honorable J. Cordell Maddox, Jr.
Judge, Tenth Judicial Circuit

Dated: _____, 2017

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Sep 26 11:30 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2017 Sep 26 11:30 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526



Oconee Common Pleas

Case Caption: Debi Baker Brookshire VS Community First Bank Inc , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2014CP3700526

Type: Order/Other

So Ordered

s/ J. Cordell Maddox Jr.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
	)	TENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
COUNTY OF OCONEE	)	
	)	CASE NO.: 2014-CP-37-00526
	)	
Debi Baker Brookshire,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
	)	TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT
Community First Bank, Inc., et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

This matter came before the Court through the Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment. After careful consideration and review, the Court finds that the Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment should be denied.

It is hereby ordered that the Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

_____

The Honorable J. Cordell Maddox, Jr.
South Carolina Tenth Judicial Circuit Court Judge

Anderson, South Carolina

_____, 2019

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2019 Mar 22 4:01 PM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2019 Mar 22 4:01 PM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526



Oconee Common Pleas

Case Caption:	Debi Baker Brookshire VS Community First Bank Inc , defendant, et al
Case Number:	2014CP3700526
Type:	Order/Other

So Ordered

s/ J. Cordell Maddox Jr.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
) IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
COUNTY OF OCONEE)

FILED OCONEE, SC
BEVERLY H. WHITFIELD
CLERK OF COURT

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE
Plaintiff(s)

2014 SEP -8 P 3:21

CIVIL ACTION COVERSHEET

2014-CP-37-526

vs.

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, INC. AND BENJAMIN LEE HIOTT

Defendant(s)

Submitted By: W. Barney Giese
Address: The Giese Law Firm, LLC
1315 Blanding Street, Columbia, SC 29201

SC Bar #: 2219
Telephone #: (803)708-6767
Fax #: (803) 708-6769
Other:
E-mail: barneygiese@gmail.com

NOTE: The coversheet and information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of docketing. It must be filled out completely, signed, and dated. A copy of this coversheet must be served on the defendant(s) along with the Summons and Complaint.

DOCKETING INFORMATION (*Check all that apply)*

***If Action is Judgment/Settlement do not complete*

☒ **JURY TRIAL** demanded in complaint. ☐ **NON-JURY TRIAL** demanded in complaint.
☐ This case is subject to **ARBITRATION** pursuant to the Court Annexed Alternative Dispute Resolution Rules.
☒ This case is subject to **MEDIATION** pursuant to the Court Annexed Alternative Dispute Resolution Rules.
☐ This case is exempt from ADR. (Proof of ADR/Exemption Attached)

NATURE OF ACTION (*Check One Box Below*)

Contracts
- ☐ Constructions (100)
- ☐ Debt Collection (110)
- ☐ Employment (120)
- ☐ General (130)
- ☐ Breach of Contract (140)
- ☐ Other (199)

Torts - Professional Malpractice
- ☐ Dental Malpractice (200)
- ☐ Legal Malpractice (210)
- ☐ Medical Malpractice (220)

Previous Notice of Intent Case # 20____-CP-____-_____
- ☐ Notice/ File Med Mal (230)
- ☐ Other (299)

Torts – Personal Injury
- ☐ Assault/Slander/Libel (300)
- ☒ Conversion (310)
- ☐ Motor Vehicle Accident (320)
- ☐ Premises Liability (330)
- ☐ Products Liability (340)
- ☐ Personal Injury (350)
- ☐ Wrongful Death (360)
- ☐ Other (399)

Real Property
- ☐ Claim & Delivery (400)
- ☐ Condemnation (410)
- ☐ Foreclosure (420)
- ☐ Mechanic's Lien (430)
- ☐ Partition (440)
- ☐ Possession (450)
- ☐ Building Code Violation (460)
- ☐ Other (499)

Inmate Petitions
- ☐ PCR (500)
- ☐ Mandamus (520)
- ☐ Habeas Corpus (530)
- ☐ Other (599)

Administrative Law/Relief
- ☐ Reinstate Drv. License (800)
- ☐ Judicial Review (810)
- ☐ Relief (820)
- ☐ Permanent Injunction (830)
- ☐ Forfeiture-Petition (840)
- ☐ Forfeiture Consent Order (850)
- ☐ Other (899)

Judgments/Settlements
- ☐ Death Settlement (700)
- ☐ Foreign Judgment (710)
- ☐ Magistrate's Judgment (720)
- ☐ Minor Settlement (730)
- ☐ Transcript Judgment (740)
- ☐ Lis Pendens (750)
- ☐ Transfer of Structured Settlement Payment Rights Application (760)
- ☐ Confession of Judgment (770)
- ☐ Petition for Workers Compensation Settlement Approval (780)
- ☐ Other (799)

Appeals
- ☐ Arbitration (900)
- ☐ Magistrate-Civil (910)
- ☐ Magistrate-Criminal (920)
- ☐ Municipal (930)
- ☐ Probate Court (940)
- ☐ SCDOT (950)
- ☐ Worker's Comp (960)
- ☐ Zoning Board (970)
- ☐ Public Service Comm. (990)
- ☐ Employment Security Comm (991)
- ☐ Other (999)

Special/Complex /Other
- ☐ Environmental (600)
- ☐ Automobile Arb. (610)
- ☐ Medical (620)
- ☐ Other (699)
- ☐ Pharmaceuticals (630)
- ☐ Unfair Trade Practices (640)
- ☐ Out-of State Depositions (650)
- ☐ Motion to Quash Subpoena in an Out-of-County Action (660)
- ☐ Sexual Predator (510)

Copies to: pd 150.00
Atty____(P)____(P)
DSS____other____County
Mailed____Boxed____handed ✓

Submitting Party Signature: W. B. G. **Date:** 09/08/2014

Note: Frivolous civil proceedings may be subject to sanctions pursuant to SCRCP, Rule 11, and the South Carolina Frivolous Civil Proceedings Sanctions Act, S.C. Code Ann. §15-36-10 et. seq.

FOR MANDATED ADR COUNTIES ONLY

Aiken, Allendale, Anderson, Bamberg, Barnwell, Beaufort, Berkeley, Calhoun, Charleston, Cherokee, Clarendon, Colleton, Darlington, Dorchester, Florence, Georgetown, Greenville, Hampton, Horry, Jasper, Kershaw, Lee, Lexington, Marion, Oconee, Orangeburg, Pickens, Richland, Spartanburg, Sumter, Union, Williamsburg, and York

SUPREME COURT RULES REQUIRE THE SUBMISSION OF ALL CIVIL CASES TO AN ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCESS, UNLESS OTHERWISE EXEMPT.

You are required to take the following action(s):

1. The parties shall select a neutral and file a "Proof of ADR" form on or by the 210th day of the filing of this action. If the parties have not selected a neutral within 210 days, the Clerk of Court shall then appoint a primary and secondary mediator from the current roster on a rotating basis from among those mediators agreeing to accept cases in the county in which the action has been filed.

2. The initial ADR conference must be held within 300 days after the filing of the action.

3. Pre-suit medical malpractice mediations required by S.C. Code §15-79-125 shall be held not later than 120 days after all defendants are served with the "Notice of Intent to File Suit" or as the court directs. (Medical malpractice mediation is mandatory statewide.)

4. Cases are exempt from ADR only upon the following grounds:

    a. Special proceeding, or actions seeking extraordinary relief such as mandamus, habeas corpus, or prohibition;

    b. Requests for temporary relief;

    c. Appeals

    d. Post Conviction relief matters;

    e. Contempt of Court proceedings;

    f. Forfeiture proceedings brought by governmental entities;

    g. Mortgage foreclosures; and

    h. Cases that have been previously subjected to an ADR conference, unless otherwise required by Rule 3 or by statute.

5. In cases not subject to ADR, the Chief Judge for Administrative Purposes, upon the motion of the court or of any party, may order a case to mediation.

6. Motion of a party to be exempt from payment of neutral fees due to indigency should be filed with the Court within ten (10) days after the ADR conference has been concluded.

Please Note: **You must comply with the Supreme Court Rules regarding ADR. Failure to do so may affect your case or may result in sanctions.**

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
	)	
COUNTY OF OCONEE	)	Case No.: 2014-CP-37-526
Debi Baker Brookshire,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	**SUMMONS**
	)	**(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)**
Community First Bank, Inc. and Benjamin Lee Hiott	)	
	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

FILED OCONEE,SC
BEVERLY H. WHITFIELD
CLERK OF COURT
2014 SEP -8 P 3:21

TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANTS:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to answer the Complaint in this action of which a copy is herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your answer to said Complaint on the subscribed at 1315 Blanding Street, Columbia, South Carolina 29201 within thirty (30) days after the service hereof; exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the Complaint within the time aforesaid, judgment by default will be rendered against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

W. Barney Giese, Esquire
The Giese Law Firm, LLC
1315 Blanding Street
Columbia South Carolina 29201
(803) 708-6767

ATTORNEY FOR THE PLAINTIFF

Columbia, South Carolina
September 8, 2014

ENTERED
NJ

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF OCONEE)

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Case No.: 2014-CP-37-526

Debi Baker Brookshire,

Plaintiff,

v.

Community First Bank, Inc. and Benjamin Lee Hiott

Defendants.

COMPLAINT
(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

FILED OCONEE CO
BEVERLY H. HUTCHENS
CLERK OF COURT
2014 SEP -8 P 3:21

TO: DEFENDANTS ABOVE NAMED:

The Plaintiff, Debi Baker Brookshire, by her undersigned attorneys, complains of the Defendants, Community First Bank, Inc. and Benjamin Lee Hiott as follows:

PARTIES

1. The Plaintiff Debi Baker Brookshire is a resident of Beaufort County, South Carolina.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., is a corporation organized pursuant to the laws of South Carolina and having its principal place of business in the State of South Carolina.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Benjamin Lee Hiott is a citizen and resident of Oconee County, South Carolina.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

4. The Court of Common Pleas has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to the South Carolina Constitution, Article V, section 11.

5. Venue is proper in this county as Defendant Benjamin Lee Hiott resides in this county and the majority of acts and omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this

NJ

County.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

6. On May 25, 2007, Mrs. Brookshire executed a General Power of Attorney naming Benjamin Hiott as her Power of Attorney, and granting Defendant Hiott broad powers to manage the Plaintiff's assets.

7. The Power of Attorney gave rise to a fiduciary duty owed to the Plaintiff by Defendant Hiott. However, the Power of Attorney provided that Defendant Hiott "shall be liable for willful misconduct or the failure to act in good faith while acting under the authority of this Power of Attorney."

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant Hiott was hired as the Senior Vice President at Defendant Community First Bank before June 26, 2007. He served as the Senior Vice President until late 2013.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Hiott recruited and convinced Plaintiff to sign the above-referenced Power of Attorney and transfer her money from BB&T in Trust to Defendant Community First Bank and but for Defendant Hiott's position as a Vice President at Defendant Community First Bank, Plaintiff would not have transferred the funds into Defendant Community First Bank.

10. Upon information and belief, the Plaintiff settled and created a revocable trust on June 22, 2007. The trust named the Plaintiff as the trustee and beneficiary of the trust (hereinafter, "the Trust").

11. On June 26, 2007, all Trust assets, $1,171,823.58 in cash or cash equivalents, were transferred from BB&T Bank to Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.

12. In addition to the initial amount placed into the Trust, Plaintiff received a monthly

payment from Baker & Baker, a business owned in part by the Plaintiff's family, in excess of $5,000. Each month between June 2007 and February 2011, this amount was remitted to Defendant Hiott by the Plaintiff to be deposited into the Trust. Plaintiff also received larger disbursements from Baker & Baker during this time which were also remitted to Defendant Hiott by the Plaintiff to be deposited into the Trust.

13. On July 12, 2007, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $500,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via cashier's check issued by Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., to Joseph Crosby, Jr. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

14. Upon information and belief, Defendant Hiott frequently withdrew sums of money from the Trust and used the funds for his personal benefit. Defendant Community First Bank participated and acquiesced in this scheme by granting Defendant Hiott access to the Plaintiff's accounts and to the accounts of other bank customers.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant Hiott, by reason of his managerial position within the bank, could manipulate account balances electronically, and often altered account balances by transferring assets from one customer to another in order to avoid detection and to conceal his fraudulent actions. Plaintiff was a victim of Defendant Hiott's scheme, as set forth more fully herein.

16. Upon information and belief, Defendant Hiott, by reason of his managerial position within Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., could buy and sell bank stock shares by transferring assets from one customer to another via journal entries. Upon information and belief, Defendant Hiott was not licensed to buy and sell stock shares.

17. By August 24, 2010, the Trust balance, on deposit with Community First Bank, was at $0.00.

18. In addition to the $500,000 transferred to Joseph Crosby, Jr., as set forth in paragraph 13, above, Defendant Hiott transferred an additional sum of at least $300,000 to Joseph Crosby, Jr., from the Trust, and the Trust received nothing of value in return.

19. On October 31, 2007, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $50,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer, to Upstate Real Estate's account held at Defendant Community First Bank. Upstate Real Estate is incorporated in South Carolina and it is believed to be owned by Defendant Hiott's brother-in-law. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

20. On November 9, 2007, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $2,500 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer into his and his wife's personal account at Defendant Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

21. On November 14, 2007, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $2,500 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer, to Hilltop, Inc.'s account held at Defendant Community First Bank. Hilltop, Inc. is a commercial printing business incorporated in South Carolina and believed to be owned and operated by Marc Hiott, Defendant's Hiott's brother. According to the South Carolina Secretary of State, Defendant Hiott is the registered agent for this company. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in

exchange for this withdrawal.

22. On November 19, 2007, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $888.68 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via cashier's check issued by Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. to Litton Loan Servicing for "Robert's Loan 0014257190." Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

23. On November 28, 2007, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $200,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via cashier's check issued by Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., to Joseph Crosby, Jr. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

24. On December 31, 2007, Defendant Hiott or another employee of Defendant Community First Bank, at all times acting within the scope and course of their employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $310,510.75 from the Trust. Upon information and belief, Defendant Community First Bank cannot provide any documentation as to where these funds went and how these funds were used. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

25. On January 17, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $5,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer to Marc Hiott's (Defendant Hiott's brother) Community First Bank account for "invoice 121707". Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

26. On January 23, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of

his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $4,275.16 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via cashier's check issued by Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. to Sue C. Hiott. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

27. On February 13, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $23,608.75 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer to Ridge Clearing & Outsourcing Solutions' account at the Bank of New York. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

28. On February 29, 2008, Defendant Hiott or another employee of Defendant Community First Bank, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., made two separate withdrawals in the amounts of $4.00 and $8,500 from the Trust. Upon information and belief, Defendant Community First Bank cannot provide any documentation as to where these funds went and how these funds were used. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

29. On March 3, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $8,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer into his and his wife's personal account at Defendant Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

30. On March 13, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with the Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $16,000

from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer into William Steele's account held at Defendant Community First Bank. William Steele is a Senior Vice President of Defendant Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

31. On March 13, 2008, Defendant Hiott or another employee of Defendant Community First Bank, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $2,224 from the Trust. Upon information and belief, Defendant Community First Bank cannot provide any documentation as to where these funds went and how these funds were used. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

32. Upon information and belief, the $14,686.31 withdrawal from the Trust on March 27, 2008 labeled "loan," with no documentation other than the monthly statement linked to it, was for the purpose of making a payment on a loan with Defendant Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, Defendant Hiott was making payments for Joseph C. Crosby's loan with Defendant Community First Bank from the Trust, thereby providing a financial benefit to Defendant Community First Bank. The Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

33. On March 31, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $550 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer into his and his wife's personal account at Defendant Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

34. On April 10, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of

his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $15,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer to Terry Russell's Bank of America account. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

35. On April 25, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. withdrew $7,922 from the Trust and delivered this sum, believed to be via official check, to R T Harris, III in exchange for "466 S/S CFB". Upon information and belief, S/S CFB represents "shares sold Community First Bank". Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal and the Plaintiff never received any type of stock certificate representing these Community First Bank shares.

36. Furthermore, Plaintiff also believes that a $29,372.62 withdrawal from the Trust on April 29, 2008 labeled "loan payment," with no documentation other than the monthly statement linked to it, was for the purpose of making two payments on the above referenced loan (see Paragraph 32 of this Complaint) with Defendant Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

37. On May 5, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $150,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer into Joseph C. Crosby, Jr.'s account held at Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

38. On May 5, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. withdrew $7,922 from the

Trust and delivered this sum, believed to be via official check, to Amanda Harris in exchange for "466 S/S CFB". Upon information and belief, S/S CFB represents "shares sold Community First Bank". Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal and the Plaintiff never received any type of stock certificate representing these Community First Bank shares.

39. On July 22, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $12,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via "journal entry" into Joseph C. Crosby, Jr. and Kristina Crosby's personal account held at Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

40. On July 22, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. withdrew $756 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via official check, to Betty Padgett for "48 S/S CFB". Upon information and belief, S/S CFB represents "shares sold Community First Bank". Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal and the Plaintiff never received any type of stock certificate representing these Community First Bank shares.

41. On July 30, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $888.68 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via cashier's check issued by Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. to Litton Loan Servicing for "Robert's Loan 0014257190." Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

42. On or about August 26, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and

course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. withdrew $10,240.29 from the Trust and delivered this sum, believed to be via official check, to Robert W. McCorkle for "621 S/S CFB". Upon information and belief, S/S CFB represents "shares sold Community First Bank". Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal and the Plaintiff never received any type of stock certificate representing these Community First Bank shares.

43. On August 28, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $14,686.31 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer into a Community First Bank account for the purpose of making a payment on loan number 27051, Joseph Crosby Jr.'s loan with Community First Bank (see Paragraph 32 of this Complaint). Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

44. On August 29, 2008, Defendant Hiott or another employee of Defendant Community First Bank, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $65,000 from the Trust. Upon information and belief, Defendant Community First Bank cannot provide any documentation as to where these funds went and how these funds were used. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

45. On September 16, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., made two withdrawals, one in the amount of $2,103.36 and the other in the amount of $12,528.79 from the Trust and delivered these sums, via wire transfer to Cargill Meats. Defendant Hiott attempted to conceal these two transactions by originally charging the debits to CLCB, Inc.,

however, it was later discovered that the funds actually were withdrawn from the Trust. CLCB (Coach's Low County Brands) is incorporated in South Carolina and owned and operated by Joseph Crosby, Jr. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for these withdrawals.

46. On September 17, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $15,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer to Naturally Fresh, Inc. Defendant Hiott attempted to conceal this transaction by originally charging the debit to CLCB, Inc., however, it was later discovered that the funds actually were withdrawn from the Trust. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

47. On or around September 30, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. withdrew $30,195 from the Trust and delivered this sum, believed to be via official check, to Larry C. Brandt for "2013 S/S CFB". Upon information and belief, S/S CFB represents "shares sold Community First Bank". Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal and the Plaintiff never received any type of stock certificate representing these Community First Bank shares.

48. On October 21, 2008, Defendant Hiott or another employee of Defendant Community First Bank, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $2,500 from the Trust. Upon information and belief, Defendant Community First Bank cannot provide any documentation as to where these funds went and how these funds were used. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

49. On October 24, 2008, Defendant Hiott or another employee of Defendant Community First Bank, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $3,500 from the Trust. Upon information and belief, Defendant Community First Bank cannot provide any documentation as to where these funds went and how these funds were used. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

50. On November 1, 2008, Defendant Hiott or another employee of Defendant Community First Bank, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $85,000 from the Trust. Upon information and belief, Defendant Community First Bank cannot provide any documentation as to where these funds went and how these funds were used. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

51. On November 3, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $16,560 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer to Rock Foods of Mississippi. Defendant Hiott attempted to conceal this transaction by originally charging the debits to CLCB, Inc., however, it was later discovered that the funds actually were withdrawn from the Trust. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

52. On or about November 21, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. withdrew $27,573 from the Trust and delivered this sum, believed to be via official check, to William J. Zearley for "2,121 S/S CFB Purchase Stock". Upon information and belief, S/S CFB

represents "shares sold Community First Bank". Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal and the Plaintiff never received any type of stock certificate representing these Community First Bank shares.

53. On December 17, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $16,500 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via cashier's check issued by Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. to Terry Russell. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

54. Also on December 17, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $21,500 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer to CLCB, LLC's account held at Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

55. On or around December 26, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. withdrew $40,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via journal entry, to account number 1222001 at Defendant Community First Bank for "stock sale". Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal and the Plaintiff never received any type of stock certificate representing these Community First Bank shares.

56. On or about December 26, 2008, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. withdrew $200 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via journal entry, to Betty and Hugh Able's account at Defendant Community First Bank for "stock sale". Upon information and

belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal and the Plaintiff never received any type of stock certificate representing these Community First Bank shares.

57. On January 8, 2009, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $17,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer to Terry Russell's Bank of America account. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

58. On January 15, 2009, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $7,500 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via cashier's check to Terry Russell for "work on house". Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

59. On January 20, 2009, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $12,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer to Terry Russell's Bank of America account. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

60. On January 29, 2009, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $2,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer into his and his wife's personal account at Defendant Community First Bank for "RV Repairs". Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

61. On May 14, 2009, Defendant Hiott or another employee of Defendant Community First

Bank, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $10,000 from the Trust. Upon information and belief, Defendant Community First Bank cannot provide any documentation as to where these funds went and how these funds were used. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

62. On June 5, 2009, Defendant Hiott or another employee of Defendant Community First Bank, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $25,387.20 from the Trust. Upon information and belief, Defendant Community First Bank cannot provide any documentation as to where these funds went and how these funds were used. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

63. On July 17, 2009, Defendant Hiott or another employee of Defendant Community First Bank, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $1,000 from the Trust. Upon information and belief, Defendant Community First Bank cannot provide any documentation as to where these funds went and how these funds were used. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

64. On September 2, 2009, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $151.00 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer to Marc Hiott's (Defendant Hiott's brother) Community First Bank account. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

65. On September 23, 2009, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course

of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $5,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer to Keith Norton's Community First Bank account. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

66. On November 18, 2009, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $500 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via journal entry transfer into his and his wife's personal account at Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

67. On December 14, 2009, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $5,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer to Hilltop, Inc.'s account held at Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

68. On December 21, 2009, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $4,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via journal entry transfer into his and his wife's personal account at Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

69. On December 28, 2009, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $3,500 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via journal entry transfer into his and his wife's personal account at Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of

value in exchange for this withdrawal.

70. On February 8, 2010, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $4,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via journal entry transfer into Marc Hiott's (Defendant Hiott's brother) Community First Bank account. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

71. On February 17, 2010, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $5,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via journal entry transfer into his and his wife's personal account at Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

72. On February 22, 2010, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $500 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via journal entry transfer into his and his wife's personal account at Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

73. On February 25, 2010, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $500 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via journal entry transfer into his and his wife's personal account at Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

74. On March 17, 2010, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $2,000 from

the Trust and delivered this sum, via journal entry transfer into his and his wife's personal account at Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

75. On April 13, 2010, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $4,000 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via journal entry transfer into his and his wife's personal account at Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

76. On April 14, 2010, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $3,500 from the Trust and delivered this sum, via wire transfer to Hilltop, Inc.'s account held at Community First Bank. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

77. On June 2, 2010, Defendant Hiott or another employee of Defendant Community First Bank, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $3,100 from the Trust. Upon information and belief, Defendant Community First Bank cannot provide any documentation as to where these funds went and how these funds were used. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

78. On June 15, 2010, Defendant Hiott or another employee of Defendant Community First Bank, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., withdrew $3,000 from the Trust. Upon information and belief, Defendant Community First Bank cannot provide any documentation as where these

funds went and how these funds were used. Upon information and belief, the Trust received nothing of value in exchange for this withdrawal.

79. On August 23, 2010, Plaintiff, through counsel, requested an accounting of the Trust assets from Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.

80. On September 7, 2010, Defendant Hiott sent via facsimile to Plaintiff's attorney a purported "accounting." This "accounting" consisted of a generic list of amounts withdrawn from the Trust with no explanation of the withdrawals, and with no accounting of the monthly deposits Plaintiff received from Baker & Baker and instructed Defendant Hiott to place into the Trust.

81. On September 21, 2010, Plaintiff Brookshire's attorney again requested a formal accounting of the Trust assets on deposit at Community First Bank. Plaintiff Brookshire's attorney never received a formal accounting.

82. Upon information and belief, Defendant Hiott, acting within the scope and course of his employment with Defendant Community First Bank, closed the Trust between October 1, 2010 and October 19, 2010 without informing the Plaintiff of this action as well as failing to disclose that the Trust had a balance of zero.

83. On or around October 21, 2010, Defendant Hiott sent Plaintiff's counsel a letter stating that he had set aside money for Plaintiff's two children. In the letter, Defendant Hiott indicated he would be glad to send documentation reflecting the whereabouts of this money. Upon information and belief, these documents do not exist.

84. On December 1, 2010, Plaintiff's previous attorney and counsel for Defendant Community First Bank, spoke on the phone. Defendant Community First Bank's counsel advised that he would send a full accounting of the Plaintiff's Trust account. Plaintiff

Brookshire's attorney never received a formal accounting.

85. On February 17, 2011, Plaintiff's previous attorney sent Defendant Community First Bank's counsel a letter requesting Plaintiff's Trust account at Defendant Community First Bank be closed and a check made out to Plaintiff for all the funds remaining in the account be issued and personally delivered to Plaintiff's previous attorney on February 22, 2011.

86. On February 22, 2011, Defendant Hiott, at all times acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., re-opened Plaintiff's Trust. Defendant Hiott, using his managerial position in Defendant Community First Bank, transferred $285,233.34 from account number 1171001 at Defendant Community First Bank, via journal entry, into the Trust.

87. On February 22, 2011, Plaintiff's previous attorney closed the account and received from Defendant Community First Bank a check in the amount of $285,233.34. This amount allegedly represented all of the assets of the Trust.

88. Upon information and belief, at no time during the existence of the Trust did Plaintiff receive monthly, quarterly or annual statements detailing the financial status of, or balance remaining in, the Trust account.

89. On March 11, 2011, Plaintiff's previous attorney sent a letter to counsel for Defendant Community First Bank notifying him of the termination of her representation with Plaintiff as well as requesting that he send the documents requested to Plaintiff at her home address. The letter requested copies of Plaintiff's monthly account statements for December 2010 through the date of the letter, copies of cancelled checks or wire transfer requests for all transfers out of Plaintiff's account $50,000 or greater, and copies of all documentation regarding the purchase and subsequent cashing out of a single-premium annuity purchased by Defendant Hiott for

Plaintiff.

90. On November 27, 2012, Tabor Investigative Services phoned Carol Wilson, an employee of Defendant Community First Bank, and requested documents from Plaintiff Brookshire's Trust account. Ms. Wilson requested that a signed "Authorization to Release Records" be faxed to her for her review and that she would contact Tabor when she received the authorization. Tabor Investigative Services faxed an executed Authorization and request the same day to Ms. Wilson.

91. As of the date this Complaint is filed, Tabor Investigative Services has yet to receive a response to this request from Ms. Wilson or any employee or representative of Defendant Community First Bank.

92. On March 19, 2013, Plaintiff, through counsel, sent a letter to Defendant Hiott requesting an accounting of the Trust assets and a meeting. Copies of this letter were also sent to Frederick D. Shepherd, Jr., the President of Defendant Community First Bank; James E. McCoy, the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Community First Bank, and Carol Wilson, an employee of Defendant Community First Bank.

93. Sometime between March 19, 2013 and May 7, 2013, Defendant Hiott telephoned Plaintiff's counsel and represented that the $500,000 transfer described in paragraph 13, above, was put into two separate annuities for Plaintiff's two children and that the annuities were placed with Nationwide Insurance Agency.

94. However, Nationwide Insurance has no annuities payable to the Plaintiff or her children.

95. On May 7, 2013, Billy Tabor from Tabor Investigative Services met with Defendant Hiott in his office at Community First Bank. In this meeting, Defendant Hiott informed Tabor that he wrote a check for $500,000 to Mr. Holcolmbe of Holcombe Insurance Agency in Easley,

South Carolina, and that Mr. Holcombe used the funds to purchase two annuities in the names of Jordan Taylor Blackwell and Laura Ann Elizabeth Blackwell (Plaintiff's children) from Lafayette Insurance Company. Lafayette Insurance has no annuities payable to the Plaintiff or her children. At this time, Defendant Hiott and Defendant Community First Bank failed to provide Plaintiff Brookshire with a formal accounting of the Trust.

96. On June 14, 2013, Plaintiff's counsel sent a letter to Defendant Community First Bank's attorney informing him of the communications between counsel and Defendant Hiott. Plaintiff again requested a formal and complete accounting of the Trust.

97. On July 18, 2013, Defendant Community First Bank's attorney wrote a letter to Plaintiff's counsel in which he advised that Defendant Hiott indicated to him that the $500,000 described in paragraph 13 above was removed from the Trust, with Plaintiff's consent and knowledge, for an investment with Joseph C. Crosby, Jr., and that Plaintiff Brookshire was provided the promissory note signed by Mr. Crosby and that she was in possession of it. Plaintiff Brookshire does not and has never had this promissory note, nor had she ever heard of Joseph C. Crosby, Jr. or was she ever aware of this transaction. Defendant Community First Bank's attorney provided some documents related to the Trust at this time but did not provide a formal and complete accounting, or a copy of the alleged promissory note.

98. On August 19, 2013, Plaintiff's counsel sent a letter to Defendant Community First Bank's attorney requesting records of all deposits and the source of the deposits into the Trust, all documents related to any and all "miscellaneous debits" and "investment counter withdrawals", and all monthly bank statements from November 1, 2010 through February 22, 2011.

99. On September 17, 2013, Plaintiff's counsel sent a follow-up letter again requesting the

documents described in the August 19, 2013 letter.

100. Because Plaintiff's counsel still had yet to receive any correspondence from Defendant Community First Bank or their attorney, on October 17, 2013, Plaintiff's counsel sent another follow-up letter re-requesting the documents listed in the September 17, 2013 and August 19, 2013 letters as well as a full accounting and an entire copy of the Trust file from date of creation to date of termination.

101. On December 17, 2013, Defendant Community First Bank provided the Plaintiff with the documents showing the activities in the Trust account.

102. Upon receipt of these documents and after reviewing them, Plaintiff discovered that much of her money was stolen or misappropriated from the Trust.

103. Moreover, at the time this Complaint is filed Community First Bank is unable to account for over $500,000 withdrawn by Defendant Hiott or another employee at Defendant Community First Bank and missing from the Trust.

FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
Breach of Fiduciary Duty
(All Defendants)

104. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 103, above, as though fully set forth herein.

105. At all relevant times, the Defendants owed a fiduciary duty to Plaintiff to act in the best interests of the Plaintiff and to remain loyal to the Plaintiff.

106. Defendant Hiott's fiduciary duty to the Plaintiff arose out of his acceptance or agreement to act as her Power of Attorney.

107. Defendant Community First Bank's fiduciary duty arose out of its acceptance of Plaintiff's Trust account assets and its professional employment and retention of Defendant Hiott.

108. The Defendants owed a fiduciary duty to manage Plaintiff's Trust account in good faith and as a reasonably prudent financial investor would manage the Trust assets.

109. Defendants breached their fiduciary duties to Plaintiff by mismanaging her Trust account assets, including without limitation, transferring funds to Joseph Crosby, Jr., and receiving nothing of value in return, failing and refusing to provide Plaintiff with a true and accurate accounting of the assets in her Trust when she requested an accounting through counsel, by not depositing into Plaintiff's Trust account her monthly payments received from Baker and Baker, and by making other investment decisions that were in the Defendants' best interests but detrimental to the Plaintiff's interests.

110. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' breaches of fiduciary duty, Plaintiff has suffered and is continuing to suffer actual damages. She has lost almost all of the assets she deposited into her Trust account, a sum that exceeds $1,750,000.

111. As a result of the Defendants' breaches and willful misconduct, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover actual, compensatory, consequential, incidental damages and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the jury at trial.

FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
Conversion

112. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 111 as though fully set forth herein.

113. At all times relevant to the claims set forth herein, the plaintiff had an interest, both legal and equitable, in the assets and proceeds of her Trust account, and she had the right to immediate possession of all Trust assets.

114. By transferring large sums of money out of the Trust and receiving nothing of value in return, the Defendants converted the Plaintiff's Trust assets to themselves and for their own uses.

115. The Defendants' actions, set forth more fully above, were all undertaken without the Plaintiff's knowledge and without her permission.

116. Even after the Plaintiff demanded an accounting and return of the Trust account assets, the Defendants continued to use the assets for their own benefit without Plaintiff's permission. Substantial sums are still unaccounted for and have been converted by the Defendants to their own use.

117. As of the date this complaint is filed, the Defendants, acting in concert, have converted more than $1,750,000.

118. Based on the Defendants' unlawful conversion, Plaintiff is entitled to recover the full value of all sums converted, together with legal interest from the date of conversion.

119. Because the Defendants' acts were willful, reckless, and committed with conscious indifference to the Plaintiff's rights, she is further entitled to an award of punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury at trial.

FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
AGAINST DEFENDANT COMMUNITY FIRST BANK
Negligent Supervision

120. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 119, above, as though fully set forth herein, except those allegations that Defendant Hiott was acting within the scope and course of his employment and agency with Defendant Community First Bank.

121. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant Hiott was the employee of Defendant Community First Bank; Further, he was on the premises of Defendant Community First Bank or he was using a chattel of Defendant Community First Bank, including computers and computer software or other electronic devices whereby he could access the Trust account and transfer funds into and out of the Trust account.

122. Defendant Community First Bank knew or should have known it had the ability to control the conduct of Defendant Hiott, either by disabling his electronic access to the Trust account or removing him from his position as Senior Vice President of the Bank.

123. Defendant Community First Bank knew or should have known as early as July 2007 that Defendant Hiott was mismanaging and misappropriating assets in the Plaintiff's Trust account. Defendant Hiott's misconduct began no later than July 12, 2007, when Defendant Hiott executed a cashier's check issued by Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. in the amount of $500,000 drawn on the Trust account and made payable to Joseph Crosby, Jr.

124. Defendant Community First Bank thus knew or should have known of the need to control the conduct of Defendant Hiott and had the opportunity to exercise sufficient control to prevent Defendant Hiott from committing further acts that were economically harmful to the Plaintiff.

125. Despite this knowledge and opportunity, Defendant Community First Bank failed to prevent Defendant Hiott, its senior vice president, from continuing to treat Plaintiff's Trust account assets as his own and making large and frequent withdrawals from the Trust; rather,

Defendant Community First Bank enabled Defendant Hiott to continue to raid the Trust by providing him with unfettered access to the funds in the Trust, and upon information and belief, by providing Defendant Hiott with similar access to the funds and accounts of other customers.

126. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Community First Bank's failure to exercise due care in the supervision of Defendant Hiott, Plaintiff lost a sum in excess of $1,750,000.

127. Plaintiff is entitled to recover a sum equal to the amounts transferred out of her Trust account by Defendant Hiott, as well as interest at the legal rate from the date of each transfer; further, because Defendant Community First Bank's conduct was reckless, willful, and wanton, and undertaken with conscious indifference to the Plaintiff's rights, she is entitled to recover punitive damages in an amount to be determine by a jury at trial.

FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
Negligence/Gross Negligence
(All Defendants)

128. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 127 as though fully set forth herein.

129. The Defendants owed duties to the Plaintiff to act in good faith while acting under the authority of the Power of Attorney in place.

130. The Defendants negligently, wantonly, willfully and/or recklessly breached their duties to the Plaintiff.

131. As a proximate and direct consequence of the Defendants' breaches of their duties to the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff has been damaged.

132. As a result of the Defendants' negligence and gross negligence, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover actual, compensatory, consequential, incidental and punitive damages in amounts to be determined by the jury during the trial of this action.

FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
Breach of Contract Accompanied by Fraudulent Act
(All Defendants)

133. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 132 above are incorporated as if repeated herein.

134. The Defendant's actions in breaching the contract, as set forth above, involved deceit, dishonesty and false representations made by the Defendants and communicated to the Plaintiff.

135. Defendant Community First Bank actively concealed from the Plaintiff the fraudulent and tortious conduct of Community First Bank's agent, Defendant Hiott.

136. Despite her numerous and repeated requests for an accounting of her funds on deposit, Defendant Community First Bank failed and refused to provide an accounting and by so doing allowed, enabled and encouraged Defendant Hiott to continue to pilfer money from the Plaintiff's account.

137. The Defendant's conduct, in addition to breaching the plain terms of her contract with the Defendants, involved dishonesty, unfair dealing, and unlawful appropriation of the Plaintiff's property by design. These dishonest and unlawful acts accompanied the breach of contract alleged above.

FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION
Accounting
(All Defendants)

138. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 137 above are incorporated as if repeated herein.


139. Plaintiff is entitled to an accounting of all sums and amounts deposited into the Trust from the date the Trust assets were transferred to Community First Bank, on or about June 26, 2007, to the present.

140. This accounting should include all funds or assets transferred into the trust, all funds or assets transferred out of the trust, the date of each deposit, the date of each withdrawal, the stated purpose for the withdrawal, and a running total of funds and assets held in trust for the time period covered by this request.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all counts alleged in this complaint. In addition, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against all Defendants, jointly and severally, on all counts alleged herein. Further, Plaintiff prays for the following:

1. An accounting of all activities in the Trust account from June 26, 2007 to the present;
2. An award of damages sufficient to compensate her for stolen money withdrawn from the Trust account;
3. An award of punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at trial, and sufficient to impress upon the Defendants the seriousness of their conduct and to deter similar conduct in the future;
4. An award of damages sufficient to compensate her for all attorneys' fees and costs incurred;
5. An award of interest, as determined by South Carolina law, from the date of each unlawful transfer from the Trust account; and
6. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,



W. Barney Giese, Esquire
The Giese Law Firm, LLC
1315 Blanding Street
Columbia South Carolina 29201
(803) 708-6767

ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF

Columbia, South Carolina

September 8, 2014

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF OCONEE

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Debi Baker Brookshire,

Plaintiff,

v.

Community First Bank, Inc. and Benjamin Lee Hiott,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2014-CP-37-526

ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIM OF DEFENDANT COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, INC.

Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. ("this Defendant" or "the Bank") answers the Complaint of Plaintiff Debi Baker Brookshire ("Plaintiff"), denying each and every allegation not specifically admitted, qualified, or explained herein, and asserts a counterclaim against Plaintiff as follows:

For a First Defense

1. Upon information and belief, this Defendant admits the allegations of Paragraph 1.

2. This Defendant admits the allegations of Paragraph 2.

3. This Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations of Paragraph 3.

4. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 4, this Defendant admits, upon information and belief, that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action.

5. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 5, this Defendant admits, upon information and belief, that venue of this action is proper in this Court. This Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to whether Defendant Benjamin Lee Hiott ("Defendant Hiott") resides in Oconee County.

ENTERED COMPUTER

Copies to:
Atty (P) (D)
DSS other
Mailed Boxed handed

6. This Defendant admits the allegations of Paragraph 6 and refers to the General Power of Attorney for its terms.

7. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 7, this Defendant refers to the General Power of Attorney for its terms and denies any inconsistent allegations. The remainder of Paragraph 7 does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, the allegations are denied.

8. This Defendant admits the allegations of Paragraph 8.

9. This Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 9.

10. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 10, this Defendant refers to the trust for its terms and denies inconsistent allegations.

11. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 11, this Defendant admits that on or about June 22, 2007, a deposit account was opened with this Defendant in the name of Debi Baker Brookshire Trust with Debi Baker Brookshire (and not this Defendant) serving as Trustee ("the Account") and that, on June 26, 2007, the amount of $1,171,823.58 was transferred into the Account from Branch Banking & Trust. This Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to whether those funds constituted all assets of Plaintiff's trust.

12. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 12, this Defendant admits that various amounts from Baker & Baker were deposited into the Account, refers to the Account statements with regard to the timing and amounts of those deposits, and denies any inconsistent allegations.

13. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 13, this Defendant admits, upon information and belief, that Defendant Hiott, acting under authority of the General Power of Attorney and other authority, consent, or direction given by Plaintiff, caused the issuance of a cashier's check dated July 10, 2007 payable to Joseph C. Crosby, Jr. ("Crosby") in the amount of

$500,000.00 with the notation "Brookshire Loan Advance" set forth on the check and the corresponding withdrawal from the Account in that amount on or about July 12, 2007. This Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 13.

14. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 14, this Defendant admits, upon information and belief, that Defendant Hiott, acting under authority of the General Power of Attorney and other authority, consent, or direction given by Plaintiff, caused or made withdrawals from the Account from time to time. This Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to whether Defendant Hiott used any funds from the Account for his personal benefit. This Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 14.

15. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 15, this Defendant admits that Defendant Hiott had access to certain electronic records of the Bank. This Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 15 as stated and alleges further that Plaintiff granted Defendant Hiott authority to withdraw and handle all funds in the Account pursuant to the General Power of Attorney and otherwise and that Plaintiff failed to complain to the Bank about any transaction in the Account for several years.

16. This Defendant denies the allegations of the first sentence of Paragraph 16 as stated. This Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations of the second sentence of Paragraph 16.

17. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 17, this Defendant refers to the statements for the Account and denies inconsistent allegations.

18. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 18, this Defendant admits, upon information and belief, that additional sums were paid from the Account to Crosby. This Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 18 and alleges further that Plaintiff

granted Hiott authority to make or direct withdrawals from the Account pursuant to the General Power of Attorney and other authority, consent, or direction and that Plaintiff failed to complain to the Bank about any transaction in the Account for several years.

19. In response to the allegations of Paragraphs 19 through 78, this Defendant refers to the Account statements and the documents related to the transactions described in Paragraphs 19 through 78 and denies inconsistent allegations. This Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraphs 19 through 78 and alleges further that Plaintiff granted Defendant Hiott authority to withdraw and handle all funds in the Account pursuant to the General Power of Attorney and otherwise and that Plaintiff failed to complain about any transaction in the Account for several years.

20. This Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations of Paragraph 79.

21. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 80, this Defendant refers to the facsimile dated September 7, 2010, and denies inconsistent allegations.

22. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 81, this Defendant refers to the letter from prior counsel for Plaintiff to Defendant Hiott dated September 21, 2010, and denies inconsistent allegations.

23. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 82, this Defendant refers to the Account statements and the documents related to the transactions and events described in Paragraph 82 and denies inconsistent allegations.

24. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 83, this Defendant refers to the letter from Defendant Hiott to prior counsel for Plaintiff dated October 21, 2010, and denies inconsistent allegations.

25. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 84, this Defendant currently lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations regarding oral statements and denies the remaining allegations.

26. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 85, this Defendant refers to the letter from prior counsel for Plaintiff to James L. Williams, Esquire, dated February 17, 2011; and denies inconsistent allegations.

27. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 86, this Defendant refers to the Account statements and the documents related to the transaction described in Paragraph 86 and denies inconsistent allegations. This Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 86.

28. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 87, this Defendant refers to the Account statements and the documents related to the transaction described in Paragraph 87 and denies inconsistent allegations. This Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 87.

29. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 88, this Defendant denies that Plaintiff or her authorized agent did not receive or have access to Account statements.

30. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 89, this Defendant refers to the letter from prior counsel for Plaintiff to James L. Williams, Esquire, dated March 11, 2011; and denies inconsistent allegations.

31. In response to the allegations of Paragraphs 90 and 91, this Defendant refers to the documents described for their contents and denies inconsistent allegations. This Defendant currently lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations regarding oral statements, and denies the remaining allegations of Paragraphs 90 and 91.

32. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 92, this Defendant refers to the letter from counsel for Plaintiff to Defendant Hiott, with a copy to others, dated March 19, 2013, and denies inconsistent allegations.

33. This Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations of Paragraphs 93, 94, and 95, except that this Defendant denies that it has not provided an accounting of the Account to Plaintiff.

34. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 96, this Defendant refers to the letter from counsel for Plaintiff to James L. Williams, Esquire, dated June 14, 2013, and denies inconsistent allegations.

35. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 97, this Defendant refers to the subject letter for its terms and denies inconsistent allegations. This Defendant admits, upon information and belief, that it did not provide a copy of the referenced promissory note to Plaintiff and currently lacks knowledge or information as to whether Plaintiff has such a document. This Defendant further admits that it provided certain documents to Plaintiff and denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 97.

36. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 98, this Defendant refers to the letter from counsel for Plaintiff to James L. Williams, Esquire, dated August 19, 2013, and denies inconsistent allegations.

37. In response to the allegations of Paragraphs 99 and 100, this Defendant refers to the subject letters for their terms and denies inconsistent allegations. This Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraphs 99 and 100.

38. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 101, this Defendant admits that it provided certain documents to Plaintiff, refers to the subject documents for their contents and denies inconsistent allegations, and denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 101.

39. This Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraphs 102 and 103.

40. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 104, this Defendant incorporates the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

41. This Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraphs 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, and 111.

42. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 112, this Defendant incorporates the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

43. This Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraphs 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, and 119.

44. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 120, this Defendant incorporates the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

45. In response to the allegations of Paragraphs 121 and 122, this Defendant admits that Defendant Hiott was previously an employee and had access to certain of the Bank's electronic records. This Defendant alleges further that Plaintiff granted Defendant Hiott authority to handle Plaintiff's assets, including all funds in the Account, pursuant to the General Power of Attorney and otherwise. This Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraphs 121 and 122.

46. This Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraphs 123, 124, 125, 126, and 127.

47. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 128, this Defendant incorporates the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

48. This Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraphs 129, 130, 131, and 132.

49. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 133, this Defendant incorporates the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

50. This Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraphs 134, 135, 136, and 137 as relate to it.

51. In response to the allegations of Paragraph 138, this Defendant incorporates the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

52. This Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraphs 139 and 140.

53. This Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief set forth in the prayer for relief, including subparagraphs 1 through 6.

For a Second Defense

54. The Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against this Defendant and should be dismissed pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6), SCRCP.

For a Third Defense

55. Plaintiff's claims are barred by any and all applicable statutes of limitation or statutes of repose.

For a Fourth Defense

56. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by all equitable doctrines available to Defendant, including, but not limited to, waiver, estoppel, ratification, condonation, consent, and laches.

For a Fifth Defense

57. Plaintiff's claims are barred as to this Defendant because Plaintiff granted Defendant Hiott authority to handle all of her assets and financial affairs, including all of Plaintiff's funds deposited into the Account, pursuant to the General Power of Attorney.

For a Sixth Defense

58. Plaintiff's claims are barred because Plaintiff and her authorized agent did not report any unauthorized transaction in the Account or inappropriate action by a wrongdoer within the time allowed by the Uniform Commercial Code, and Plaintiff is now precluded from recovering on a claim with regard to such transactions or by such wrongdoers.

For a Seventh Defense

59. Plaintiff's claim for fraud fails to set forth sufficient facts to show all applicable elements of fraud with particularity in violation of Rule 9(b), SCRCP, and should therefore be dismissed.

For an Eighth Defense

60. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by Plaintiff's own comparative negligence, and should therefore be dismissed or reduced.

For a Ninth Defense

61. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by Plaintiff's failure to mitigate her alleged damages.

For a Tenth Defense

62. Plaintiff's complaint fails to state special damages with the specificity required by Rule 9(g), SCRCP.

For an Eleventh Defense

63. An award of punitive damages is not appropriate under the South Carolina and United States Constitutions and interpretive case law in the circumstances of this case.

For a Twelfth Defense

64. No punitive damages are available to Plaintiff because any claim should be brought under a breach of contract cause of action and not in tort.

For a Thirteenth Defense and First Counterclaim Against Plaintiff
(Conversion)

65. Defendant incorporates the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

66. Upon information and belief, funds in the approximate amount of $788,855.00 ("Funds"), none of which belonged to Plaintiff, were deposited in Plaintiff's Account by Defendant Hiott during the time the Account was held at the Bank.

67. The Funds belonged to this Defendant.

68. To the extent that Plaintiff received the Funds or any benefit from the Funds, such receipt constitutes the assumption and exercise of the right of ownership over the Funds.

69. Any assumption and exercise of the right of ownership over the Funds by Plaintiff was unauthorized by this Defendant.

70. This Defendant is entitled to judgment against Plaintiff for the conversion of the Funds.

For a Fourteenth Defense and Second Counterclaim Against Plaintiff
(Unjust Enrichment)

71. Defendant incorporates the allegations of the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

72. Plaintiff's receipt of the Funds and Plaintiff's use of the Funds (or the use of the Funds on Plaintiff's behalf) constitute a benefit conferred upon Plaintiff by this Defendant.

73. Plaintiff realized the benefit of the Funds through their use by Plaintiff or their use on Plaintiff's behalf.

74. The Funds belonged to this Defendant.

75. Plaintiff's retention and use of the Funds is unjust.

76. This Defendant is entitled to judgment against Plaintiff for unjust enrichment.

Wherefore, having fully answered the Complaint of Plaintiff, this Defendant prays that the same be dismissed as to it with costs, that judgment be granted to this Defendant on its Counterclaims against Plaintiff, and such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

HAYNSWORTH SINKLER BOYD, P.A.

By: _____

Robert Y. Knowlton, SC Bar No. 3589
Elizabeth H. Black, SC Bar No. 76067
1201 Main Street – 22nd Floor
PO Box 11889 (29211-1889)
Columbia, SC 29201
(803) 779.3080 Tel
(803) 765.1243 Fax

Attorneys for Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.

October 9, 2014
Columbia, South Carolina

DM: 3832955 V.1

FILED OCONEE, SC
BEVERLY H. WHITFIELD
CLERK OF COURT
2014 NOV -7 P 2:18

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
) COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
COUNTY OF OCONEE)

Case No.: 2014-CP-37-526

Debi Baker Brookshire,

Plaintiff,

v.

Community First Bank, Inc. and Benjamin Lee Hiott,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF DEBI BROOKSHIRE'S ANSWER TO DEFENDANT COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, INC.'S COUNTERCLAIMS

The Plaintiff, Debi Baker Brookshire ("Plaintiff") answers the Counterclaims of Defendant Community First Bank, Inc., denying each and every allegation no specifically admitted, qualified, or explained herein as follows:

1. The allegations in Paragraph 65 of Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims require no response from the Plaintiff. To the extent a response is required, Plaintiff realleges every paragraph of her Complaint.
2. Plaintiff lacks sufficient information to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 66 of Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims, and therefore she denies the allegations and demands strict proof of them.
3. Plaintiff denies Paragraph 67 of Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims.
4. Plaintiff denies Paragraph 68 of Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims.
5. Plaintiff denies Paragraph 69 of Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims.

Copies to:
Atty (P) (D)
DSS other Kelley
Mailed Boxed handed V
ENTERED

6. Plaintiff denies Paragraph 70 of Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims.

7. The allegations in Paragraph 71 of Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims require no response from the Plaintiff. To the extent a response is required, Plaintiff realleges every paragraph of her Complaint.

8. Plaintiff denies Paragraph 72 of Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims.

9. Plaintiff denies Paragraph 73 of Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims.

10. Plaintiff denies Paragraph 74 of Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims.

11. Plaintiff denies Paragraph 75 of Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims.

12. Plaintiff denies Paragraph 76 of Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims.

FOR A SECOND DEFENSE

13. Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Counterclaims fail to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against the Plaintiff and should be dismissed pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6), SCRCP.

FOR A THIRD DEFENSE

14. Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Counterclaims are barred by the applicable statute of limitations and by laches.

FOR A FOURTH DEFENSE

15. Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Counterclaims are barred by the equitable defenses of unclean hands, waiver, estoppel, consent, condonation and ratification.

16. Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. vested its agent Benjamin Lee Hiott with access to and authority over Community First Bank, Inc.'s property including the Plaintiff's funds, the Bank's funds, and the funds of other Bank customers. Further, Community First Bank, Inc., through some or all of its managers and board of directors expressly or impliedly ratified, permitted, encouraged, participated in and benefitted financially from Benjamin Lee Hiott's conduct, as alleged more fully in the complaint.

FOR A FIFTH DEFENSE


17. Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Counterclaims are barred by its own failure to mitigate its damages.

FOR A SIXTH DEFENSE

18. Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Counterclaims are barred by its own comparative negligence and should be dismissed or reduced accordingly.

WHEREFORE, having fully responded to the allegations raised against her in Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.'s Answer and Counterclaims, Plaintiff prays that the counterclaims be dismissed with prejudice, and that judgment be entered in her favor, as set forth in her Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,



Barney Giese, Esquire
bgiese@thegieselawfirm.com
Justin Kata, Esquire
jkata@thegieselawfirm.com
The Giese Law Firm, LLC
1315 Blanding Street
Columbia South Carolina 29201
(803) 708-6767

ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF

Columbia, South Carolina
November 7, 2014

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF Oconee)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

CASE NO.: 2014-CP-37-526

Debi Baker Brookshire
Plaintiff,

vs.

Community First Bank, Inc. and Benjamin Lee Hiott,
Defendants.

MOTION AND ORDER INFORMATION FORM AND COVERSHEET

Plaintiff's Attorney:	**Defendant's Attorney:**
W. Barney Giese, Esq. The Giese Law Firm 1315 Blanding Street Columbia, SC 29201 803-708-6767 barneygiese@gmail.com	Robert Y. Knowlton, Esq. Elizabeth H. Black, Esq. Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd, P.A. 1201 Main Street, Suite 2200 Columbia, SC 29201 803-779-3080 rknowlton@hsblawfirm.com eblack@hsblawfirm.com

☒ **MOTION HEARING REQUESTED (attach written motion and complete SECTIONS I and III)**
☐ **FORM MOTION, NO HEARING REQUESTED (complete SECTIONS II and III)**
☐ **PROPOSED ORDER/CONSENT ORDER (complete SECTIONS II and III)**

SECTION I: Hearing Information

Nature of Motion: Def. Community First Bank, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment
Estimated Time Needed: 1 hour Court Reporter Needed: ☒ YES/☐ NO

SECTION II: Motion/Order Type

☒ Written motion attached
☐ Form Motion/Order

I hereby move for relief or action by the court as set forth in the attached proposed order.

Elizabeth H. Black
Signature of Attorney for ☐ Plaintiff/☒ Defendant

October 11, 2016
Date submitted

SECTION III: Motion Fee

☒ PAID – AMOUNT: $ 25.00
☐ EXEMPT: (check reason)
- ☐ Rule to Show Cause in Child or Spousal Support
- ☐ Domestic Abuse or Abuse and Neglect
- ☐ Indigent Status ☐ State Agency v. Indigent Party
- ☐ Sexually Violent Predator Act ☐ Post-Conviction Relief
- ☐ Motion for Stay in Bankruptcy
- ☐ Motion for Publication ☐ Motion for Execution (Rule 69, SCRCP)
- ☐ Proposed order submitted at request of the court, or, reduced to writing from motion made in open court per judge's instructions
  Name of Court Reporter: _____
- ☐ Other: _____

JUDGE'S SECTION

☐ Motion Fee to be paid upon filing of the attached order.
☐ Other: _____

JUDGE CODE _____
Date: _____

CLERK'S VERIFICATION

Collected by: AWalters Date Filed:
☑ MOTION FEE COLLECTED $ 25.00 ck# 74521
☐ CONTESTED – AMOUNT DUE: $ _____

Copies to:
Atty ✓ (P) _____ (D) _____
DSS _____ other _____
Mailed ✓ Boxed _____ handed _____

ENTERED COMPUTER

FILED OCONEE COUNTY, SC
BEVERLY H. WHITFIELD
CLERK OF COURT
2016 OCT 13 P 1:

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF OCONEE

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Debi Baker Brookshire,

Plaintiff,

v.

Community First Bank, Inc. and Benjamin Lee Hiott,

Defendants.

Case No. 2014-CP-37-526

FILED OCONEE COUNTY, SC
BEVERLY H. WHITFIELD
CLERK OF COURT
2016 OCT 13 P 1:15

DEFENDANT COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Pursuant to Rule 56, SCRCP, Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. ("Community First") hereby moves the Court for entry of summary judgment in its favor on all claims.

On May 25, 2007, Plaintiff executed a power of attorney ("the POA") granting Defendant Benjamin Lee Hiott ("Hiott") the personal authority to handle virtually all aspects of her financial affairs. With Plaintiff's knowledge, Hiott exercised the authority granted under the POA by, among other things, making disbursements from Plaintiff's checking account at Community First during the period from July 12, 2007, until the account was closed on February 23, 2011 (the "Account"). Plaintiff filed this action against Community First and Hiott on September 8, 2014, based on the premise that certain disbursements Hiott made from the Account were unauthorized. Plaintiff asserts six causes of action in her Complaint for breach of fiduciary duty, conversion, negligent supervision, negligence/gross negligence, breach of contract accompanied by fraudulent act, and for an accounting.

ENTERED COMPUTER

The grounds of this motion are that there is no genuine issue of material fact and Community First is entitled to judgment as a matter of law because the POA granted Hiott the authority to make all of the subject disbursements, and Community First was entitled to rely on the authority granted by the POA and had no duty to inquire into the purpose of the disbursements; Plaintiff's claims are time-barred; and Plaintiff has failed as a matter of law to establish the elements of any of the causes of action asserted against Community First. In addition, no genuine issue of material fact exists with regard to the funds belonging to Community First that Hiott misappropriated from Community First, deposited into the Account, and that were thereafter used for Plaintiff's benefit. Community First is thus entitled to summary judgment on its counterclaims against Plaintiff. This motion is based on the pleadings and discovery in this action, the attached Affidavit of Carol Wilson, and such additional affidavits, evidence, and a memorandum of law that may be filed prior to the hearing on this motion.

Brief Factual Background

As noted above, Plaintiff executed the POA granting Hiott broad authority to handle Plaintiff's financial affairs on May 25, 2007. A copy of the POA is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. Although not mentioned or even alluded to in the POA, Hiott was employed as a Senior Vice President at Community First during the operative time period.[1]

Plaintiff's Account was a checking account held at Community First from June 2007 until February 23, 2011.[2] It is undisputed that Hiott handled deposits into and withdrawals from

[1] The POA was a personal matter between Hiott and Plaintiff. The POA lists Hiott's home address only, does not mention Community First at all, was executed by Plaintiff while she had no account at Community First whatsoever, and was actually executed at a BB&T branch in Columbia. Ex. A.

[2] Plaintiff had a trust account with BB&T Wealth Management, which she revoked on May 23, 2007, approximately one month before opening her checking account with Community First. She did ***not*** establish a trust account with Community First.

Plaintiff's Account. It is undisputed that Plaintiff knew about the Account and understood that Hiott was paying her obligations from the Account with her funds.[3] It is also undisputed that Hiott acted pursuant to the POA as Plaintiff's attorney-in-fact for her legal and financial dealings with other third parties, such as Baker and Baker Real Estate Developers (a development company run by other members of Plaintiff's family and in which she held a significant number of shares), BB&T, various insurance companies, and BB&B Builders for a residential lease for Plaintiff. Hiott also regularly assisted Plaintiff with the two children in the custody of Plaintiff and her husband by arranging for their care on weekends and, on at least one occasion, Hiott kept the children for several days at Christmas. Hiott further arranged for the storage and maintenance of Plaintiff's $250,000 motor home for at least one year and was entrusted with personal possession of about $500,000 worth of Plaintiff's jewelry and silver. In short, Plaintiff's relationship with Hiott while he held her POA was personal, close, and involved just about all aspects of her life.

Plaintiff testified that by the spring or summer of 2010, she had become distrustful of Hiott and believed he was being untruthful with her about her assets, especially regarding the amount of money in the Account. She decided to entrust another friend, Ann Gell Hamiter, with bill paying duties in the spring of 2010 and sought legal advice from Kathleen McDaniel, Esq. beginning in August 2010 due to her concerns about Hiott and the inconsistent information she

[3] Plaintiff admits that she got the benefit of at least $1,839,695.21 in disbursements made by Hiott from her Account for a wide variety of obligations, including her rent, transfers to her other accounts at BB&T, tax payments, telephone and utility payments, car payments, storage unit fees, attorney and accountant fees, various insurance premiums, payments to medical providers, charitable contributions, payments for traffic tickets, and payments for the care of the children in Plaintiff's custody. Despite her early claims in this litigation challenging all transactions on the Account, she now concedes that Hiott was authorized to and did in fact make disbursements for her benefit. As explained further below, however, the POA authorizes *all* of Hiott's disbursements from Plaintiff's Account—not just the ones that she now blesses in hindsight.

and Ms. Hamiter were receiving from him. Despite expressing serious concerns about Hiott's behavior with regard to her Account in mid-2010, Plaintiff failed to file this lawsuit until September 8, 2014.

Summary of Grounds for Motion

A. Plaintiff's POA to Hiott bars her claims against Community First.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on all of Plaintiff's causes of action because Plaintiff authorized Hiott to take all of the actions he did with regard to the Account, and the law imposes no duty on Community First to monitor or regulate Hiott's activities and decisions undertaken pursuant to the POA. Plaintiff gave Hiott the POA in his individual capacity to, among other things, conduct *all* of her financial affairs. This POA predated her Account at Community First, and was not revoked until after the Account was finally closed. Specifically, the POA states in pertinent part:

> **My Agent [Hiott] shall have full power and authority to act on my behalf.** This power and authority shall authorize my Agent to **manage and conduct all of my affairs and to exercise all of my legal rights and powers**, including all rights and powers that I may acquire in the future. **My Agent's powers shall include, but not be limited to, the power to:**
>
> 1. **Open, maintain or close bank accounts** (including, but not limited to, checking accounts, savings accounts, and certificates of deposit), brokerage accounts, and other similar accounts with financial institutions.
>
> a. **Conduct any business with any banking or financial institution with respect to any of my accounts, including, but not limited to, making deposits and withdrawals**, obtaining bank statements, passbooks, drafts, money orders, warrants, and certificates or vouchers payable to me by any person, firm, corporation or political entity.
>
> ...
>
> 2. **Sell, exchange, buy[,] invest, or reinvest any assets or property owned by me.** Such assets or property may include income producing or non-income producing assets and property.

3. Purchase and/or maintain insurance, including life insurance upon my life or the life of any other appropriate person.

...

5. **Enter into binding contracts on my behalf.**

6. Exercise all stock rights on my behalf as my proxy, including all rights with respect to stocks, bonds, debentures, or there [sic] investments.

...

10. Prepare, sign, and file documents with any governmental body or agency, including, but not limited to, authorization to:

a. Prepare, sign and file income and other tax returns with federal, state, local, and other governmental bodies.

b. Obtain information or documents from any government or its agencies, and negotiate[,] comprise [sic], or settle any matter with such government or agency (including tax matters).

c. Prepare applications, provide information, and perform any other act reasonably requested by any government or its agencies in connection with governmental benefits (including military and social security benefits).

11. Make gifts from my assets to members of my family and to such other persons or charitable organizations with whom I have an established pattern of giving. However, my Agent may not make gifts of my property to the Agent.

...

The Power of Attorney shall be construed broadly as a General Power of Attorney. The listing of specific powers is not intended to limit or restrict the general powers granted in this Power of Attorney in any manner.

Ex. A (emphasis added). The POA is unambiguous, and its interpretation is confined to the language used in the four corners of the document. *Watson v. Underwood*, 407 S.C. 443, 454-55; 756 S.E.2d 155, 161-162 (Ct. App. 2014) (internal citation omitted). Plaintiff authorized Hiott to

make withdrawals from and deposits into the Account. She authorized him to open accounts, invest her assets, purchase insurance, file her taxes, and "manage and conduct all of [her] affairs and to exercise all of [her] legal rights and powers." Ex. A. Whether she now agrees or disagrees with the decisions Hiott made is immaterial as it pertains to her claims against Community First.

Community First, as a third party, has a statutory right to rely on powers of attorney and "does not incur liability to the principal or the principal's estate by reason of acting upon the authority of it or permitting the attorney-in-fact to exercise authority." S.C. Code Ann. § 62-5-501(F)(2)(a) (2009). Further, Community First "is not required to inquire whether the attorney-in-fact has power to act or is properly exercising the power." *Id.* § 62-5-501(F)(2)(b). Nor is Community First "responsible to determine or ensure the proper application of assets, funds, or property belonging to the principal." *Id.* § 62-5-501(F)(2)(c). The existence of and terms of Plaintiff's POA that she granted to Hiott thus bar all of her claims against Community First because all of Plaintiff's claims against Community First are premised on the (erroneous) assumption that Community First had some sort of duty to monitor Hiott's disbursements from the Account or inquire into the purpose behind the disbursements while Hiott was acting under the POA.

B. Plaintiff's claims that Hiott's disbursements from the Account were unauthorized are time barred under the Uniform Commercial Code because Plaintiff failed to timely challenge the disbursements.

Plaintiff's claims that certain disbursements from her Account were unauthorized are barred under the South Carolina Uniform Commercial Code because Plaintiff utterly failed to promptly review the monthly statements for her Account and bring her concerns about Hiott allegedly exceeding the scope of his authorization in the conduct of her business to Community

First's attention. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 36-4-406 (2003 & Supp. 2015) as applicable.[4] Both versions of this section impose a strict one year time limit for a customer to discover and report unauthorized signatures on items. S.C. Code Ann. § 36-4-406(4) (2003); S.C. Code Ann. § 36-4-406(f) (Supp. 2015); *see also Sabatino v. Atl. Sav. Bank, F.S.B.*, 314 S.C. 402, 403, 444 S.E.2d 537, 538 (Ct. App. 1994). In addition, South Carolina law specifically permits banks and their customers to vary by agreement the timeframe in which a customer must review and report unauthorized signatures or other concerns about transactions on the account. S.C. Code Ann. § 36-4-103(1) (2003); S.C. Code Ann. § 36-4-103(a) & S.C. Reporter's Cmt. (citing *Nat'l Title Ins. Corp. Agency v. First Union Nat'l Bank*, 559 S.E.2d 668, 671 (Va. 2002) & *Freese v. Regions Bank, N.A.*, 644 S.E.2d 549, 552 (Ga. App. 2007)) (Supp. 2015).[5] Plaintiff's Account agreement with Community First sets the timeframe for Plaintiff to report unauthorized signatures or other Account errors to Community First as 60 days after Community First sends the monthly statement. The monthly statements reinforce this timeframe with the monthly reminder to "[p]lease examine immediately and report if incorrect. If no reply is received within **60** days the account will be considered correct." *See* Affidavit of Carol Wilson, attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and Exhibits 1, 3, 5, and 7 thereto (emphasis in original).

[4] South Carolina adopted Revised Article 4 of the Uniform Commercial Code effective July 1, 2008. Consequently, slightly different rules apply to pre-July 1, 2008 Account statements versus post-July 1, 2008 Account statements. 2008 Act No. 204, § 4.A ("This act applies to a transaction occurring on or after the effective date [July 1, 2008] of this act. This act does not apply to a transaction or event, or obligation or duty arising out of or associated with a transaction or event before the effective date of this act.").

[5] With respect to the Uniform Commercial Code, the South Carolina legislature has provided "a statement of legislative direction to the courts of a tendency to imply an interpretation and construction of the Code sections which is consistent with the case law construction of those sections in other Code jurisdictions." S.C. Code Ann. § 36-1-103, S.C. Reporter's Cmt. (Supp. 2015); *see also In re Parker*, 363 B.R. 769, 774 (Bankr. D.S.C. Sept. 14, 2006) ("Since South Carolina has adopted a uniform law, the Court is guided by decisions in other jurisdictions that have interpreted this provision of the UCC.").

Community First mailed monthly statements for Plaintiff's Account at Community First to the address where Plaintiff was receiving her mail from the Account's inception in June 2007 until early 2010, when Community First received for the first time in April 2010 a statement addressed to Plaintiff in return mail as "unable to forward." Ex. B, ¶¶ 17-18. Community First's account management system automatically generates and prints a periodic account statement for each of its more than 25,000 accounts. *Id.* ¶¶ 3, 8. These account statements are routinely, regularly, and automatically compiled, processed, and transmitted for mailing with the United States Postal Service, as detailed in Ms. Wilson's affidavit. *Id.* ¶¶ 9-12. At all times during the Account's existence, Community First followed its normal procedures with regard to the Account statements. *See id.* ¶ 14. This evidence of Community First's "usage and custom . . . in delivering statements" to its customers is competent proof of delivery. *Cooley v. First Nat'l Bank of Little Rock*, 635 S.W.2d 250, 252 (Ark. 1982) (internal citation omitted); *see also Bakala v. Bakala*, 352 S.C. 612, 625, 576 S.E.2d 156, 163 (2003) ("Evidence of mailing establishes a rebuttable presumption of receipt.") (internal citation omitted).

Plaintiff's testimony that she did not receive monthly statements from Community First is unavailing and fails to present a genuine issue of material fact to preclude summary judgment to Community First. First, ***Plaintiff actually received at least four monthly statements, as she has produced original statements in discovery.***[6] Ex. B, ¶¶ 27-35. The only time original statements are ever printed by Community First are when they are placed in the mail. *Id.* ¶ 21. They are printed and mailed within a few days of the closing period represented in the respective Account statement. *See id.* ¶¶ 10, 12, 17, 35. Plaintiff's *actual receipt* of statements is dispositive.

[6] Plaintiff presumably received the other monthly statements, but, as she admitted during her deposition, she threw away documents regarding Community First and Hiott after 2010.

Second, the burden is on the bank customer—not the bank—to ensure receipt of account statements. S.C. Code Ann. §§ 36-1-201, 36-4-406 (2003), §§ 36-1-201(36)(A), 36-4-406(a) (Supp. 2015) (describing the bank's "sending" of statements to customers as the operative event giving rise to the customer's duty of examination and further defining "send" in part as "to deposit in the mail"). The UCC allocates the risk of loss or interception of bank statements to the bank customer. *Stowell v. Cloquet Co-op Credit Union*, 557 N.W.2d 567, 571-72 (Minn. 1997) (collecting cases). In other words, the bank customer has an affirmative duty to ensure that he or she is receiving account statements, and the customer's claims will be barred if the customer fails to timely discharge this duty. *Id.* at 569-70;[7] *Mesnick v. Hempstead Bank*, 434 N.Y.S.2d 579, 580 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1980) (describing a bank customer's failure to ensure receipt of statements when such statements were intercepted by her husband as an "unreasonable lack of concern"); *Westport Bank & Trust Co. v. Lodge*, 325 A.2d 222, 223-24 (Conn. 1973) (failure of account holder to notify bank when account statements stopped arriving at her house due to her housekeeper forging a change of address form did not relieve account holder of duty to ensure receipt of statements, thus barring claims under UCC); *Terry v. Puget Sound Nat'l Bank*, 492 P.2d 534, 535 (Wash. 1972) (failure to notify bank of three months of missing statements part of finding of "substantial evidence of negligence on the part of the plaintiffs"); *Myrick v. Nat'l Sav. & Trust Co.*, 268 A.2d 526, 528 (D.C. App. 1970) (account holder "was negligent as a matter of law" due to her "failure to inquire of the bank as to her lack of receipt of monthly statements" for

[7] The Court in *Stowell* found that the plaintiff's several phone calls from December 1992 through September 1993 to the bank to inform them that the plaintiff had not received various statements and correspondence from the bank was insufficient to discharge his customer duties of receiving and reviewing his statements when he failed to follow up in person when the re-mailed statements did not arrive. *Stowell*, 557 N.W.2d at 569-70. Plaintiff's complete and utter failure to notify Community First for *years* that she (allegedly) did not receive her statements is far more egregious than the plaintiff's conduct the Minnesota court found to be preclusive.

five months). Indeed, Plaintiff's own expert opined that a reasonable bank customer—even given Plaintiff's POA relationship with Hiott—could wait, at most, 90 days to notify Community First that she was not receiving Account statements. Plaintiff's failure to appropriately discharge her duties as a bank customer serves as an absolute bar to all of her claims against Community First. *Peters v. Riggs Nat'l Bank, N.A.*, 942 A.2d 1163, 1168-69 (D.C. App. 2008) (finding that section 4-406 is a statute of repose, and "equitable tolling cannot apply to statutes of repose"); *First Place Computers, Inc. v. Security Nat'l Bank of Omaha*, 558 N.W.2d 57, 60 (Neb. 1997) (holding that section 4-406 "establishes a condition precedent to an action which, unlike a statute of limitations, may not be tolled") (internal citations omitted).

It is insufficient at the summary judgment stage for Plaintiff to simply deny that she received statements (testimony that Plaintiff's document production flatly contradicts). Instead, she must present evidence that raises a genuine issue of material fact that Community First did not "send" the statements. This she cannot do, especially in the wake of her actual receipt of Account statements. Plaintiff's failure to review her statements and report any unauthorized signatures or other specific disbursement concerns to Community First within the requisite time period bars all of her claims against Community First. *Sabatino*, 314 S.C. at 404, 444 S.E.2d at 538; *Villa Contracting Co., Inc. v. Summit Bancorporation*, 695 A.2d 762, 766 (N.J. Super. 1996) ("[T]he customer must deal in specifics rather than generalities. In other words, in order to satisfy the statute, he must notify the bank of exactly which items" are unauthorized.) Community First is entitled to summary judgment on all of Plaintiff's claims.

C. In the alternative, all of Plaintiff's claims are time barred pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 15-3-530(5).

Plaintiff's claims are also barred by the three year statute of limitations applicable to all of Plaintiff's causes of action because Plaintiff knew or should have known of the alleged harm

more than three years before August 1, 2014, the date of the tolling agreement between Plaintiff and Community First, that preceded the filing of her Complaint on September 8, 2014. This is true for multiple reasons, as Plaintiff both received the monthly statements for her Account and suspected Hiott of misconduct with regard to her Account well over three years prior to August 1, 2014. *See* S.C. Code Ann. §§ 15-3-530(5), 15-3-535 (2005); *Stokes-Craven Holding Corp. v. Robinson*, 416 S.C. 517, 787 S.E.2d 485, 489-90 (2016) ("Under the discovery rule, the limitations period commences when the facts and circumstances of an injury would put a person of common knowledge and experience on notice that some claim against another party might exist. This standard as to when the limitations period begins to run is *objective* rather than *subjective*. Therefore, the statutory period of limitations begins to run when a person *could or should have known*, through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that a cause of action might exist in his or her favor, rather than when a person obtains actual knowledge of either the potential claim or of the facts giving rise thereto.") (citations and quotations omitted); *Grillo v. Speedrite Prods., Inc.*, 340 S.C. 498, 503, 532 S.E.2d 1, 3 (Ct. App. 2000) ("The exercise of reasonable diligence means simply that an injured party must act with some promptness where the facts and circumstances of an injury would put a person of common knowledge and experience on notice that some right of his has been invaded or that some claim against another party might exist. The statute of limitations begins to run from this point and not when advice of counsel is sought or a full-blown theory developed.") (citation omitted); *Gibson v. Bank of Am., N.A.*, 383 S.C. 399, 409-10, 680 S.E.2d 778, 783-84 (Ct. App. 2009) (holding that receipt of bank statements in June 2000 that disclosed account balances placed the account holder "on notice that she had some type of claim for any perceived discrepancy, even if she did not know

the precise details behind the discrepancy" and barred lawsuit against bank brought in September 2003).

1. Plaintiff received statements for the Account more than three years prior to August 1, 2014.

As described above in section B and as detailed in Ms. Wilson's affidavit (Exhibit B), Community First sent and Plaintiff received monthly Account statements from June 2007 through January 2010. Plaintiff was on actual and constructive notice *every month* for the transactions found in each statement such that any claim as to those transactions would expire, at the very longest, three years and 60 days from when Community First sent each respective statement to Plaintiff. Plaintiff's lawsuit is thus barred by the statute of limitations under the discovery rule. *Gibson*, 383 S.C. at 409-10, 680 S.E.2d at 783-84.

Further, Plaintiff admits that she received a compiled copy of her Account statements for July 2007 through November 2010 in March or April 2011—well over three years before August 1, 2014. Plaintiff testified that she herself received this compilation of Community First bank statements for her Account from her then-attorney Kathleen McDaniel no later than March or April of 2011.[8] Plaintiff's lawsuit is thus barred by the statute of limitations under the discovery rule. *Id.*

2. Plaintiff believed that Hiott had misappropriated her funds or otherwise was untruthful with her about her affairs more than three years prior to August 1, 2014.

Plaintiff testified that, in the spring and summer of 2010, she had become distrustful of Hiott, believed him to be lying to her about her affairs and her Account at Community First, and suspected that he had acted inappropriately with regard to her finances. She engaged Ms.

[8] Ms. McDaniel received the compiled set of Account statements from James Williams, Esq., counsel for Community First, in December 2010.

McDaniel in August 2010 to investigate her concerns regarding Hiott and Community First. Consequently, Plaintiff *actually knew* in the summer of 2010 "that some claim ... might exist" with regard to the Account and her finances. *Grillo*, 340 S.C. at 503, 532 S.E.2d at 3. This actual knowledge is more than sufficient to begin the running of the statute of limitations, and Plaintiff's lawsuit is thus barred.

D. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's breach of fiduciary duty cause of action.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's breach of fiduciary duty cause of action because Community First did not owe fiduciary duties to Plaintiff with regard to the checking account at issue in this matter. "[T]he normal relationship between a bank and its customer is one of creditor-debtor and not fiduciary in nature." *Regions Bank v. Schmauch*, 354 S.C. 648, 671, 582 S.E.2d 432, 444 (2003) (internal citations omitted). While a bank can take on fiduciary duties to its customers "if it undertakes to advise a depositor as part of the services the bank offers," *id.*, there is no genuine issue of material fact that Community First ever undertook to advise Plaintiff as part of the services Community First offers. Indeed, Community First does not have, nor has it ever had, a trust department.[9] Consequently, Community First owed no fiduciary duties to Plaintiff and is entitled to summary judgment on this cause of action.

[9] Plaintiff repeatedly refers to her Account at Community First as a "trust" account. To the extent Plaintiff takes the position that Community First undertook to serve as a trustee with regard to her Account, no documentary evidence or testimony supports this position (and all such evidence actually directly refutes this position). *See* Ex. B, ¶¶ 16, 36 and Ex. 7 thereto. Further, as reflected in the monthly Account statements, Community First did not charge trustee fees, and Plaintiff herself was the named trustee of her trust. Plaintiff's bald allegation is devoid of evidentiary support and cannot create a genuine issue of material fact. *Jackson v. Bermuda Sands, Inc.*, 383 S.C. 11, 17, 677 S.E.2d 612, 616 (Ct. App. 2009).

E. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for conversion.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for conversion. "Conversion is the unauthorized *assumption and exercise of the right of ownership* over goods or personal chattels belonging to another, to the exclusion of the owner's rights." *Gordon v. Busbee*, 397 S.C. 119, 135, 723 S.E.2d 822, 831 (Ct. App. 2011) (internal quotation omitted) (emphasis added). In order to be liable for common law conversion, Community First must have assumed and exercised the rights of ownership over goods or chattels belonging to Plaintiff, which she identifies as occurring upon the transfer of "large sums of money" from her Account. Compl. ¶ 114. No evidence exists that Community First assumed and exercised ownership rights over Plaintiff's funds at their disbursement such that Plaintiff suffered any loss. Further, the UCC has displaced common law "conversion and negligence claims based on payment over a forged or unauthorized indorsement." *Flavor-Inn, Inc. v. NCNB Nat'l Bank of S.C.*, 309 S.C. 508, 511, 424 S.E.2d 534, 536 (Ct. App. 1992) (internal quotation omitted); *see also* S.C. Code Ann. § 36-3-419 (2005); S.C. Code Ann. § 36-3-420 (Supp. 2015); *Equitable Life Assur. Soc. of U.S. v. Okey*, 812 F.2d 906, 909 (4th Cir. 1987) (applying South Carolina law and finding that the Uniform Commercial "Code cause of action comprehensively covers the field of legal theories available when a check is paid over an unauthorized indorsement.")

In addition, "there can be no conversion of money unless there is an obligation on the defendant to deliver a specific, identifiable fund to the plaintiff." *Richardson's Restaurants, Inc. v. Nat'l Bank of S.C.*, 304 S.C. 289, 294, 403 S.E.2d 669, 672 (Ct. App. 1991) (internal citation omitted). No such obligation exists here, so no action for conversion of money can lie. Because Community First and Plaintiff only had a debtor/creditor relationship as represented by Plaintiff's checking account at Community First, the funds in Plaintiff's Account were "in the

nature of a general deposit and not subject to conversion." *Owens v. Andrews Bank & Trust Co.*, 265 S.C. 490, 497, 220 S.E.2d 116, 119 (1975). Consequently, "where there is merely the relationship of debtor and creditor, an action based on conversion of the funds representing the debt is improper." *Id.* (internal citation omitted). Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's conversion cause of action.

F. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for negligent supervision.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for negligent supervision. As recognized in South Carolina, an employer has a duty in certain, limited circumstances to exercise reasonable care to control its employee when acting outside the scope of his or her employment. *Degenhart v. Knights of Columbus*, 309 S.C. 114, 116, 420 S.E.2d 495, 496 (1992). None of those limited circumstances apply here, as an employer is under a duty to exercise reasonable care to control his employee when acting outside the scope of employment to prevent him from intentionally harming others only if:

> (a) [the employee]
> (i) is upon the premises in possession of the [employer] or upon which the [employee] is privileged to enter only as his [employee], or
> (ii) is using a chattel of the [employer], and
> (b) [the employer]
> (i) knows or has reason to know that he has the ability to control his [employee], and
> (ii) knows or should know of the necessity and opportunity for exercising such control.

Id. at 116-17, 420 S.E.2d at 496 (quoting with approval *Restatement (Second) of Torts* § 317 (1965)). Here, because Plaintiff gave Hiott full authority to handle her affairs (including her bank accounts) in the POA (on which Community First was legally entitled to rely and for which the law insulates Community First from liability to Plaintiff), Community First had no duty to control Hiott as he proceeded under the POA. Further, Community First had no evidence or

information that Hiott had engaged in prior acts similar to Plaintiff's complaints here. *See, e.g.*; *Hollins v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, 381 S.C. 245, 252-53, 672 S.E.2d 805, 808-09 (Ct. App. 2008) (finding that a master may subject himself to liability by retaining employees who, to the master's knowledge, are in the habit of misconducting themselves in a manner dangerous to others) (internal citations and quotations omitted); *Brockington v. Pee Dee Mental Health Ctr.*, 315 S.C. 214, 218, 433 S.E.2d 16, 18 (Ct. App. 1993) (holding that no evidence existed to support the conclusion that defendant knew or should have known of the necessity to exercise control over its employee prior to the time he assaulted a patient). Community First is thus entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for negligent supervision.

G. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for negligence/gross negligence.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for negligence/gross negligence. Plaintiff alleges that the "Defendants owed duties to the Plaintiff to act in good faith while acting under the authority of the Power of Attorney in place." Complaint ¶ 129. This is the sole duty that Plaintiff alleges "the Defendants" negligently breached. Plaintiff appears to have pulled this language directly from the POA she gave to Hiott, which states in pertinent part: "My Agent shall not be liable for any loss that results from a judgment error that was made in good faith. However, my Agent shall be liable for willful misconduct or the failure to act in good faith while acting under the authority of this Power of Attorney." Ex. A. Consequently, such a duty sounds in contract and not in tort, and a claim for negligence cannot lie.[10] *Foxfire Vill., Inc. v. Black & Veatch, Inc.*, 304 S.C. 366, 375, 404 S.E.2d 912, 918-19 (Ct. App. 1991) ("As a matter of law, if the duty owed arises merely from agreement of the parties,

[10] It thus follows that this contractual duty cannot form the basis of a claim for gross negligence either. *See, e.g. Clyburn v. Sumter Cnty. School Dist. No. 17*, 317 S.C. 50, 53, 451 S.E.2d 885, 887 (1994) (describing gross negligence).

breach of the duty does not create a cause of action for negligent conduct.") (internal citation omitted).

Further, as detailed above in Footnote 1, Section A, and the POA itself (Ex. A), any such duty of "good faith" is, by its unambiguous language, held only by "my agent"—Ben Hiott. Community First is not and never has been designated as Plaintiff's agent in her POA. Community First is thus entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's claims for negligence/gross negligence.[11]

H. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for breach of contract accompanied by a fraudulent act.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for breach of contract accompanied by a fraudulent act. In her Complaint, Plaintiff identifies the "contract" that Community First allegedly breached as "set forth above." Compl. ¶ 134. Assuming that Plaintiff has alleged that Community First breached the POA,[12] summary judgment is warranted for Community First because the POA does not represent a contract between Plaintiff and Community First. *See Greene v. Quest Diagnostics Clinical Labs., Inc.*, 455 F. Supp. 2d 483, 495 (D.S.C. 2006) (describing the prerequisite establishment of a contract to this cause of action). There is also no evidence that Community First "actively concealed from the Plaintiff the fraudulent and tortious conduct" of Hiott, nor is there any evidence that

[11] *See also* Section E, above, regarding the UCC displacement of common law negligence causes of action for unauthorized signatures and forged endorsements.

[12] The only documents remotely named in the Complaint that Plaintiff could conceivably argue are contracts are the POA (Compl. ¶¶ 6-7) and a revocable trust claimed to be settled and created by Plaintiff on June 22, 2007. Compl. ¶ 10. Plaintiff has not produced a copy of the revocable trust documentation to which her Complaint refers. Unmentioned in the Complaint is the Account agreement establishing Plaintiff's Account at Community First. Because the Complaint alleges that the contract that forms the basis of Plaintiff's cause of action for breach of contract accompanied by a fraudulent act was "set forth above," Plaintiff apparently does not allege that Community First breached the Account agreement. Even if Plaintiff does so claim, Community First has not breached the Account agreement. *See* Ex. B and Ex. 7 thereto.

Hiott continued handling *Plaintiff's* funds in the Account after Plaintiff notified Community First of her concerns in the fall of 2010. *See* Compl. ¶¶ 135-136. Consequently, Plaintiff can show no genuine issue of material fact with respect to the remaining prongs of this cause of action, and Community First is entitled to summary judgment. *See Greene*, 455 F. Supp. 2d at 495.

I. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for an accounting.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for an accounting. An accounting is an equitable remedy "designed to prevent unjust enrichment by disclosing and requiring the relinquishment of profits received as the result of a breach of a confidential or fiduciary duty." *Rogers v. Salisbury Brick Corp.*, 299 S.C. 141, 144, 382 S.E.2d 915, 917 (1989) (internal citation omitted). As no confidential or fiduciary duty existed between Plaintiff and Community First, an accounting is unwarranted. Further, Plaintiff's claim for an accounting is now moot because all Account statements and supporting documentation have been produced to Plaintiff. There is no need for discovery, and all available information is now before all parties. Summary judgment is thus appropriate to Community First on this cause of action.

J. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on its offset and counterclaims against Plaintiff.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on its offset and counterclaims against Plaintiff for the funds belonging to Community First that Hiott transferred to Plaintiff's Account totaling $880,855.58 and which were thereafter used to pay Plaintiff's obligations or to further her interests. Plaintiff has admitted that it was inappropriate for any bank funds to be deposited into her Account and has no claim to that money. Consequently, no genuine issue of material

fact exists with regard to this offset and counterclaims, and summary judgment to Community First is warranted.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons contained in this motion, Ms. Wilson's affidavit (Ex. B), and in any further materials to be submitted to the Court, Community First is entitled to summary judgment in its favor on all claims advanced against or by it in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

HAYNSWORTH SINKLER BOYD, P.A.

By: _____

Robert Y. Knowlton, SC Bar No. 3589
Elizabeth H. Black, SC Bar No. 76067
1201 Main Street – 22nd Floor
PO Box 11889 (29211-1889)
Columbia, SC 29201
(803) 779.3080 Tel
(803) 765.1243 Fax
bknowlton@hsblawfirm.com
eblack@hsblawfirm.com
Attorneys for Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.

October 11, 2016
Columbia, South Carolina

DM: 4470708 v.4

EXHIBIT A

General Power of Attorney

I, Debi Baker Brookshire, residing at 1707 Devine Street, Columbia, SC 29201, hereby appoint Benjamin Lee Hiott of 9 Topsail Lane, Salem, SC 29676, as my Attorney-in-Fact ("Agent").

I hereby revoke any and all general powers of attorney that previously have been signed by me. However, the preceding sentence shall not have the effect of revoking any powers of attorney that are directly related to my health care that previously have been signed by me.

My Agent shall have full power and authority to act on my behalf. This power and authority shall authorize my Agent to manage and conduct all of my affairs and to exercise all of my legal rights and powers, including all rights and powers that I may acquire in the future. My Agent's powers shall include, but not be limited to, the power to:

1. Open, maintain or close bank accounts (including, but not limited to, checking accounts, savings accounts, and certificates of deposit), brokerage accounts, and other similar accounts with financial institutions.

   a. Conduct any business with any banking or financial institution with respect to any of my accounts, including, but not limited to, making deposits and withdrawals, obtaining bank statements, passbooks, drafts, money orders, warrants, and certificates or vouchers payable to me by any person, firm, corporation or political entity.

   b. Perform any act necessary to deposit, negotiate, sell or transfer any note, security, or draft of the United States of America, including U.S. Treasury Securities.

   c. Have access to any safe deposit box that I might own, including its contents.

2. Sell, exchange, buy invest, or reinvest any assets or property owned by me. Such assets or property may include income producing or non-income producing assets and property.

3. Purchase and/or maintain insurance, including life insurance upon my life or the life of any other appropriate person.

4. Take any and all legal steps necessary to collect any amount of debt owned to me, or to settle any claim, whether made against me or asserted on my behalf against any other person or entity.

5. Enter into binding contracts on my behalf.

6. Exercise all stock rights on my behalf as my proxy, including all rights with respect to stocks, bonds, debentures, or there investments .

7. Maintain and/or operate any business that I may own.

8. Employ professional and business assistance as may be appropriate, including attorneys, accountants, and real estate agents.

9. Sell, convey, lease, mortgage, manage, insure, improve, repair, or perform another act with respect to any of my property (now owned or later acquired) including , but not limited to, real estate and real estate rights (including the right tot remove tenants and to recover possession). This includes the right to sell or encumber any homestead that I now own or may own in the future.

10. Prepare, sign, and file documents with any governmental body or agency, including, but not limited to, authorization to:

a. Prepare, sign and file income and other tax returns with federal, state, local, and other governmental bodies.

b. Obtain information or documents from any government or its agencies, and negotiate comprise, or settle any matter with such government or agency (including tax matters).

c. Prepare applications, provide information, and perform any other act reasonably requested by any government or its agencies in connection with governmental benefits (including military and social security benefits).

11. Make gifts from my assets to members of my family and to such other persons or charitable organizations with whom I have an established pattern of giving. However, my Agent may not make gifts of my property to the Agent.

12. Transfer any of my assets to the trustee of any revocable trust created by me, if such trust is in existence at the time of such transfer.

13. Disclaim any interest which might otherwise be transferred or distributed to me from any other person, estate, trust, or other entity, as may be appropriate.

The Power of Attorney shall be construed broadly as a General Power of Attorney. The listing of specific powers is not intended to limit or restrict the general powers granted in this Power of Attorney in any manner.

Any power of authority granted to my Agent under this document shall be limited to the extent necessary to prevent this Power of Attorney from causing: (i) my income to be taxable to my Agent, (ii) my assets to be subject to general power of appointment by my Agent, and (iii) my Agent to have any incidents of ownership with respect to any life insurance polices that may own on the life of my Agent.

My Agent shall not be liable for any loss that results from a judgment error that was made in good faith. However, my Agent shall be liable for willful misconduct or the failure to act in good faith while acting under the authority of this Power of Attorney.

I authorize my Agent to indemnify and hold harmless any third party who accepts and acts under this document.

My Agent shall be entitled to reasonable compensation of any services provided as my Agent. My Agent shall be entitled to reimbursement for all reasonable expenses incurred in connection with this Power of Attorney.

My Agent shall provide an accounting for all funds handled and all acts performed as my Agent, if I so request or if such request is made by any authorized personal representative of fiduciary acting on my behalf.

This Power of Attorney shall become effective immediately and shall not be affected by my disability or lack of mental competence, except as may be provided otherwise by an application state statue. This is a Durable Power of Attorney. This Power of Attorney shall continue effective until my death. This power of Attorney may be revoked by me at any time by providing a written notice to my Agent.

Dated May 25, 2007 at Branch Banking & Trust Columbia, SC

YOUR SIGNATURE:

Debra Bass Brooks

WITNESS' SIGNATURE

Allison L Brackett

WITNESS' PRINTED FULL LEGAL NAME

Allison L. Brackett

WITNESS' SIGNATURE

Shawanna Sturghill

WITNESS' PRINTED FULL LEGAL NAME

Shawanna Sturghill

Acknowledgement:

STATE OF South Carolina

COUNTY OF Richland

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 25 day of May, 2007 by Lucas Askins, who is personally known to me or who was produced South Carolina Drivers License as identification.

Lucas A Askins

Signature of person taking acknowledgement

Lucas Askins

Name typed, printed, or stamped

EXHIBIT B

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF OCONEE

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Case No. 2014-CP-37-526

Debi Baker Brookshire,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Community First Bank, Inc. and Benjamin Lee Hiott,

Defendant.

AFFIDAVIT OF CAROL WILSON

Personally appeared before me, Carol Wilson, who, being duly sworn, deposes and states as follows:

1. My name is Carol Wilson. I am over the age of 18 and make this affidavit upon my own personal knowledge and upon the records kept in the ordinary course of business by my employer, Community First Bank ("Community First"), and to which I have access to in the regular performance of my duties.

2. I am currently the Senior Vice President of Deposit Operations for Community First, a position I have held since January 2016. I previously served as the Vice President of Deposit Operations for Community First (including during the time period from 2007 through 2011) and have worked at Community First since January 1990.

3. The deposit operations department of Community First is responsible for, among other things, the preparation, processing, and mailing of the periodic (typically, monthly) account statements for the more than 25,000 accounts held by Community First customers. The operations department also processes, organizes, and archives the periodic account statements

that are returned to Community First by the United States Postal Service ("USPS") when they are unable to be delivered to the addressee for one reason or another.

4. My office, as well as the deposit operations department itself, is located at the Walhalla branch of Community First, 3685 Blue Ridge Boulevard, Walhalla, South Carolina 29691. My office and the deposit operations department are located on the second floor of the Walhalla branch. A small staff of 1-2 people, who report directly to me, is in charge of account statement mailing. The statement preparation work area is small, and those of us who work there can easily observe all activity in the statement preparation work area.

5. Benjamin L. Hiott's office was at the Walhalla branch until April 2006, when he moved to an office located at 449 Highway 123 Bypass, Seneca, South Carolina 29678 ("Seneca-North"). The Seneca-North branch is approximately eight miles from the Walhalla branch.

6. After Mr. Hiott's office moved to the Seneca-North branch, it was very uncommon for him to personally visit the Walhalla branch. Most of my personal interactions with Mr. Hiott occurred at the Seneca-North branch after his office moved. Even when I saw him in the Walhalla branch after he moved, I did not see him in the statement preparation work area on the second floor.

7. Among other information provided, the periodic account statement recites all deposits into and withdrawals from the account for the given time period.

8. The periodic account statement is automatically generated and printed for each account held at Community First. A process to suppress the auto-generation and printing does not exist.

9. Community First holds a permit with the USPS for purposes of mailing the periodic account statements and other bank correspondence. Pursuant to this permit, the

operations department prepares trays of account statements for mailing by the USPS. There are 10-15 cycles of mail each month, and each account that receives a monthly account statement is part of a specific cycle. The month-end cycle is the largest cycle by volume of mail, and the statements printed during this cycle fill between 10-15 trays. Each tray is 11.25 inches wide and either 13.5 inches (small) or 24 inches (large) long.

10. Until approximately mid to late 2009, the preparation of account statements for mailing was relatively manual following the auto-generation and printing of each statement, which printed based on and in order of ZIP Code. Account statements prior to this time were hand-folded and hand-stuffed into envelopes by my staff. My staff then placed the statements in ZIP Code order into the mailing trays. When the cycle of statements was completed, my staff would physically transport the trays of statements to the USPS for mailing via car. At all times during this process, the statements were in the physical custody and control of the members of my staff. On certain limited occasions when the cycle did not finish printing until late in the work day, the statements would be folded, stuffed into envelopes, and placed into the mailing trays. These mailing trays would then be locked in the Walhalla branch vault until the following work day when they would be retrieved by a member of my staff and transported via car to the USPS for mailing.

11. The Walhalla branch vault is only accessible via a combination lock known to approximately five people, including my 1-2 person staff and me. To my knowledge, Mr. Hiott did not know the combination to the Walhalla branch vault from June 2007 through February 2011.

12. In mid to late 2009, the deposit operations department began using an inserter to fold the auto-generated and printed statements and stuff them into envelopes. The inserter would

automatically generate a count of how many statements were in each group. My staff would place the envelopes in the mailing trays and transport them to the USPS as before (see ¶ 10 above) but would also transport to the USPS the count of statements generated by the inserter. If the number of pieces of mail the USPS received did not match the statement count, the USPS would return the entire tray until the discrepancy was resolved.

13. The account held by Ms. Brookshire at Community First (ending in the number 1950) (the "Account") was opened in June 2007, closed for the first time at the end of October 2010, and was both reopened and closed for the final time in February 2011.

14. For each month of the Account's existence, a monthly Account statement was automatically generated, printed, and prepared for mailing as set forth in paragraphs 10 and 12 above.

15. To my knowledge, Mr. Hiott never asked to see, review, or pull from the printed statements any of the Account statements or statements for any other account at Community First. Given the small size of the statement preparation work area and the fact that I or a member of my staff is physically present with the printed and stuffed statements at all times until they are transported to the USPS (with the exception of certain, limited, and unpredictable occasions when the trays of statements are locked in the Walhalla branch vault as described in paragraph 10 above), it would have been nearly impossible for Mr. Hiott to access the statements during an individual month, much less on a regular basis.

16. As a money market checking account for the vast majority of its existence (until April 22, 2010, which it was changed to a different kind of checking account), the Account was on the month-end cycle for each given month.

17. For each monthly Account statement representing June 2007 through January 2010, the monthly Account statement was transmitted by Community First to the USPS for mailing to Ms. Brookshire and was not returned by the USPS to Community First.

18. The February 2010 monthly Account statement was transmitted to the USPS for mailing to Ms. Brookshire, but returned to Community First on or about April 19, 2010, with the USPS label attached stating "Return to Sender, Attempted – Not Known, Unable to Forward" and dated April 6, 2010. A true and correct color copy of the envelope and statement contained therein are attached hereto as Exhibit 1. A true and correct copy of Community First's mail log showing the date this Account statement was returned to Community First is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

19. Subsequent monthly Account statements were either mailed out and returned to Community First in the same manner as the February 2010 statement or were not actually mailed due to the application of an "R" code in Community First's account management system, which directs the system to apply an "EXCLUDE-DoNotMailAddr" code to the auto-generated and printed statement. Statements coded "R" are printed and filed in a locked room until such time as the account owner directs their delivery, via a change of address form or other request to Community First. Plaintiff's returned and "R"-coded monthly Account statements were kept in this manner, and were retrieved at my direction during the course of this litigation.

20. The account management system records every change, modification, or entry regarding an account's address, type, or other coding for handling of the statements, such as the "R" code. If a change is made in the system, the system maintains a record of that change. No mechanism exists to delete records showing that changes occurred.

21. As a matter of Community First's normal business practices, only one original statement is ever generated and printed. The single original is mailed to the account holder, or, if the account holder chooses a different delivery method, delivered to the account holder pursuant to his or her instructions to Community First.

22. Any reprints of account statements are recreated from a print file stored on Community First's computer servers.

23. Any reprint of an account statement contains the same address and financial transaction information as the original statement. While the operative information is the same when the statement is reprinted, Community First does not, as a matter of cost efficiency and regular practice, reprint statements onto statement paper (colored, watermarked, and heavier-grade paper). Instead, reprints are printed onto plain white printer paper.

24. There have been a few isolated events where the customer asks for a reprint onto statement paper for presentation to the United States government or for some official purpose. These reprints onto statement paper are not a common practice and occur only at the customer's specific request.

25. I have provided reprints of Ms. Brookshire's Account statements several times since 2010 to my supervisors and attorneys for Community First. Each time, I have reprinted the statements from the print files onto plain white printer paper. I have never reprinted Ms. Brookshire's Account statements onto statement paper.

26. Depending on the computer system on which the print files are archived (a determination based on date), the reprint may have a "CheckQuest Web-Research: Print Statement" header. Such a header does not appear on the original statement.

27. On May 20, 2016, I traveled to Columbia, South Carolina from my office in Walhalla, South Carolina for the purpose of reviewing several original documents produced by Plaintiff in this lawsuit. I reviewed these original documents at the Giese Law Firm, one of the firms representing Plaintiff in this lawsuit. Copies of the original documents I reviewed are attached as Exhibits 3 (Giese-CFB 1724-27), 4 (Giese-CFB 1728-29), and 5 (Giese-CFB 1731-34) hereto.

28. The original documents in the possession of Plaintiff's counsel and represented in copy form at Exhibit 3 is the entire original monthly statement for the Account dated November 30, 2007.

29. The original documents in the possession of Plaintiff's counsel and represented in copy form at Exhibit 4 is the first page only (front and back) of the original monthly statement for the Account dated December 31, 2007.

30. The original documents in the possession of Plaintiff's counsel and represented in copy form at Exhibit 5 is the entire original monthly statement for the Account dated January 31, 2008.

31. The original documents at Exhibits 3, 4, and 5 all have the following characteristics demonstrating that they are the original statements:

a. The first page (front and back) of Exhibits 3, 4, and 5 is statement paper.

i. The front of each first page is light blue in color with a dark/royal blue double border and a white Community First watermark in the middle.

ii. The letterhead on Exhibits 3, 4, and 5 is printed in dark/royal blue ink and contains only six Community First branch locations. By January 2010, any statement paper in use by Community First had seven Community

First branch locations listed on the letterhead. *Compare* Ex. 1 *with* Exs. 3, 4, 5.

iii. There are noticeable creases in the middle of these pages where it has been folded consistent with mailing in an envelope.

iv. It is 3-hole punched on the left side of the page.

v. The back side of each first page is a preprinted gray form on a white back.

b. The remaining pages of Exhibits 3 and 5 are also 3-hole punched and not on the light blue statement paper. They are also noticeably creased in the middle of the page where they have been folded consistent with mailing in an envelope.

c. The print quality of Exhibits 3, 4, and 5 is very good and better than any reprint would be.

d. The text of the statement (including address, list of transactions, etc.) is larger on the original; on any reprint/reprint file, everything would be condensed/shrunk down with the font slightly smaller. I compared the originals to known reprints by holding them up to the light together, and the printing files are distinctly different. The margins are very different, thus leading me to believe the statements Plaintiff produced are the originals mailed to her by Community First.

32. The original documents at Exhibits 3, 4, and 5 hereto are the original statements mailed to Plaintiff within a few days of the closing date of each respective statement period.

33. I also reviewed the document in Plaintiff's counsel's possession that was produced at Giese-CFB 1418. A copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit 6.

34. The document in Plaintiff's counsel's possession attached as Exhibit 6 is a photocopy of the first page (front only) of the original monthly statement for the Account dated July 31, 2007. This is a photocopy of the original for the following reasons:

a. It is on letterhead with a double border.

b. The letterhead contains only six Community First branch locations (see ¶ 31(a)(ii), above and *compare* Ex. 1 with Ex. 6).

c. The 3-hole punches on the left side are visible.

d. The photocopy picks up on the crease in the middle of the page because the printing is slightly smudged.

e. The font size is not shrunk down (see ¶ 31(d), above).

f. Due to the older computer system on which the reprint file for the July 31, 2007 statement is kept, all reprints of this particular statement would have a CheckQuest header at the top (see ¶ 26, above). This statement does not have such a header.

35. The document in Plaintiff's counsel's files represented in copy form as Exhibit 6 hereto is a photocopy of the original statement mailed to Plaintiff within a few days of the July 31, 2007.

36. A true and correct copy of Community First's account agreement for the Account with Plaintiff is attached hereto as Exhibit 7.

[signature on following page]


Carol Wilson

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME
This 7 day of October, 2016.

Notary Public for South Carolina
My Commission Expires: 9-25-18

DM: 4680434 v.1

Exhibit 1



P.O. Box 1097
Walhalla, S.C. 29691

RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
WALHALLA, SC
PERMIT NO. 7

**********AUTO**MIXED AADC 296
3666 0.8280 MB 0.382 17 13 3
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST
COLUMBIA SC 29201-4011

NIXIE 292 DE 1 00 04/08/10
RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
UNABLE TO FORWARD
BC: 29691109797 *2460-06417-08-35
29691@1097

CFB006822

Putting Our Customers First!

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK

Seven Locations To Serve You.

3685 Blue Ridge Blvd. Walhalla, SC 29691	1600 Sandifer Blvd. Seneca, SC 29678	449 Hwy. 123 By-Pass Seneca, SC 29678	1101 East Main St. Westminster, SC 29693
4002 Clemson Blvd. Anderson, SC 29621	208 East Main St. Williamston, SC 29697	2007 E. Greenville St. Anderson, SC 29621	

FDIC

CFB006823

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK

000 00007 03
ACCOUNT: [redacted]1950
DOCUMENTS: 15

PAGE: 1
02/26/2010

3685 Blue Ridge Blvd.
P.O. Box 1097
Walhalla, S.C. 29691
(864) 638-2105

1600 Sandifer Blvd.
P.O. Box 459
Seneca, S.C. 29679
(864) 882-2575

4002 Clemson Blvd.
P.O. Box 5106
Anderson, S.C. 29623
(864) 222-2440

208 East Main St.
P.O. Box 247
Williamston, S.C. 29697
(864) 847-5109

1101 East Main St.
P.O. Box 1050
Westminster, S.C. 29693
(864) 647-9564

449 Hwy. 123 By-Pass
P.O. Box 459
Seneca, SC 29679
(864) 888-0206

2007 E. Greenville St.
P.O. Box 5106
Anderson, SC 29621
(864) 224-0178

**********AUTO**MIXED AADC 296
3666 0.8280 MB 0.382 17 13 3

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST
COLUMBIA SC 29201-4011

30-0
2
13

****

AS PART OF THE ECONOMIC STABILIZATION BILL, A TEMPORARY INCREASE IN FDIC INSURACE COVERAGE IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY. COVERAGE RAISES TO $250,000 PER DEPOSITOR THROUGH DECEMBER 31,2013

****

AS OF SEPTEMBER 18, 2009, ALL INTERNATIONAL ACH TRANSACTIONS MUST BE VERIFIED AGAINST THE LIST OF SPECIALLY DESIGNATED NATIONALS. THIS ACTION MAY CAUSE A DELAY IN THE SETTLEMENT OF SUCH FUNDS TO YOUR ACCOUNT.

****

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

DESCRIPTION	DEBITS	CREDITS	DATE	BALANCE
BALANCE LAST STATEMENT			01/29/10	17,559.48
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	2,500.00		02/01/10	15,059.48
DEPOSIT		31,261.76	02/05/10	46,321.24
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	3,407.26		02/05/10	42,913.98
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	3,000.00		02/05/10	39,913.98
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	4,000.00		02/08/10	35,913.98
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	2,500.00		02/09/10	33,413.98
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	2,500.00		02/12/10	30,913.98
BAKERANDBAKEROP BOPER		5,433.76	02/16/10	36,347.74
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	5,000.00		02/17/10	31,347.74
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	2,500.00		02/18/10	28,847.74
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	1,065.00		02/19/10	27,782.74
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	500.00		02/22/10	27,282.74
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	3,000.00		02/23/10	24,282.74
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	500.00		02/25/10	23,782.74
DEPOSIT		43.49	02/26/10	23,826.23
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	5,000.00		02/26/10	18,826.23
INTEREST		10.99	02/26/10	18,837.22
BALANCE THIS STATEMENT			02/26/10	18,837.22

TOTAL CREDITS	(4)	36,750.00	AVG AVAILABLE BALANCE	24,265.37
TOTAL DEBITS	(13)	35,472.26	AVERAGE BALANCE	27,616.40

* * * C O N T I N U E D * * *

NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION

THIS FORM IS PROVIDED TO HELP YOU BALANCE YOUR STATEMENT

WITHDRAWALS OUTSTANDING NOT CHARGED TO ACCOUNT

No.	$
TOTAL	$

BEFORE YOU START

PLEASE BE SURE YOU HAVE ENTERED IN YOUR REGISTER ALL AUTOMATIC TRANSACTIONS SHOWN ON THE FRONT OF YOUR STATEMENT.

YOU SHOULD HAVE ADDED IF ANY OCCURRED:

1. Loan advances.
2. Credit memos.
3. Other automatic deposits.
4. Interest paid.

YOU SHOULD HAVE SUBTRACTED IF ANY OCCURRED:

1. Automatic loan payments.
2. Automatic savings transfers.
3. Service charges.
4. Debit memos.
5. Other automatic deductions and payments.

BALANCE SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT

ADD

TOTAL

SUBTRACT

WITHDRAWALS OUTSTANDING

BALANCE

SHOULD AGREE WITH YOUR REGISTER BALANCE AFTER DEDUCTING SERVICE CHARGE, IF ANY, SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT

Please examine immediately and report if incorrect. If no reply is received within 60 days the account will be considered correct.

IN CASE OF ERRORS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR ELECTRONIC TRANSFERS

Telephone or write to us at the telephone number or address located on the front of this statement as soon as you can, if you think your statement or receipt is wrong or if you need more information about a transfer on the statement or receipt. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the FIRST statement on which the error or problem appeared.

(1) Tell us your name and account number (if any).
(2) Describe the error or the transfer you are unsure about, and explain as clearly as you can why you believe there is an error or why you need more information.
(3) Tell us the dollar amount of the suspected error.

We will investigate your complaint and will correct any error promptly. If we take more than 10 business days to do this, we will recredit your account for the amount you think is in error, so that you will have use of the money during the time it takes us to complete our investigation.

YOUR DEMAND DEPOSIT LOAN ACCOUNT SUMMARY OF RIGHTS IS OUTLINED BELOW:

There is a summary of your rights, a full statement of your rights and our responsibilities under the Federal Fair Credit Billing Act will be sent to you both upon request and in response to a billing error notice.

Your Demand Deposit Loan Account is operated in conjunction with your Demand Deposit Account. Any charges for your checking account will be made to the Demand Deposit Account and they will be the same charges as are made for Demand Deposit Accounts not operated in conjunction with Demand Deposit Loan Accounts. The following information thus applies only to loans made to you under your Demand Deposit Loan Account line of credit.

IN CASE OF ERRORS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR LOAN

If you think your bill is wrong, or if you need more information about a transaction on your bill, write us on a separate sheet at the address located on the front of this bill as soon as possible. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the first bill on which the error or problem appeared. You can telephone us, but doing so will not preserve your rights. In your letter, give us the following information:

(1) Your name and account number.
(2) The dollar amount of the suspected error.
(3) Describe the error and explain, if you can, why you believe there is an error. If you need more information, describe the item you are unsure about.

You do not have to pay any money in question while we are investigating, but you are still obligated to pay the parts of your bill that are not in question. While we investigate your question, we cannot report you as delinquent or take any action to collect the amount you question.

We figure a portion of the **FINANCE CHARGE** on your Loan Account by applying the daily periodic rate to the "Daily Balance" of your account for the billing cycle. To get the "Daily Balance" we take the beginning balance of your account each day, and any new advances and subtract any new payments or credits and unpaid **FINANCE CHARGES**. This gives us the daily balance.

The minimum periodic payment required is shown on the front of the bill. You may pay off your Loan balance at any time, or make voluntary additional payments. Payments shall be applied, first to any unpaid **FINANCE CHARGES**, and second the principal loan balance outstanding in your Loan Account. Periodic statements may be sent to you at the end of each billing cycle showing your loan transactions.

Send payments and inquiries to address shown on front of bill.

NOTE: Payments received after close of business shall be deemed received on the following business day, for purposes of crediting your account.

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950


INTEREST

AVERAGE LEDGER BALANCE:	27,616.40	INTEREST EARNED:	10.99
AVERAGE AVAILABLE BALANCE:	24,265.37	DAYS IN PERIOD:	28
INTEREST PAID THIS PERIOD:	10.99	ANNUAL PERCENTAGE YIELD EARNED:	.59%
INTEREST PAID 2010:	36.47		
INTEREST PAID 2009:	108.01		


ITEMIZATION OF OVERDRAFT AND RETURNED ITEM FEES

	TOTAL FOR THIS PERIOD	TOTAL YEAR TO DATE
TOTAL OVERDRAFT FEES:	.00	.00
TOTAL RETURNED ITEM FEES:	.00	.00


P-ACCOUN


COMMUNITY FIRST BANK
DDA/DDL CREDIT TRANSACTIONS
Date 2/4/10
DEBI BRADSHAW, RE
[redacted] 195D D12 $ 31261.76


02/05 Deposit $ 31,261.76


COMMUNITY FIRST BANK
DDA/DDL CREDIT TRANSACTIONS
Date 2/25/10
DEBI BRADSHAW, RE
[redacted] 145D D12 $ 43.49

02/26 Deposit $ 43.49


COMMUNITY FIRST BANK
DDA/DDL DEBIT TRANSACTIONS
Date 2/4/10
DEBI BRADSHAW, RE
[redacted] 195D 053 $ 340724

02/05 Debit $ 3,407.26


COMMUNITY FIRST BANK
DDA/DDL DEBIT TRANSACTIONS
Date 2/19/10
DEBI BRADSHAW, RE
Trans Description YMCA & JORDAN HS RALLY
[redacted] 195D 053 $ 106500

02/19 Debit $ 1,065.00

Exhibit 2

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK

HOLD, POST OFFICE RETURN, AND UNDELIVERABLE STATEMENT MAIL OR DEBIT CARD DISPOSITION LOG

Branch OPS

Return copy in branch. Send a copy along with items to Operations at 30-day intervals for items without disposition other than debit cards which are destroyed. This log is used for all PQR mail including that of the Loan Department based on ID Theft Red Flags.

Debit Card or Account Number or PIN Return*	Type of Correspondence Hold, Post Office Return **	Branch Follow-up Action Taken	Branch Date Received	Mail Received From Operations Logged and Placed in Dual Control by ____ and ____	Date PQR Item Mailed to Customer or Returned to Central Location or Destroyed (Debit Cards Only)	Hold Mail Delivered by	Hold Mail Customer Signature Upon Receipt
Redacted	Stmt	filed in ret mail	4-19-10	bn and YE	4-19-10	Sylvia	filed in ret mail YE
[redacted] 1950 Debit Baby Boomerline				____ and ____			
Redacted				____ and ____			
				____ and ____			
				____ and ____			

* Debit Cards received must be noted on this Log under dual control and placed under dual control upon receipt by branch.

* PIN returns must not be forwarded to or retained in the branches.

** PQR return items such as statements or Thank You letters (for Thank you letters which are PQR on accounts opened within the past 30 days – contact the ID Theft Red Flag or BSA/Customer Due Diligence Office IMMEDIATELY.

Exhibit 3

3685 Blue Ridge Blvd. P.O. Box 1097 Walhalla, S.C. 29691 (864) 638-2105
1600 Sandifer Blvd P.O. Box 453 Seneca, S.C. 29679 (864) 882-2575
4002 Clemson Blvd. P.O. Box 5106 Anderson, S.C. 29623 (864) 222-2440
208 East Main St. P.O. Box 247 Williamston, S.C. 29697 (864) 847-5100
1101 East Main St. P.O. Box 1050 Westminster, S.C. 29693 (864) 647-9554
449 Hwy. 123 By-Pass P.O. Box 459 Seneca, SC 29679 (864) 886-0206

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST

COLUMBIA SC 29205

30-0
4
6

****

* PLEASE VISIT OUR NEW OFFICE NOW OPEN AT 2007 EAST GREENVILLE ST, ANDERSON, SC. (ACROSS FROM ANMED HEALTH CAMPUS ON HIGHWAY 81). PHONE (864)224-0178. *

****


PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

DESCRIPTION	DEBITS	CREDITS	DATE	BALANCE
BALANCE LAST STATEMENT			10/31/07	398,925.25
DEPOSIT		88,527.85	11/02/07	487,453.10
DEPOSIT		11,066.72	11/05/07	498,519.82
TRF TO 100252360	2,500.00		11/09/07	496,019.82
TRF TO 500213467	2,500.00		11/14/07	493,519.82
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	11,235.51		11/14/07	482,284.31
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	15,000.00		11/14/07	467,284.31
CHECK	1,147.61		11/14/07	466,136.70
DEPOSIT		5,433.76	11/19/07	471,570.46
DEPOSIT		25,000.00	11/19/07	496,570.46
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	748.48		11/19/07	495,821.98
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	888.68		11/19/07	494,933.30
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	200,000.00		11/28/07	294,933.30
INTEREST		1,654.24	11/30/07	296,587.54
BALANCE THIS STATEMENT			11/30/07	296,587.54

TOTAL CREDITS	(5)	131,682.57	AVG AVAILABLE BALANCE	456,608.73
TOTAL DEBITS	(8)	234,020.28	AVERAGE BALANCE	466,844.86

* * * C O N T I N U E D * * *

Giese-CFB 1724



Telephone or write to us at the telephone number or address listed on the front of this statement if you need more information about transfers listed on the statement or if you think your statement or receipt is wrong or if you need more information about a transfer listed on the statement. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the FIRST statement on which the problem or error appeared.

(1) Tell us your name and account number.
(2) Describe the error or the transfer you are unsure about, and explain as clearly as you can why you believe it is an error or why you need more information.
(3) Tell us the dollar amount of the suspected error.

We will investigate your complaint and will correct any error promptly. If we take more than 10 business days to do this, we will credit your account for the amount you think is in error, so that you will have use of the money during the time it takes us to complete our investigation.

There is a summary of your rights in the case of billing errors in the "Important Information" section below.

SUMMARY OF YOUR RIGHTS TO DISPUTE BILLING ERRORS

The following information applies only to Demand Deposit Loan Accounts. Your Demand Deposit Loan Account is a combination Demand Deposit Account and Loan Account. Some of the following information does not apply to your Demand Deposit Account, but it does apply to your Loan Account.

If you think your bill is wrong or if you need more information about a transaction on your bill, write us on a separate sheet at the address listed on the front of this statement as soon as possible. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the FIRST bill on which the error or problem appeared. You can telephone us, but doing so will not preserve your rights. In your letter, give us the following information:

(1) Your name and account number.
(2) The dollar amount of the suspected error.
(3) Describe the error and explain if you can why you believe there is an error. If you need more information, describe the item you are unsure about.

You do not have to pay any amount in question while we are investigating, but you are still obligated to pay the parts of your bill that are not in question. While we investigate your question, we cannot report you as delinquent or take any action to collect the amount you question.

We figure a portion of the FINANCE CHARGE on your Loan Account by applying a daily periodic rate to the "Daily Balance" of your account for each day in the billing cycle. To get the "Daily Balance" we take the beginning balance of your account each day, add any new loans, and subtract any payments or credits. This gives us the daily balance.

The minimum periodic payment shown on the front of this statement is due on the payment due date shown on the front of this statement. Your payment shall be applied first to any FINANCE CHARGES and then to the principal amount you owe us. If you pay off your entire loan balance at any time, you will be entitled to a refund of the unearned portion of the FINANCE CHARGE.

Send payments and inquiries to:

NOTE: Payments received after 5 p.m. will be credited on the next business day.

Giese-CFB 1725

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950


- - - - - - - - - - I N T E R E S T - - - - - - - - - -

AVERAGE LEDGER BALANCE:	466,844.86	INTEREST EARNED:	1,654.24
AVERAGE AVAILABLE BALANCE:	456,608.73	DAYS IN PERIOD:	30
INTEREST PAID THIS PERIOD:	1,654.24	ANNUAL PERCENTAGE YIELD EARNED:	4.50%
INTEREST PAID 2007:	13,000.17		


- - - ITEMIZATION OF NSF PAID AND RETURNED ITEM FEES - - -

	THIS PERIOD	YEAR TO DATE
NSF PAID ITEM FEE:	.00	.00
NSF RETURNED ITEM FEE:	.00	.00
OVERDRAFT FEES:	.00	.00


Giese-CFB 1726




11/02 Deposit $ 88,527.85




11/05 Deposit $ 11,066.72



11/19 Deposit $ 5,433.76



11/19 Deposit $ 25,000.00



11/14 Check $ 1,147.61

Exhibit 4

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST

COLUMBIA SC 29205

30-0
1
3

****

PLEASE VISIT OUR NEW OFFICE NOW OPEN AT 2007 EAST GREENVILLE ST, ANDERSON, SC. (ACROSS FROM ANMED HEALTH CAMPUS ON HIGHWAY 81). PHONE (864)224-0178.

****

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

DESCRIPTION	DEBITS	CREDITS	DATE	BALANCE
BALANCE LAST STATEMENT			11/30/07	296,587.54
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	1,146.98		12/12/07	295,440.56
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	173.02		12/17/07	295,267.54
DEPOSIT		136,829.58	12/18/07	432,097.12
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	40,000.00		12/18/07	392,097.12
TAX	310,510.75		12/31/07	81,586.37
INTEREST		1,215.23	12/31/07	82,801.60
BALANCE THIS STATEMENT			12/31/07	82,801.60


TOTAL CREDITS	(2)	138,044.81	AVG AVAILABLE BALANCE	325,062.98
TOTAL DEBITS	(4)	351,830.75	AVERAGE BALANCE	329,476.84

INTEREST

AVERAGE LEDGER BALANCE:	329,476.84	INTEREST EARNED:	1,215.23
AVERAGE AVAILABLE BALANCE:	325,062.98	DAYS IN PERIOD:	31
INTEREST PAID THIS PERIOD:	1,215.23	ANNUAL PERCENTAGE YIELD EARNED:	4.49%
INTEREST PAID 2007:	14,215.40		

* * * C O N T I N U E D * * *

Giese-CFB 1728



Glese-CFB 1729

Exhibit 5



2695 Blue Ridge Blvd.
P.O. Box 1297
Walhalla, S.C. 29691
(864) 639-2105

1600 Sandifer Blvd.
P.O. Box 4591
Seneca, S.C. 29679
(864) 882-2525

4000 Clemson Blvd.
P.O. Box 5106
Anderson, S.C. 29622
(864) 222-2440

109 East Main St.
P.O. Box 247
Williamston, S.C. 29697
(864) 847-2103

1101 East Main St.
P.O. Box 1050
Westminster, S.C. 29693
(864) 647-9554

449 Hwy. 123 Bypass East
P.O. Box 159
Seneca, SC 29678
(864) 886-0206

000 00007 03
ACCOUNT: [redacted]1950
DOCUMENTS: 7

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST

30-0
2
5

COLUMBIA SC 29205

****

PLEASE VISIT OUR NEW OFFICE NOW OPEN AT 2007 EAST GREENVILLE ST, ANDERSON, SC. (ACROSS FROM ANMED HEALTH CAMPUS ON HIGHWAY 81). PHONE (864)224-0178.


****

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

DESCRIPTION	DEBITS	CREDITS	DATE	BALANCE
BALANCE LAST STATEMENT			12/31/07	22,801.60
DEPOSIT		12,400.00	01/07/08	95,201.60
TAX		310,510.75	01/07/08	405,712.35
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	20,000.00		01/14/08	385,712.35
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	850.00		01/16/08	384,862.35
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	1,525.00		01/16/08	383,337.35
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	5,000.00		01/17/08	378,337.35
DEPOSIT		5,433.76	01/18/08	383,771.11
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	4,275.16		01/23/08	379,495.95
INTEREST		1,218.06	01/31/08	380,714.01
BALANCE THIS STATEMENT			01/31/08	380,714.01
TOTAL CREDITS (4)	329,562.57	AVG AVAILABLE BALANCE		328,067.08
TOTAL DEBITS (5)	31,650.16	AVERAGE BALANCE		329,168.21

* * * C O N T I N U E D * * *

Giese-CFB 1731



Telephone or write to us at the address for
more information about a transaction
appeared.

(1) Tell us your name and
(2) Describe the
(3) Tell us the dollar

We will investigate
an error, so that
you will have

There is a summary of your
response to a billing error

Your Demand Deposit
Deposit Account and they will
following information

If you think your bill is
soon as possible. We
not preserve your

(1) Your
(2) The
(3) Describe the

You do not have to
your question,

We figure a portion of
Daily Balance
This gives us the

The
shall be
and

Send payments

NOTE

ERROR OR
QUESTION

OCCURRED:

charges,
charges
of payment limitations,

Balance

Loan Accounts.

Loan Accounts. The

sending us the front of this bill as
us, but doing so will

while we investigate

FINANCE CHARGES

Giese-CFB 1732

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950


I N T E R E S T

AVERAGE LEDGER BALANCE:	329,168.21	INTEREST EARNED:	1,218.06
AVERAGE AVAILABLE BALANCE:	328,067.08	DAYS IN PERIOD:	31
INTEREST PAID THIS PERIOD:	1,218.06	ANNUAL PERCENTAGE YIELD EARNED:	4.46%
INTEREST PAID 2008:	1,218.06		
INTEREST PAID 2007:	14,215.40		

ITEMIZATION OF NSF PAID AND RETURNED ITEM FEES


	THIS PERIOD	YEAR TO DATE
NSF PAID ITEM FEE:	.00	.00
NSF RETURNED ITEM FEE:	.00	.00
OVERDRAFT FEES:	.00	.00

Giese-CFB 1733


DDA/DDL — CREDIT TRANSACTION FORM

$ 12,400.00

01/07 Deposit $ 12,400.00


DDA/DDL — CREDIT TRANSACTION FORM

$ 5,433.76

01/18 Deposit $ 5,433.76

Exhibit 6



3685 Blue Ridge Blvd.
P.O. Box 1097
Walhalla, S.C. 29691
(864) 638-2105

1600 Sandifer Blvd.
P.O. Box 459
Seneca, S.C. 29679
(864) 882-2575

4002 Clemson Blvd.
P.O. Box 5106
Anderson, S.C. 29623
(864) 222-2440

208 East Main St.
P.O. Box 247
Williamston, S.C. 29697
(864) 847-5109

1101 East Main St.
P.O. Box 1050
Westminster, S.C. 29693
(864) 647-9554

443 Hwy. 123 By-Pass
P.O. Box 459
Seneca, SC 29679
(864) 886-0206

000 00007 C
ACCOUNT: [redacted]1950
DOCUMENTS: 2

07/31/2007

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST

COLUMBIA SC 29205

30-0
1
1

****
* SHOULD YOU BE A BORROWER, COBORROWER, OR GUARANTOR ON A CONSUMER *
* PURPOSE LOAN WITH COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, WE MAY REPORT INFORMATION *
* ABOUT YOUR ACCOUNT TO CREDIT BUREAUS. LATE PAYMENTS, MISSED PAYMENTS, *
* OR OTHER DEFAULTS ON YOUR ACCOUNT MAY BE REFLECTED IN YOUR CREDIT *
* BUREAU REPORT. *
****

********** NOTIFICATION OF CHANGE IN TERMS **********

ON JUNE 15, 2007, THE FOLLOWING FEES WILL TAKE EFFECT:
$30.00 PER ITEM FEE FOR RETURNING OVERDRAFTS
$30.00 PER ITEM FEE FOR PAYING OVERDRAFTS
$30.00 PER ITEM FOR STOP PAYMENT (ALL ITEMS, CHECKS, ACH PAYMENTS)

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

DESCRIPTION	DEBITS	CREDITS	DATE	BALANCE
BALANCE LAST STATEMENT			06/29/07	1172,450.39
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	500,000.00		07/12/07	672,450.39
FUNDS TRANSFER		4,294.92	07/16/07	676,745.31
INTEREST		3,697.08	07/31/07	680,442.39
BALANCE THIS STATEMENT			07/31/07	680,442.39

TOTAL CREDITS	(2)	7,992.00	AVG AVAILABLE BALANCE	862,097.85
TOTAL DEBITS	(1)	500,000.00	AVERAGE BALANCE	862,097.85

- - - - - - - - - - I N T E R E S T - - - - - - - - -

AVERAGE LEDGER BALANCE:	862,097.85	INTEREST EARNED:	3,697.08
AVERAGE AVAILABLE BALANCE:	862,097.85	DAYS IN PERIOD:	32
INTEREST PAID THIS PERIOD:	3,697.08	ANNUAL PERCENTAGE YIELD EARNED:	5.00%
INTEREST PAID 2007:	4,323.89		

* * * C O N T I N U E D * * *

Giese-CFB 1418

NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Exhibit 7

Account Agreement

Date: June 22, 2007

Institution Name & Address

Community First Bank, member FDIC
849 Hwy 123 By-Pass
Seneca, SC 29678
(864)886-0206

Rep: LR

IMPORTANT ACCOUNT OPENING INFORMATION: Federal law requires us to obtain sufficient information to verify your identity. You may be asked several questions and to provide one or more forms of identification to fulfill this requirement. In some instances we may use outside sources to confirm the information. The information you provide is protected by our privacy policy and federal law.

Enter **Non-Individual Owner Information** on page 2. There is additional **Owner/Signer Information** space on page 2.

Owner/Signer Information 1

Name	DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
Relationship to Account (Owner and/or Signer, etc.)	
Address	1707 DEVINE ST, COLUMBIA, SC 29205
Mailing Address (if different)	
Home Phone	(803)790-7440
Work Phone	
Mobile Phone	
E-Mail	
Birth Date	[redacted]
SSN/TIN	[redacted]
Gov't Issued Photo ID, Type, Number, State, Issue Date, Exp. Date	DL#: [redacted] St: SC Issued: 09/06/2006 Exp: 08/04/2011
Other ID (Description, Details)	Issued: Exp:
Employer's Name & Address	
Previous Financial Inst.	

Owner/Signer Information 2

Name	
Relationship to Account (Owner and/or Signer, etc.)	
Address	
Mailing Address (if different)	
Home Phone	
Work Phone	
Mobile Phone	
E-Mail	
Birth Date	
SSN/TIN	
Gov't Issued Photo ID, Type, Number, State, Issue Date, Exp. Date	
Other ID (Description, Details)	
Employer's Name & Address	
Previous Financial Inst.	

Internal Use

Account Title & Address

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST
COLUMBIA, SC 29205

1950

Ownership of Account

The specified ownership will remain the same for all accounts.

- ☐ Individual
- ☐ Joint with Survivorship (not as tenants in common)
- ☐ Joint with No Survivorship (as tenants in common)
- ☐ Corporation - For Profit
- ☐ Corporation - Nonprofit
- ☐ Partnership
- ☐ Sole Proprietorship
- ☐ Limited Liability Company
- ☐ Trust-Separate Agreement Dated: _____
- ☒ Trust Accounts

Beneficiary Designation

(Check appropriate ownership above.)

- ☐ Revocable Trust
- ☐ Pay-On-Death (POD)
- ☐ _____

Beneficiary Name(s)/Address(es)/and SSN(s)

(Check appropriate beneficiary designation above.)

☐ If checked, this is a temporary account agreement.

Number of signatures required for withdrawal: 1

Signature(s)

The undersigned authorize the financial institution to investigate credit and employment history and obtain reports from consumer reporting agency(ies) on them as individuals. Except as otherwise provided by law or other documents, each of the undersigned is authorized to make withdrawals from the account(s), provided the required number of signatures indicated above is satisfied. The undersigned personally and as, or on behalf of, the account owner(s) agree to the terms of, and acknowledge receipt of copy(ies) of, this document and the following:

- ☒ Terms and Conditions
- ☐ Electronic Fund Transfers
- ☐ Substitute Checks
- ☒ Common Features
- ☐ Privacy
- ☐ Truth in Savings
- ☐ Funds Availability
- ☐ _____

[x Debi Baker Brookshire]
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE

[x _____]

[x _____]

[x _____]

☐ Authorized Signer (If checked and account is individual and consumer purpose, the last of the above signers is an Authorized Signer.)

Expere® ©2003 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form MPMP-LAZ-SC 4/19/2004

Owner/Signer Information

Name	
Relationship to Account (Owner and/or Signer, etc.)	
Title	
Mailing Address (if different)	
Home Phone	
Work Phone	
Mobile Phone	
E-Mail	
Birth Date	
SSN/TIN	
Gov't Issued Photo ID, Type, Number, State, Issue Date, Exp. Date	
Other ID (Description, Details)	
Employer's Name & Address	
Previous Financial Inst.	

Owner/Signer Information 2

Name	
Relationship to Account (Owner and/or Signer, etc.)	
Address	
Mailing Address (if different)	
Home Phone	
Work Phone	
Mobile Phone	
E-Mail	
Birth Date	
SSN/TIN	
Gov't Issued Photo ID, Type, Number, State, Issue Date, Exp. Date	
Other ID (Description, Details)	
Employer's Name & Address	
Previous Financial Inst.	

Backup Withholding Certification

(If not a "U.S. Person," certify foreign status separately.)

TIN [redacted]

☒ **Taxpayer I.D. Number (TIN)** - The number shown above is my correct taxpayer identification number.

☒ **Backup Withholding** - I am not subject to backup withholding either because I have not been notified that I am subject to backup withholding as a result of a failure to report all interest or dividends, or the Internal Revenue Service has notified me that I am no longer subject to backup withholding.

☐ **Exempt Recipients** - I am an exempt recipient under the Internal Revenue Service Regulations.

I certify under penalties of perjury the statements checked in this section and that I am a U.S. person (including a U.S. resident alien).

x Debi Baker Brookshire 6/29/07

Non-Individual Owner Information

Name	DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
EIN	[redacted]
Phone	(803)790-7440
Mobile Phone	
E-Mail	
Type of Entity	
State/Country & Date of Organization	
Nature of Business	
Address	1707 DEVINE ST, COLUMBIA, SC 29205
Mailing Address (if different)	
Authorization/ Resolution Date	
Previous Financial Inst.	

Account Description / Account # / Initial Deposit Source

Business Premium Investment Checking	[redacted]1950	$ 1,171,833.58 ☐ Cash ☐ Check ☒ WIRE
		$ ☐ Cash ☐ Check ☐
		$ ☐ Cash ☐ Check ☐

Services Requested

☐ ATM ☐ Debit/Check Cards (No. Requested:)

☐ ☐

☐ ☐

Other Items / Information

SEE ADDENDUM.

DBB

TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF YOUR ACCOUNT

AGREEMENT - This document, along with any other documents we give you pertaining to your account(s), is a contract that establishes rules which control your account(s) with us. Please read this carefully. If you sign the signature card or open or continue to have your account with us, you agree to these rules. You will receive a separate schedule of rates, qualifying balances, and fees if they are not included in this document. If you have any questions, please call us.

This agreement is subject to applicable federal laws and the laws of the state of South Carolina (except to the extent that this agreement can and does vary such rules or laws). The body of state and federal law that governs our relationship with you, however, is too large and complex to be reproduced here. The purpose of this document is to:

(1) summarize some laws that apply to common transactions;
(2) establish rules to cover transactions or events which the law does not regulate;
(3) establish rules for certain transactions or events which the law regulates but permits variation by agreement; and
(4) give you disclosures of some of our policies to which you may be entitled or in which you may be interested.

If any provision of this document is found to be unenforceable according to its terms, all remaining provisions will continue in full force and effect. We may permit some variations from our standard agreement, but we must agree to any variation in writing either on the signature card for your account or in some other document.

As used in this document the words "we," "our," and "us" mean the financial institution and the words "you" and "your" mean the account holder(s) and anyone else with the authority to deposit, withdraw, or exercise control over the funds in the account. The headings in this document are for convenience or reference only and will not govern the interpretation of the provisions. Unless it would be inconsistent to do so, words and phrases used in this document should be construed so the singular includes the plural and the plural includes the singular.

LIABILITY - You agree, for yourself (and the person or entity you represent if you sign as a representative of another) to the terms of this account and the schedule of charges. You authorize us to deduct these charges directly from the account balance as accrued. You will pay any additional reasonable charges for services you request which are not covered by this agreement.

Each of you also agrees to be jointly and severally (individually) liable for any account shortage resulting from charges or overdrafts, whether caused by you or another with access to this account. This liability is due immediately, and can be deducted directly from the account balance whenever sufficient funds are available. You have no right to defer payment of this liability, and you are liable regardless of whether you signed the item or benefited from the charge or overdraft. This includes liability for our costs to collect the deficit including, to the extent permitted by law, our reasonable attorneys' fees.

DEPOSITS - We will give only provisional credit until collection is final for any items, other than cash, we accept for deposit (including items drawn "on us"). Actual credit for deposits of, or payable in, foreign currency will be at the exchange rate in effect on final collection in U.S. dollars. We are not responsible for transactions by mail or outside depository until we actually record them. We will treat and record all transactions received after our "daily cutoff time" on a business day we are open, or received on a day we are not open for business, as if initiated on the next following business day that we are open.

WITHDRAWALS - Unless clearly indicated otherwise on the account records, any of you, acting alone, who signs in the space designated for signatures on the signature card may withdraw or transfer all or any part of the account balance at any time. Each of you (until we receive written notice to the contrary) authorizes each other person signing the signature card to indorse any item payable to you or your order for deposit to this account or any other transaction with us. We may charge your account for a check even though payment was made before the date of the check, unless we have received written notice of the postdating in time to have a reasonable opportunity to act. We may refuse any withdrawal or transfer request which you attempt on forms not approved by us, by any method we do not specifically permit, which is greater in number than the frequency permitted, or which is for an amount greater or less than any withdrawal limitations. Even if we honor a nonconforming request, we may treat continued abuse of the stated limitations (if any) as your act of closing the account. We will use the date the transaction is completed by us (as opposed to the date you initiate it) to apply the frequency limitations. The fact that we may honor withdrawal requests that overdraw the available account balance does not obligate us to do so later. See the funds availability policy disclosure for information about when you can withdraw funds you deposit. For those accounts for which our funds availability policy disclosure does not apply, you can ask us when you make a deposit when those funds will be available for withdrawal.

We may require not less than 7 days' notice in writing before each withdrawal from an interest-bearing account other than a time deposit, or from any other savings account as defined by Regulation D. Withdrawals from a time account prior to maturity or prior to any notice period may be restricted and may be subject to penalty. See your notice of penalty for early withdrawal.

OWNERSHIP OF ACCOUNT AND BENEFICIARY DESIGNATION - These rules apply to this account depending on the form of ownership and beneficiary designation, if any, specified on the account records. We make no representations as to the appropriateness or effect of the ownership and beneficiary designations, except as they determine to whom we pay the account funds. **Individual Account** - is an account in the name of one person. **Joint Account - With Survivorship (And Not As Tenants In Common)** - is an account in the name of two or more persons. Each of you intend that when you die the balance in the account (subject to any previous pledge to which we have agreed) will belong to the survivor(s). If two or more of you survive, you will own the balance in the account as joint tenants with survivorship and not as tenants in common. **Joint Account - No Survivorship (As Tenants In Common)** - is owned by two or more persons, but none of you intend (merely by opening this account) to create any right of survivorship in any other person. We encourage you to agree and tell us in writing of the percentage of the deposit contributed by each of you. This information will not, however, effect the "number of signatures" necessary for withdrawal. **Revocable Trust or Pay-On-Death Account** - If two or more of you create this type of account, you own the account jointly with survivorship. Beneficiaries cannot withdraw unless: (1) all persons creating the account die, and (2) the beneficiary is then living. If two or more beneficiaries are named and survive the death of all persons creating the account, beneficiaries will own this account in equal shares, without right of survivorship. The person(s) creating either of these account types may: (1) change beneficiaries, (2) change account types, and (3) withdraw all or part of the account funds at any time.

BUSINESS ACCOUNTS - Earnings in the form of interest, dividends, or credits will be paid only on collected funds, unless otherwise provided by law or our policy. We may require the governing body of the legal entity opening the account to give us a separate authorization telling us who is authorized to act on its behalf. We will honor the authorization until we actually receive written notice of a change from the governing body of the legal entity.

Expere® ©1983, 1990, 1991, 1993 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form TC-SC 4/13/2001

STOP PAYMENTS - A stop-payment order must be given in the manner required by law, must be received in time to give us a reasonable opportunity to act on it, and must precisely identify the number, date and amount of the item, and the payee. We will honor a stop-payment request by the person who signed the particular item, and, by any other person, even though such other person did not sign the item, if such other person has an equal or greater right to withdraw from this account than the person who signed the item in question. A release of the stop-payment request may be made only by the person who initiated the stop-payment order.

TELEPHONE TRANSFERS - A telephone transfer of funds from this account to another account with us, if otherwise arranged for or permitted, may be made by the same persons and under the same conditions generally applicable to withdrawals made in writing. Unless a different limitation is disclosed in writing, we restrict the number of transfers from a savings account to another account or to third parties, to a maximum of six per month (less the number of "preauthorized transfers" during the month). Other account transfer restrictions may be described elsewhere.

AMENDMENTS AND TERMINATION - We may change any term of this agreement. Rules governing changes in interest rates are provided separately. For other changes, we will give you reasonable notice in writing or by any other method permitted by law. We may also close this account at any time upon reasonable notice to you and tender of the account balance personally or by mail. Notice from us to any one of you is notice to all of you.

STATEMENTS - You must examine your statement of account with "reasonable care and promptness." If you discover (or reasonably should have discovered) any unauthorized signatures or alterations, you must promptly notify us of the relevant facts. As between you and us, if you fail to do either of these duties, you will have to bear the entire loss. Your loss could be not only with respect to items on the statement but other items with unauthorized signatures or alterations by the same wrongdoer. We lose these protections if you establish that we failed to exercise ordinary care in paying an item with an unauthorized signature or alteration.

You agree that the time you have to examine your statement and report to us will depend on the circumstances, but will not, in any circumstance, exceed a total of 14 days from when the statement is first sent or made available to you.

You further agree that if you fail to report any unauthorized signatures, alterations, forgeries, or any other errors in your account within 60 days of when we first send or make the statement available, you cannot assert a claim against us on any items in that statement, and as between you and us the loss will be entirely yours. This 60-day limitation is without regard to whether we used ordinary care. The limitation in this paragraph is in addition to that contained in the first paragraph of this section.

ACCOUNT TRANSFER - This account may not be transferred or assigned without our prior written consent.

DIRECT DEPOSITS - If, in connection with a direct deposit plan, we deposit any amount in an account which should have been returned to the Federal Government for any reason, you authorize us to deduct the amount of our liability to the Federal Government from the account or from any other account you have with us, without prior notice and at any time, except as prohibited by law. We may also use any other legal remedy to recover the amount of our liability.

TEMPORARY ACCOUNT AGREEMENT - If this option is selected, this is a temporary account agreement. Each person who signs in the space designated for signatures on the signature card (except as indicated to the contrary) may transact business on this account. However, we may at some time in the future restrict or prohibit further use of this account if you fail to comply with the requirements we have imposed within a reasonable time.

SETOFF - We may (without prior notice and when permitted by law) set off the funds in this account against any due and payable debt you owe us now or in the future, by any of you having the right of withdrawal, to the extent of such persons' or legal entity's right to withdraw. If the debt arises from a note, "any due and payable debt" includes the total amount of which we are entitled to demand payment under the terms of the note at the time we set off, including any balance the due date for which we properly accelerate under the note.

This right of setoff does not apply to this account if: (a) it is an IRA or other tax-deferred retirement account, or (b) the debt is created by a consumer credit transaction under a credit card plan (but this does not affect our rights under any consensual security interest), or (c) the debtor's right of withdrawal only arises in a representative capacity. We will not be liable for the dishonor of any check when the dishonor occurs because we set off a debt against this account. You agree to hold us harmless from any claim arising as a result of our exercise of our right of setoff.

AUTHORIZED SIGNER (Individual Accounts only) - A single individual is the owner. The authorized signer is merely designated to conduct transactions on the owner's behalf. We undertake no obligation to monitor transactions to determine that they are on the owner's behalf.

RESTRICTIVE LEGENDS - We are not required to honor any restrictive legend on checks you write unless we have agreed in writing to the restriction. Examples of restrictive legends are "must be presented within 90 days" or "not valid for more than $1,000.00."

ACH AND WIRE TRANSFERS - This agreement is subject to Article 4A of the Uniform Commercial Code - Fund Transfers as adopted in the state in which you have your account with us. If you originate a fund transfer for which Fedwire is used, and you identify by name and number a beneficiary financial institution, an intermediary financial institution or a beneficiary, we and every receiving or beneficiary financial institution may rely on the identifying number to make payment. We may rely on the number even if it identifies a financial institution, person or account other than the one named. You agree to be bound by automated clearing house association rules. These rules provide, among other things, that payments made to you, or originated by you, are provisional until final settlement is made through a Federal Reserve Bank or payment is otherwise made as provided in Article 4A-403(a) of the Uniform Commercial Code. If we do not receive such, we are entitled to a refund from you in the amount credited to your account and the party originating such payment will not be considered to have paid the amount so credited. If we receive a credit to an account you have with us by wire or ACH, we are not required to give you any notice of the payment order or credit.

DBB

Expere ©1983, 1990, 1991, 1993 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form TC-SC 4/13/2001

Community First Bank, member [redacted]
Seneca, SC 39678

ADDENDUM: This account agreement addendum is incorporated into and made a part of the accompanying account agreement for all poses.

I BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST
COLUMBIA, SC 29205

Account Agreement Dated: 06/22/07

Additional Terms and Conditions of Your Account:

PAYMENT ORDER OF ITEMS - The law permits us to pay items (such as checks or drafts) drawn on your account in any order. To assist you in handling your account with us, we are providing you with the following information regarding how we process the items that you write. When processing items drawn on your account, our policy is to pay them according to the dollar amount. We pay the smallest items first. The order in which items are paid is important if there is not enough money in your account to pay all the items that are presented. Our payment policy minimizes the number of items that may result in an overdraft or NSF fee. If an item is presented without sufficient funds in your account to pay it, we may, at our discretion, pay the item (creating an overdraft) or return the item (NSF). The amount of the overdraft and NSF fees are disclosed elsewhere. We encourage you to make careful records and practice good account management. This will help you to avoid writing checks or drafts without sufficient funds and incurring the resulting fees.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF OCONEE

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Debi Baker Brookshire,

Plaintiff,

v.

Community First Bank, Inc. and Benjamin Lee Hiott,

Defendants.

Case No. 2014-CP-37-526

DEFENDANT COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, INC.'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Defendant Community First Bank, Inc. ("Community First") herein submits its Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment, dated October 11, 2016, and filed October 13, 2016. For the reasons discussed below, there is no genuine issue of material fact, and Community First is entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law on all claims pursuant to Rule 56, SCRCP.

Introduction

On May 25, 2007, Plaintiff Debi Baker Brookshire ("Plaintiff") executed a power of attorney ("the POA") granting Defendant Benjamin Lee Hiott ("Hiott") the personal authority to handle virtually all aspects of her financial affairs. With Plaintiff's knowledge, Hiott exercised the authority granted by the POA by, among other things, making disbursements from Plaintiff's checking account at Community First during the period from July 12, 2007, until the account was closed on February 23, 2011 (the "Account"). Plaintiff filed this action against Community First and Hiott on September 8, 2014, based on the premise that certain disbursements Hiott

made from the Account were unauthorized. Plaintiff asserts six causes of action in her Complaint for breach of fiduciary duty, conversion, negligent supervision, negligence/gross negligence, breach of contract accompanied by fraudulent act, and for an accounting.

As discussed below, there is no genuine issue of material fact, and Community First is entitled to judgment as a matter of law because, pursuant to the unambiguous terms of the POA, Brookshire granted Hiott the authority to make all of the subject disbursements. By statute, Community First is absolved from liability because it was entitled to rely on the authority granted by the POA and had no duty to inquire into the purpose of the disbursements.

Plaintiff's claims are also time-barred as a matter of law. Pursuant to South Carolina statutes and applicable case law, Plaintiff has a duty to inspect and is on notice of the contents of her monthly Account statements. The undisputed evidence demonstrates the monthly Account statements were mailed to Plaintiff, and even if she did not receive them (which the evidence demonstrates that she did), she had a duty to promptly request them from Community First. The undisputed evidence also demonstrates that the contents of the statements constituted sufficient notice to Plaintiff as to her claim that certain disbursements were not appropriate—particularly the disbursements of $500,000 and $200,000 in 2007 reflected on the *original* Account statements produced by Plaintiff in discovery in this case. Any claim with regard to these disbursements—as with all other disbursements from the Account—expired long before this action was filed in 2014 pursuant to the Uniform Commercial Code, the Account agreement, and the general statute of limitations.

Plaintiff has also failed as a matter of law to establish the elements of any of the causes of action asserted against Community First. Although all of Plaintiff's claims are barred pursuant to the provisions of the POA, the expiration of the applicable statutes of limitation or repose, and

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

certain defenses raised by Community First's motion for summary judgment, the claims as to many of the items challenged by Plaintiff in this case also fail as a matter of law for the additional reason that the undisputed evidence demonstrates that Plaintiff either received the funds from the Account (*e.g.*, by direct transfer to accounts at another bank where Hiott played no role regarding any disbursements), the funds went to her direct benefit (*e.g.*, payment of her rent, car payments, credit card payments, insurance payments, taxes, and other obligations), and in three instances, where disbursements were deposited back into her Account within a few days.

In addition, no genuine issue of material fact exists with regard to the funds belonging to Community First that Hiott misappropriated from Community First, deposited into the Account, and that were thereafter used for Plaintiff's benefit in the total amount of $880,855.58. Community First is thus entitled to summary judgment on its offset and counterclaims against Plaintiff.

In order to consolidate all applicable materials for the court in one place and in one document, this Memorandum restates all of the material facts and arguments contained in Community First's motion for summary judgment and further provides additional factual and legal support for the grounds stated in the motion.

Undisputed Facts

A. Plaintiff's Background and her Personal Relationship with Hiott.

Plaintiff is a college educated former business owner. Exhibit 1, p. 17 (Brookshire Dep. Excerpts). She has been handling funds and bank accounts since at least her college days in the early 1970s. Ex. 1, pp. 539-544. Following extensive and protracted litigation in the early 2000s with her siblings over her parents' estates and a lawsuit Plaintiff brought against two of her brothers for the wrongful death of her father, Plaintiff executed a Revocable Management Trust Agreement with BB&T acting as trustee on February 2, 2005. Exhibit 2 (BB&T Revocable

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Management Trust Agreement). BB&T was to manage Plaintiff's interest in Baker and Baker Real Estate Developers, LLC ("Baker and Baker"), a real estate development company founded by Plaintiff's uncle and father, operated by other members of Plaintiff's family, and of which Plaintiff owned approximately 10.8% of the company. Exhibit 3 (Communications to John Baker); Exhibit 4 (Assignment of Interest in Baker and Baker). In addition to managing the relationship with Baker and Baker, Plaintiff directed BB&T to pay certain bills and make certain purchases with the cash portion of her Revocable Trust at BB&T.[1] Ex. 1, pp. 199-203; Exhibit 6 (Documents from Brookshire to BB&T). Over the next two years, Plaintiff experienced various conflicts with BB&T over her cash management priorities, especially with regard to Plaintiff's desired purchase of a $250,000 motor home and other tax and responsiveness issues. Ex. 1, pp. 203-10, 213-14; Exhibit 7 (BB&T Letter to Brookshire). Plaintiff revoked her Revocable Trust Agreement with BB&T on May 25, 2007. Exhibit 8 (Revocation of BB&T Revocable Trust Agreement).

That same day, Plaintiff executed the POA, granting Hiott broad authority to handle her financial affairs. *See* Ex. 1, pp. 46-47; Exhibit 9 (General Power of Attorney). The first sentence of the POA states: "I, Debi Baker Brookshire, residing at 1707 Devine Street, Columbia, SC 29201, hereby appoint Benjamin Lee Hiott of 9 Topsail Lane, Salem, SC 29676,[2] as my Attorney-in-Fact ('Agent')." Ex. 9. The POA continues in pertinent part:

> **My Agent shall have full power and authority to act on my behalf.** This power and authority shall authorize my Agent to **manage and conduct all of my affairs and to exercise all of my legal rights and**

[1] As of her May 31, 2007 statement with BB&T, the cash portion of Plaintiff's Revocable Trust at BB&T was $1,178,437.06, and her ownership interest in Baker and Baker was valued at $2,047,684.00. Exhibit 5, p. CFB000347 (Documents from Brookshire to Hiott).

[2] 9 Topsail Lane, Salem, SC 29676 is not an address for Community First, and based on Community First's personnel records, was or is Hiott's home address.

powers, including all rights and powers that I may acquire in the future. **My Agent's powers shall include, but not be limited to, the power to**:

1. **Open, maintain or close bank accounts** (including, but not limited to, checking accounts, savings accounts, and certificates of deposit), brokerage accounts, and other similar accounts with financial institutions.

> a. **Conduct any business with any banking or financial institution with respect to any of my accounts, including, but not limited to, making deposits and withdrawals**, obtaining bank statements, passbooks, drafts, money orders, warrants, and certificates or vouchers payable to me by any person, firm, corporation or political entity.

...

2. **Sell, exchange, buy[,] invest, or reinvest any assets or property owned by me.** Such assets or property may include income producing or non-income producing assets and property.

3. Purchase and/or maintain insurance, including life insurance upon my life or the life of any other appropriate person.

...

5. **Enter into binding contracts on my behalf.**

6. Exercise all stock rights on my behalf as my proxy, including all rights with respect to stocks, bonds, debentures, or there [sic] investments.

...

10. Prepare, sign, and file documents with any governmental body or agency, including, but not limited to, authorization to:

> a. Prepare, sign and file income and other tax returns with federal, state, local, and other governmental bodies.
>
> b. Obtain information or documents from any government or its agencies, and negotiate[,] comprise [sic], or settle any matter with such government or agency (including tax matters).
>
> c. Prepare applications, provide information, and perform any other act reasonably requested by any government or its agencies in connection with governmental benefits (including military and social security benefits).

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

> 11. Make gifts from my assets to members of my family and to such other persons or charitable organizations with whom I have an established pattern of giving. However, my Agent may not make gifts of my property to the Agent.
>
> ...
>
> **The Power of Attorney shall be construed broadly as a General Power of Attorney. The listing of specific powers is not intended to limit or restrict the general powers granted in this Power of Attorney in any manner.**

Ex. 9 (emphasis added). The POA recites that it was signed at "Branch Banking & Trust, Columbia, SC," was witnessed by Allison L. Brackett and Shawanna Sturghill, and was acknowledged by Lucas Askins on May 25, 2007, who mentioned that Plaintiff produced her South Carolina drivers' license as identification. Ex. 9. Although not mentioned or even alluded to in the POA, Hiott was employed as a Senior Vice President at Community First during the operative time period. *See* Exhibit 10, p. 54 (Shepherd Dep. Excerpts).

Plaintiff and Hiott met in the 1980s, and were friendly acquaintances in Columbia in the 1980s and 1990s. At that time, Hiott worked for Lexington State Bank and later BB&T in the Columbia area. Plaintiff was a customer at those banks and interacted with Hiott in the conduct of her banking business, but they also tailgated together at football games and socialized at bars in Columbia or at Hiott's house. Ex. 1, pp. 27-29. Plaintiff testified that her opinion of Hiott was that he was "[h]onorable and nice" and that she knew that "he worked for a bank." Ex. 1, p. 27. After Hiott moved to Oconee County around 2000, however, he and Plaintiff did not keep in touch. Ex. 1, p. 30. In around 2007, Plaintiff reached out to mutual friends, Ron and Peg Craven, and asked Ron Craven "to take care of her finances" and "handle all of [her] business."

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Exhibit 11, pp. 14, 17 (Craven Dep. Excerpts).[3] The Cravens declined to help Plaintiff in that capacity, and Hiott's name was brought up in the conversation as someone to potentially assist Plaintiff with her finances. Ex. 11, p. 14. The Cravens gave Plaintiff Hiott's telephone number, and Plaintiff thereafter contacted Hiott for the first time in many years. Ex. 1, pp. 30-31. Plaintiff testified that she asked Hiott "if he would be interested in protecting [her] interests," but failed to recall any details about who prepared the POA, the circumstances of its execution, or any discussion of its terms, though Plaintiff affirms that she was not with Hiott when she executed the POA. Ex. 1, pp. 36-37.[4] She affirmed her signature on the POA and further affirmed that she understood that she was transferring her money for Hiott to handle her interests. Ex. 1, pp. 40-42. She further affirmed that she understood what a power of attorney was and how they operated and also testified that she had both served as a power of attorney and had given others a power of attorney, as well. Ex. 1, pp. 42-43.

By June 2007, Hiott was performing his duties under the POA, and he signed June 2007 correspondence to Baker and Baker and BB&T as "Benjamin L. Hiott, Power of Attorney." Exhibit 12 (Letter to Baker and Baker); Exhibit 13 (Letter to BB&T). Plaintiff also signed these communications. Exs. 12, 13. Over time, Hiott also handled Plaintiff's taxes, various insurance matters, and signed residential leases for Plaintiff. Exhibit 14 (Tax Payment Records); Exhibit 15 (Brookshire Note to Hiott); Exhibit 16 (Brookshire Note to Hiott); Exhibit 17 (Rent Payments and Lease). He paid her telephone and utility bills, her car payments, her storage unit fees, her attorney and accountant fees, her insurance bills, her medical bills, her traffic tickets, her

[3] Mr. Craven is retired from Pillsbury Company where he was employed as a reset merchandiser in grocery stores. Ex. 11, p. 7.

[4] Plaintiff also testified that "it all started" with Hiott because she was thinking about moving to Seneca, South Carolina and "getting a patio home" and thought Hiott would help with that process. Ex. 1, p. 466.

childcare bills, and made charitable contributions on her behalf. *See* Exhibit 18 (Summary Chart of All Disbursements). Plaintiff estimates that she was having Hiott pay "[m]aybe ten to twenty" monthly bills on her behalf, although she could not provide a comprehensive list of his responsibilities. Ex. 1, pp. 531-534. Hiott also regularly assisted Plaintiff with the two children in the custody of Plaintiff and her husband by arranging for their care on weekends and, on at least one occasion, Hiott kept the children for several days at Christmas. Ex. 1, p. 248; Ex. 11, pp. 43, 50, 53-54, 59-60; Exhibits 19, 20, 21, 22 (Emails). Hiott further arranged for the storage and maintenance of Plaintiff's motor home and was entrusted with personal possession of about $500,000 worth of Plaintiff's jewelry and silver. Ex. 1, pp. 56, 95-96, 626-27. In short, Plaintiff's relationship with Hiott while he held her POA was personal, close, and involved just about all aspects of her life.

B. Plaintiff's Account at Community First.

The primary way that Hiott handled Plaintiff's financial affairs and paid Plaintiff's financial obligations was through Plaintiff's Account—a checking account held at Community First from June 2007 until February 23, 2011. *See* Exhibit 23, p. 40 (Wilson Dep. Excerpts).[5] It is undisputed that Hiott handled deposits into and withdrawals from Plaintiff's Account. Ex. 1, pp. 319-320, 325. It is undisputed that Plaintiff knew about the Account and understood that Hiott was paying her obligations from the Account with her funds. Ex. 1, pp. 319-320, 325, 346, 348; Ex. 13.

[5] Plaintiff repeatedly refers to her Account at Community First as a "trust" account. *See, e.g.* Ex. 1, pp. 65, 264, 565-66. To the extent Plaintiff takes the position that Community First undertook to serve as a trustee with regard to her Account, no documentary evidence or testimony supports this position (and all such evidence actually directly refutes this position). *See* Exhibit 24 (Wilson Affidavit), ¶¶ 16, 36 and Ex. 7 thereto. Further, as reflected in the monthly Account statements, Community First did not charge trustee fees, and the statements state that Plaintiff herself (and not Community First) was the trustee of her own trust. *See, e.g.* Ex. 24, Exs. 3, 5, 7 thereto.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

Community First mailed monthly statements for Plaintiff's Account at Community First to the address where Plaintiff was receiving her mail (Ex. 1, pp. 23-25, 35, 553) from the Account's inception in June 2007 until early 2010, when Community First received for the first time in April 2010 a statement addressed to Plaintiff in return mail as "unable to forward." Ex. 24, ¶¶ 17-18. Community First's account management system automatically generates and prints a periodic account statement for each of its more than 25,000 accounts. Ex. 24, ¶¶ 3, 8. These account statements are routinely, regularly, and automatically compiled, processed, and transmitted for mailing with the United States Postal Service, as detailed in Ms. Wilson's affidavit. Ex. 24, ¶¶ 9-12. At all times during the Account's existence, Community First followed its normal procedures with regard to the Account statements. *See* Ex. 24, ¶ 14.

The extensive and undisputed evidence in the record of Community First's *sending* of the monthly Account statements to Plaintiff is corroborated by Plaintiff's document production of three original statements on statement paper (November and December 2007 and January 2008) and the photocopy of another original statement (July 2007). Ex. 24, ¶¶ 28, 29, 30, 34. Plaintiff also testified that she potentially discarded documents regarding Community First and Hiott after 2010. Ex. 1, pp. 425-427.

Plaintiff's former private investigator, Billy Tabor, testified that he received the original July 2007 statement (or copy thereof) directly from Plaintiff when she brought him several boxes of documents in or around 2012. Exhibit 25, p. 51 (Tabor Dep. Excerpts); Exhibit 26 (July 2007 Statement Packet). The original statement (page Giese-CFB 1418) was in one of the boxes, although Plaintiff directly gave Mr. Tabor a reprinted copy of the July 2007 statement (page Giese-CFB 1417). Ex. 25, p. 51; Ex. 26. The boxes Plaintiff gave Mr. Tabor were unorganized and contained various items including "bank records," "things about the children," and "personal

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

letters." Ex. 25, pp. 47-48.[6] Mr. Tabor affirmed that Plaintiff also provided him with the original Account statements from November and December 2007 and January 2008. Ex. 25, p. 54; Exhibit 27 (November 2007 Statement); Exhibit 28 (December 2007 Statement); Exhibit 29 (January 2008 Statement). Mr. Tabor thereafter turned all of these documents over to the Giese Law Firm. Ex. 25, p. 55.[7]

Taken together, the undisputed evidence demonstrates that Community First mailed to Plaintiff original Account statements in the normal course of the Account's existence from 2007 until early 2010, Plaintiff provided at least some original statements to Mr. Tabor along with voluminous other documents in 2012, and that thereafter Mr. Tabor organized the Account statements in some fashion and transmitted them to Plaintiff's counsel. The undisputed evidence also shows that Plaintiff *never* notified Community First in 2007, 2008, 2009, or early 2010 that she was missing or had failed to receive any Account statements. Ex. 1, pp. 64-65, 578.

C. Plaintiff's Relationship with Hiott Unravels.

Plaintiff testified that by the spring or summer of 2010, she had become distrustful of Hiott and believed he was being untruthful with her about her assets, especially regarding the amount of money in the Account. Ex. 1, pp. 119-20. Indeed, Plaintiff testified that her concerns

---

[6] Mr. Tabor further testified that Plaintiff originally hired him in 2012 to do investigative work into a theft of her jewelry and that "Miss Brookshire had all kind of things that she wanted me to look into for her." Ex. 25, pp. 13-15.

[7] Plaintiff's former attorney, Michael Sgobbo, Esq., produced in discovery in 2016 a 2007 "Audit Confirmation" letter sent from J.W. Hunt and Company, LLP to Plaintiff regarding the Account, and asking Plaintiff to "please report any discrepancy by us[ing] the reverse side of this form and returning in t[he] enclosed envelope." Exhibit 30 (J.W. Hunt Audit Confirmation Letter). The Audit Confirmation letter gave the "current balance" for the Account as $392,097.12 and the "balance last statement" for the Account as $296,587.54 on November 30, 2007. Ex. 30. Despite repeated, specific inquiries into when and how Plaintiff came into possession of this Audit Confirmation letter (including a written supplemental response made at court order), Plaintiff cannot provide any information as to when she received this document which, on its face, was dated in 2007 and is not found in Community First's files. A compilation of Plaintiff's discovery responses regarding this document is attached as Exhibit 31.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

about Hiott's behavior with regard to her finances and the Account dated back to 2008. Ex. 1, pp. 81, 139-40. On or about July 19, 2010, she instructed Baker and Baker not to deposit any more funds into the Account. Ex. 1, p. 368-69.[8] Plaintiff decided to entrust another friend, Ann Gell Hamiter, with bill paying duties in the spring of 2010 and sought legal advice from Kathleen McDaniel, Esq. beginning in August 2010 due to her concerns about Hiott and the inconsistent information she and Ms. Hamiter were receiving from him. Ex. 1, pp. 116-17, 123, 374-75, 512; Exhibit 33, p. 7 (McDaniel Dep. Excerpts). As Ms. Hamiter testified, Plaintiff "was totally put out" with Hiott in 2010 because Plaintiff believed that Hiott "was stealing her money." Exhibit 34, p. 38 (Hamiter Dep. Excerpts). Ms. McDaniel wrote to Hiott on September 21, 2010:

> It is my understanding that on August 11, 2010, you transferred all of the cash remaining in the trust account, approximately $200,000.00 to Ms. Baker-Brookshire's account at BB&T. I spoke yesterday with Ann Gel Hammiter [sic], Ms. Baker-Brookshire's bookkeeper. Ms. Hammiter [sic] informs me that, when she last spoke to you about one month before the wire out to BB&T, you told her that there was approximately $900,000 remaining in the trust account. There appears to be some serious inconsistencies in this information.

Exhibit 35 (McDaniel Letter to Hiott). Ms. Hamiter testified that Plaintiff "was violently upset" and surprised when she received the $200,000 in August 2010 and believed she should have had more money in the Account. Ex. 34, pp. 49-50. Consequently, Plaintiff had serious, documented concerns about Hiott's behavior with regard to her Account in mid-2010.

In approximately December 2010, counsel for Community First, James Williams, Esq., sent a package of reprinted Account statements to date to Ms. McDaniel, which on their face were printed on November 18, 2010. Ex. 33, pp. 30-31; Exhibit 36 (Account Statement Compilation Packet). Ms. McDaniel confirmed that she received the set of reprinted Account

[8] Over the course of the Account's existence, at least $1,307,706.43 was deposited into the Account from Baker and Baker. Exhibit 32 (Summary Chart of Deposits from Baker and Baker).

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

statements during her representation of Plaintiff and that she sent the package of reprinted Account statements on to Plaintiff. Ex. 33, p. 33. Plaintiff confirmed that she received this package no later than March or April 2011. Ex. 1, pp. 394-98. On February 17, 2011, Ms. McDaniel instructed Community First through counsel to "close Ms. Baker-Brookshire's account with Community First Bank and prepare a check made out to Debi Baker-Brookshire for *all funds remaining in the account*." Exhibit 37 (McDaniel Letter to Williams) (emphasis added). Ms. McDaniel met with Mr. Williams and Hiott on February 22, 2011, and received a Community First Bank "Official Check" for $285,233.34 made out to Debi Baker Brookshire. Ex. 33, pp. 38-39, Exhibit 38 (Check Packet). Both Ms. McDaniel and Plaintiff testified that they understood that the $285,233.34 was all the money remaining in the Account as of February 2011. Ex. 1, pp. 129, 391-92; Ex. 33, pp. 39-40.

Ms. McDaniel ceased representing Plaintiff on March 11, 2011. Ex. 33, p. 40. On April 6, 2011, Plaintiff revoked the POA. Exhibit 39 (Revocation of Power of Attorney). Plaintiff has not spoken with or directly communicated with Hiott since mid-2010. Ex. 1, p. 124.

D. Plaintiff's Claimed Damages.

Over the course of the Account's existence from June 2007 until February 2011, a total of $4,057,114.34 was deposited into and withdrawn from the Account. Plaintiff initially claimed that essentially *all* of the disbursements from the Account were unauthorized or were allegedly "stolen or misappropriated." Complaint ¶¶ 102, 110. As discovery progressed, Plaintiff eventually admitted that she does not dispute that Hiott disbursed $1,865,761.69 from the Account to her or for her benefit in payment of her obligations. Ex. 1, pp. 412-413, 429-432; Exhibit 40 (Compilation Exhibit of Discovery Responses Regarding Disbursements in Dispute); Exhibit 41 (Summary Chart of Undisputed Disbursements). These payments represent payments

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

by Hiott for Plaintiff's taxes ($564,634.21); Plaintiff's various bills including rent, cable, utilities, daycare, insurance, traffic tickets, and medical bills ($115,488.96); transfers to Plaintiff's accounts at BB&T ($1,020,626.62); and payments to Plaintiff's husband ($51,208.15) among other various payments. Ex. 41.

Subtracting the undisputed amount leaves $2,191,352.65 in dispute, representing 115 disbursement transactions. Exhibit 42, (Summary Chart of Disputed Disbursements).[9] Despite concrete documentary evidence establishing the recipient and validity of such payments,[10] Plaintiff unreasonably persists in disputing the following categories of transactions, representing $556,977.20:

Transaction reversals[11]	$351,246.57
Transfers to Plaintiff's accounts at BB&T[12]	$182,998.57

[9] As discussed more fully below at pp. 17-18, given the language of the POA Plaintiff chose to give Hiott, Hiott had the actual authority to conduct *every* transaction on the Account. Ex. 9. Community First's discussion here of the transactions that *Plaintiff* does and does not dispute in no way detracts from Community First's fundamental argument that the POA authorized all transactions on the Account and that, as a third party, Community First had a right to rely on the POA with no duty to inquire into the purpose behind a given transaction.

[10] Some of this information was gleaned from a box of Brookshire materials stored in Hiott's former office at Community First and which Community First produced in the course of this litigation. Plaintiff admitted during her deposition that she threw away documents regarding Community First and Hiott after 2010 and thereafter challenged disbursements as inappropriate or unauthorized. Ex. 1, pp. 425-27, 656.

[11] This money represents withdrawals from the Account where funds in an identical amount were returned to the Account within a few days of the withdrawals. In other words, there was no net loss to the Account. A compilation exhibit of the backup documentation showing these transactions is attached as Exhibit 43.

[12] The majority of the still-disputed disbursements to BB&T are wire transfers to Plaintiff's accounts at BB&T in 2007 and 2008. Plaintiff has refused to acknowledge that these disbursements were for her benefit because the only documentary evidence produced in this case to corroborate those disbursements came from Community First. Brookshire apparently failed to retain her BB&T statements confirming these transactions, and by the time she requested them from BB&T in connection with this matter, BB&T no longer retained the documentation. *See* Ex. 40. Given the only available evidence regarding these transactions—Community First's documentation and the established pattern of 130 admitted disbursements to BB&T that Plaintiff

Car payments - Lexus/Toyota[13]	$ 7,823.14
Community First Credit Card Payments[14]	$ 7,229.74
Insurance[15]	$ 6,079.17
Logan Voxx[16]	$ 1,600.00
CFB (closing withdrawal)	$ .01
Subtotal	**$556,977.20**

Given the documentary evidence in support of the authorization of or appropriateness of these disbursements, there is no genuine issue of material fact that the above-described $556,977.20 can be a matter of dispute, thus reducing the amount in controversy to $1,634,375.45.

On eight different occasions from July 2008 until February 2011, it is undisputed that Hiott deposited a total of $880,855.58 of funds belonging to Community First into the Account. Exhibit 49, p. 158 (Jones Dep. Excerpts); Exhibit 50 (Palmetto Consulting Chart). Plaintiff has confirmed that Hiott's deposit of those funds into her account was not appropriate (Ex. 1, pp. 393-94), and she consequently has no claim to those funds. Community First is thus entitled to a credit of $880,855.58 against any amount remaining in controversy (and further seeks recovery

---

does *not* dispute—there is no genuine issue of material fact as to whether Plaintiff received these funds. A compilation exhibit of the backup documentation for the 20 disbursements to BB&T that Plaintiff still disputes is attached as Exhibit 44. *See also* Ex. 42.

[13] A compilation exhibit of the backup documentation showing these transactions is attached as Exhibit 45.

[14] A compilation exhibit of the backup documentation showing these transactions is attached as Exhibit 46.

[15] A compilation exhibit of the backup documentation showing these transactions is attached as Exhibit 47.

[16] Plaintiff has objected to one disbursement to Mr. Voxx, a child care provider for the children in her custody, yet has ratified multiple disbursements from the Account to Mr. Voxx on other occasions. A compilation exhibit of the single transaction to which she is objecting (CFB003667) and other transactions to which she is not objecting (CFB003453, CFB003467, CFB003576) is attached as Exhibit 48.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

of this amount in its counterclaims against Plaintiff), thus reducing the amount that Plaintiff could conceivably seek to $753,519.87.

The withdrawals from the Account that are now remotely subject to dispute are far less than the total damages Plaintiff has claimed in this lawsuit. As will be discussed more fully below, Plaintiff faces fatal statute of limitations and statute of repose issues with regard to all of her claims (among many other flaws in Plaintiff's case against Community First). By way of example, Plaintiff's problems are starkly obvious with regard to one category of transactions that Plaintiff still disputes—disbursements made to Joseph Crosby or made for his benefit or for the benefit of a company owned by Mr. Crosby. Mr. Crosby was a local restaurateur who developed a line of spice rubs and other food products that were sold in local Bi-Los, Walmarts, and other retail outlets, to the United States military, and on QVC. Exhibit 51, pp. 9-14, 25 (Crosby Dep. Excerpts). The only evidence in the record is that in 2007 and 2008, Mr. Crosby's business interests were growing rapidly and poised for great success. Ex. 51, pp. 41-43.[17] The disbursements to Mr. Crosby represent the largest by amount ($1,053,437.39) and oldest by date (beginning July 12, 2007, and ending December 17, 2008) of those categories of disbursements that Plaintiff could conceivably contest as inappropriate or unauthorized. *See* Ex. 42. Plaintiff's failure to timely challenge those disbursements renders her entirely upside down on her damages theories.

[17] Mr. Crosby testified that he understood that he understood that the July 12, 2007 $500,000.00 disbursement to him (made on an Official Check of Community First and endorsed by him) was a loan to him from Plaintiff, as confirmed by the words "Brookshire Loan Advance" on the remitter line of the check. Ex. 51, pp. 34-38; Exhibit 52 (Check to Crosby for $500,000.00). Indeed, Mr. Crosby referred to Plaintiff as a sort of "angel investor" in his company. Ex. 51, p. 37. He considered the other advances of Plaintiff's funds to be of the same category and nature. Ex. 51, p. 41. He testified that his company collapsed during the financial crisis and he could not repay his debts, including the debt to Plaintiff. Ex. 51, pp. 46-50, 57-59, 117.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Legal Standard

Summary judgment is appropriate if the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law. Rule 56(c), SCRCP. Under Rule 56(c), the party seeking summary judgment has the initial responsibility of demonstrating the absence of a genuine issue of material fact; however, the moving party need not support its motion with affidavits or other similar materials negating the opponent's claims but must clearly establish by the record the absence of a triable issue of fact. *Baughman v. American Tel & Tel. Co.*, 306 S.C. 101, 115, 410 S.E.2d 537, 545 (1991). With respect to an issue upon which the non-moving party bears the burden of proof, the moving party may discharge his initial responsibility by pointing out to the Court the absence of evidence to support the non-moving party's case. *Id.*

After the moving party has met his initial burden, Rule 56(e), SCRCP requires the opposing party to "do more than simply show that there is some metaphysical doubt as to the material facts." *Id.* In response to a properly supported motion for summary judgment, the opposing party "must come forward with specific facts showing there is a genuine issue of material fact." *Id.* Thus, "the non-moving party may not rest on the mere allegations or denial of pleadings, but must set forth or point to specific facts showing there is a genuine issue of material fact." *Thomas v. Waters*, 315 S.C. 524, 526, 445 S.E. 2d 659, 661 (Ct. App. 1994) (quoting *Dickert v. Metropolitan Life Ins. Co.*, 306 S.C.311, 313, 411 S.E.2d 672, 673 (Ct. App. 1993), *rev'd in part on other grounds*, 311 S.C. 218, 428 S.E.2d 700 (1993)); Rule 56(c), SCRCP.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Argument

A. Plaintiff's POA to Hiott bars her claims against Community First.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on all of Plaintiff's causes of action because Plaintiff authorized Hiott to take all of the actions he did with regard to the Account, and the law imposes no duty on Community First to monitor or regulate Hiott's activities and decisions undertaken pursuant to the POA. Plaintiff gave Hiott the POA in his individual capacity to, among other things, conduct *all* of her financial affairs. This POA predated her Account at Community First, and was not revoked until after the Account was finally closed. Plaintiff authorized Hiott to make withdrawals from and deposits into the Account. She authorized him to open accounts, invest her assets, purchase insurance, file her taxes, and "manage and conduct all of [her] affairs and to exercise all of [her] legal rights and powers." Ex. 9. The POA is unambiguous, and its interpretation is confined to the language used in the four corners of the document. *Watson v. Underwood*, 407 S.C. 443, 454-55, 756 S.E.2d 155, 161-162 (Ct. App. 2014) (internal citation omitted). Whether Plaintiff now agrees or disagrees with the decisions Hiott made is immaterial as it pertains to her claims against Community First.

Community First, as a third party, has a statutory right to rely on powers of attorney and "does not incur liability to the principal or the principal's estate by reason of acting upon the authority of it or permitting the attorney-in-fact to exercise authority." S.C. Code Ann. § 62-5-501(F)(2)(a) (2009). Further, Community First "is not required to inquire whether the attorney-in-fact has power to act or is properly exercising the power." *Id.* § 62-5-501(F)(2)(b). Nor is Community First "responsible to determine or ensure the proper application of assets, funds, or property belonging to the principal." *Id.* § 62-5-501(F)(2)(c). The existence of and terms of Plaintiff's POA that she granted to Hiott thus bar all of her claims against Community First

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

because all of Plaintiff's claims against Community First are premised on the (erroneous) assumption that Community First had some sort of duty to monitor Hiott's disbursements from the Account or inquire into the purpose behind the disbursements while Hiott was acting under the POA.

B. Plaintiff's claims that Hiott's disbursements from the Account were unauthorized are time barred under the Uniform Commercial Code because Plaintiff failed to timely challenge the disbursements.

Plaintiff's claims that certain disbursements from her Account were unauthorized are barred under the South Carolina Uniform Commercial Code because Plaintiff utterly failed to promptly review the monthly statements for her Account and bring her concerns about Hiott allegedly exceeding the scope of his authorization in the conduct of her business to Community First's attention. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 36-4-406 (2003 & Supp. 2015) as applicable.[18] Both versions of this section impose a strict one year maximum time limit for a customer to discover and report unauthorized signatures[19] on items.[20] S.C. Code Ann. § 36-4-406(4) (2003); S.C.

---

[18] South Carolina adopted Revised Article 4 of the Uniform Commercial Code effective July 1, 2008. Consequently, slightly different rules apply to pre-July 1, 2008 Account statements versus post-July 1, 2008 Account statements. 2008 Act No. 204, § 4.A ("This act applies to a transaction occurring on or after the effective date [July 1, 2008] of this act. This act does not apply to a transaction or event, or obligation or duty arising out of or associated with a transaction or event before the effective date of this act.").

[19] "Unauthorized signature" is a defined term in the UCC and means one "made without actual, implied or apparent authority and includes a forgery." S.C. Code Ann. § 36-1-201(43) (2003); S.C. Code Ann. § 36-1-201(41) (Supp. 2015) (unchanged in meaning, though using slightly different phrasing); *see also* S.C. Code Ann. § 36-1-201, Off. Cmt. 41 (Supp. 2015) (noting that the definition of "unauthorized signature" is "[u]nchanged" from the former section).

[20] "Item" is also a defined term in the UCC and meant "any instrument for the payment of money even though it is not negotiable but does not include money" before July 1, 2008. S.C. Code Ann. § 36-4-104(1)(g) (2003). After July 1, 2008, the definition of "item" changed slightly to "an instrument or a promise or order to pay money handled by a bank for collection or payment." S.C. Code Ann. § 36-4-104(a)(9) (Supp. 2015). "Promise" is defined as "a written undertaking to pay money signed by the person undertaking to pay." *Id.* § 36-3-103(a)(12) (Supp. 2015). "Order" is defined as "a written instruction to pay money signed by the person giving the instruction." *Id.* § 36-3-103(a)(8) (Supp. 2015). Both definitions of "item"

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Code Ann. § 36-4-406(f) (Supp. 2015); *see also Sabatino v. Atl. Sav. Bank, F.S.B.*, 314 S.C. 402, 403, 444 S.E.2d 537, 538 (Ct. App. 1994). It is of critical importance for account holders to promptly review their bank statements, especially because "[o]ne of the most serious consequences of failure of the customer to comply with the requirements [to review her statements] is the opportunity presented to the wrongdoer to repeat his misdeeds. Conversely, one of the best ways to keep down losses in this type of situation is for the customer to promptly examine his statement and notify the bank of an unauthorized signature or alteration so that the bank will be alerted to stop paying further items." S.C. Code Ann. § 36-4-406 (2003), Off. Cmt. 3. Plaintiff's own expert agrees, as he testified that it is "absolutely" important for the bank's "customer to review the statements that are sent to the customer" and that "the customer bears the responsibility for keeping up with his or her bank accounts." Exhibit 53, p. 125 (Richey Dep. Excerpts). He further testified that the bank customer should notify the bank if a monthly statement does not arrive. Ex. 53, p. 125.

In addition, South Carolina law specifically permits banks and their customers to vary by agreement the timeframe in which a customer must review and report unauthorized signatures or other concerns about transactions on the account. S.C. Code Ann. § 36-4-103(1) (2003); S.C. Code Ann. § 36-4-103(a) & S.C. Reporter's Cmt. (citing *Nat'l Title Ins. Corp. Agency v. First Union Nat'l Bank*, 559 S.E.2d 668, 671 (Va. 2002) & *Freese v. Regions Bank, N.A.*, 644 S.E.2d 549, 552 (Ga. App. 2007)) (Supp. 2015).[21] Plaintiff's Account agreement with Community First

---

encompass a wide variety of instructions to pay beyond traditional checks, including journal vouchers, deposit slips, account withdrawal orders, and handwritten notes asking for cashiers' checks. *See, e.g. Am. Airlines Employees Fed. Credit Union v. Martin*, 29 S.W.3d 86, 92-94 (Tex. 2000).

[21] With respect to the Uniform Commercial Code, the South Carolina legislature has provided "a statement of legislative direction to the courts of a tendency to imply an interpretation and construction of the Code sections which is consistent with the case law

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

sets the timeframe for Plaintiff to report unauthorized signatures or other Account errors to Community First as 60 days after Community First sends the monthly statement. The monthly statements reinforce this timeframe with the monthly reminder to "[p]lease examine immediately and report if incorrect. If no reply is received within 60 days the account will be considered correct." *See* Exs. 1, 3, 5, and 7 to Ex. 24 (emphasis in original).

Community First mailed monthly statements for Plaintiff's Account to the address where Plaintiff was receiving her mail from the Account's inception in June 2007 until early 2010, when Community First received for the first time in April 2010 a statement addressed to Plaintiff in return mail as "unable to forward." Ex. 24, ¶¶ 17-18. Community First's account management system automatically generates and prints a periodic account statement for each of its more than 25,000 accounts. Ex. 24, ¶¶ 3, 8. These account statements are routinely, regularly, and automatically compiled, processed, and transmitted for mailing with the United States Postal Service, as detailed in Ms. Wilson's affidavit. Ex. 24, ¶¶ 9-12. At all times during the Account's existence, Community First followed its normal procedures with regard to the Account statements. *See* Ex. 24, ¶ 14. This evidence of Community First's "usage and custom ... in delivering statements" to its customers is competent proof of delivery. *Cooley v. First Nat'l Bank of Little Rock*, 635 S.W.2d 250, 252 (Ark. 1982) (internal citation omitted); *see also Bakala v. Bakala*, 352 S.C. 612, 625, 576 S.E.2d 156, 163 (2003) ("Evidence of mailing establishes a rebuttable presumption of receipt.") (internal citation omitted).

Plaintiff's testimony that she did not receive monthly statements from Community First is unavailing and fails to present a genuine issue of material fact to preclude summary judgment to

---

construction of those sections in other Code jurisdictions." S.C. Code Ann. § 36-1-103, S.C. Reporter's Cmt. (Supp. 2015); *see also In re Parker*, 363 B.R. 769, 774 (Bankr. D.S.C. Sept. 14, 2006) ("Since South Carolina has adopted a uniform law, the Court is guided by decisions in other jurisdictions that have interpreted this provision of the UCC.").

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

Community First. First, ***Plaintiff actually received at least four monthly statements, as she has produced original statements in discovery.*** Ex. 24, ¶¶ 27-35. The only time original statements are ever printed by Community First are when they are placed in the mail. Ex. 24, ¶ 21. They are printed and mailed within a few days of the closing period represented in the respective Account statement. *See* Ex. 24, ¶¶ 10, 12, 17, 35. Plaintiff's *actual receipt* of statements is dispositive.

Second, the burden is on the bank customer—not the bank—to ensure receipt of account statements. S.C. Code Ann. §§ 36-1-201, 36-4-406 (2003), §§ 36-1-201(36)(A), 36-4-406(a) (Supp. 2015) (describing the bank's "sending" of statements to customers as the operative event giving rise to the customer's duty of examination and further defining "send" in part as "to deposit in the mail"). The UCC allocates the risk of loss or interception of bank statements to the bank customer. *Stowell v. Cloquet Co-op Credit Union*, 557 N.W.2d 567, 571-72 (Minn. 1997) (collecting cases). In other words, the bank customer has an affirmative duty to ensure that he or she is receiving account statements, and the customer's claims will be barred if the customer fails to timely discharge this duty. *Id.* at 569-70;[22] *Mesnick v. Hempstead Bank*, 434 N.Y.S.2d 579, 580 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1980) (describing a bank customer's failure to ensure receipt of statements when such statements were intercepted by her husband as an "unreasonable lack of concern"); *Westport Bank & Trust Co. v. Lodge*, 325 A.2d 222, 223-24 (Conn. 1973) (failure of account holder to notify bank when account statements stopped arriving at her house due to her

[22] The Court in *Stowell* found that the plaintiff's several phone calls from December 1992 through September 1993 to the bank to inform them that the plaintiff had not received various statements and correspondence from the bank was insufficient to discharge his customer duties of receiving and reviewing his statements when he failed to follow up in person when the re-mailed statements did not arrive. *Stowell*, 557 N.W.2d at 569-70. Plaintiff's complete and utter failure to notify Community First for *years* that she (allegedly) did not receive her statements is far more egregious than the plaintiff's conduct the Minnesota court found to be preclusive.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

housekeeper forging a change of address form did not relieve account holder of duty to ensure receipt of statements, thus barring claims under UCC); *Terry v. Puget Sound Nat'l Bank*, 492 P.2d 534, 535 (Wash. 1972) (failure to notify bank of three months of missing statements part of finding of "substantial evidence of negligence on the part of the plaintiffs"); *Myrick v. Nat'l Sav. & Trust Co.*, 268 A.2d 526, 528 (D.C. App. 1970) (account holder "was negligent as a matter of law" due to her "failure to inquire of the bank as to her lack of receipt of monthly statements" for five months).

Indeed, Plaintiff's own expert opined that Plaintiff, or any reasonable bank customer, could wait, at most, 90 days to notify Community First that she was not receiving Account statements. Ex. 53, pp. 132-33.[23] Plaintiff's failure to appropriately discharge her duties as a bank customer serves as an absolute bar to all of her claims against Community First. *Peters v. Riggs Nat'l Bank, N.A.*, 942 A.2d 1163, 1168-69 (D.C. App. 2008) (finding that section 4-406 is a statute of repose, and "equitable tolling cannot apply to statutes of repose"); *First Place Computers, Inc. v. Security Nat'l Bank of Omaha*, 558 N.W.2d 57, 60 (Neb. 1997) (holding that section 4-406 "establishes a condition precedent to an action which, unlike a statute of limitations, may not be tolled") (internal citations omitted).

Applying the UCC provisions to the facts of this case, Community First sent and Plaintiff received the July 2007 Account statement in or around early August 2007. Ex. 24, ¶¶ 14, 16, 17, 32. Plaintiff thereafter had *at most* one year to challenge any transactions reflected on that statement.[24] Consequently, the UCC statute of repose ran, at the latest, in August ***2008*** for every

[23] Plaintiff testified that she understands that it was a reasonable thing for her to review her monthly statements for her Revocable Trust with BB&T because "[w]ell, you know, that's where [her] money was." Ex. 1, pp. 691-94.

[24] An item accompanies a statement if it is actually attached to the statement or if it was made available to the customer in a reasonable manner. *Sabatino*, 314 S.C.at 404, 444 S.E.2d at

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

transaction reflected on the July 2007 statement. The same analysis applies to each and every monthly Account statement that was sent by Community First to Plaintiff. Plaintiff was on actual and/or constructive notice of her Account balance and the amount of the withdrawals and deposits that comprised that balance with every month that passed, and the corresponding maximum time limitation of one year would thus shift one month at a time. In other words, each monthly Account statement represents a separate timeframe for challenging the Account balance and transactions reflected therein. Plaintiff's failure to timely do so with each passing month renders any challenge to the transactions time-barred.

It is insufficient at the summary judgment stage for Plaintiff to simply deny that she received statements from Community First (testimony that Plaintiff's document production flatly contradicts). Instead, she must present evidence that raises a genuine issue of material fact that Community First did not "send" the statements. This she has not done and cannot do, especially in the wake of her actual receipt of Account statements. Plaintiff's failure to ensure receipt of and to review her statements and report any unauthorized signatures or other specific disbursement concerns to Community First within the requisite time period bars all of her claims against Community First. *Sabatino*, 314 S.C. at 404, 444 S.E.2d at 538; *Villa Contracting Co., Inc. v. Summit Bancorporation*, 695 A.2d 762, 766 (N.J. Super. 1996) ("[T]he customer must deal in specifics rather than generalities. In other words, in order to satisfy the statute, he must

---

538 (interpreting S.C. Code Ann. § 36-4-406 (2003)). An item is made available to the customer in a reasonable manner if it would be available upon inquiry, especially if the item is a bank draft or something not routinely attached to a monthly statement. *Id.* Plaintiff here confirmed that she understood and believed that Community First would have given her information if she had asked for it. Ex. 1, p. 576, 578. However, with or without items or full information, the Court of Appeals in *Sabatino* found that the "withdrawals from [Sabatino's] account to pay for the checks, however, were reflected in the monthly statements" and that "the withdrawals were relatively large compared to other transactions in these accounts and, if they were unauthorized, should have been sufficient to notify Sabatino of the need to investigate the transactions." *Sabatino*, 314 S.C. at 404 n.2, 444 S.E.2d at 538 n.2.

notify the bank of exactly which items" are unauthorized.) Community First is entitled to summary judgment on all of Plaintiff's claims.

C. In the alternative, all of Plaintiff's claims are time barred pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 15-3-530(5).

Plaintiff's claims are also barred by the three year statute of limitations applicable to all of Plaintiff's causes of action. S.C. Code Ann. §§ 15-3-530(5) (2005).

> Statutes of limitations are not simply technicalities. On the contrary, they have long been respected as fundamental to a well-ordered judicial system. Statutes of limitation embody important public policy considerations in that they stimulate activity, punish negligence, and promote repose by giving security and stability to human affairs. One purpose of a statute of limitations is to relieve courts of the burden of trying stale claims when a plaintiff has slept on his rights. Another purpose of a statute of limitations is to protect potential defendants from protracted fear of litigation.

Moates v. Bobb, 322 S.C. 172, 176, 470 S.E.2d 402, 404 (Ct. App. 1996) (internal citations and quotations omitted). "The cornerstone policy consideration underlying statutes of limitations is the laudable goal of law to promote and achieve finality in litigation." *Lyons v. Fidelity Nat. Title Ins. Co.*, 415 S.C. 115, 124, 781 S.E.2d 126, 131 (Ct. App. 2015) (quotation omitted).

The discovery rule applies to the running of the statute of limitations here, and Plaintiff knew or should have known of the alleged harm more than three years before August 1, 2014, the date of the tolling agreement between Plaintiff and Community First, that preceded the filing of her Complaint on September 8, 2014. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 15-3-535 (2005). This is true for multiple reasons, as Plaintiff both received the monthly statements for her Account and suspected Hiott of misconduct with regard to her Account well over three years prior to August 1, 2014. *See* S.C. Code Ann. §§ 15-3-530(5), 15-3-535 (2005); *Stokes-Craven Holding Corp. v. Robinson*, 416 S.C. 517, 787 S.E.2d 485, 489-90 (2016) ("Under the discovery rule, the limitations period commences when the facts and circumstances of an injury would put a person

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

of common knowledge and experience on notice that some claim against another party might exist. This standard as to when the limitations period begins to run is *objective* rather than *subjective*. Therefore, the statutory period of limitations begins to run when a person *could or should have known*, through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that a cause of action might exist in his or her favor, rather than when a person obtains actual knowledge of either the potential claim or of the facts giving rise thereto.") (citations and quotations omitted); *Grillo v. Speedrite Prods., Inc.*, 340 S.C. 498, 503, 532 S.E.2d 1, 3 (Ct. App. 2000) ("The exercise of reasonable diligence means simply that an injured party must act with some promptness where the facts and circumstances of an injury would put a person of common knowledge and experience on notice that some right of his has been invaded or that some claim against another party might exist. The statute of limitations begins to run from this point and not when advice of counsel is sought or a full-blown theory developed.") (citation omitted); *Gibson v. Bank of Am., N.A.*, 383 S.C. 399, 409-10, 680 S.E.2d 778, 783-84 (Ct. App. 2009) (holding that receipt of bank statements in June 2000 that disclosed account balances placed the account holder "on notice that she had some type of claim for any perceived discrepancy, even if she did not know the precise details behind the discrepancy" and barred lawsuit against bank brought in September 2003).

1. Plaintiff received statements for the Account more than three years prior to August 1, 2014.

As described above at pages 20-24 and as detailed in Ms. Wilson's affidavit (Ex. 24), Community First sent and Plaintiff actually or constructively received monthly Account statements from June 2007 through January 2010.[25] Plaintiff was on actual and/or constructive

[25] Although beginning with the February 2010 Account statement, the Account statements were either returned to Community First by the United States Postal Service or were

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

notice *every month* for the transactions found in each statement such that any claim as to those transactions would expire, at the *very* longest, three years and 60 days from when Community First sent each respective statement to Plaintiff. Plaintiff's lawsuit is thus barred by the statute of limitations under the discovery rule. *Gibson*, 383 S.C. at 409-10, 680 S.E.2d at 783-84.

Further, Plaintiff admits that she received a compiled copy of her Account statements for July 2007 through November 2010 in March or April 2011—well over three years before August 1, 2014. Ex. 1, pp. 394-98.[26] Plaintiff testified that the first time she knew that the $500,000 disbursement of July 2007 had been made was when she reviewed a version of the July 2007 statement with "Lafayette, single premium annuity to children" written on it in blue ink. Ex. 1, pp. 229-230. Ms. McDaniel wrote that information on a copy of the July 2007 statement and provided the Account statement packet to Plaintiff no later than March or April 2011. Ex. 33, p. 32; Ex. 1, p. 398. Plaintiff's lawsuit is thus barred by the three year statute of limitations under the discovery rule. *Gibson*, 383 S.C. at 409-10, 680 S.E.2d at 783-84.

2. Plaintiff believed that Hiott had misappropriated her funds or otherwise was untruthful with her about her affairs more than three years prior to August 1, 2014.

Plaintiff testified that, by the spring and summer of 2010, she had become distrustful of Hiott, believed him to be lying to her about her affairs and her Account at Community First, and suspected that he had acted inappropriately with regard to her finances. Ex. 1, pp. 119-120. She

---

held at the bank in accordance with Community First's established policies (Ex. 24, ¶¶ 14, 17-19), the UCC places the bank customer on constructive notice of the contents of her bank statements and places the burden on the bank customer to ensure receipt of the statements. *See* pp. 21-24 above. Plaintiff failed to contact Community First with regard to "missing" or unreceived Account statements until August 2010, at the earliest. *See* Ex. 35. Hiott notified her attorney by letter of October 21, 2010, that Plaintiff should have received monthly Account statements. Ex. 33, pp. 25-26. Plaintiff's delay beyond 90 days is patently unreasonable, per Plaintiff's own expert. Ex. 53, pp. 32-33.

[26] Ms. McDaniel received the compiled set of Account statements from James Williams, Esq., counsel for Community First, in approximately December 2010. Ex. 33, pp. 30-31.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

engaged Ms. McDaniel in August 2010 to investigate her concerns regarding Hiott and Community First. Ex. 1, p. 123. Consequently, Plaintiff *actually knew* in the summer of 2010 "that some claim ... might exist" with regard to the Account and her finances. *Grillo*, 340 S.C. at 503, 532 S.E.2d at 3. This actual knowledge is more than sufficient to begin the running of the statute of limitations, and Plaintiff's lawsuit is thus barred.

D. Equitable doctrines do not apply to save Plaintiff's time-barred claims.

Two distinct, but related and potentially overlapping, equitable doctrines can apply in limited situations to toll or estop the statute of limitations defense: equitable tolling and equitable estoppel. *See, e.g. Magnolia N. Prop. Owners' Ass'n, Inc. v. Heritage Communities, Inc.*, 397 S.C. 348, 370, 725 S.E.2d 112, 124 (Ct. App. 2012). The doctrines "should be used sparingly and only when the interests of justice compel [their] use." *Hooper v. Ebenezer Sr. Servs. & Rehab. Ctr.*, 386 S.C. 108, 116, 687 S.E.2d 29, 32 (2009) (quotation omitted). Neither doctrine saves Plaintiff's time-barred claims under the facts of this case.

1. Equitable tolling.

Equitable tolling is a "judicially created" doctrine and "stems from the judiciary's inherent power to formulate rules of procedure where justice demands it." *Id.* at 115, 687 S.E.2d at 32. *Hooper* further describes a non-exhaustive list of circumstances where equitable tolling might apply, with the common theme being "where a litigant was prevented from filing suit because of an extraordinary event beyond his or her control." *Id.* *Hooper* emphasizes that equitable tolling may only be applied "where it is justified under *all* the circumstances." *Id.* "The party claiming the statute of limitations should be tolled bears the burden of establishing facts sufficient to justify its use." *Id.*

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

South Carolina courts discussing equitable tolling have applied it to toll the statute of limitations in extraordinary situations where—due to no fault of the plaintiff—an extraordinary event occurred to delay the proper commencement of a lawsuit. In *Hooper*, the plaintiff filed the lawsuit well within the statute of limitations. *Id.* at 111, 687 S.E.2d at 30. Yet due to the defendant's "failure to supply the correct information regarding its agent to the Secretary of State as required by law," the plaintiff "diligently pursued service on what turned out to be a nonexistent agent," and was consequently only able to accomplish service "approximately one week past" the Rule 3(a)(2), SCRCP time limits to effectuate service. *Id.* at 118-19, 687 S.E.2d at 34. In *Magnolia North*, the Court of Appeals found that equitable tolling was appropriately used in a case brought by a property owner's association and filed after the statute of limitations expired because, until the date of "turnover," the association's board was controlled by the very defendants the association would need to sue, and the court found "unpersuasive" the "claim that an organization [the defendants] controlled would have initiated an action against itself" prior to "turnover." *Magnolia N.*, 397 S.C. at 372, 725 S.E.2d at 125. Both *Magnolia North* and *Hooper* emphasized that plaintiffs must be diligent in all of their endeavors in order to properly invoke equitable tolling. *Id.*; *Hooper*, 386 S.C. at 119, 687 S.E.2d at 34.

In contrast, courts applying South Carolina law do *not* apply equitable tolling if the facts and circumstances show that the plaintiff was on notice of potential problems *before* receiving misinformation from a defendant. *Vieira v. Simpson*, 2015 WL 1299959, *6 (D.S.C. March 23, 2015) (applying *Hooper* and finding that a defendant attorney's provision of misinformation after plaintiffs became "suspicious" "was not an extraordinary event that kept the [plaintiffs] in the dark or prevented them from recognizing any impropriety"). Indeed, believing "that *perhaps* a lawsuit was unnecessary" is not sufficient to meet the plaintiff's burden on equitable tolling.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Id.; *see also In re Stotz Fredenhagen Indus., Inc.*, 554 B.R. 777, 787 (Bankr. D.S.C. 2016) (applying *Hooper* and holding that "[t]he evidence and allegations of delay, withholding of information, or lack of cooperation by other parties here is not enough. The evidence must also support a finding that these things *caused* the requisite period of delay and also must support a finding that the period of delay was *beyond Plaintiff's control.*") (emphasis in original).

Put simply, equitable tolling is an extraordinary remedy *only* applied when the plaintiff has been diligent, and some outside force beyond plaintiff's control wholly prevents the commencement of a timely lawsuit. As discussed in detail below, no facts exist that warrant its application here.

2. Equitable estoppel.

Equitable estoppel is slightly different from equitable tolling and centers more on the plaintiff's reasonable reliance on specific, specific conduct of a defendant. "A defendant is estopped to assert the statute of limitations defense against a plaintiff's claim if the *defendant's conduct* has induced the delay that otherwise would give operation to the statute. The conduct may involve inducing the plaintiff either to believe that an amicable adjustment of the claim will be made without suit or to otherwise forbear exercising the right to sue." *Republic Contracting Corp. v. S.C. Dep't of Highways & Pub. Transp.*, 322 S.C. 197, 211, 503 S.E.2d 761, 768 (Ct. App. 1998) (emphasis added). The determination of whether equitable estoppel is warranted is a matter for the Court alone. *Gaymon v. Richland Mem'l Hosp.*, 327 S.C. 66, 68, 488 S.E.2d 332, 333 (1997). In order to establish equitable estoppel, Plaintiff must present evidence of her "(1) lack of knowledge and of means of knowledge of truth as to facts in question; (2) reliance upon conduct of the party estopped; and (3) prejudicial change in position." *Regions Bank v. Schmauch*, 354 S.C. 648, 675, 582 S.E.2d 432, 446 (Ct. App. 2003) (quoting *Zabinski v. Bright*

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Acres Assocs., 346 S.C. 580, 589, 553 S.E.2d 110, 114 (2001)). In addition, "[t]o prove equitable estoppel under South Carolina law, the aggrieved party must have 'reasonably relied on the words and conduct of the person to be estopped in allowing the limitations period to expire.'" *Dilmar Oil Co., Inc. v. Fed. Mut. Ins. Co.*, 986 F. Supp. 959, 975 (D.S.C. 1997) (quoting *Dillon County Sch. Dist. No. 2. v. Lewis Sheet Metal Works, Inc.*, 286 S.C. 207, 332 S.E.2d 555, 561 (Ct. App. 1985)). The gravamen of an estoppel showing refers to "lull[ing the plaintiff] into a sense of security, preventing [her] from filing suit before the running of the statute." *Republic Contracting*, 332 S.C. at 211, 605 S.E.2d at 769 (internal quotation omitted). However, "if the obstructive behavior occurs *after* the plaintiff's inquiry has reached the point at which he has discovered that he has a claim upon which to found a suit, the defendant's obstructionism has no causal significance, and so is not a ground for estoppel." *Jay E. Hayden Found. v. First Neighbor Bank, N.A.*, 610 F.3d 382, 385 (7th Cir. 2010) (internal citations omitted); *see also Little v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.*, 2000 WL 33957172, *6 (D.S.C. May 8, 2000) (applying South Carolina law on equitable estoppel and holding that equitable estoppel did not apply because Plaintiff failed to "offer any proof" that he relied on allegedly false statements by tobacco company executives in delaying his suit).

Here, Plaintiff has failed to allege or provide *any* evidence of any such reasonable reliance nor does any evidence exist that Community First "lulled" Plaintiff into any sense of security. Summary judgment is thus appropriate. *Regions Bank*, 354 S.C. at 675, 582 S.E.2d at 446 ("[S]ummary judgment is proper where there is no evidence of conduct warranting estoppel.").

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

3. Plaintiff's claims to equitable relief fail.

Plaintiff's prior briefing argues that Community First is estopped from invoking the statute of limitations to bar Plaintiff's claims or that the statute should be equitably tolled for two reasons: first, Plaintiff claims that she had a right to an "accounting" and that Community First's failure to provide her with her demanded "accounting" saves her otherwise time-barred claims. Second, Plaintiff claims that Hiott misrepresented to her the nature and recipient of the $500,000 withdrawal of July 12, 2007. Plaintiff claims that her representatives were told that the $500,000 withdrawal in July 2007 was made to purchase annuities for the children then in her custody when in fact the funds were actually sent to Mr. Crosby.

Plaintiff's attempt to wiggle around her statute of limitations problems suffers from multiple flaws. First, and foundationally, Plaintiff confuses the legal triggering of the statute of limitations with the level of proof necessary to win a lawsuit. In essence, Plaintiff argues that because the defendants did not (allegedly) provide complete and open pre-suit discovery to her, the statute of limitations does not begin to run until all of her questions are answered. Not so. In South Carolina, "[t]he statute of limitations begins to run when a plaintiff knows or should know of a potential claim against another party, *not when the plaintiff develops a full-blown theory of recovery*." *Republic Contracting*, 332 S.C. at 208, 503 S.E.2d at 767 (emphasis added). Indeed, "[a] plaintiff is not required to have collected, *before* he files suit, all the evidence he needs in order to win the suit. Otherwise the civil procedure rules would have to authorize precomplaint discovery rather than just pretrial discovery." *Jay E. Hayden Found.*, 610 F.3d at 386; *see also Dean v. Ruscon Corp.*, 321 S.C. 360, 364, 468 S.E.2d 645, 647 (1996) ("[T]he fact that the injured party may not comprehend the full extent of the damage is immaterial" to the statute of limitations inquiry.). In the specific context of a bank account, if a customer "disagreed with the

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

bank's representation of [the account balance shown in a statement], she was then on notice that she had some type of claim for any perceived discrepancy, even if she did not know the precise details behind the discrepancy." *Gibson*, 383 S.C. at 409, 680 S.E.2d at 783-84.

Second, equitable doctrines do not apply to the UCC's one year maximum statute of repose. It cannot be tolled, and its running cannot be estopped—even when the result reached may appear harsh. *Peters*, 942 A.2d at 1169 (finding claims for breach of contract, negligence, and violations of the Electronic Funds Transfer Act to be time-barred under the UCC even when the bank customer had died); *Siecinski v. First State Bank of E. Detroit*, 531 N.W.2d 768, 769 (Mich. 1995) (finding claims for breach of contract, negligence, and conversion to be time-barred under the UCC when an account holder suffered a head injury, fell into a coma, and died soon after a woman presented the bank with a forged power of attorney and ultimately withdrew all funds in the account after the account holder died). This is in accord with South Carolina law. *See Langley v. Pierce*, 313 S.C. 401, 404, 438 S.E.2d 242, 244 (1993) ("Statutes of repose are based upon considerations of the economic best interests of the public as a whole and are substantive grants of immunity based upon a legislative balance of the respective rights of potential plaintiffs and defendants struck by determining a time limit beyond which *liability no longer exists*.") (emphasis added); *Capco of Summerville, Inc. v. J.H. Gayle Constr. Co., Inc.*, 368 S.C. 137, 142, 628 S.E.2d 38, 41 (2006) (describing how statutes of repose cannot be tolled or extended "for any reason because to do so would upset the economic balance struck by the legislative body"). Plaintiff's failure to challenge disbursements within the applicable UCC time limits renders her claims against Community First completely barred.

Third, and beyond the applicable legal framework for reviewing the operation of any statute of limitation or repose, the following undisputed facts demonstrate that Plaintiff was on

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

notice "that a claim against another party might exist," *Dean*, 321 S.C. at 364, 468 S.E.2d at 647, well before August 2011:

- In or around **early August 2007,** Plaintiff *actually received* the July 2007 statement that disclosed the $500,000 withdrawal. *See supra* pp. 9-10.

    - Plaintiff testified that there was nothing that Hiott could have spent $500,000 on in July 2007 that would have been appropriate or authorized by her. Ex. 1, p. 271.

    - Plaintiff testified that she would have challenged the $500,000 disbursement as soon as she saw it, as her balance would have fallen from approximately $1.1 million to approximately $600,000 soon after the Account was opened. Ex. 1, pp. 550, 576-77, 582.[27]

    - Plaintiff testified that the children in her custody were well taken of financially and did not need an additional life insurance policy. Ex. 1, pp. 271, 379-381. Plaintiff further testified that she never directed Hiott to procure an annuity, life insurance policy, or trust for the two children. Ex. 1, p. 388.

- Plaintiff testified that she terminated her relationship with Hiott because he was not telling her the truth about her bills or the money in the Account in **"April, May, June" 2010.** Ex. 1, pp. 119-120. Indeed, Plaintiff testified that her problems with Hiott arose "much earlier" than the date of termination and even that she experienced problems with Hiott in 2008. Ex. 1, pp. 81, 139-140.

- Plaintiff acknowledged that she received $202,000 from the Account on or about **August 11, 2010**, and that Hiott had represented that this was all the money remaining in her Account. Ex. 1, p. 121, 371-372. At that time, she "couldn't imagine" $202,000 was all the money in the Account. Ex. 1, p. 121.

- Plaintiff hired Ms. McDaniel on or about **August 16, 2010**, because she was "getting mixed vibes from Ben [Hiott], basically. I couldn't quite get the truth on what was going on. He was telling Angel Hamiter one thing, telling me another thing." Ex. 1, p. 123; *see also* Ex. 33, pp. 6-7. Indeed, Plaintiff sought legal counsel regarding Hiott because "something didn't seem right. The money wasn't adding up. I wasn't getting the correct answers." Ex. 1, p. 512.

- Ms. McDaniel wrote to Hiott on **September 21, 2010**: "It is my understanding that on August 11, 2010, you transferred all of the cash remaining in the trust account, approximately $200,000.00, to Ms. Baker-Brookshire's account at BB&T. I spoke yesterday with Ann Gel Hammiter, Ms. Baker-Brookshire's bookkeeper. Ms. Hammiter

[27] Plaintiff expressed her outrage at the $500,000 disbursement within several weeks of opening the Account: "But the first 15 days that I had my money and that's half of what I had in there? I mean, give me a break." Ex. 1, p. 581.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

informs me that, when she last spoke to you about one month before the wire out to BB&T, you told her that there was approximately $900,000 remaining in the trust account. *There appears to be some serious inconsistencies in this information.*" (emphasis added). Ex. 35. Plaintiff agrees that "[d]efinitely, most assured" were there "serious inconsistencies" in the information she and her representatives were receiving from Hiott in August and September 2010. Ex. 1, pp. 374-375.

- Through Ms. McDaniel, Plaintiff received a check for $285,233.34 on **February 23, 2011**, which Plaintiff understood to be "what the bank represented to be all of [her] funds at the bank." Ex. 1, p. 129.

- Ms. McDaniel received a set of reprinted Account statements during her representation of Plaintiff, and Plaintiff herself received a copy of the set of reprinted Account statements **no later than March or April 2011**. Ex. 1, p. 397-398.

Despite these volumes of concerns, disputes, and contradictory information, Plaintiff failed to file suit for well over three more years.

Fourth, Plaintiff's reasons for invoking equitable estoppel or equitable tolling are blatantly pretextual given the undisputed facts recited above. She claims that she is entitled to equitable relief because the defendants failed to provide her an "accounting." As recited above, Community First sent Plaintiff monthly Account statements and further provided her attorney with a full set of statements to date most likely in late 2010 but certainly by March 2011. Under South Carolina law, the simple receipt of bank statements is wholly sufficient on its own to trigger and begin the statute of limitations. *Gibson*, 383 S.C. at 409, 680 S.E.2d at 783-84; *Sabatino*, 314 S.C. at 404-05, 444 S.E.2d at 538.[28] Plaintiff began seeking what she called a "full accounting" from Hiott no later than September 21, 2010—almost four years before she filed suit. Ex. 35.[29] Viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to Plaintiff, the simple fact

[28] This is in addition to the legal presumption of bank statement receipt that the UCC imposes on bank customers, discussed above at pp. 21-24.

[29] Plaintiff failed to define what she means by a "full accounting," especially as it pertains to Community First. *See* Ex. 35; *see also* Ex. 9 ("My Agent [defined as Hiott individually] shall provide an accounting for all funds handled and all acts performed as my Agent, if I so request ...."). It is undisputed that Plaintiff or her prior counsel was subsequently provided with

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

that Plaintiff's attorney began asking for a "full accounting" due to "serious inconsistencies" with regard to Plaintiff's Account in September 2010 is uncontroverted evidence that Plaintiff was on *actual notice* that she had "a potential claim against another party" at that time. *Republic Contracting*, 332 S.C. at 208, 503 S.E.2d at 767.

It further strains credibility to claim that any alleged failure by Community First to provide the unadjudicated remedy of an accounting "lulled" Plaintiff into a sense of security that her perceived injury would be "righted" absent legal action. *See id.* at 211, 503 S.E.2d at 768-69. If anything, Community First's alleged failure to provide her something she began asking for in September 2010 would confirm her need to file suit within the requisite time period. Plaintiff cannot have it both ways—she cannot both seek adjudication of her entitlement to an accounting (*see* Complaint ¶¶ 138-40) and also claim that Community First's failure to voluntarily give her the relief she seeks *from the court* equitably estops Community First from invoking the statute of limitations. The court should reject this effort to circumvent Community First's statute of limitations defenses.

Plaintiff also argues that Hiott's changing story on the recipient of the July 12, 2007 $500,000 disbursement estops Community First from claiming the defense of the statute of limitations or otherwise tolls the statute. Again, this is pretextual. Plaintiff bears the burden of proving her *reasonable reliance* on Community First's words or conduct that *caused* her to file her lawsuit after the statute of limitations had expired. *Dilmar Oil*, 986 F. Supp. at 972-73. Plaintiff must do more than suggest some sort of "cover-up"—instead, Plaintiff must link this alleged "cover-up" with "lulling" Plaintiff into a sense of security such that Plaintiff was

---

a reprinted set of Account statements in late 2010 or early 2011. Ex. 33, pp. 30-31, 33; Ex. 1, pp. 394-98.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

prevented from filing suit before the statute of limitations expired. *Republic Contracting*, 332 S.C. at 211, 503 S.E.2d at 768-69. No evidence exists to meet this standard.

The evidence shows that the earliest time that a specific explanation for the July 2007 $500,000 disbursement was given to Plaintiff or her representative was when Ms. McDaniel handwrote "[a]nnuity for children" and "Lafayette Life Product Single premium annuity" on a copy of Plaintiff's July 2007 Account statement that, on its face, was reprinted on November 18, 2010. Ex. 33, p. 32, Ex. 36, p. CTR 0199. Ms. McDaniel ceased representing Plaintiff on March 11, 2011, and while the exact date of when Ms. McDaniel received this information is unknown, it was during her representation of Plaintiff. Ex. 33, p. 40. According to Plaintiff, Hiott gave *different* stories about the disbursement on various later occasions, naming at various times Lafayette, Nationwide, and Holcombe Insurance Agency as recipients of these funds for the children's benefit before informing counsel for Community First that the $500,000 was actually used as an investment with Mr. Crosby. *See* Exhibit 54 (Williams Letter to Giese). Counsel for Plaintiff was informed of the Crosby investment on July 18, 2013. Ex. 54.

Plaintiff's testimony belies any reasonable, causative reliance on Hiott's changing story. Plaintiff testified that there was nothing that Hiott could have spent $500,000 on in July 2007 that would have been appropriate or authorized by her. Ex. 1, p. 271. Indeed, Plaintiff further testified that the children in her custody were well taken care of financially and did not need additional life insurance products. Ex. 1, pp. 271, 379-381. Further, she testified that she never directed Hiott to procure an annuity, life insurance policy, or trust for the two children. Ex. 1, p. 388. In other words, Plaintiff's unequivocal testimony is that *no matter what, no matter to whom, and no matter why*, the $500,000 disbursement of July 12, 2007, was unauthorized, inappropriate, and wrongful. Thus, Hiott's stories are of no legal consequence, and Plaintiff did

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

not actually rely on them to determine whether or not she needed to file suit. To her, the wrongful act is the disbursement of $500,000 itself—a disbursement of which she knew in early August 2007 and which was reconfirmed for her in writing no later than March 2011. By waiting well over three years from March 2011 to file suit, Plaintiff simply "slept on [her] rights." *Moates*, 322 S.C. at 176, 470 S.E.2d at 404.

Plaintiff can offer no evidence to show that Community First lulled her into a sense of security that prevented her from filing her lawsuit within the applicable statute of limitations. Plaintiff actually believed and understood *no later than mid-2010* that she was harmed. Plaintiff testified that there was nothing—*nothing*—that Hiott could have spent $500,000 on in July 2007 that she would have authorized. Not having full and complete information is no excuse under the law for not filing a lawsuit within the applicable statute of limitations. Plaintiff's entire case is time barred.

E. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's breach of fiduciary duty cause of action.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's breach of fiduciary duty cause of action because Community First did not owe fiduciary duties to Plaintiff with regard to the checking account at issue in this matter. "[T]he normal relationship between a bank and its customer is one of creditor-debtor and not fiduciary in nature." *Schmauch*, 354 S.C. at 671, 582 S.E.2d at 444 (internal citations omitted). While a bank can take on fiduciary duties to its customers "if it undertakes to advise a depositor as part of the services the bank offers," *id.*, there is no genuine issue of material fact that Community First ever undertook to advise Plaintiff as part of the services Community First offers. Indeed, Community First does not have, nor has it ever had, a trust department. Ex. 23, p. 40.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

As discussed at footnote 5 above, Plaintiff continues to erroneously claim that she held a "trust account" at Community First, presumably with Community First serving as trustee. Not so. "To prove the existence of a trust, one must show (1) a declaration creating the trust, (2) a trust res, and (3) designated beneficiaries." *Patterson v. Witter*, ___ S.C. ___, 791 S.E.2d 294, 300-01 (Ct. App. 2016). Plaintiff cannot show any of these with regard to her arrangement with Community First. Indeed, Plaintiff knows how a trust is created—she created one in February 2005 with BB&T, with BB&T serving as trustee. Ex. 2. After expressing her dissatisfaction with BB&T's attempts to advise her on various financial matters (Ex. 7; Exhibits 55, 56 (BB&T Letters to Brookshire), among other concerns, Plaintiff revoked her trust with BB&T (Ex. 8), and *that very same day* decided to go a different direction with the management of her finances and gave the POA to Hiott. Ex. 9. Unlike the written affirmation and declaration by BB&T that it was serving as trustee for Plaintiff (Ex. 2), Community First has *never* represented in any way that Community First served as trustee for Plaintiff. Unlike with BB&T (Exs. 2, 5, p. CFB000347), Community First has never charged her trustee fees. *See* Exs. 3, 5 to Ex. 24. Unlike with BB&T (Exs. 2, 5, p. CFB000347), Plaintiff herself is the trustee. *See* Exs. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 to Ex. 24. In sum, there is no evidence that Plaintiff and Community First entered into a trust arrangement that would give rise to fiduciary duties from Community First to Plaintiff. Plaintiff's bald allegation is devoid of evidentiary support and cannot create a genuine issue of material fact. *Jackson v. Bermuda Sands, Inc.*, 383 S.C. 11, 17, 677 S.E.2d 612, 616 (Ct. App. 2009). Consequently, Community First owed no fiduciary duties to Plaintiff and is entitled to summary judgment on this cause of action.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

F. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for conversion.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for conversion. "Conversion is the unauthorized *assumption and exercise of the right of ownership* over goods or personal chattels belonging to another, to the exclusion of the owner's rights." *Gordon v. Busbee*, 397 S.C. 119, 135, 723 S.E.2d 822, 831 (Ct. App. 2011) (internal quotation omitted) (emphasis added). In order to be liable for common law conversion, Community First must have assumed and exercised the rights of ownership over goods or chattels belonging to Plaintiff, which she identifies as occurring upon the transfer of "large sums of money" from her Account. Compl. ¶ 114. No evidence exists that Community First assumed and exercised ownership rights over Plaintiff's funds at their disbursement such that Plaintiff suffered any loss. Further, the UCC has displaced common law "conversion and negligence claims based on payment over a forged or unauthorized indorsement." *Flavor-Inn, Inc. v. NCNB Nat'l Bank of S.C.*, 309 S.C. 508, 511, 424 S.E.2d 534, 536 (Ct. App. 1992) (internal quotation omitted); *see also* S.C. Code Ann. § 36-3-419 (2005); S.C. Code Ann. § 36-3-420 (Supp. 2015); *Equitable Life Assur. Soc'y of U.S. v. Okey*, 812 F.2d 906, 909 (4th Cir. 1987) (applying South Carolina law and finding that the Uniform Commercial "Code cause of action comprehensively covers the field of legal theories available when a check is paid over an unauthorized indorsement.")

In addition, "there can be no conversion of money unless there is an obligation on the defendant to deliver a specific, identifiable fund to the plaintiff." *Richardson's Restaurants, Inc. v. Nat'l Bank of S.C.*, 304 S.C. 289, 294, 403 S.E.2d 669, 672 (Ct. App. 1991) (internal citation omitted). No such obligation exists here, so no action for conversion of money can lie. Because Community First and Plaintiff only had a debtor/creditor relationship as represented by Plaintiff's checking account at Community First, the funds in Plaintiff's Account were "in the

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

nature of a general deposit and not subject to conversion." *Owens v. Andrews Bank & Trust Co.*, 265 S.C. 490, 497, 220 S.E.2d 116, 119 (1975). Consequently, "where there is merely the relationship of debtor and creditor, an action based on conversion of the funds representing the debt is improper." *Id.* (internal citation omitted). Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's conversion cause of action.

G. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for negligent supervision.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for negligent supervision. As recognized in South Carolina, an employer has a duty in certain, limited circumstances to exercise reasonable care to control its employee when acting outside the scope of his or her employment. *Degenhart v. Knights of Columbus*, 309 S.C. 114, 116, 420 S.E.2d 495, 496 (1992). None of those limited circumstances apply here, as an employer is under a duty to exercise reasonable care to control his employee when acting outside the scope of employment to prevent him from intentionally harming others only if:

> (a) [the employee]
> (i) is upon the premises in possession of the [employer] or upon which the [employee] is privileged to enter only as his [employee], or
> (ii) is using a chattel of the [employer], and
> (b) [the employer]
> (i) knows or has reason to know that he has the ability to control his [employee], and
> (ii) knows or should know of the necessity and opportunity for exercising such control.

Id. at 116-17, 420 S.E.2d at 496 (quoting with approval *Restatement (Second) of Torts* § 317 (1965)). Here, because Plaintiff gave Hiott full authority to handle her affairs (including her bank accounts) in the POA (on which Community First was legally entitled to rely and for which the law insulates Community First from liability to Plaintiff), Community First had no duty to control Hiott as he proceeded under the POA. Further, Community First had no evidence or

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

information that Hiott had engaged in prior acts similar to Plaintiff's complaints here. *See, e.g., Hollins v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, 381 S.C. 245, 252-53, 672 S.E.2d 805, 808-09 (Ct. App. 2008) (finding that a master may subject himself to liability by retaining employees who, to the master's knowledge, are in the habit of misconducting themselves in a manner dangerous to others) (internal citations and quotations omitted); *Brockington v. Pee Dee Mental Health Ctr.*, 315 S.C. 214, 218, 433 S.E.2d 16, 18 (Ct. App. 1993) (holding that no evidence existed to support the conclusion that defendant knew or should have known of the necessity to exercise control over its employee prior to the time he assaulted a patient). Community First is thus entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for negligent supervision.

H. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for negligence/gross negligence.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for negligence/gross negligence. Plaintiff alleges that the "Defendants owed duties to the Plaintiff to act in good faith while acting under the authority of the Power of Attorney in place." Complaint ¶ 129. This is the sole duty that Plaintiff alleges "the Defendants" negligently breached. Plaintiff appears to have pulled this language directly from the POA she gave to Hiott, which states in pertinent part: "My Agent shall not be liable for any loss that results from a judgment error that was made in good faith. However, my Agent shall be liable for willful misconduct or the failure to act in good faith while acting under the authority of this Power of Attorney." Ex. 9. Consequently, such a duty sounds in contract and not in tort, and a claim for negligence cannot lie.[30] *Foxfire Vill., Inc. v. Black & Veatch, Inc.*, 304 S.C. 366, 375, 404 S.E.2d 912, 918-19 (Ct. App. 1991) ("As a matter of law, if the duty owed arises merely from agreement of the parties,

[30] It thus follows that this contractual duty cannot form the basis of a claim for gross negligence either. *See, e.g. Clyburn v. Sumter County Sch. Dist. No. 17*, 317 S.C. 50, 53, 451 S.E.2d 885, 887 (1994) (describing gross negligence).

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

breach of the duty does not create a cause of action for negligent conduct.") (internal citation omitted).

Further, any such duty of "good faith" is, by its unambiguous language, held only by "my agent"—Ben Hiott. Community First is not and never has been designated as Plaintiff's agent in her POA. Community First is thus entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's claims for negligence/gross negligence.[31]

I. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for breach of contract accompanied by a fraudulent act.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for breach of contract accompanied by a fraudulent act. In her Complaint, Plaintiff identifies the "contract" that Community First allegedly breached as "set forth above." Compl. ¶ 134. Assuming that Plaintiff has alleged that Community First breached the POA,[32] summary judgment is warranted for Community First because the POA does not represent a contract between Plaintiff and Community First. *See Greene v. Quest Diagnostics Clinical Labs., Inc.*, 455 F. Supp. 2d 483, 495 (D.S.C. 2006) (describing the prerequisite establishment of a contract to this cause of action). There is also no evidence that Community First "actively concealed from the Plaintiff the fraudulent and tortious conduct" of Hiott, nor is there any evidence that Hiott continued handling *Plaintiff's* funds in the Account after Plaintiff notified Community First

[31] *See also* p. 39, above, regarding the UCC displacement of common law negligence causes of action for unauthorized signatures and forged endorsements.

[32] The only documents remotely named in the Complaint that Plaintiff could conceivably argue are contracts are the POA (Compl. ¶¶ 6-7) and a revocable trust claimed to be settled and created by Plaintiff on June 22, 2007. Compl. ¶ 10. Plaintiff has not produced a copy of the revocable trust documentation to which her Complaint refers. Unmentioned in the Complaint is the Account agreement establishing Plaintiff's Account at Community First. Because the Complaint alleges that the contract that forms the basis of Plaintiff's cause of action for breach of contract accompanied by a fraudulent act was "set forth above," Plaintiff apparently does not allege that Community First breached the Account agreement. Even if Plaintiff does so claim, Community First has not breached the Account agreement. *See* Ex. 7 to Ex. 24.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

of her concerns in the fall of 2010. *See* Compl. ¶¶ 135-136. Consequently, Plaintiff can show no genuine issue of material fact with respect to the remaining prongs of this cause of action, and Community First is entitled to summary judgment. *See Greene*, 455 F. Supp. 2d at 495.

J. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for an accounting.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on Plaintiff's cause of action for an accounting. An accounting is an equitable remedy "designed to prevent unjust enrichment by disclosing and requiring the relinquishment of profits received as the result of a breach of a confidential or fiduciary duty." *Rogers v. Salisbury Brick Corp.*, 299 S.C. 141, 144, 382 S.E.2d 915, 917 (1989) (internal citation omitted). As no confidential or fiduciary duty existed between Plaintiff and Community First, Plaintiff's cause of action for an accounting fails as a matter of law. Further, Plaintiff's claim for an accounting is now moot because all Account statements and supporting documentation have been produced to Plaintiff. There is no need for discovery, and all available information is now before all parties. Summary judgment is thus appropriate to Community First on this cause of action.

K. Community First is entitled to summary judgment on its offset and counterclaims against Plaintiff.

Community First is entitled to summary judgment on its offset and counterclaims against Plaintiff for the funds belonging to Community First that Hiott transferred to Plaintiff's Account totaling $880,855.58 and which were thereafter used to pay Plaintiff's obligations or to further her interests. Plaintiff has admitted that it was inappropriate for any bank funds to be deposited into her Account and has no claim to that money. *See* Ex. 1, pp. 393-94. Consequently, no genuine issue of material fact exists with regard to this offset and counterclaims, and summary judgment to Community First is warranted.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons contained in Community First's motion, this memorandum in support, Ms. Wilson's affidavit, the exhibits attached to this motion, and any further items presented to the Court, Community First is entitled to summary judgment in its favor on all claims advanced against or by it in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

HAYNSWORTH SINKLER BOYD, P.A.

By: s/Elizabeth H. Black
Robert Y. Knowlton, SC Bar No. 3589
Elizabeth H. Black, SC Bar No. 76067
1201 Main Street – 22nd Floor
PO Box 11889 (29211-1889)
Columbia, SC 29201
(803) 779.3080 Tel
(803) 765.1243 Fax
bknowlton@hsblawfirm.com
eblack@hsblawfirm.com
Attorneys for Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.

December 8, 2016
Columbia, South Carolina

DM: 4749691 v.6

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 1

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
) IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
COUNTY OF OCONEE) C.A. NO.: 2014-CP-37-526

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE,
PLAINTIFF,

VS.

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK
AND BENJAMIN LEE HIOTT,
DEFENDANTS.

DEPOSITION OF
DEBORAH A. BAKER BROOKSHIRE
MARCH 3, 2016

Deposition on oral examination of Deborah A. Baker Brookshire, reported by Laura S. DeCillis, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of South Carolina, said deposition taken at The Giese Law Firm, 1315 Blanding Street, Columbia, South Carolina, on Thursday, the 3rd day of March 2016, commencing at the hour of 10:08 a.m.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF OCONEE	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS C.A. NO.: 2014-CP-37-526
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE, PLAINTIFF, VS. COMMUNITY FIRST BANK AND BENJAMIN LEE HIOTT, DEFENDANTS.	DEPOSITION OF DEBORAH A. BAKER BROOKSHIRE APRIL 20, 2016 VOLUME II

Continued Deposition on oral examination of Deborah A. Baker Brookshire, reported by Laura S. DeCillis, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of South Carolina, said deposition taken at The Giese Law Firm, 1315 Blanding Street, Columbia, South Carolina, on Wednesday, the 20th day of April 2016, scheduled for 10:00 a.m. and commencing at the hour of 10:20 a.m.

two-year Associate program. But I'd been to college for about three-and-a-half years.

Q. Your degree was an Associate's degree in retail management --

A. Yes.

Q. -- from the University of South Carolina?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And have you been to any other formal school since 1973 to four?

A. I started my business around that time, so I was in business for about 25 years.

Q. All right. Tell me about your business.

A. I had a commercial interior design business, started with -- in Columbia. We were doing -- at the time, we were doing residential homes for Mr. Mike Mungo. I expanded to work for commercial buildings, went into law offices, banks, family businesses, family businesses as far as carpets and draperies. And I did hotels. I did many things to that effect. I've extended my portfolio.

Q. What was the name of your business?

A. I started off with Contractors Decorating, ended up with Fine Designs and then I Designs.

Q. As in --

A. As in I. Like just I. Not e-y-e, but I.

Q. Were you the sole owner of all three of those

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. So are you saying that you haven't lived in the Devine Street home since 2005?

A. The house was being repaired. And we were trying to sell it to the doctor next door. He was in the reserves and we were waiting for him to get approved. And the same real estate agent handled both cases and it did not get completely closed till 2007.

Q. You sold the Devine Street home in 2007?

A. Yes.

Q. Was it vacant from a flood in 2005 until 2007?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you give the Post Office a forwarding address?

A. We were -- the house had not been cleared out at the time when we had sold it and we had re-leased it from the doctor until about 2009, and so we were still getting -- we were getting our mail over there.

Q. So nobody lived in the home?

A. Nobody lived in the house until --

Q. But you would go over there and get the mail?

A. Yeah. We would get the mail. Since we were re-leasing it, we still had -- we were trying to figure out whether we were going to keep custody of those children and whether we wanted to stay in Columbia or whether we wanted

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

to move or whether we wanted to purchase or whether we were going to lease, so we were in the middle of those decisions. But we still got our mail there and we went by to pick it up. And we got most -- I mean, people called, that we received all of our mail. I don't remember missing anything.

There might have been a couple of things that we might have missed but there was not anything that I can really recall. I knew what bills I had to pay. I knew what statements were supposed to be coming in. I knew what income I had coming in. So I knew what I was supposed to receive.

Q. So you went there -- all right. Let me back up. You said something about re-leasing your house. I didn't follow that.

A. We thought we sold the house in 2007 to the doctor which was next door. And so we had not moved out all of our things out of that house yet. We were -- we still had lots of furniture. Most of the valuable things, like little things or whatever, we had already packed those up. We still had lots of things to get out, decide whether we were going to move the furniture to another location or whether we were going to move it to a storage room. So we re-leased for a couple of years while we were -- from the doctor. So we paid him a rent check each month.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. Okay. So you sold the house to -- what was the doctor's name?

A. Dr. Hans Oliver.

Q. You sold the house to Dr. Hans Oliver --

A. Yes.

Q. -- in 2007, but you leased it from him?

A. Yes. I leased it from him.

Q. From 2007 until sometime in 2009?

A. Yeah. Possibly.

Q. And during that period of time you would go to the house to pick up your mail?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Okay. And in 2009, what happened after that with regard to the Devine Street house?

A. Well, we just -- we moved -- we moved all the things out. Basically just dissolved the lease around that time if I can recall. It's been a long time.

Q. Did you provide the Post Office a forwarding address?

A. Yes.

Q. And what forwarding address was that?

A. It was to Irmo, South Carolina, the house in Irmo.

Q. All right. What's your best guess as to when you provided the Post Office a forwarding address?

paid by the job, or how were you paid?

A. By the job.

Q. How did you meet Ben Hiott?

A. Through my first husband back in the late 1980s.

Q. He knew your first husband?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And where did you meet him?

A. Socially.

Q. Here in Columbia?

A. Yes.

Q. Tell me what you remember about meeting him.

A. Just socially at -- out at a bar. Hanging out at a bar, you know, socially in a crowd. Like at football games and just, you know -- it's just really that I know that he worked for Lexington State Bank, BB&T Bank, which is the banks that I had banked with.

Q. Lexington State Bank and what other bank did you say?

A. BB&T.

Q. Okay. And how would you describe your relationship with Mr. Hiott? And I'm sure it probably may have changed over the years, but if you would, just take us through it.

A. Honorable. Honorable and nice. I didn't really know -- I knew that he worked for a bank. I knew that he --

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

I knew his wife and -- but it was all socially, really. More socially. And I think that I had done some -- I might have did some banking with him at BB&T or maybe at Lexington State Bank. I don't know when it changed over, the name changed over from Lexington State to BB&T. But as long as I've known him he's worked for a bank. And then we would see him socially at -- usually at a bar or at a football game or possibly at his house.

Q. So you and your husband --

A. My first husband and my second husband.

Q. Oh, okay. So did you consider him a friend?

A. Yeah. I considered him a friend. But, you know, not -- a friend in the sense that, you know, that if I saw him out I would say hello to him. Or if we were together, we were together. But not to the point where it was every day or I'd pick up the phone and call him. I mean, it was not that type of friendship. He's just an acquaintance, basically an acquaintance.

Q. Well, the social activities you've described, going to his house or seeing him in a bar or a football game, are those prearranged meetings? I assume going to his house would have been prearranged.

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: Sir?

MR. SCOTT: You can answer it if you know.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. I don't, you know -- it's just -- it's been a long time ago. That goes way back into when he lived in Columbia. I remember the street he was on. He was doing -- he was trying to get his accounting license. And I don't know really what you would call that, you know. It's a CPA. He was practicing for that.

He was -- there was just occasions that we were at his house or, you know, I would see him sitting in a particular bar playing poker machines or whatever and having a drink. So, I mean, I would, you know -- that's the type of relationship it was that, you know, I'd say hello to him. He did some -- I think he did some accounting work for some of his friends on the side.

Q. Would he charge them for that accounting work?

A. You know, I really don't know. It wasn't my work.

Q. You said you would see him at football games. Would you go to the game together?

A. You know, we would meet like in a -- we didn't have seats probably together. We'd meet in the parking lot.

Q. Like tailgate together?

A. Yes.

Q. How big of a crowd would tailgate together?

A. You know, six or eight, something like that.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. And did there come a time when he moved to the upstate?

A. Yes. I remember that because his wife didn't want to move at the time. And I didn't see him for years after that. Maybe I saw him -- maybe I'd seen him once or twice but I really had no contact with him.

Q. When was the first time you had contact with him when he began working for Community First Bank?

A. 2007.

Q. And how did that come about?

A. I got his number and I picked up the phone and called him.

Q. Where did you get his number and what inspired you to call him?

A. Probably from some mutual friends that knew him that had a -- I think that knew him more than I did.

Q. And do you recall who that would have been?

A. The Cravens.

Q. And who are the Cravens?

A. Some friends of Ben's that he went to school with.

Q. Okay. And are they also friends of yours?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And what are their first names?

A. Ben and Ron Craven.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. Is there also a Peg Craven?

A. Repeat that?

Q. Is Peg Craven --

A. Yeah. That's Peggy. Yeah, Peggy Craven, Ron and Peggy Craven.

Q. Peggy is married to Ron?

A. Yes.

Q. And they live here in Columbia?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And why were you asking for Ben's phone number?

A. I wanted to transfer my trust out of BB&T Wealth Management.

Q. And why was that?

A. I wasn't happy with what they were -- I wasn't happy with the way they were handling my trust.

Q. What were they doing that you didn't like?

A. They gave me -- they told me to sell out of my family Baker & Baker business for a minimum amount of money just to get away from the family, which was absolutely uncalled for. They didn't go to a stockholder meeting which I had asked them to go to. I asked them to pay certain bills which were monthly bills. I asked that they -- there were things that were not going on that I was not happy with. The money that was coming in for my family that was

Q. It says at Branch Banking & Trust, Columbia, SC. Does that refresh your memory as to where you signed this document?

A. You know, it says Branch Banking & Trust. Okay. BB&T. There's many BB&T banks. I had an account out in Chapin, I had one in Irmo, I had one downtown. So, no, I just -- I don't really recall where I would have signed it. And I don't recognize any of the names on it or who I signed it in front of.

Q. On the first page it says you reside at 1707 Devine Street, Columbia, SC; do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Was that your address at the time that you signed this?

A. Yes.

Q. And my understanding is, you've received mail there for at least two years from the date that you signed this; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. In paragraph numbered one, do you see where you authorized Mr. Hiott to open, maintain, or close bank accounts?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Do you see that?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2018 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Do you agree that you authorized Mr. Hiott to open, maintain, and close bank accounts on your behalf?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Do you see under A that it says, Mr. Hiott could conduct any business with any banking or financial institution with respect to any of your accounts?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. I really don't recall that.

Q. Do you recall giving him authority to handle all of your banking and financial affairs with any instrument?

A. I asked -- I called him and asked him to -- if he would be -- if he would be interested in protecting my interests. That was the words I used. And in that sense, the thing was, is that -- so I really can't recall exactly what all this, you know -- what this means, you know. Because it looks like that I gave him full power to do everything, which I really don't recall.

Q. But you admit that you signed this document?

A. That is my signature.

Q. Well, did you have knowledge that you had authorized him to handle all of your financial affairs?

A. I was --

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. I transferred from BB&T Wealth Management to him, which was the trust, which I thought was the trust and asked him to protect my interests. So I don't really remember -- I know I wasn't with him when I signed this. I don't know who I was with when I signed it. Because I only saw Mr. Hiott very, very few times within this last eight and-a-half years since 2007.

Q. Do you remember terminating the arrangement you had with BB&T?

A. Yes.

Q. And in connection with that, did they help you prepare this Power of Attorney?

A. I don't really recall.

Q. You don't recall who prepared this?

A. I really don't. I really don't. I don't remember signing -- I know that's my signature but I don't remember signing this.

Q. Other than this Power of Attorney, do you remember signing any other agreement with Mr. Hiott or, for that matter, Community First Bank?

A. No.

Q. All right. So this is the only document that you know of that you signed, authorizing Mr. Hiott to deal with your financial affairs?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

the one that I had spoken with.

Q. And you said something about, if you wanted to put money on the card --

A. Meaning that after I had charged, you know, for the month, if I wanted to pay it off -- which I always liked to do, you know, pay it off and put the balance on the card -- I would call her and make sure that it got on there immediately.

Q. What would you ask her to do?

A. Was to make sure that I had some money transferred from a certain, you know, from a certain bank account that I had with BB&T to that particular credit card.

Q. So you would arrange a transfer from BB&T to pay the credit card --

A. Yes. Yes. Yes.

Q. All right. Let me back up. With regard to Mr. Hiott, are you aware of any writing authorizing Mr. Hiott or obligating the bank to do anything other than the General Power of Attorney that you signed?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. Like I said, I really don't remember where or how I would have signed the Power of Attorney, I don't remember. I don't remember -- that is my signature, but I don't remember what bank or when it was signed and that's

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

all I can recall.

Q. All right. And I understand you've admitted that had you signed this document and --

A. I did sign -- well, there's my signature. It's my signature.

Q. Right.

A. Okay.

Q. And you were competent to sign it at that time --

A. Yes. Yes. Yes.

Q. Okay. And you revoked it -- we can look at the written document -- you revoked it writing sometime in, I'm not certain, 2011 maybe?

A. Possibly.

Q. And do you understand that Mr. Hiott was operating with the authority that you granted him with this Power of Attorney between the time you signed it and the time you revoked it?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. I really don't know how to answer that. I don't know how to answer it. I feel like that -- I don't know how to answer that. I'm sorry if I haven't really answered it. I just can't recall when I signed it or where I signed it and that word being brought up as Power of Attorney. I just

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

know that he was supposed to be handling my -- taking care of my interests or protecting my interests. And I don't remember how he took over the Power of Attorney. I just really don't recall how that got transferred from BB&T or from whatever.

I know that the money -- I told him that I was transferring money over there to them. And I do know that -- but I really can't recall how the word -- but, now, Power of Attorney was not really ever, you know, an issue brought up in the sense that, you know, I've got the Power of Attorney to do what I want. It was never brought up to me that I recall.

The thing is that maybe it was the way the money had to be transferred or whether it was that the bank wanted it. All I know is that I trusted him and I asked him to please protect my interests. And that was the phone call I had with him and that's how we, you know -- I talked to BB&T Wealth Management that I was, you know, taking my trust out of their bank. But I really don't remember where I signed this. I know it was not with Ben and I don't know which bank it was and I don't know who was there with me. So I just -- I really don't know.

Q. You've seen Powers of Attorney before, right?

A. There's many different types of Powers of Attorney, yes.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED : 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. And you've been given a Power of Attorney before?

A. I've been given a Power of Attorney before.

Q. And you've given Powers of Attorney to others --

A. Yes.

Q. -- besides Mr. Hiott?

A. Yes.

Q. So you are generally aware of how they operate, are you not?

A. Yes. There's durable, limited, general, probably others.

Q. Okay. Would you agree this is a very broad Power of Attorney?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. I don't really know how to compare it.

Q. And that's fine. It speaks for itself.

A. Okay.

Q. Look at paragraph numbered five. Do you see where it says, Mr. Hiott was authorized to enter into binding contracts on your behalf?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. I see it.

Q. Do you remember ever asking him to do that on

that you had with BB&T?

A. Obviously, yeah. I think so.

Q. And did you revoke --

A. There was a -- there was a, you know -- if I signed it, I was thinking that they were basically a wealth management trust company that was reliable. So I don't remember if I really went from word to word to cross out something or question something about that the money was going to them as a trust and that they would handle my principal and interest and I would -- they would receive money from being wired to them. There was -- I think even probably taxes were going to them to it.

Q. They were what?

A. Tax documents might have been going to them at the time. So, yes, I was letting them receive everything that I had that would go into a trust and, you know, hopefully that I would be able to -- but this looks -- I don't think there's anything out of order in this.

Q. And did you revoke that agreement at the same time you appointed Ben Hiott as Power of Attorney? Do you see what's been marked as Exhibit 2?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. You know, I -- I don't remember the exact dates. I remember that it was sometime in 2007 when I wanted the

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

money, like I said, to be transferred over to Ben.

Q. And are these the steps you took to accomplish that?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Take a look, if you would, compare Exhibit 1 to Exhibit 2. Do you see at the top that it has a transmittal spray at the top --

A. Yes, I do.

Q. -- with the same day and time?

A. Yes.

Q. And they are both witnessed by -- at least the notary looks like the same person on the same day?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. You know, I -- what are you asking me?

Q. I'm asking you if that refreshes your memory. Do you remember terminating the trust with BB&T at the same time that you appointed Ben Hiott as Power of Attorney?

A. I don't remember appointing Ben as Power of Attorney. As I told you before, I don't really remember signing a Power of Attorney. Even though I see my signature, I don't believe -- I'm not aware I would have signed it. I don't remember going up to BB&T Wealth Management and signing any paperwork.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

send them and they cancelled all my insurance. And I was real upset about it and Ben came to Columbia to try and settle the situation. He told me to pay it anyway rather than try to report her for -- and they made me pay the insurance on everything like a year in advance. And Ben came to my house.

Then the next time was when he had brought our motor home back to Columbia and it had been wrecked. And Ben admitted that he had wrecked it, tore apart. And he -- I had to -- then the next time was -- I think that was it. That was probably the only times I saw him.

Q. All right. What was Ben doing? How did he get your motor home?

A. He said he knew someone that could -- he said that he could -- that he could take the motor home back to Seneca and get it stored at a lesser price and that he could also have some things fixed on it and he knew someone in that area. I do not know really -- remember how the motor home got down there. It might have been through my husband. And obviously, he used it. The miles were different on it, there was damage to it. And he offered to pay 4,000 and it was 20,000.

We got him off the golf course -- his wife got him off the golf course and made him pay me the money out of an American Express card. And then at a later time, there

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

with Ben Hiott, or anybody else?

MR. SCOTT: Not counting your lawyers.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. Repeat that?

Q. And I'm never asking you what you talked about with your lawyers.

A. Okay. Let's just repeat it.

Q. Did you discuss with anybody not receiving statements from Community First Bank before 2010?

A. No.

Q. Weren't you curious why you weren't getting statements?

A. Well, they weren't, you know -- no. I mean, I just -- everything, I thought, was going through, you know -- that if Ben was paying some bills, that they were being wired. Maybe the bills were being wired from the bank out of my account or which account ever it was, whether it was through the trust. I never saw a checking account with all the bills that were supposed to have been paid out of there.

I knew that some of them were being paid. I knew some of them were being paid, you know. Ben and I had many discussions about certain things that weren't getting paid and certain things that were going on in my account, but it was all through BB&T, nothing through Community

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

First. I only remember seeing the account set up with a certain number. I want to think it ends in 1950 or something like that, which was a trust account.

But there wasn't anything else for me to look at and I didn't have anything. I mean, I really did not have anything. And as far as thinking I was supposed to get something, now that you mention it, you know, I probably should have been aware of that. But I did not even -- that just wasn't for me to -- I didn't think about it. I didn't think about it, really.

Q. Well, let me ask you, I'm still at a loss to understand why Ben Hiott would pay your bills. If you asked me to pay your bills, I'd say no. Why would he do it?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Did you agree to pay him for these services?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. He talked, you know, -- he said he was not taking money out of my account because I had such a large trust account set up with the bank and that was good enough. But, I mean, you know, I've heard that there were banks in other places that will help pay some of your bills. You can pay them a fee I think or, you know, they can do that. But I didn't think, you know -- I didn't think twice about it.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

paid and they were -- finally contacted me and saying that they're not getting paid. And so I was just, you know -- I mean, even a rent that I owed the doctor next door, I think it ended up being that Ben had said, well, you know, I think that caretaker's been living with that doctor and, you know, he's taking advantage of you so I'm not going to pay this doctor the money. It was like $19,000. He wasn't taking care of that either.

So I didn't have any records to show for it. It wasn't coming out of my BB&T account. If it was coming out of bills that he was supposed to be paying out of Community First. I didn't have anything to show for it.

Q. All right. When did your problems with Ben arise?

A. (Pauses).

Q. You terminated in 2010?

A. 2010, 2011, somewhere in that neighborhood.

Q. Is that the timeframe you were just talking about?

A. It was much earlier than that. I mean, I was arguing with him about why I was getting some NSFs on my account and why he did this or what was his, you know, agreement with --

Q. On what account?

A. Everything was through BB&T. I didn't have

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

something. Tell me again when you got the jewelry back, what year?

A. When I got it back from whom?

Q. Mr. Hiott.

A. It was when he brought the motor home back to us, which was in -- I want to say I was living in Columbia. We were thinking of taking a trip in that motor home, but it was wrecked. And he just said, don't worry about it. And I said, well, you know, I am not going to take a trip in this motor home when I've got -- we're thinking of, you know, maybe consider moving out of the rental property we were in in Irmo. I thought that was more important, to get that area cleaned out and where I was going with that before I would think of taking a trip and then come back in September and not knowing where those kids were going to go to school or where my stuff was going to be and getting out of that Irmo property.

Q. Irmo was about 2010?

A. Yeah. It was around 2010.

Q. All right. Was this at the time you were closing the account?

A. This is when he brought back -- he said he brought back everything that he had of mine from the bank, everything I had given him. And that's when those boxes came back in the motor home. He brought it back when he was

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

on the way somewhere, to some game or something, I don't know, here in Columbia.

Q. Did you ever go to a football game together with Ben in the motor home?

A. No.

Q. All right. With the --

A. I didn't even know he'd even used it.

Q. Was the jewelry the same jewelry that Ken stole?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And do you think it was worth a half a million dollars when he stole it?

A. It was valued at that. It was valued at that.

Q. For insurance purposes or --

A. Yeah -- well, you know, when my -- my mother had the insurance on the rings that -- the values that she had given me. There was -- we had had everything valued at the time. I had explicit pictures of everything.

Q. All right. Now, I'm trying to -- let me understand, how did Ken get possession of your jewelry?

A. Obviously, you know, he went to Angel and told her that it was okay for her to meet him at the bank and give him the jewelry so he could come back and bring it back to me.

Q. Did you ask him to do that?

A. Yes.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

story. Later on, you hired an attorney, Kathleen McDaniel; is that right?

A. Yes. Uh-huh.

Q. Was that sometime after Ben had returned the RV and given you the $212,000?

A. When I was having problems getting some correct answers with Ben and getting my money to pay for certain things, I had called my brother and he said for me to get Mike Thye to take care of it for me.

MR. SCOTT: All right. And, I apologize. Don't talk about anything that you talked to a lawyer about, whether it be Mike Thye or Kathleen McDaniel.

THE WITNESS: I didn't talk to any of them.

MR. SCOTT: The question is, do you know when you retained Kathleen McDaniel, right?

MR. KNOWLTON: Yes. I'll re-ask the question.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. My question is, did you retain Kathleen McDaniel some several months after Ben had returned the mobile home and gave you the $212,000 and the jewelry?

A. I don't know. I don't know. It sounds like that's probably afterwards, but I really don't know. There was a lot going on, I just don't know. I don't know -- what I needed was some help and I didn't know what to do.

Q. Well, let me see if this helps your memory at

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

all. There was a time in February of 2011 after which you had hired Kathleen McDaniel --

A. Yes. I remember this.

Q. -- and you were given a check for $285,000. Do you remember that?

A. Yes, I do. I do remember that.

Q. Does that help you contextually remember when you --

A. I don't know if I retained her for a whole -- it looks like it was over -- let's see. I don't know how many months it was. It looks like it was about six months. I probably had her -- I probably hired her for about six months, yes. But I can't tell you whether we had the motor home back. I would have thought possibly that that's where the pressure was coming from and that Ben was being pressured into bringing the stuff back to me and that's when I think I'd hired another attorney to maybe take a look at what was going on. So, I mean, that's --

Q. And when you said another attorney --

A. I'm talking about Kathleen McDaniel.

Q. All right. Did you have a lawyer hired before her?

A. I don't think so. Only in Alabama, you know.

Q. Okay.

A. So, no, there wasn't one. That's what I meant.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. Was that at about the same time?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Let me ask you this. There was a wire transfer into your account at BB&T of $202,000 on August 11, 2010.

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. And my question to you is, do you think that was before or after the RV was returned with the jewelry?

A. The RV and the jewelry was returned so we could take a trip during that summer, so it had to have been -- I forgot what you were asking me. It had to be -- if we wanted to take a trip when the children were still in school, it had to have been -- the RV had to have been returned before we were going to take the trip so we could pack everything up, okay?

Q. Okay. Well, at that point -- and maybe I misunderstood you -- but at that point, were you not terminating the relationship with Mr. Hiott?

A. That's why I was trying -- I was trying to terminate the relationship with him. I asked him to bring everything back.

Q. Okay.

A. And when we got it back, we had found that it was damaged. So we had to go back to Alabama. He owed us

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

the money for it, so we were trying to get back the money for the motor home that had been damaged. So it was, you know, when you say terminated, I was terminating him, you know. But he needed to fix that motor home since he had left it and he didn't tell us until we brought it back up and set it up in front of the house.

So it had to have been -- it had to have been before -- it had to have been sometime in April, May, June. Probably, you know, somewhere maybe May or June. Because the kids had to go back to school in September.

Q. Of 2010 --

A. Yes.

Q. -- when you said bring everything back?

A. Bring everything back.

Q. So then you had to --

A. Then we had to go back down to -- had to go to Alabama to take the motor home back to Alabama to get it fixed. So we took up the whole summer. We weren't able to take the trip, you know, to use the motor home and all. So there was -- so that's my story. I don't know what to tell you.

Q. All right. So there was a transfer of $202,000 in August of 2010.

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Did you suspect all of your money had not been

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

given to you at that point?

A. You know, I really can't recall. You know, Ben kept saying it was like, you know -- there was like another million dollars or another $900,000 somewhere, you know. I really don't know. He couldn't quite keep his stories straight, you know.

We got letters saying that this was going -- I mean, we got letters, you know, that he had -- or a letter that he had written saying that the money was going to a Lafayette single life insurance policy, you know. And then he was saying that he transferred it over to somebody else. I mean, it just really was -- and I don't think he could keep his stories straight. I didn't think about that being all my money. I couldn't imagine how it could be all my money, you know, because I didn't spend it. It wasn't my -- I mean, it was my money.

Obviously, he had cleaned out the account. I didn't know. I did not have any paperwork from Community First to be able to know.

Q. Is that why you hired an attorney?

MR. SCOTT: I'm going to object to the question, sought legal counsel, as being confidential.

MR. KNOWLTON: Well, I don't see that, David. I'm not asking what the communications were. I'm just asking --

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. I was getting, you know, getting mixed vibes from Ben, basically. I couldn't quite get the truth on what was going on. He was telling Angel Hamiter one thing, telling me another thing. I mean, I didn't have any paperwork to go by with him, you know. I didn't know -- I was, you know -- I couldn't imagine that being all of my money. He was --

Q. Did he tell you that it was all of it?

MR. SCOTT: And if we're going to continue this path, I'm going to instruct her not to answer any more about talking with Kathleen McDaniel, just so we're clear. She's told you why. Let's move on.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Did Ben Hiott tell you that he had given you all of the money in your account?

A. Again, that was going through the attorney. I didn't talk to Ben, you know. After he brought the motor home up there with that and it was damaged, he was not willing -- I had to put my husband and children on a bus to get them back home. The motor home wasn't ready. It needed $20,000 worth of work. He was not willing to -- he wasn't paying it. His wife -- I called his home and talked to his wife and I got a complete different story from her. And she was crying and she didn't want me to call and get him in trouble and she was telling me some stuff that was going on.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

So she got him off the golf course and somehow the money was taken off of his American Express so that the motor home could be fixed and he transferred it back to -- back here back to us. And then we found out that Ben took it out of my trust. And I had not talked to him about any of that. It was -- obviously, he was going through something, I don't know.

Q. Well, obviously, you talked to Ben when he delivered the RV?

A. Yeah. That was one time.

Q. Did you talk to him after that?

A. I don't think so. I think it was all going through, you know -- we had damage on that motor home and I was -- he wasn't clearing it up.

Q. Did you talk to him when he got off the golf course to pay for that damage?

A. He instructed somebody at the bank to take the money off of American Express I think after his wife called him and said, you know, she needs to settle this. And then we found out he was lying about some stuff we didn't know that was -- that had been used and damaged and all that. I think she had told us some stuff. He just wasn't real clear. So, no, I didn't talk to him after that at all.

Q. What is his wife's name?

A. Vickie.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. That's all that I remember, you know, McDaniel getting. If there was anything more, I don't recall.

Q. When you were given a check for $285,233.34, was it represented to you at that time that that was all of your money?

A. That's what Ms. McDaniel told me.

MR. SCOTT: Don't say what your lawyer said.

THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know how to say it without it coming from anybody else.

MR. SCOTT: It's just going to have to come out of your own mouth, then.

THE WITNESS: All right.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. No. I don't know then.

Q. Was it your understanding at that time that that was what the bank represented to be all of your funds at the bank?

A. That's what I was told.

Q. Did you suspect that you had additional funds at the bank?

A. Yes.

Q. And why did you think that?

A. Because Ben had said there was another 990-something thousand dollars that was an annuity, or there was more money; you know -- there was just more money there,

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

know. I haven't really thought about all of that stuff. But, you know, like it's hard for me to, you know -- and I'm not dwelling on all this stuff. But this is -- I didn't think that I was going to have to sit down and write down all these things that I could remember. I'm trying. I just don't really remember. It's been since 2007.

Q. I understand. And I don't have Ben available to talk to either so I'm just trying to recreate what you remember.

A. Well, I don't think that you're going to be able to believe Ben, unfortunately. Because, you know, he couldn't shoot straight with, you know, saying where the beginning of the money went. He put me in some Lafayette Life Insurance or annuity or something. That was not true. And that was written down. And he kept dwelling on that the whole time. So, obviously, he had a lot to hide.

Q. When did you first suspect he had done something with your money that you didn't agree with?

A. About every month. I don't really recall. I don't know. There was just so much. There was just, you know -- every time I turned around and get a bank statement from BB&T, I was calling him and I was just not -- I wasn't happy with what was going on. I don't know. I can't really tell when it began.

Obviously, I think a lot of it was in 2008, you

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

know. It seemed like to me there was a lot of withdrawals and things that were going on. There was some sort of a -- I didn't know that there was, you know -- I didn't know there was a -- I only knew a couple of friends of Ben's. I had not seen him in years, you know, but I knew him from before. But, you know, his friends had changed and I didn't see him, I didn't know.

But he was a banker, you know, supposed to be a banker. He seemed to be an honorable man. He's worked for BB&T and Lexington and was doing some accounting work. I couldn't imagine what, you know -- how he would do that. I gave him a couple of gifts, you know, just out of the kindness of my heart. I gave him a Gamecock chair and I gave him a painting that had a, you know, an oil painting of a Gamecock on it out of the goodness of my heart for, you know, for helping me out, you know. I did not know that he was taking from me. So, anyway --

Q. And this was during the 2007 timeframe?

A. Yeah. I think that's when I gave him a couple of gifts, you know, just out of the kindness of my heart.

Q. Let me go through some documents with you.

A. Okay.

MR. KNOWLTON: You can mark these.

(Exhibit No. 6, Handwritten Note, was marked for identification.)

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. I read it.

Q. Does that refresh your memory of what you were asking BB&T to do?

A. No, sir.

Q. All right. Did you purchase -- first of all, do you recall -- and I think you may have already said no -- but do you remember owning stock at that time?

A. No.

Q. Did you have a securities account anywhere?

A. No.

Q. Did you purchase an annuity as suggested by that paragraph?

A. Not that I know of.

Q. Have you ever purchased an annuity?

A. No.

(Exhibit No. 17, Fax - 1/17/06, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Okay. I'm going to ask you to take a look at Exhibit 17. Is that your handwriting on the front?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Is this a fax that you sent to BB&T Wealth in 2006?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. All right. And in your message on the cover,

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

are you asking BB&T to pay certain bills that are attached ASAP?

A. Let's see. (Views document.) That's what it says.

Q. And then you request 10,000 into your account?

A. Yes.

Q. Would that be your checking account, or what account?

A. That's the only account I had.

Q. Where were they transferring it from?

A. From BB&T Wealth Management.

Q. Is it from the trust account to a checking account?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And part of this exhibit is BB&T 1968. Do you see that page?

A. I'm seeing it now, yes.

Q. All right. And this is a page from a statement. Can you tell me what account this was?

A. Constant credit is a -- is money that you have in your checking account, that if you get overdrawn, that the checks will be paid out of your constant credit so you will not have the check sent back.

Q. And were you overdrawn and did you incur NSF charges?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. I don't recall. It's been a long time ago.

(Exhibit No. 18, Letter - 2/23/07, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Let me ask you to refer to Exhibit 18.

A. Okay.

Q. Is that a letter to you that you received from BB&T in August of 2006?

A. 2007, maybe?

Q. Well, it says August 7, 2006 on the cover.

A. Oh. Wait a minute.

MR. SCOTT: Wait. We might be looking at different ones because the one I've got says February 23rd '07.

THE WITNESS: That's what I've got.

MR. KNOWLTON: Well, that's why I pulled out the wrong document on my end. Sorry.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. All right. I'm sorry. Let me start over.

A. Okay.

Q. Is this a letter you received from Missy Brown at BB&T in February of 2007?

MR. SCOTT: Are we talking about BB&T 004033 --

MR. KNOWLTON: We are.

MR. SCOTT: -- and 34?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

MR. KNOWLTON: Yes.

MR. SCOTT: All right. Is that what you've got, 4033 and 34?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

MR. SCOTT: A letter from Missy Brown dated February 23rd?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. (Views document). All right. Exactly what I said. (Continues to view document). Okay.

Q. Okay. Did you receive this letter from Missy Brown at BB&T in February of 2007?

A. Yes.

Q. Does it accurately reflect the disagreement that you had or dispute that you had with regard to your desire to purchase a $250,000 motor home?

A. Yes.

Q. And did BB&T suggest and strongly believe that you should not purchase that motor home?

A. Yes.

(Exhibit No. 19, Handwritten Note - 2/23/07, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. And then let me ask you to go ahead and take a look while we're here at Exhibit 19.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. Okay.

Q. Is that your follow-up where you directed them to go ahead and purchase that motor home?

A. This is my handwriting.

Q. Okay.

A. And this showed that I was interested in purchasing the motor home out of the -- the money that I needed out of the trust.

Q. So against the strong advice of BB&T, you directed them to, in fact, purchase the motor home, and did they in fact purchase the motor home?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Did you in fact purchase a $250,000 motor home out of your trust assets?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Is that disagreement one of reasons you terminated the trust at BB&T?

A. It was the tax liens and the way that they were handling the account. The thing was, is that I was disappointed that, you know, that no one -- that no one could tell me where those tax liens came from that was on my credit report. I didn't know that -- I could not mortgage that motor home. And I was very uncomfortable with them not knowing whether the tax liens were my husband's or mine and

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

no one could go to the courthouse and tell me what needed to be done. I just wasn't happy with the whole situation.

So it wasn't because of the motor -- it wasn't just the motor home. It was the way the account had been handled, you know, that I wasn't getting advice in a proper situation.

Q. What liens are you talking about? This is before you purchased the motor home.

A. There was a 2004 -- it looks like there was two tax liens that looked like they came from the same -- they were the same amounts, two different numbers and they weren't paid until 2007. And then you extend how long it takes when you have a tax lien that shows on your -- it was showing on my credit report.

I did not -- I would have never allowed taxes not to be paid. And no one seemed to be able to know. Jeff Nates at the accounting office and Mark Hobbs said, we're not sure whether it was a tax lien on Devine Street or it was a tax lien on your purchase of Dan's condo. They were mixed up. They didn't know. They had told my estate attorney -- or my tax attorney at the time, they didn't know what it was.

Q. Who was your attorney?

A. I wish you wouldn't have asked me her name.

MR. SCOTT: You can tell him her name but don't

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

tell him what you talked about with --

THE WITNESS: I don't remember her name right offhand.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. She was a tax attorney. But it was a letter written from Jeff Nates to her saying that -- something about these tax liens and they didn't know where they came from or what they were and why they were on there and why no one took care of them and why I, you know -- if there was any type of notice, why weren't they paid and why would they have allowed that to have happened. And the thing is, it showed the same amount, the same thing, but it had two different numbers on it.

No one could tell me what they were. It was just -- we just didn't know, you know. And that kept me from being able to buy that motor home on a mortgage or be able to, you know, get money. That was on my credit reports and no one seemed to know what they were. And there were letters coming through that said, we don't know what they are. It could be Dan's, it could be yours.

But, see, you know, I had a flood on Devine Street. So we were thinking maybe it could have been the mortgage company, you know, putting a lien on it until, you know, we got the thing fixed or, you know, whatever they do. They were not going to allow money to come out until the

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

mortgage is cleared. So we didn't know if it was that. And then there was the purchase of, you know, the condominium that was at Bridge Point, that Dan had maybe not paid taxes on and maybe had not paid some payments on. We weren't sure. And then Dan's --

MR. SCOTT: I'm sorry. He asked you the name of the tax attorney.

THE WITNESS: I don't know her name.

MR. SCOTT: Okay.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. I thought maybe it would come to me.

Q. Are you saying that part of your dispute was that you couldn't buy the motor home because you had some kind of real estate tax lien out there that you couldn't identify?

A. Well, when I was looking at my credit report, the thing was, is that if I could have gotten a mortgage on that motor home, you know, which is what I wanted to do -- I didn't want to pay cash for that -- and they were disputing that, that the thing is they didn't want me to do it. But, you know, there was also a large sum of money that went into the account because they sold a Kmart, I think, at one time. So there was like another million dollars put in the account.

Q. I may have missed it, but do they mention

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016-Dec-09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

anything about the tax lien in here?

A. They didn't mention it, not in that letter. But there were some other letters and my credit report --

Q. Well, this is suggesting that if you want to mortgage your motor home, you do it at the time you buy it, not after you buy it.

A. Well, that's true. But I couldn't do that. I couldn't do it before I bought it. I couldn't do it before I bought it because there was, you know, -- I didn't have the credit.

Q. All right. And you say you couldn't like check the local real estate records to see what kind of lien you were talking about?

A. No one seemed to be able to figure out what it was. Even to this day they couldn't figure out what it is.

Q. And you blame BB&T on that?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. I'm not, you know -- I'd had good credit. I had good accounts, you know. There was some reason that those were -- I think that my -- everything was going downhill, you know. I was receiving all my mail, you know. We just were -- I could not -- we couldn't figure it out. And I don't think that the accountants knew and I don't think that the tax attorney could put her hand on it either. The

records were showing it was there but they could not recall where it came from other than it just wasn't paid. So there you go.

Q. All right. Let me make sure I've covered this.

A. Okay.

Q. The reason you terminated the account with BB&T --

A. Yeah. With Wealth Management, yes.

Q. -- one was the dispute about the motor home?

A. Uh-huh. I mean -- well, you know --

Q. Well, let me just rephrase the question.

A. Okay.

Q. Tell me why you terminated your trust agreement that you had, revocable trust agreement, at BB&T.

A. Well, I'll tell you, it was numerous things. Starting off was that they wanted me to sell out of Baker & Baker for a million dollars. That would be $500,000 in taxes. I was worth a lot more than that. My interest was worth a lot more than that. They knew that I was having disputes with the family. Baker & Baker owned investments and stock in BB&T Wealth -- in BB&T, in the banks and mostly all out of banks.

They were holding my distribution money that would come in on the 15th of the month that I'd asked BB&T to just make sure that it goes into my personal account.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

They were holding it for about four or five days and not putting it in my account when it was being distributed from Baker & Baker to BB&T Wealth Management.

The next thing is, is that they were -- I wanted my -- allotted some of my principal on my line of credit and on anything else that needed to be paid down. I had the money to pay it down. I didn't want just the interest to be paid. So I was not really getting anything established. It was not fruitful for my credit, you know. I wanted to get some -- I had the money to pay it down. They weren't paying it.

I asked them to please appear at a stockholder meeting so they would be able to know what was going on with Baker & Baker and what to do and what my interests were. They called over there and Baker & Baker said, well, you don't have to come, so they didn't go.

The other thing was, is that they were pushing for me to not transfer that IRA into my husband's name or not to pursue any interest in Baker & Baker through my husband. And they were -- there were just numbers of things. There were just some things that were going to -- I had a life insurance policy, that I had told them that it named -- it was named when it was received from my family. It was received in 1984 and under the name Baker. And when I got it back after the estate, it was in the name of

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Brookshire. So I questioned the -- again, my brother's Power of Attorney and taking over what was in those safe deposit boxes of those things.

That was when BB&T Wealth Management had it. They did not -- it didn't get to Ben Hiott or Ben said he didn't receive it. There was a premium missed on it. I don't miss premiums. I mean, I know this would have been paid. I didn't get it. They sent it back later. Just numerous things like that that were just non-issues that I was not happy with.

So that, again, is telling you what was going on that I was not happy. Maybe I did not know, you know, how a trust was supposed to be run or this, that, and the other. I thought that I was, you know, ahead of my game in knowing what to do and where my money was going. But I was a little bit -- I was upset when they said, we'll just wait till the lien gets off or wait till this -- don't take the money out.

I knew that that was probably wrong, because they wanted me to have a limit of money in there. I just said, let's get out. And I was trying to use that motor home maybe as a second home at the time and be able to use it for home schooling and be able to get, you know, some type of, you know, write-off as a second home. So, anyway, that's kind of the story behind all that. I don't really know that it has anything to do with Ben.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

information from the, I guess the Israel Bond Investment Company or whatever. And I know that my family did some of that and I wanted to mirror what they were doing and I wanted to find out if that was possible for me to do something proper by doing that, okay? So I was asking about that.

I realized that there was -- that this was -- they were saying basically that this was not an investment that they normally do and that I needed to contact -- they wanted to contact somebody else to see exactly what I wanted to do. But, again, they were -- they had wanted to call Baker & Baker about it, you know. So there was a lot of -- a lot of questions that were coming in from BB&T going to Baker & Baker.

Q. They also wanted to sit down with you and discuss a proper investment plan, did they not?

A. Yes, they did. And I did --

Q. Did you ever do that?

A. No.

Q. Why not?

A. I don't know. I don't know. Maybe this is because that I, you know -- the day that this situation was -- like I said, after that, I had said that I was having some questions about the way they were handling my account. So I think I probably did not go and call them and set up an

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

appointment with them, you know. I just -- I think this time, I was really thinking of doing something different, I don't know. But, no, I did not sit down with them, but this is a true letter and I did have a copy of it.

MR. KNOWLTON: Let's mark this one.

(Exhibit No. 23, Letter - 5/31/07, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. (Views document). Okay. What do you want to ask me about this?

(Exhibit No. 24, Letter - 6/12/07, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Let me ask you also to look at Exhibit 24 while we're at it.

A. All right. (Views document). Okay.

Q. All right. First of all, Exhibit 23 is a letter that indicates that a copy was sent to you. Did you receive a copy of it?

A. Probably. I mean, I can't really recall right offhand. But, you know, this is --

Q. Well, they simply wanted written instructions if you wanted --

A. Yeah. I understand that. I understand that.

Q. Okay. And you remember that?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. I'm just looking at what the statement shows.

Q. Take a look at CFB 6933, the last page of that exhibit.

A. All right.

Q. Did you see that document, that page?

MR. SCOTT: Does she see it now?

MR. KNOWLTON: Well, no, sir.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. My question really was, did you see that in 2007?

A. No. I haven't seen this. (Views document). What did you ask me? Have I seen that document? No, I haven't.

Q. All right. Do you have any explanation of why Mr. Hiott would have sent a cashier's check representing funds from this account in the amount of $500,000, indicating it was a Brookshire loan advance to Mr. Crosby in July of 2007?

MR. SCOTT: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. I don't know nothing about Mr. Crosby or the money or giving anybody permission. I don't know anything about that and that's the God's honest truth.

Q. When was the first information that you had that

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

this $500,000 disbursement had been made?

A. You already asked me that.

Q. And I don't want you to mention what any attorney told you, but I want the best date you can give me.

A. Well, I haven't had any documents from Community First, so the first time I got to see any documents was at this office. And then my husband and I took the documents and he had looked at that particular document and saw the -- saw the, you know, some of the interest and where the money that was interest being transferred over from BB&T Wealth Management or the interest on it and saw the miscellaneous debit. And next to that miscellaneous debit was written Lafayette, single premium annuity to children, in blue, in blue ink, was written on that paper on the --

Q. You're not referring to Exhibit 29, are you? You're talking about some other document that you saw?

A. I'm saying the document that I saw with -- this, right here, the same exact one that I saw here, had single premium annuity Lafayette trust, or something like that. It was written next -- in blue, next to the $500,000.

Q. Was that something Mr. Hiott wrote?

A. I thought it was Lisa Reed, but I don't know who wrote it. It wasn't my handwriting, it was somebody from the bank. It didn't look like Ben Hiott's handwriting. But it was written on there and that's what it said. That's

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

and his wife offered, you know, to take them for Christmas for a couple of days because he knew that you didn't have a Christmas tree or a home to be able to have one in, he said, and I'm the one that took them up there. So that's what he said. He said, they asked for them. So that was really nice for them to do that. And, I mean, I'm sure I paid Ben.

Q. How did you pay him?

A. Probably, you know, just through a check. I'm sure it wasn't cash. But it wasn't that much money. It was just something maybe like traveling for them to be picked up and maybe an airplane for my son and maybe a gingerbread house under my daughter's name, you know, just -- and a little crown or something like that. They were young children at the time.

Q. Did you agree to have him reimburse himself out of your account?

A. I always offered. I always offered to pay something. And if I did, it wasn't a whole lot. If he didn't want a whole lot, we wouldn't spend a whole lot of money. So the thing is, that's how it was.

Q. And you mentioned in the first day of your deposition that he kept the kids over two Christmases?

A. To be honest with you, I know of one Christmas, I don't know about the second one. But maybe -- I don't think -- I don't know if it was one Christmas or two. I do

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. All right. I'm just trying to get you to agree on some terminology.

A. Okay.

Q. Why don't we just call it the Community First Bank account, all right?

A. Okay.

Q. I mean, because you knew that he had money that you had --

A. That was in the trust, yeah.

Q. Well, you said two things --

A. The account ended up in -- the numbers are 1950, I think.

Q. All right.

A. That was the trust account.

Q. All right. Account 1950. And you knew you had an account that ended with the numbers 1950 for your benefit at Community First Bank?

A. Right. Yeah. That's what I remember.

Q. Okay. And --

A. Because that's where the money was being wired from to go to my BB&T account.

Q. Right. And you were aware of those wires?

A. Yes.

Q. And when you asked him to send money, he would send it to BB&T?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

given any money, why would he be lying to me? And, first of all, there's no way I would have done something like that. The children didn't need any life insurance policies. They had their own trust. And I didn't even own the children.

Q. Well, let me ask you a little broader question. Do you contend that it was inappropriate for Mr. Hiott to make any form of investment, loan, gift, or other disbursement of your funds in your account at Community First Bank in the amount of $500,000 in July of 2007 and $200,000 in November of 2007?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. Let me ask you a couple of questions about your BB&T account.

MR. KNOWLTON: Let me get that marked.

(Exhibit No. 31, Summary of Overdrafts, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Take a look, if you would, at what's been marked as Exhibit 31. And this is a summary exhibit that we prepared --

A. Are you talking about this page?

Q. No, ma'am. What she just handed you.

A. Okay.

Q. And I know you've not seen this before, but let me just ask you this question. Attached to the three-page

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. All right. Let me go back. Are you saying BB&T to Community First?

Q. Yes, ma'am.

A. How -- well, Ben was receiving all of my income and all the money, okay? That's where it was all coming from. So the money would have been --

Q. From Baker & Baker?

A. From Baker & Baker. Or if there was something that maybe --

Q. And at your direction, monies were transferred from BB&T to Community First Bank, were they not?

A. You'd better recall that because I can't -- I don't remember -- to 1950? I mean, maybe the closing of the house, maybe?

Q. No.

A. Ben had all of it.

Q. When you opened this account at BB&T, there was a termination of the trust.

A. Okay. You're talking about to Wealth Management?

Q. Right.

A. Okay. Now I understand. Yes.

Q. You terminated your trust at BB&T Wealth Management --

A. Yes. Okay. That went to --

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. -- and you transferred, at your direction, approximately 1.7 million to Community First Bank, did you not?

A. Yes, that's right.

Q. Okay. And did you also not, at your direction, have income and certain monies received over time from Baker & Baker sent directly to Community First Bank?

A. Yes. Yes. There you go.

MR. GIESE: Bob, I hate to object but you misstated. It was 1.1 million and you said 1.7 was transferred from BB&T.

MR. KNOWLTON: Okay. And whatever the account statement reflects. I obviously don't recall the amount accurately off the top of my head.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. All right. Take a look at, if you would, in this document it's the third page. It says, I'll be sending you faxes of my daily mail and notes every day until I get there. Did you in fact do that? Did you fax him copies of the mail that you got every day?

A. (Views document) Let me see if I can maybe try and see if I can clarify this. (Continues to view document) It says, I'm sending you faxes of my daily mail and notes every day until I get there. You're asking me if I did that, huh?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370528

$50,000 in wires in the amounts of 15, 15, 10 and $10,000, did you not, totalling $50,000 from Community First Bank?

A. The statement says so. But, you know, I mean, I couldn't recall the exact amounts. I'm sure that they -- it was, you know, right, unless somebody doctored up something. But, you know, no, I'm sure it's probably right.

Q. But you were routinely asking Mr. Hiott to wire funds to your account, were you not?

A. Exactly, yes.

Q. Okay.

A. Yes.

Q. Let me ask you to take a look at what will be marked as another exhibit, Exhibit 40.

(Exhibit No. 40, Handwritten Notes, was marked for identification.)

MR. GIESE: Look at 40, Debi.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

MR. GIESE: Look at 40.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. (Views document).

Q. If you'll look at Exhibit 40, is that your handwriting on the front page and the second page?

A. (Views document). That first page, that's my handwriting.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

was sneaking off somewhere. (Views document)

Q. First of all, let me ask you, is that your handwriting?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Is that a direction from you to Ben Hiott?

A. If I wrote it to Ben, it's from me.

Q. Do you acknowledge that you had an insurance policy with Mass Mutual?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you acknowledge that you asked Mr. Hiott to pay premiums to Mass Mutual on your behalf?

A. I'm sure I did. Why wouldn't he? He was paying all the rest of my bills.

Q. Well, you --

A. He did. He did. I mean, I did ask him.

Q. All right.

MR. KNOWLTON: And, Barney, again, maybe there's some confusion, but I think you all challenged some of the payments to Mass Mutual.

MR. GIESE: I'll check.

MR. KOWLTON: Okay.

MR. GIESE: I'll check. There were a couple that we had a question about, yeah. But I'll check.

MR. KNOWLTON: To Mass Mutual?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. Well, I don't want to play word games with you.
A. Okay.
Q. We've already dealt with this multiple times.
A. All right.
Q. There was an account at Community First Bank.
A. Yes.
Q. You had your funds transferred from BB&T --
A. Yes.
Q. -- into that account.
A. Yes.
Q. You had Baker & Baker's funds directed to that account --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and you asked Ben Hiott on numerous occasions to pay amounts on your behalf out of that account, did you not?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So you knew you had an account at Community First Bank of some sort?
A. Yes. The 1950 account, right.
Q. And do you contend that any payment to Mass Mutual out of that account was inappropriate?
A. Yes.
Q. And which amount and why do you contend it was inappropriate?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

some other stuff that had happened that Ben didn't admit. So she called him and he ended up taking the money off of his American Express card on the golf course and then he ended up taking it out of my trust. And it ended up being about twenty thousand.

Q. And that's an invoice sent by Dan Brookshire to Ben; is that what you're saying?

A. This looks like it was Madison RV Center that was -- there was four thousand dollars or something, you know, of some of the work or whatever that was done on it that Ben, I think, agreed to pay. To be honest with you, this looks like it came from Madison RV Center and it looks like it's the Holiday Inn --

(Exhibit No. 54, Memo - 7/19/10, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Let's turn to another exhibit here, what's been marked as Exhibit 54, if I can ask you to look at that.

A. (Views document.) All right. What do we need to do here?

Q. On Exhibit 54, there is a statement here from Vickie Schermbeck. Do you know who she is?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. And was she instructed not to deposit any more money into the bank at Community First Bank? Did you advise

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

her to do that?

A. (Views document.) Yes.

Q. All right. Was there any particular event that gave rise to that conversation? Any culminating event or anything specific that you can recall?

A. This is when we were -- I'm pretty much letting -- pretty much taking everything away from Ben, because I told her do not send anything more over to Ben or any more monies over to Ben, that we were going to be able to make -- to change the account number, that we're not dealing with him anymore.

Q. Well, I understand that.

A. Right.

Q. Was there anything that culminated in that conversation, any event that we haven't already talked about?

A. No. Not that I would have said anything to her. I just told her that we were not going to be working with Ben or Community First Bank anymore. That's what it was. I either called them or I wrote them a letter, okay?

Q. Well, I understand that.

A. Okay.

Q. But to make sure we're clear --

A. Okay.

Q. -- did you have any particular interaction with

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

remember this one.

Q. And let me ask you a couple of specific questions about the letter.

A. Okay.

Q. First of all, do you see the paragraph that begins with the word obtaining?

A. Yes.

Q. Take a look at the front page.

A. Yes. Yes.

Q. The second sentence of that paragraph says, it is my understanding that on August 11th, 2010, you transferred all the cash remaining in the trust account, approximately 200,000, to Mrs. Baker-Brookshire's account at BB&T. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. Was that what you were informed?

A. That is what I was told, that I may have put the remaining money that was in the trust into that account accordingly so that we had -- and this was in August. Let me make sure it's in August. Yes. I had told him to put the money into that account because we were -- that was the time that we were talking about trying to do something with the motor home and the children and moving and getting all the stuff out.

Q. Had you directed Ben to transfer all of the

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

remaining funds into your BB&T account?

A. I think so, yes.

Q. And what was previously marked as Exhibit 4 reflects a transfer to your BB&T account in the amount of $202,928 dollars. Do you see that?

A. Let me see where that it.

Q. It's right here.

A. Oh.

Q. We had talked about this in the first day of your deposition. Do you see that now?

A. Okay. Yes. Yes.

Q. And was that what you understood to be what Hiott represented to be all of the funds in your account?

A. Pretty close to that, about the same thing. The thing is, they're saying 200,000 and he was saying 238. So the thing was, I was thinking that he put some money into my account so we could be able to go ahead and get all the rental paid and get all the stuff done so we could get the children and get on the trip and the people traveling with us and all that. And he said that that was all. But the thing was, but he told someone else it was different than that. And then that figure, to me, I think, was what was supposed to be the balance of what he was going to give Kathleen McDaniel and told her that was all he had left. Is that correct? I mean, is that the same date or is it a

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. It had to have been her because no one else was talking to him that I know of.

Q. All right. And here you mention Ann Gell Hamiter?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Was she considered your bookkeeper?

A. Well, you know, the thing is, is that at the time is when she was paying all the bills and she had been talking to Ben about trying to get, you know, certain money, you know, into my personal account to be able to pay bills. And also, too, to put some other money we could do for tax purposes so we could put that aside because we have taxes to pay. So we were trying to figure out exactly how much money was in there was what we were doing. So I don't think she was my bookkeeper. It was, you know --

Q. Okay. This letter reflects that Ms. Hamiter --

A. Yes.

Q. -- spoke to Mr. Hiott and was told about a month before August 11th, 2010 that there was about 900,000 remaining in the account.

A. Yes. And I do remember that.

Q. Okay.

A. I do remember him saying that.

Q. Okay. And would you agree there appear to be serious inconsistencies in those two versions of events?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. Oh, yeah. Definitely, most assured.

(Exhibit No. 56, BB&T Signature Card, was marked for identification.)

(Exhibit No. 57, Letter - 10/19/10, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Mrs. Brookshire, let me ask you first a very simple question about Exhibit 56. Is that your signature?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And were you giving Ann Gell Hamiter signature authority for another account at BB&T?

A. (Views document.) No. The only account that she was supposed to be -- only supposed to have had was where she was, you know -- you know, it was that one account that she could take care of those bills, and the other thing was, if it was anything, it had to have been maybe that safe deposit box, wasn't it? That's the only thing I can think of.

Q. You know, we looked earlier at a signature card where the account number ended in 2408, and there the address was to the two of you at 37 Palmetto Wood Parkway in Irmo.

A. Yeah. That's exactly where I had given her the --

Q. Whose address is that?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. Okay. Go ahead.

Q. -- ask you a question.

A. Okay.

Q. Is that a document that Mr. Hiott sent you or your lawyer?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. In September of 2010?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. The letter that was marked as Exhibit 55, on the second page it says, you noted on the report you faxed to me, talking to Ben Hiott, are amounts of 150,000 allocated to each of the two children of whom Mrs. Baker-Brookshire has custody. In talking with Mrs. Baker-Brookshire, she has no recollection of setting up such trusts.

Did you ever give Mr. Hiott -- well, let me ask you this. Had he set up a trust for those two children with $150,000, would you have considered that inappropriate?

A. Yes. Because the children were already set up with a trust in Alabama and there was not supposed to be another trust set up. The thing was is I had pretty much set up a Nationwide life insurance of 50,000 just in case something happened to me at a certain particular time, something that somebody would be able to have a little bit of money to get them back to Alabama. But the thing is

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 1:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

that -- but the children already had their own trust and they still do, so there was no reason to do a trust.

Q. Had you ever asked Ben Hiott to set up any form of annuity or trust or insurance policy or anything else for those two children?

A. I asked Ben to try to protect my interest. That's what I asked him to do. And he knew that I had those two children, but the thing was, it was protecting my interest. And I told him, when we took a trip in 2005, that I wanted to make sure that my unsecured halfway deed line would be like another 50,000 to make sure if something happens, at least, that they would not be sitting out in the open and did not -- had nowhere to go. So that's what it was. But it wasn't any money. I mean, no one wanted me to set anything up because my husband said, Debi, they've already got their own trusts set up with their grandmother.

Q. Who set up the trust for them?

A. Their grandmother, their great grandmother, and --

Q. And who is that?

A. In Jasper, Alabama. And it's still set up. The thing is that she left -- so the thing is that he said, Debi, there's no reason to leave them any money.

Q. Did you ever set up a trust for them?

A. No.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. Did you ever buy them an annuity?

A. No.

Q. You said you had some life insurance on your life? Is that what you --

A. It was a $300,000 policy that my dad bought me in 1984 and I was the insured and the owner, okay?

Q. Okay.

A. And because I didn't have children and things were done -- the thing was, they said, Debi, there's no reason for you to have it. So I transferred it over to a long-term health care insurance.

Q. All right. You had the indication that there was a trust set up for each of those two children, did you consider that an inappropriate use of your funds?

A. It was -- I'd only paid on it for a couple of years and it was 50,000 through Nationwide.

Q. No. I'm talking about this.

A. Okay.

Q. And when you got this report from Ben Hiott --

A. Oh, yeah. We couldn't understand it. It didn't make any sense.

Q. Did you consider that to be an inappropriate use of your funds?

A. Yes. I mean, it didn't make any sense. I wonder where it came from. I mean, the thing is that, you

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. Uh-huh.

Q. You had asked that Ben pay the premium or something and that didn't happen, but Ben was not involved in procuring those policies?

A. No. I did them.

Q. Okay.

A. The thing was is that -- now, what we did was, he was just supposed to make, you know, a payment when she sent him a bill.

Q. All right. To your knowledge -- well, let me rephrase it.

A. Okay.

Q. Did you ever direct Ben Hiott to procure an annuity or a life insurance policy or trust for either of the two children we've been discussing?

A. Not at all. As a matter of fact, I didn't even know what an annuity was at the time, you know. I thought possibly that, you know -- I would think I looked it up and found out that an annuity is something if you keep for so many years, ten or twelve, fifteen years, that obviously can be a tax -- or tax-free payments out to whoever you want to make it out to or even to yourself. But absolutely not.

(Exhibit No. 59, Letter - 2/11/11, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Okay. And if you'll look at 61, let's turn to the second page at the bottom, it says close account; do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. At that time, what did you understand the $285,000 to represent?

A. That something was wrong. I mean, to be honest with you, I really don't know. I just know that he was saying that that is all the money that she's got left in her account. And he said that the thing is, is that the annuity for those two children, that because Debi needed more money so I had to go into that and I spent it -- or she spent it. That's what I heard. So that was his excuse.

Q. Okay. Well, did you have any conversations with Ben Hiott at all during that period?

A. Not at all. I wasn't talking to him at all. As a matter of fact --

Q. Where did you understand these monies came from?

A. Which monies? This money?

Q. The 285 thousand and some odd dollars.

A. He said that that came -- that was the rest of the money that came out of the 1950 account that I had with him and that was all. And so the thing is, they were trying to ask him to explain about where the annuities were and he

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016/Dec/09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

said, oh, you know, well, Debi needed more money and so I, you know, closed those and I went ahead and, you know, funded that money to her so there's nothing left, is what I heard. Well, he didn't take this out of my personal -- he didn't take it out of my 1950 account; did he? Okay.

(Exhibit No. 62, General Ledger, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. All right. Let me ask you a question. And I can tell you what this is. It's a summary document from a forensic accountant who looked at some of this material. Let me ask you, did you have any interest in the bank's Federal Securities pursuant to which you would get accrued interest?

A. What is that?

MR. GIESE: I don't think she understands.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. To your knowledge, did you have any ownership in securities purportedly in the name of the bank?

A. No, there wasn't. I didn't have any. He told me there was none. As a matter of fact, because I gave him a couple of gifts because he said he was -- that he didn't need any money -- he didn't need anything from me, just putting the money in the bank. But I had no securities and no stock that I knew of.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. And we've got some other general ledger documents, but do you have any reason to think you owned the funds that were transferred into your account as reflected in Exhibit 62?

MR. GIESE: And I object to the form.

MR. KNOWLTON: I'd like to try to fix that question, Barney.

MR. GIESE: Yeah. Can I go off the record?

(Discussion off the record)

MR. GIESE: Back on the record.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. All right, Mrs. Brookshire.

A. Yes.

Q. I'm going to represent to you that this is an accounting summary from a forensic accountant who looked at certain deposits that were made into your account and there were net deposits here reflective of $780,855.58.

A. All right. Where are you?

Q. I'm at the very bottom of the document.

A. Okay. Okay.

Q. And my question to you is, do you have any reason to think that these deposits into your account were appropriate or that they were funds that you owned?

A. There's just no way for me to be able to know. When you give me a bottom figure like that, there's no way

for me to be able to know whether that has got my tax money in it or whether it's got somebody's stocks in it or whether it had, you know, other monies that I didn't know about. I just do not know. I have no idea.

Q. Do you have any reason to think you had an ownership interest in amounts due from the Federal Reserve?

A. What Federal Reserve?

Q. Well, let me just ask you this: Do you have any explanation -- and this is what you found on the bank's general ledger -- do you have any explanation as to why any of these deposits into your account would have been appropriate?

A. Definitely not. I don't think they're appropriate.

(Exhibit No. 63, Letter - 3/11/11, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Mrs. Brookshire, let me ask you to take a look at what's been marked Exhibit 63.

A. Okay. (Views document.) Okay. All right.

Q. All right. My question is, Ms. McDaniel notified Mr. Williams on March 11th that she no longer represented you.

A. Right.

Q. Is it fair to say that she represented you until

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

March 11th, 2011?

A. I would say so, yeah.

Q. All right.

A. I mean, you know, for a short time.

Q. And you'll see in item one, numbered one in that letter, that requests monthly account statements for December 10 through current?

A. Yes.

Q. Does that refresh your memory that you had copies of the account statements from prior periods before December 2010?

MR. GIESE: Object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. You know, I really don't know. I think probably maybe the reason is that she said December 10th through current is because -- I don't really know.

(Exhibit No. 64, Performance Investment Account, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Well, take a look at Exhibit 64.

A. Okay. Yeah.

Q. These are from her files.

A. Lafayette, finally.

Q. Okay. And, first, take a look. You'll see these account statements begin July 31, 2007; do you see

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

that, the first one?

A. Yes. Yes. Okay.

Q. And it looks like the last one is October of 29, 2010; do you see that?

A. This last page?

Q. The next to the last page.

A. Okay. The next to the last page, which is -- what am I looking for again? Oh, here it is. I forgot what page you wanted me to look at here. The next to the last page. You asked me to look at which --

Q. And my question is, were these statements provided to you while Ms. McDaniel was representing you?

A. At the very end, the thing was is that she got some of these, but we did not get all of them that I can recall. I don't remember getting all of them. I mean, I do know that this is --

Q. Well, she asked for the ones just from December 10 through March, I guess the date of her letter.

A. Yeah, I guess so. The thing was is that --

Q. Were you saying that she got some of what's marked as this exhibit? And these all were in her files.

A. Okay.

MR. GIESE: And I just object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Mrs. Brookshire, do you agree that you received

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

these statements while Ms. McDaniel represented you or not?

A. You know, as a matter of fact, I do remember this one, this very one right here because --

Q. We're talking about the --

A. The whole package?

Q. -- whole package right now. Did you get a copy of these statements?

A. You know, I really -- I can't really remember. The thing was is that I do know that we ended up receiving the very first one and I know there were several other ones we did. But there was a lot of other things that were not even listed on here, you know, that I do know that I wondered where the withdrawals came from, you know. But I can't tell you exactly every single one, but I do know that we did go through as many of them as we possibly could. But when you've got --

Q. When you say we, are you talking about you and Ms. McDaniel?

A. Yeah. And my husband. No, me and my husband went through them. We're talking about -- and also Michael Sgobbo. We went through these things trying to see what the difference was and wire transfers --

Q. All right. Well, first, I'm just trying to get a date. Roughly, when did you first see this package of statements?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. She sent them to us or she -- I think she sent them to us, is what happened.

Q. Ms. McDaniel?

A. Yes.

Q. Before the termination of the relationship, I assume?

A. No. Afterwards.

Q. It was shortly afterwards?

A. Yes. It was afterwards, definitely.

Q. Within a month or so after or --

A. I would say probably within, you know, within a few weeks or something or whatever. The thing was is that she was asking for them or whatever, so as soon as she got them I think she got them delivered to us. I mean, I really can't recall. I didn't know that we went through them all --

Q. Well, if you don't recall --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- don't speculate on something like that.

A. Yeah.

Q. Let me ask you this. You wanted to talk about the first one. Do you see the handwriting on there?

A. Yes.

Q. Whose handwriting is that?

A. (Pauses)

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

from Community First Bank? I'm not asking why you got them.

A. Are you saying how did Ben get them to go from Community First to go to BB&T?

Q. No.

MR. GIESE: He's asking you about that specific 15,000 dollar credit that he pointed out to you.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Let's see.

MR. GIESE: That credit right there on 11/14.

THE WITNESS: Okay. A deposit.

MR. GIESE: He's asking you --

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Is there any reason to think that money did not come from Community First Bank?

MR. KNOWLTON: Again, this was trying to get a Request to Admit and --

MR. GIESE: Yeah, I understand. But, honestly, I don't -- off the record. Can we go off for a second?

MR. KNOWLTON: Yes.

(Discussion off the record)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. All right. Mrs. Brookshire, I don't want to belabor the point, but you don't have any independent recollection of $15,000 going into your account from any other source, do you?

A. (Views document)

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

MR. GIESE: Answer the question.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

A. I mean, really, honestly, you know, I mean, I feel like it's probably a good reason. I just can't place what it is now, you know, that I would ask him --

Q. No, ma'am.

A. I mean --

MR. GIESE: He's talking about $15,000.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. I'm just asking for the source of that money. Do you have any reason to think the source of that money was coming from Community First Bank?

A. Well, didn't it say that? An investment counter withdrawal was --

Q. Well, we show that offsetting withdrawal from your account --

A. Yeah. At the same time.

Q. And then we show money arriving in the same amount in the BB&T account.

A. Okay.

Q. And my question to you is, do you have any reason to think that was not a transfer of funds from the Community First Bank into your BB&T account?

A. No. I mean, I think it's probably legit but I can't tell you what it is.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. Yeah. Some stuff here and things are showing -- you're showing some letters that I wrote that had to do with my dad's will, that had to do with some of the things that my -- Baker & Baker had taken care of and some things that were --

Q. All right.

A. Okay.

Q. Did you comingle anything with the documents you received back from Ben Hiott?

A. Comingle?

Q. Did you put anything else into the boxes with it?

A. Those boxes probably were thrown away because they weren't regular size good boxes. And so we wanted to put everything in a proper order and what we didn't need I threw away. The thing is, I pretty much threw -- I mean, I saved every gum wrapper, okay? So all that stuff started getting thrown away.

Q. When did you throw away materials that you received from Ben Hiott?

A. When I was giving him all the stuff that he needed. He wanted everything from Ben Hiott, statements, tax returns, anything that I had. So that's when I had to go through everything in the storage room and take boxes out. What I did was I decided to go ahead and redo boxes

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

and put them in and get rid of some of those old boxes. Because we'd had a flood and it was a -- and some of this stuff was just smushed and damp and -- I mean, it was just some stuff I didn't even need to keep. So thank you for keeping all this. Now I've got copies again.

Q. Did you review and keep anything dealing with your BB&T account?

A. No. All this stuff went to them, even -- everything good. There was nothing left. The only BB&T stuff, I would have would have gone back to probably Lexington State Bank and --

Q. Well, you gave Mr. Hiott stuff dealing with your BB&T account, did you not? You gave him all sorts of bills and invoices to pay, did you not?

A. But that didn't have anything to do with the BB&T account. The thing is, I gave him stuff that had to do with the electric bill and the AT&T bill and the, you know, and the water bill and all that stuff. That's what he was paying. I didn't give him any BB&T stuff. The thing is, is that he was transferring money into those accounts. So the thing was, there was no reason to give him those BB&T statements, so I didn't give those to him.

Q. Were there any documents you received from Ben Hiott that you threw away?

A. The invoices had to do with the utility bills

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

and the, you know, electric bills and AT&T, that kind of stuff. Things that, you know, weren't normal for me to be able to be keep, okay?

Q. Well, the problem we've got is disbursements were made from your account and now they're being challenged. And if there were invoices and things related to why those expenses were being paid -- I've just shown you a handful of them. Some of them are --

MR. GIESE: I object to the form.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. And my question to you is, did you keep any such receipts or invoices that dealt with the handling of the funds in the account?

A. These don't seem to be the same type of thing that had to do with the everyday accounts. I mean, those were like things that you would, you know -- that you just pretty much pay, you know, your electric bill, your water bill, grocery bill. That's the stuff I threw away. Anything that had to do with any of this big stuff or any of these monies -- to be honest with you, I still seem to be wanting to know about a couple of things and I haven't seen them.

He was supposed to be paying the doctor that I lived next door to, he was paying while we were living over there. For some reason, I don't know which account that

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. I --

Q. Look at the last page.

A. All right. (Views document). Well, I think we probably needed to have some type of a credit situation, so that's probably why he signed for it, you know. And I would imagine that what he did was he --

Q. Well, the Power of Attorney gave him that authority, did it not?

A. (Pauses).

Q. The bottom line is, you lived there, right?

A. Yeah. I lived there, okay?

Q. All right. Let's look at the front page.

A. Okay.

Q. That reflects a payment out of your account of $1600 to Security Federal Bank for --

A. Uh-huh.

Q. It refers to 37 Palmetto Wood Parkway.

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And, if you would, turn to the fourth page of this document and you'll see that lease payments are being made to the Security Federal Bank.

A. Good. Thank you.

Q. And you have disputed in this case --

A. I'm not disputing it.

Q. -- that you've paid rent to Security Federal

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Bank. How can you dispute that?

A. I'm not disputing it. I'm just saying is that, you know, it got paid and I see it.

Q. Well, you've not admitted it.

MR. GIESE: She just did, Bob.

MR. KNOWLTON: She did?

MR. GIESE: She just did.

MR. KNOWLTON: Okay.

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Well, was this an example of one the types of documents that would have been in the box that you discarded?

A. You got this. It didn't get discarded. This has something to do with Ben and --

Q. Because it happened to be at the bank.

A. Well, the thing was, that was something I gave him, didn't I?

Q. Well, we've gotten virtually nothing from those boxes.

A. But I don't see -- the thing is, what boxes are you talking about?

Q. That Ben Hiott gave back to you.

A. Okay. The boxes he gave back to me -- first of all, the boxes that he -- 2007, okay? He got boxes of bank statements, estate stuff which is what you've got, he got

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016-Dec-09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

jewelry and he got some Wall Street Journals. And the thing is that --

Q. And you sent him documents throughout that four-year period that he was dealing with your account.

A. Only of things that you -- or just that were just -- they weren't things like this. It would have been stuff that had to do with, you know, your regular water bill. I mean, the things -- I didn't send him all that small stuff to pay. I took care of that out of my personal BB&T account, okay? And so that was, you know -- I did that. But stuff like this, you know, I mean, this was great. At least we're now showing that this did get paid and it got paid through, you know, Community First Bank.

But you know what? The thing is, is that that is exactly, you know, what I saved, okay? And, obviously, it's good because you've got it, you know? That is exactly what he returned. Or if he didn't return it, I don't know -- why would he have left it sitting in his office? If it has to do with me, why, you know, leave that sitting in the office and then yet return this stuff?

(Exhibit No. 76, Check No. 70009996, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Let me ask you about that. Do you dispute that the amount of $9,086 was paid for Miller Long-Term Rentals

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

on your behalf in 2010?

A. Okay. I probably asked him to pay a couple of months or a few months in advance. I like to do that. To me, that's the safest way to handle some of your accounts --

Q. Is 573 Colonial Drive a place where you lived for a period of time?

A. Yes. That was in Hilton Head.

Q. Okay. And do you dispute that this amount was paid on your behalf out of this account?

A. I'm not disputing it, no. Okay. Find one more for me.

MR. KNOWLTON: Let me, if you don't mind, take a brief break.

(Recess from 4:40 p.m. to 4:50 p.m.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. All right. I have a lot more questions but I'm only going to ask a few more.

A. Yah.

(Exhibit No. 77, Statement - 7/30/10, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. KNOWLTON:

Q. Take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 77.

A. Okay.

Q. On the front page you'll see a 33,000 dollar deposit. Do you see that, on 7/27?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. Yeah. I mean, after I have him Power of Attorney because I was planning on moving down there. I wanted to take all my stuff, all of my, you know -- everything that I owned. And I was thinking about getting a patio home and a place that was an honorable person, that he'd get me a patio home and I had the children and everybody would -- we'd all be down there. So that's how it all started.

Q. When you say down there, you're meaning the Seneca area?

A. Seneca, yes. Seneca, yeah.

Q. All right. And where were you living at the time?

A. I was living --

Q. We've talked about being at the brother's estate --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- but then you said this was after that.

A. Yeah. It was pretty much at that time. Well, I had to have a place to live, you know.

Q. We get it.

A. Okay.

Q. I'm just trying to get a grip on the timeline.

A. Yeah. We had --

Q. You indicated that you were staying in your

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

thought he would take care of me. But, I said, I'm having a hard time getting my money. And he said, call Mike Tighe. I didn't really -- Mike Tighe was -- is their -- he just said, Mike will give you some advice as to what to do.

Mike was -- well, anyway, the thing was is that maybe that wasn't the way to go but I could not -- I didn't know where to turn. I just -- all I know is that something didn't seem right. The money wasn't adding up. I wasn't getting the correct answers. And I just -- I think that it might have caught up with Ben because he seemed to not be able to remember what he was saying and I know that I didn't -- I really didn't want to have to call him at home, but I did know his wife and she just begged me not to call the -- she said he was having some problems at the bank and that there were some things going on and that she said, please, please, don't go -- don't call somebody on him. I said, I won't. So she called him for me.

Q. When was that?

A. It was at the very, very last when Dan had the -- when Ben had wrecked the motor home, he didn't tel us that he had -- other than scraping it, that was wrecked. And when he brought it back, Dan took it down to Alabama, took the children with him. And Ben -- she had to get Ben off the golf course and he didn't want to send -- he didn't send enough money to get them home to get it fixed and so

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

bills?

A. (Pauses).

Q. Earlier, I thought I heard you say that.

A. We started off getting Ben just to pay some of the major bills that I had, such as --

Q. I'm asking you, now --

A. Okay. Okay. About what --

Q. -- about the point in history when he was being asked by you to make payments to Dr. Oliver.

A. All right. So what are you asking me now, again?

Q. I'm trying to get a grip on what were all the payments you wanted him to make at that time. You wanted him to pay Dr. Oliver once a month. You wanted him to make your car payment once a month.

A. Yeah.

Q. You wanted him to pay your utility bill once a month for electricity, correct?

A. My mail was still going to 1707 Devine Street which is owned by Dr. Oliver. And since I did not have a home at the time, except I was still having -- I still had things over at that house as we were paying rent, and so I was asking Ben if he would help with me to make sure that my bills were getting paid, you know, at that house since we were not sure where we were going to live. I didn't -- I

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

had not moved to Irmo and not moved to Hilton Head and I was not getting mail on Blossom Street. So I wanted Ben to try and help me get my bills paid.

Q. Does that mean you wanted him to pay the utility bill or not pay the utility bill?

A. Well, you know, I asked him and he said he'd be glad to pay my bills.

Q. And so did you want him to pay the utility bill for the Devine Street house at the same time you were wanting him to make the payments to Dr. Oliver or not?

A. At that very time, I was paying the bills. I didn't get Ben to --

Q. Okay. So you did not want him to make the utility bill payment; is that fair to say?

A. I didn't get him to pay the bills until later, until I moved out to Irmo.

Q. Is there any point in time when you can tell me what the bills were on a monthly basis that you wanted Ben Hiott to pay on your behalf? Now, if you can't tell me, then please explain to us how the heck you thought Ben was going to know.

MR. GIESE: Objection.

BY MR. HAWKINS:

A. How could he have been paying the bills if I had sent him copies of the bills so he could pay them?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. Okay. So how often were you sending Ben copies of bills?

A. I think he's decided to put them in his -- change the address so they'd come to him.

Q. Pardon?

A. I think Ben changed the address of the bills so they'd come to him.

Q. You mean, you believe that some bills were not sent by you, as you've just testified to, but went directly to Ben?

A. It had to have been. Because the thing is that he had -- I was showing that the bills had his address on it.

Q. All right. And do you know, for any month in any year at any time, how many bills there were that you wanted Ben Hiott to pay on your behalf?

A. Ten. Ten or fifteen. Maybe ten to twenty, something like that.

Q. And what were they?

A. Oh, gosh, let's see here. Okay. The alarm bill, the AT&T bill, a land line, cell phone, electric bill, the property tax on the -- well, you know, property tax on the car, insurance -- accounting bill. You know, I just want to say is that your usual -- your setup, you know, generally bills that need to -- the gas bill if there was

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

one. I didn't have a gas credit card. Oh, boy, you know. The safe deposit box -- no. It was being paid by the bank. You know, to be honest with you, I don't know. Just sort of the, you know -- most of the bills like that.

I wouldn't have asked him to do that if he didn't think that he could. But, again, I was thinking of moving down there and also I, too, thought that we could accumulate getting everything in one place, okay?

Q. What did you do to fulfill your own duties as the trustee to your trust?

A. With Ben?

Q. With you. You agree you were the trustee, do you not?

A. Yes. Okay. Yes.

Q. So as the trustee, what did you do to fulfill your own obligations to yourself as trustee of the trust?

A. What are you supposed to do?

Q. Did you believe that you, as the trustee, had any duties to the trust?

A. Yes. I think I had a duty to make sure that my -- that the bills were being received properly, that I was able to get copies to be able to know exactly if my children's Social Security numbers were listed properly, my stuff was done, if it was done on time, if there's any question about if I got my donations and things that maybe

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

better start at one. Were you less than five years old?

A. I don't think that we were practicing writing checks at that time.

Q. When do you believe, just your best memory, is the first time you're conscience of yourself having a bank account where there was money in the bank and you could write a check on that money in that bank, paper checks.

A. Probably at 16.

Q. And did you get a bank statement in the mail?

A. I would think that probably it was Joanne or David Baker in care of Debi Baker.

Q. Okay. Are you telling me that someone else took care of your bank account for you and you didn't have to be bothered with that?

A. I worked at --

MR. GIESE: Object to the form.

MR. HAWKINS: I'll rephrase it.

BY MR. HAWKINS:

Q. Are you telling me that somebody else took care of your bank account and not you? You had checks and you could write checks but you never had to worry about how much money was in the account?

A. I was working at J.B. White at 15 years old so I was getting my own money.

Q. What does that mean?

A. But I wasn't able to drive over to the bank and be able to, you know -- I think my parents probably set up an account for me and made sure that they were the custodian of an account so I could save some money.

Q. Has there ever been a time in your entire life, from the day you were born until today, when you had a checking account in a bank and a statement came somewhere in the mail from the bank that showed you the deposits and the withdrawals --

A. Oh, of course.

Q. -- and you had to open it up yourself, nobody opened it for you, you had to open it and look in it and see what the statement said?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Is that a yes?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. How old were you when that happened?

A. When you get a savings bond or maybe you would get something free or somebody was giving you something like that, you'd open it up.

Q. You would open the envelope that had the savings bond in it?

A. I mean, I would open up something that was maybe left for me or something that maybe was supposed to be a savings bond or something. It was a gift when you turned a

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

certain age or possibly that you would check and see -- you sort of play with those, you know, those little greenback stamps and the checks that you get and see what your shoes cost in Reuben's for four dollars. You would see what, you know, Mama had written you a check for $25 and how much she put in your account.

I mean, you know, we were all very open to be able to do things. To be honest with you, you know, I knew what checks were, but I don't think that I personally opened up something and was able to -- it was very easy to balance back then, you know. And so you had your change that you could be able to add up and say how much do you have and save that penny and whatever it can be, you know.

So there's all kinds of things and ways that you could see to do things. But as far as having -- going to a bank and doing something like that, I don't think that, you know -- I can't remember how old I was. Maybe that we were doing that when I got my first car or TV or I was able to present something to somebody.

Q. I'm just looking for a general time. You don't have to tell me it was when you were 16 years and three months.

A. Well, you know, the thing is --

Q. I mean, when you were you in college, when you were out of college --

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. Okay.

Q. Was there ever a time in your life when you balanced a checkbook with a bank statement?

A. Well, I was going to give you an answer.

Q. Pardon?

A. It might not be true but I'm going to go ahead and satisfy you so you won't ask me again. I really don't know.

Q. So you don't know whether you've ever done that or not?

A. Have I ever done that?

Q. That was my question.

A. Oh, my God.

Q. My question was, have you ever balanced a checkbook with a bank statement?

A. Most definitely. I had my own interior design business since I was --

Q. There you go.

A. -- 20-something years old, okay?

Q. All right. That wasn't so hard, was it?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Now, when you were running your interior design business -- let's go there.

A. Okay. Well, that's what I'm talking about.

Q. Did somebody else balance the checkbook for you

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

and do the accounting functions to tell you whether or not you had enough money in the account to write checks for things when you --

A. You're really insulting me now, okay?

Q. Well, I wish you were sitting over here where I am.

A. I mean, you want to know, but I want to know -- okay. All right. Look, before I got out of college, I went to go work for Mike Mungo with a friend of mine and we borrowed ninety dollars for ninety days so we could be able to buy something so we could do work for builders and we had our own checkbook.

Q. So you knew how to balance a checkbook then?

A. Yes.

Q. And how old do you think you were then? Just guess. Within five years, how old were you?

A. I was 22 or three.

Q. Okay. Let's go with 23. Do you agree that by age 23, you knew how to balance a checkbook?

A. Yes. But in college I did, too.

Q. And from then until when did you have checking accounts where you would get a statement every month and you would look to see whether the statement was correct and that the amount of money that you have in your checkbook matched what was in the bank statement?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. Do you remember going to college and buying all those albums when you were supposed to buy books?

Q. Well, I'm asking you when did you stop doing that. I understood you did it at age 22.

A. I had to stop. No. To be honest with you --

Q. Yes?

A. -- I know how to balance my account and I do know how to look at other people's accounts and be able to have to balance them. I mean, I'm pretty good with that.

Q. Have you ever seen a mistake?

A. From a bank or from myself?

Q. Both, either one.

A. Well, you know, I'm sure I've seen a mistake, you know. But usually it's not coming from the bank. It might be something that might have been maybe overdraft twice or maybe charged twice or it was sent back or this, that and the other, so you want to be able to maybe straighten that out.

Q. Do you think --

A. That's one important thing that --

Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt you.

A. You know, you really have to be able to do that. Because when you take -- you take certain things back to certain accounts and then, all of a sudden, you get your statement in, you've got to be able to know if you get

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. Did you ever see a bank statement on your account at Community First Bank?

A. Yes.

Q. When?

A. I don't remember. But I did not receive them --

Q. Did you see one in 2007?

A. I think I saw some Power of Attorney papers or saw something where Ben had some communications with Baker & Baker or had some communications with BB&T. I did not see a Community First Bank statement at all. If I did, don't you think I would -- let me tell you something. If I did, first of all, I know how much money came from BB&T to go to Ben. And don't you think a miscellaneous debit for 500,000 within 15 days and that, all of a sudden, my balance is 600 and something thousand? I mean, don't you think that I would have -- especially the first statement, noticed that?

Q. Well, if you had asked to see that bank statement you would have known that, wouldn't you?

MR. GIESE: Objection to the form.

BY MR. HAWKINS:

Q. Ma'am? Can you answer the question?

A. Why didn't y'all get -- why didn't you make sure I got a bank statement? Why? Because somebody was cheating on me, right? They took the money out, miscellaneous debit, and then wrote down Lafayette single life insurance policy,

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

distribution check from Baker & Baker, you know, they'll say, well, oh, you know, they didn't, you know -- make sure it wasn't cash, it wasn't sent or something like that. Or, oh, Debi, we -- it was, you know -- or something happened or possibly again with banks changing -- or even letters, say, I didn't receive that particular letter saying that y'all have now tried to, you know, change your account or changed the name of the account or we are no longer doing checks or we're doing -- there's a lot of times things get lost.

But I'm going to tell you something. The Post Offices and even the mail is really and truly -- they are not perfect. As much as you try to make sure things get done right, it doesn't happen. And I'm going to tell you. When I was at 1707 Devine Street, I'd been getting my mail there all the time, okay? Now, when you tell your Postman that you're going to be going out of town either to hold the mail or to make sure -- or we would also tell someone else and make sure and pick it up. Many, many times it doesn't happen quite the way that you want it to. And also, too, when I sold that house to Hance, he still lived next door, but he started getting his mail over there, too, okay?

Some of the bills would say something, like his bill, when he tried to send me a bill, even though I was living right next door to him, they said, we didn't have a forwarding address. There was no forwarding address, but it

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. Can you tell me any automatic draft that you are confident is done each month from any checking account that has your name on it?

A. I think my -- I think the Lexus. I think the Lexus is on automatic.

Q. The monthly payments?

A. Yeah. The monthly payments. I think that I did that with that. And I'll tell you what other one is on there --

Q. What would be the difference in you having an automatic draft on the Community First account pay your car bill and any other bill that you could designate on there and having Ben Hiott write a check every month?

A. Why didn't he suggest that? First of all, it was under a trust account. It was a trust account which was -- 1950 was the trust account. I did not have -- if Ben was taking money out of those accounts -- out of that account, that's fine. But, you know, I had a credit card, you know. He could have done it out of that.

Q. I mean, do you believe that the trust account at Community First was not capable of having automatic drafts set up on that account?

A. I was -- I pretty much was thinking that you would have a trust account as being a trust account and maybe that you would have another account that would be more

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

like a checking or another account that you would be able to have it automatically set up so you would not -- that you wouldn't interfere with the two, you know.

Because, I mean, the thing is you've got -- I have automatically wired my tax -- the tax money goes out at a certain time. The thing is, I think it's better that, you know, sometimes you don't mix those things. I think it automatically would be good to have your wired taxes come out and, yet, maybe that you would have had a separate checking account so that maybe some of the checks would come out. But I never received any.

Q. Well, when did you want the car payment to be made by Mr. Hiott from your Community First trust account? I mean, tell me if it was the beginning of the month, the middle of the month, the end of the month. Just an estimate.

A. It was coming out of the BB&T account.

Q. Well, Mr. Hiott didn't control the BB&T account, did he?

A. He wasn't taking any -- if he was taking money out of the trust account, you know -- I told you what he did was he took -- that was -- he told BB&T that he was the one -- that he had approved for me to be able to have that money -- or that he was going to put the money into that account and -- the BB&T checking account and that he was

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

record during your deposition?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yes. Yes. Yes.

BY MR. HAWKINS:

A. Because we -- I kind of -- all right. Again, I asked him, well, you know, why didn't Ben call me? He said, well, he said, you know, did you not tell the Post Office that your mail had been changed? And I said, well, there wasn't any reason to because I was still getting my mail at Devine Street.

Q. Well, the record will speak for itself on that.

A. Yeah. But really and truly --

MR. GIESE: He didn't ask you a question.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MR. HAWKINS:

A. But, you know, I'm just as much at fault as he is. But, you know --

Q. For not asking for the statements, you mean?

A. Yeah. He should have told me.

Q. And if you had requested the statements, if you had driven to the bank in Seneca and said, I want a copy of my statement for last month, I don't know where it is --

A. Yes. Okay.

Q. -- do you have any reason to believe you would not have gotten a copy of the statement?

A. No. I wouldn't have thought that.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. And if you had seen that there was only a hundred thousand dollars in your account, would that have bothered you?

A. Yes.

Q. And what would you have then done?

A. I would say --

Q. Let's assume that you went and looked three weeks after you opened the account.

A. Okay.

Q. Whenever the first day was, whenever that was.

A. All right.

Q. And we know today that there would be a five hundred thousand dollar withdrawal, correct?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. You're aware of that?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. So if you had seen that bank statement that showed that five hundred thousand dollars having been withdrawn, what would you have done?

A. Exactly what we have done right now. The thing is, is that where is that -- I mean, how is it that BB&T Wealth Management transferred one million, one hundred and something thousand dollars and then in 15 days, it showed a miscellaneous debit of 500,000? Where is the rest of my money? That's where it was.

Q. Is there anything that stopped you from going to the bank and asking for a copy of a bank statement at any time after the account was opened?

A. At Community First?

Q. At Community First Bank, yes, ma'am. I apologize. Bad question.

A. I always talked to Ben. He handled the account. I wouldn't talk to anybody else there.

Q. And you never asked Ben to send you a copy of a bank statement, correct?

A. No. I talked to him over --

Q. I mean, if you wanted a statement in 2007 or 2008, do you have any reason to believe you could not have gotten one?

A. No. I think I could have gotten one if I asked for it.

Q. And it would have been $800,000 short, at least, after the two loans were made to Mr. Crosby, correct?

MR. GIESE: Objection to the form of that question.

MR. HAWKINS: Thank you.

BY MR. HAWKINS:

Q. You may answer.

A. You don't want me to exaggerate on something, do you? Mr. Crosby, did he patent some sort of a bay leaf?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

I mean, my God. I mean, it's not just a promissory note that they've lost. I mean, who witnessed it? Who also decided to do it that way? Just, I'm going to do something like that? But the first 15 days that I had my money and that's half of what I had in there? I mean, give me a break.

I mean, I'm going to tell you right now, you know, you do not go and buy a car unless you maybe check this, that and the other on it or make sure what the -- how people treat certain things, whether it's going to work, whether it can be used a certain way, how's it going to be packed, who's going to sell it and how is it getting there. Come on, you know. Nobody's been able to present anything. Well, we've lost the promissory note. But what about the rest of the stuff? Where's all the paperwork? Where's all the stuff showing that that's -- he needed it, you know? And that I was going to be able to do something like that, you know? I mean, come on, you know?

MR. HAWKINS: I move to strike as non-responsive.

BY MR. HAWKINS:

A. I want a piece of that McCormick bay leaf can. I want to see what's on it.

Q. There's no question pending at this time.

A. What I'm just saying is --

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

MR. GIESE: Debi, answer his questions.

THE WITNESS: I'm answering but I'm also saying --

MR. GIESE: No. Answer his questions.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay.

BY MR. HAWKINS:

Q. Do you agree that had you gotten a bank statement from the first three months --

A. Okay.

Q. -- you would have noticed that a half a million or more dollars were missing out of your account?

A. Yes.

Q. And would you have asked questions about where it went?

A. Yes. Can I -- well, go ahead. But it's something that goes with this, okay? It's something that really goes with this.

Q. Well, if you want to volunteer some information, your attorney will have the opportunity to ask you questions --

A. Well, if y'all don't pull it up --

MR. GIESE: Debi, no. Just answer his questions.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MR. HAWKINS:

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. Did the payment ever get made to your knowledge?

A. I haven't seen it on my bank statements or anybody's bank statements, so I don't know. But I would think that -- I don't think that Hance Oliver would have let it go. I don't think he would have let it go.

Q. So what do you believe the status of those payments are --

A. I think that they worked out some sort of a deal and got it paid.

Q. You think that Ben gave Hance some amount of money --

A. Yes.

Q. -- that satisfied Hance?

A. Yes. But I haven't been able to find where it came from or where it is because I haven't seen anything on any statements at all.

Q. Did you give Ben some boxes at some point in time?

A. Yes.

Q. And what were those boxes?

A. I had given -- back in 2007 when Ben came to Columbia, we had -- my house had had a flood on Devine Street. I salvaged a few boxes. I felt like that might be something that I could -- that would be of interest to give to Ben. And they were, you know -- they weren't probably in

any --

Q. Whatever they were, you were giving them to him for him to then to have them and own it, whatever was in it?

A. Well, pretty much so he could understand what was going on with my family and why I was not giving the trust --

Q. So it was documentation?

A. Yeah. Documentation, taxes, my jewelry and silver and --

Q. You mean, it was all documentation of jewelry and silver?

A. No, no, no. The real jewelry.

Q. The real jewelry and the real silver?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Anything else?

A. I think there was a box of Wall Street Journals.

Q. All right. And I don't need to know anything about them. Did you get the jewelry back that you gave to Ben?

A. Yes.

Q. Was it in the same condition when you got it back as it was when you gave it to him?

A. Yes.

Q. And did you get back the silver that you gave to Ben?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

you who it's against.

A. Uh-huh. It has all the numbers --

Q. If you and your husband don't file jointly, then it's probably only going to be against one of you unless you own the property jointly.

A. The thing was is that it was in my name, it had the numbers on it. We down to Beaufort. We called. I even got some people -- I even got Michael Sgobbo to try and check on it for me to find out. And he said, Debi, there was a tax lien, and he said, and they needed to make sure it got to you so they filed it in Beaufort County because they found that you were here. And he said, but it doesn't mean that you owned anything here. Because I was trying to think maybe it was, you know, somebody else's or it was Dan's old -- over in Harbour Island down there in Beaufort.

Q. Do you know whether it was a real property tax lien --

A. Yes, it was. It was not -- yes. It was -- it wasn't -- it was, yes, a property tax lien.

Q. Do you have copies of any of the correspondence that you sent to Ben where you were saying, here's bills I need for you to pay?

A. No. That's one thing that I've been asked about before. The thing is, those things I threw away. I wouldn't have kep those things. But I did keep as much of

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. On what kind of funds?

Q. Cash money in 2007.

A. Cash? With any type of security?

Q. Unsecured. If you lend $100,000 and twelve months later you get back $120,000, do you believe that's a reasonable return on that investment?

A. No.

Q. What do you think would be a reasonable return?

A. You had a hundred thousand dollars in cash, I think he could probably put it in something else where he could be able to make more than that.

Q. Okay. Tell us what you ever put money in that did better than that.

A. I watch a lot of Law and Order and CIS and -- Miami CIS and I'll let you know, I've never been able to do something like that. I mean, I've only charged 10 percent even when I did commercial work for my family. But I've never had something like that. And so I would say in today's market that there is --

Q. Just look at 2007 to 2010, not today.

A. Okay. Yeah. Okay. I mean, you know, that's just -- I can't even relate to that. I've never had that kind of money to be able to even make that kind of money.

Q. Okay. Well, right before this money that was in your trust was transferred to Community First Bank, you had

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

over a million dollars, did you not?

A. Yes, I think so.

Q. And it was in a trust account with BB&T?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And that's a yes for the record?

A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.

Q. And what sort of return did it earn?

A. I really don't know. I think that what happened was that I heard that there was -- because of the way it was signed or whatever that they ended up -- I think that what happened was that they ended up coming and taking an interest in Baker & Baker and it ended up being that I lost instead of gained and it would have probably taken me a while to even get it back.

Q. So you think you had a loss while the fund was being managed by somebody at BB&T?

A. Yeah. And I wouldn't have known why. I wouldn't have known how to be able to question that. Thank God somebody knew it.

Q. Did you pay attention to that trust to see what it was doing?

A. No.

Q. Did you look at monthly statements on that trust?

A. Yes. Because I wanted to make sure that when

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

money was being put in that there was -- that they were not holding it or make sure that it was going into my account and make sure that there were certain things that -- what I expected, you know. So that's what it was. I really kind of wanted -- they were more interested in keeping up than keeping the percentage of what a trust was supposed to have in it and I was more interested in getting some of the principal paid so I could have a better --

Q. Did you think that was prudent for you to monitor the account in that fashion?

A. You know, I did the best I could, you know. I just did the best -- and I really didn't know -- and I didn't know to question them. But, you know, I don't know that -- I just wasn't ready to jump into -- I just, you know, I was a little paranoid about letting stuff go, you know? Because I was afraid that it was going to get -- that it was gone and I wasn't sure what to do. So I just absolutely -- I don't know. I just sat back on it, you know?

Q. So you weren't sure and what you did was look at the monthly statements --

A. I looked at it --

Q. -- and studied them to make sure that --

A. -- and I looked at it --

Q. Excuse me, ma'am. Let me finish my question.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. Okay.

Q. And what you did was look at the monthly statements to make sure that the deposits were going where you thought they should go?

A. Oh, I did do that. I did do that.

Q. You did do that?

A. Yes.

Q. And you thought it was a reasonable thing for you to do?

A. Well, you know, that's where my money was. The thing was is that, you know, you've got -- I was seeing when there was, you know, readings of certain types of investments and certain types of pie things. So whenever they tell you certain ways to be able to spread yourself, you know, to certain ways to be able to go, that maybe that you shouldn't do this. But, you know, I have not -- I have not quite felt like I had been in a position to know how to do that, you know.

I've kind of felt like -- to me, I would love to be able to do something. I wish I could mirror what my parents had done, you know? I'd like to have done that.

Q. Did you have any conversations with Mr. Hiott ever about how he intended to invest these monies?

A. Not right -- no. You know, right at first when I got him, I had had that flood in the home, I had the house

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED: 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 2

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

REVOCABLE MANAGEMENT TRUST AGREEMENT

THIS REVOCABLE MANAGEMENT TRUST AGREEMENT is entered into this 2nd day of FEBRUARY, 2009, by and between DEBBIE BEAN BROOKSHIRE, of COLUMBIA, South Carolina, as Grantor, who is referred to in this trust instrument in the first person, and BRANCH BANKING AND TRUST COMPANY, as Trustee, which is referred to in this trust instrument as the "Trustee."

A. **Trust Property.** I have delivered to the Trustee the original trust property listed on Schedule A attached, receipt of which the Trustee acknowledges. I or any other person may make additions to the principal of any trust under this trust instrument by lifetime gift, will, designation of the Trustee as beneficiary or otherwise, subject to acceptance by the Trustee.

B. **Distribution of Trust Property During Grantor's Lifetime.** During my lifetime the Trustee is directed as follows:

1. The Trustee shall distribute all or any portion of the trust property as I may direct from time to time. The Trustee will comply with my directions whether received in writing, by facsimile transmission, by electronic transmission, by telephone, in person or by any other means reasonably believed by the Trustee to be from me.

2. During such periods as I am incapacitated, the Trustee may distribute all or any portion of the trust property to me in such amounts and at such times as the Trustee, in its discretion, may determine regardless of any previous directions of mine.

3. The Trustee, in its discretion, may add any income not required to be distributed to principal from time to time.

C. **Disposition of Trust Property Upon Grantor's Death.** Upon my death the remaining trust property shall be distributed to my estate.

D. **Administrative Powers of Trustee.** In addition to the powers conferred by law or elsewhere in this trust instrument, I grant to the Trustee with respect to the trust property the discretionary powers set forth below to be exercised without court order for any purpose that the Trustee may deem advisable:

1. All the powers set forth in Section 62-7-704 of the Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976, as they exist at the time that I sign this trust instrument, and such powers are incorporated by reference with the same effect as though set forth verbatim in it;

2. The power to acquire and retain for any period of time as investments, without diversification as to kind or amount, any real or personal property or interest in such property (including an undivided, temporary or remainder interest), whether or not originally received by the trust or subsequently acquired, income or non-income producing, or located within or outside the United States, and including, but not limited to, notes, bonds, debentures, mortgages and other obligations, secured or unsecured, common and preferred stocks, mutual funds (including mutual funds or investment trusts administered or advised by the Trustee or affiliate of the Trustee and for which it will receive compensation), common trust funds, general and limited partnership interests, membership interests in limited liability companies and leases;

3. The power (i) to retain for any period or periods of time securities forming part of the trust which are securities of the Trustee or of any corporation owning stock of the Trustee or of any subsidiary or affiliate of or successor to such corporation, and (ii) to acquire such securities by purchase, exchange or otherwise;

C-6317721v01_01-09-01174

EXHIBIT 3 Brookshire 3/15/16

4. Whenever authorized or directed to distribute property to me or any other beneficiary, whether or not under a legal disability, the power to distribute such property, unless otherwise directed, (i) directly to such beneficiary, including the transfer of property into such beneficiary's name as by depositing cash or registering securities in his or her name, (ii) to a custodian or a custodial trustee for such beneficiary under a uniform gifts or transfers to minors act or uniform custodial trust act, including a custodian or custodial trustee designated by the Trustee, which may be the Trustee, (iii) to the guardian or conservator of such beneficiary's estate or (iv) to any other person, firm or institution for the benefit of such beneficiary, and the receipt of any of the foregoing shall constitute a full acquittance of the Trustee to the extent of the distribution so made.

E. **Successor Trustee.** The procedure for the resignation of the then acting Trustee without court order and the appointment of a successor Trustee without court order shall be as follows:

1. The Trustee shall have the right to resign at any time by giving written notice to the successor Trustee designated below or, if none, to the person or persons designated below who are authorized to appoint a successor Trustee. Such resignation shall be effective upon the acceptance of the trusteeship by a successor Trustee.

2. If a Trustee gives notice of resignation, becomes incapacitated, dies or otherwise ceases to act as Trustee (the "retiring Trustee"), I shall have the right to appoint a successor Trustee. If I am not living and competent, my spouse shall have such right and if my spouse is not living and competent, the retiring Trustee shall have such right.

3. Any successor Trustee may exercise all the powers and authority conferred upon the original Trustee, including the right to resign, and shall not be liable for any acts or omissions of any predecessor Trustee.

F. **Trustee Compensation.** The Trustee shall receive compensation for its services in accordance with its published schedule of fees in effect at the time the services under this trust instrument are rendered.

G. **Waiver of Bond and Court Supervision.** No bond or other security shall be required from the Trustee. The Trustee may act without qualifying before any court or filing with any court any inventory, appraisal, accounting, annual return or other report relating to the administration of the trust.

H. **Accounting to Grantor.** The Trustee shall furnish upon request, at least annually, accounts of receipts and disbursements of trust property to me.

I. **Definitions.** For purposes of this trust instrument,

1. An individual shall be deemed "incapacitated" under any one or more of the following circumstances: (i) during any period that the individual is legally incompetent as determined by a court of competent jurisdiction; (ii) during any period beginning when two physicians licensed to practice medicine certify in writing that, in their opinion, the individual, as a result of illness, age or other cause, no longer has the capacity to act prudently or effectively in financial affairs and continuing until two such physicians (whether or not those making the initial determination) certify in writing that, in their opinion, the individual's capacity is restored; or (iii) during any period that the Trustee has evidence that the individual is absent without explanation or is being detained against his or her will under circumstances in which he or she does not have the capacity to act prudently or effectively in financial affairs.

2. Unless the context indicates otherwise, references to "trust property" mean the net undistributed income and principal of the trust.

J. **Applicable Law.** This trust has been accepted by the Trustee in South Carolina, and all questions pertaining to the validity and construction of this instrument and to the administration of the trust shall be determined in accordance with the laws of that State.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

K. **Amendment and Revocation.** I reserve the right at any time to amend or revoke this trust instrument in whole or in part by an instrument delivered to the Trustee.

The Trustee and I have signed and sealed this instrument on the 2nd day of February, 20 05.

Witness

Witness

GRANTOR:

(SEAL)

Witness

Witness

TRUSTEE:

BRANCH BANKING AND TRUST COMPANY

By
Vice President

ATTEST:

[Title of Person Attesting]

[Corporate Seal]

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

STATE OF South Carolina

COUNTY OF Richland

I, Carolyn J Jackson, a Notary Public for said County and State, do hereby certify that ~~Debi Baker Simmons~~ personally appeared before me this day and acknowledged the due execution of the foregoing instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal, this the 2nd day of February, 20 05.

Carolyn J Jackson
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

6-9-09

[NOTARIAL SEAL]

STATE OF South Carolina

COUNTY OF Richland

I, Carolyn J Jackson, a Notary Public, certify that John Hudson II personally came before me this day and acknowledged that he or she is Secretary of BRANCH BANKING AND TRUST COMPANY, a corporation, and that by authority duly given and as the act of the corporation, the foregoing instrument was signed in its name by its Vice President, sealed with its corporate seal, and attested by himself or herself as its Secretary.

WITNESS my hand and official seal, this the 2nd day of February, 20 05.

Carolyn J Jackson
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

6-9-09

[NOTARIAL SEAL]

C435774v01_01-08-01.TX

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 3

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

February 23, 2005

Mr. John Baker
Baker and Baker
P. O. Box 12397
Columbia, SC 29211-2397

Mr. Baker:

Enclosed please find Ms. Brookshire's written request to transfer her ownership interest in Baker and Baker to her Revocable Trust Account at BB&T. Under the provisions of this revocable trust, Mr. Brookshire retains full control of her interests but desires BB&T to assist with the management of her interests in Baker and Baker.

Please provide BB&T with information on the additional documentation, if any, you request to affect this ownership transfer. The name, account number, and address of the revocable trust are:

BB&T Trust, Trustee
Debi Baker Brookshire Revocable Trust
P. O. Box 687
Columbia, South Carolina 29202-0687
Account # [redacted]1689

You may contact Jerry Lane at 251-1377 or myself at 251-1317, with your questions.

I am;

With kindest regards,

John H. Hudgens, III
Senior Vice President

EXHIBIT 14 Brookshire 2

BB&T WEALTH MANAGEMENT
1901 Assembly Street, 3rd Floor (29201), P. O. Box 687, Columbia, S. C. 29202
(803) 251-1344 FAX: (803) 251-1774

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Debi Baker Brookshire
1707 Devine Strret
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

February 8, 2005

John D. Baker
Baker & Baker, LLC
1400 Pickens Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

John:

This is to confirm that I desire my interest in Baker & Baker, LLC to be recorded on the books of my Revocable Trust with BB&T as Trustee. I wish to authorize BB&T to take whatever steps that you deem necessary to complete the re-registration of my ownership interest. Please be advised that under the terms of my Revocable Trust that I retain sole beneficial interest of my ownership in Baker & Baker, LLC and further that at my death the interest will revert to my estate.

Debi Baker Brookshire

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 4

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF RICHLAND)

ASSIGNMENT OF INTEREST IN BAKER AND BAKER REAL ESTATE DEVELOPERS, LLC

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, the undersigned Debi Baker Brookshire hereby transfers and assigns a ten and eight-tenths percent (10.8%) interest in Baker and Baker Real Estate Developers, LLC to BB&T Trust, Trustee of the Debi Baker Brookshire Revocable Trust (Account [redacted]1689).

The transfer to be made effective as of February 28, 2005. The transferee to be a fully substituted member of Baker and Baker Real Estate Developers, LLC, with all rights and privileges therein.

By signing below the undersigned who are the Managers of Baker and Baker Real Estate Developers, LLC, hereby consent to the above transferee being a full substituted member of Baker and Baker Real Estate Developers, LLC.

TRANSFEROR:

Debi Baker Brookshire

We Consent to this Assignment

BAKER AND BAKER REAL ESTATE DEVELOPERS, LLC

By:
JOHN D. BAKER
Manager

By:
STEVEN M. ANASTASION
Manager

EXHIBIT 15 Brookshire 4/21/16

BB&T_001813

The undersigned Trustee of the Debi Baker Brookshire Revocable Trust (Account #[redacted]1689) hereby agrees to be bound by all the terms and contributions of the Baker and Baker Real Estate Developers, LLC Operating Agreement as amended.

TRANSFEREE:

BB&T TRUST
Trustee of the Debi Baker Brookshire
Revocable Trust (Account #[redacted]1689)

By: _____ III
Its: Senior Vice President

Q:\OFFICE\WPWINDATA_1\ISAIAH\Assignment of Interest to Baker and Baker Real Est. Dev., LLC to Debi Baker Brookshire Trust #D 11.03.16.wpd

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 5

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

6/13/07


To: Community 1st Bank

To Mr Ben Holt

From: Brooking 780 7440

Fax 864/886 0934

Ben - you'll get a kick out of what I added to Johns message - you won't need compensation - you can't need a caffine coffee break after looking @ this



ELECTRONICALLY FILED: 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Managers' Compensation
1/1/95 - 12/31/02

(Look my POA & manage my DAN stocks & securities for me)

John Baker

Effective Date	
1/1/95	140,000.00
1/1/96	135,000.00
1/1/97	147,500.00
1/1/98	160,000.00
1/1/99	174,500.00
1/1/00	192,000.00
1/1/01	208,000.00
1/1/02	210,000.00

Steven M. Anastasion

Effective Date	
2/1/95	112,884.54
1/1/96	135,000.00
1/1/97	147,500.00
1/1/98	160,000.00
1/1/99	174,500.00
1/1/00	192,000.00
1/1/01	208,000.00
1/1/02	210,000.00

$ + Trustees
$ + Bonus
$ + Broker Fees
$ + Personal Rep (2 Estates)
$ + Partnership(s) (outside)
$ + Executor (B-B)
+ + Board Foundation salary
$ + interest stock (Share holder)
$ + Rentals of 2 Estates

Item #10

6/13/07 Fax: 2511774

To: BB&T Wealth Mgmt / Missy Bray / John Hatchis — 2nd Fax see correction telephone #

From: Debi B Brookshire

Re: Termination of Revocable Trust & Distribution 15th & Estimated June 15 Taxes

Missy -

Tried to reach you on your voice mail several times - I know that you're waiting for written direction/signature b/4 releasing. Usually, your transfer of my distributions only take about 2 days & the max Jeff told me that you had the tax extensions - he did not know the amounts. Gina Baker & Barbi said the distributions were to come to me; I need the tax Extensions amounts & my 15th distribution. Since I have not received the cks as of today, you are asked to take it out of my first check & pay the ESTIMATES by 14th or Have deliver to me by 14th.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Cont.

6/13/07

BBT Wealth Mgmt.
To: Missy Bass / John Hiott
From: Debi Brooks

The Balance of the Trust Acc should be wired to Ben Hiott @ his bank. He is expecting a call from you for directions at that transfer.

The Partnership interest 10.2%, which is also released as of the recap. letter dated w/ you directed also by Ben Hiott.

Please call him ASAP for directions.
@ Community 1st Bank
449 Hwy 123 By Pass
Seneca, S.C 29678

Bank ~~864/638-2105~~ 864 886 0206
Fax 864/8860934

Thank you
Debi Brooks

cc: BBT w/ signature
Ben Hiott

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Daniel K. Brookshire/Debi B. Brookshire
[redacted], Columbia, S. Carolina 29201
Phone 803-779-7688 Fax 803-779-5062

= 1907440 =

Date: 6/13/07

To: Vicki Ackerman / BB&T Trust Co 2511774
Of: Baker Baker & Missy Blevins

Note: Please send back the copy(s) sent @ 8:30 AM on 6/13/07 (fax) I think my fax machine the date is wrong

Fax #: 254-0502

Message: Vicki

Did mail you the correspondence & you should have the original signature.

I haven't received the distributions for the Estate due June 15. (or the 15th distribution)

* Please forward a copy of the check & any copies of the bank statements.

BB&T Trust Co is waiting for my signature & direction to how to handle the partnership interest & any balance in the trust account.

I'd like to keep some of the balance with the co (Baker) Iron for an needed expenses for a month or two

I also need to pay back my personal notes to you & any operating cost if you could for me, — Debi

We are transmitting 2 page(s) including this cover page. If the transmission is not complete, please call 803-779-7688, or advise by return fax.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ACCOUNT STATEMENT

MAY 01, 2007 TO MAY 31, 2007

ACCOUNT NAME: BROOKSHIRE,DEBI
ACCOUNT NUMBER: [redacted] 689

TRANSACTION SUMMARY

	CURRENT PERIOD			YEAR TO DATE		
	PRINCIPAL CASH	INCOME CASH	COST	PRINCIPAL CASH	INCOME CASH	COST
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	0.00	3,268,748.61	0.00	0.00	3,423,976.51
INCOME						
INTEREST		4,861.78			11,068.34	
TOTAL INCOME	0.00	4,861.78	0.00	0.00	11,068.34	0.00
SALES/MATURITIES						
NET CASH MGT SALES	41,081.24	1,546.31	42,627.55			
TOTAL SALES/MATURITIES	41,081.24	1,546.31	42,627.55	0.00	0.00	0.00
PURCHASES						
NET CASH MGT PURCH				804,291.53-	1,146.98	803,144.55
TOTAL PURCHASES	0.00	0.00	0.00	804,291.53-	1,146.98	803,144.55
DISBURSEMENTS						
DISBURSEMENTS	43,644.62-	6,580.74-		410,507.88-	34,557.06-	
TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS	43,644.62-	6,580.74-	0.00	410,507.88-	34,557.06-	0.00
CASH RECEIPTS						
CASH RECEIVED	5,433.76			1,243,443.56	5,433.76	
TOTAL CASH RECEIPTS	5,433.76	0.00	0.00	1,243,443.56	5,433.76	0.00
FEES						
FEES	2,697.73-			11,736.39-		
TOTAL FEES	2,697.73-	0.00	0.00	11,736.39-	0.00	0.00
MISCELLANEOUS	172.65-	172.65	0.00	16,907.76-	16,907.76	0.00
ENDING BALANCE	0.00	0.00	3,226,121.06	0.00	0.00	3,226,121.06

TRANSACTION STATEMENT

DATE	DESCRIPTION	PRINCIPAL CASH	INCOME CASH	COST	GAIN / LOSS
05/01/07	BEGINNING BALANCE [redacted]685	0.00	0.00	3,268,748.61	
	BB&T PRIME MONEY MARKET CL 1 FUND # 0350				
05/01/07	INTEREST ON BB&T PRIME MONEY MARKET CL 1 FUND # 0350 PAYABLE 04/30/2007		4,861.78		
	NET CASH MANAGEMENT	41,081.24	1,546.31	42,627.55	
05/02/07	REMITTANCE TO BRANCH BANKING AND TRUST RETAIL LOAN [redacted]689 DEBI B BROOKSHIRE		1,146.98-		
05/09/07	REMITTANCE TO BRANCH BANKING AND TRUST FOR PAYOFF ON CONSUMER LOAN DEBI BROOKSHIRE	23,644.62-			

BBT_"2210"_0415071004_00011_00011_P2PSPARM_6.17300015B9_D080107DX1207

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

BB&T WEALTH MANAGEMENT

ACCOUNT STATEMENT

MAY 01, 2007 TO MAY 31, 2007

ACCOUNT NAME: BROOKSHIRE DEBI
ACCOUNT NUMBER: [redacted] 089

BRANCH BANKING AND TRUST CO - SC
PO BOX 2887
WILSON, NC 27894-2887

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE
1707 DEVINE STREET
COLUMBIA, SC 29201

000803


ACCOUNT NAME:	BRANCH BANKING AND TRUST COMPANY TRUSTEE DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE REVOCABLE TRUST
WEALTH MGMT ADVISOR:	JOHN HUDGENS 803-251-1317 JHHudgens@bbandt.com
PERSONAL TRUST SPECIALIST:	MISSY BROWN 803-251-1314 ML BROWN@BBANDT.COM
PORTFOLIO MANAGER:	MISSY BROWN 803-251-1314 MLBROWN@BBANDT.COM

BB&T WEALTH MANAGEMENT

ENHANCING AND PRESERVING WEALTH FOR GENERATIONS. PLEASE CONTACT YOUR WEALTH MANAGEMENT ADVISOR TO LEARN OF OTHER INTERESTING WAYS WE CAN HELP YOU ACHIEVE ECONOMIC SUCCESS AND FINANCIAL SECURITY.

INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO SUMMARY

MARKET VALUE AS OF	05/01/2007	05/31/2007	% OF ACCOUNT
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	1,221,064.61	1,178,437.06	36.5%
OTHER ASSETS	2,047,684.00	2,047,684.00	63.5%
Total	3,268,748.61	3,226,121.06	100.0%



ACCOUNT ACTIVITY SUMMARY

	THIS PERIOD	YEAR TO DATE
BEGINNING MARKET VALUE	3,268,748.61	2,422,976.51
INCOME	4,861.78	11,068.56
DISBURSEMENTS	50,225.36-	445,064.94-
CASH RECEIPTS	5,433.76	1,248,877.32
FEES	2,697.73-	11,736.39-
ENDING MARKET VALUE	3,226,121.06	3,226,121.06

REALIZED CAPITAL GAINS / LOSSES

	THIS PERIOD	YEAR TO DATE
TOTAL GAINS / LOSSES	0.00	0.00

SBT.*051007.043507.1004.00000.00000.P2PSPARMLR.1730001888..D060107T041207

CFB000347

ACCOUNT STATEMENT

MAY 01, 2007 TO MAY 31, 2007

ACCOUNT NAME: BROOKSHIRE DEB1
ACCOUNT NUMBER: [redacted]6R9

TRANSACTION SUMMARY

	CURRENT PERIOD			YEAR TO DATE		
	PRINCIPAL CASH	INCOME CASH	COST	PRINCIPAL CASH	INCOME CASH	COST
BEGINNING BALANCE	0.00	0.00	5,248,748.61	0.00	0.00	2,427,976.51
INCOME						
INTEREST		4,561.78			11,068.56	
TOTAL INCOME	0.00	4,561.78	0.00	0.00	11,068.56	0.00
SALES/MATURITIES						

ELECTRONICALLY FILED, 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 6

Daniel K. Brookshire/Debi B. Brookshire
[redacted] Columbia, S. Carolina 29201
Phone 803-779-7688 Fax 803-799-5062

799-7440

Date: 1/16/04

To: John H

Of: BB T Neath


Fax #: 251 1774

Message: Please pay these bills ASAP

Also - Request $ 1900 wire to my acct.

Debi

We are transmitting ___ page(s) including this cover page. If the transmission is not complete, please call 803-779-7688, or advise br return fax.



BB&T_001964

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

BERRY, QUACKENBUSH & STUART P.A.
1122 Lady Street 5th floor
PO BOX 394
COLUMBIA, SC 29202-0394
Telephone # (803) 779-2650
Fax # (803) 799-3954
Tax I.D. # 57-0787770

Debi B. Brookshire
[redacted]
Columbia SC 29201

November 23, 2005
Client Matter #: 8471-2061A
Invoice No: 110636

General Business Matter

PROFESSIONAL FEES

			Rate	Hours	
11/03/2005	BCC	Telephone conferences with Jeff Nates; letter to Alan Reynar	110.00	1.00	110.00
11/09/2005	BCC	Telephone conference with Johnny Hudgens; review of file	110.00	0.50	55.00
		FOR CURRENT SERVICES RENDERED		1.50	165.00

Recapitulation

Timekeeper	Title	Hours	Rate	Total
Blakely C. Cahoon	Associate	1.50	$110.00	$165.00

DISBURSEMENTS

	Postage	1.34
	TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS	1.34
	AMOUNT DUE THIS INVOICE	166.34
11/23/2005	Payment of Invoice	-166.34
	TOTAL AMOUNT DUE	$0.00

Your trust account balance is

	Opening Balance	$11,157.66
11/23/2005	fees/costs	-166.34
	PAYEE: Berry, Quackenbush & Stuart, PA	
	Closing Balance	$10,991.32

Credit remainder to client

BB&T_001965

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

The Hobbs Group, PA

1704 Laurel Street
Columbia, SC 29201
803-799-0555

Debbie Baker Brookshire
[redacted]
Columbia, SC 29205

Invoice No. 8473
Date 11/30/2005
Client No. 5087

Professional services rendered in connection with gathering information for 2003, updating tax return for missing information, additional payment items, additional K-1 information, completing returns, and phone calls to Johnnie Hudges about dollars due.

Current Amount Due	$ 750.00
Prior Balance	1,705.00
Total Amount Due	$ 2,455.00

0 - 30	31 - 60	61 - 90	91 - 120	Over 120	Balance
750.00	750.00	455.00	225.00	275.00	2,455.00

For your convenience, The Hobbs Group, P.A. accepts VISA, MASTER CARD, AMERICAN EXPRESS AND DISCOVER.

Please cut along line and return bottom portion with your remittance.

___ Check # _____ Check Amount _____

___ American Express ___ Master Card ___ Visa ___ Discover

Card # _____ Expiration Date _____

Card Holder Zip Code _____

Signature _____

Invoice Number: 8473
Invoice Date: 11/30/2005

Client Number: 5087
Debbie Baker Brookshire

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

1) Send repayment Back to: Dana Baker (Creditor Claim) $17,000.00 (Appears to be pd out of Life Ins Policy)

David Baker
C/O Baker & Baker
P.O. Box 12397
Columbia, SC 29211
$17,984.77

2) Send 2yrs Bonus Dist Back to my Capital Acc in Baker & Baker 2004, 2005 $81,000.00

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

BB&T

BB&T - SOUTH CAROLINA

STATEMENT DATE
12-28-05

542-07-81-00 25287 0 C 001 18 50 02 [redacted]7509
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE
[redacted]
COLUMBIA SC 29201-4011

BB&T CHECK CARD IS THE CONVENIENT WAY TO PAY FOR HOLIDAY GIFTS AT A STORE OR ONLINE. BB&T GIVES LIABILITY PROTECTION AGAINST UNAUTHORIZED CHARGES IF THE CARD OR NUMBER IS LOST OR STOLEN.

CONSTANT CREDIT ACCOUNT NUMBER [redacted]7509

ACCOUNT SUMMARY

PREVIOUS BALANCE AS OF 11-29-05	2,132.78	AVERAGE DAILY BALANCE	2,095.23
0 ADVANCES/DEBITS	.00+	DAYS IN BILLING CYCLE	29
1 PAYMENTS/CREDITS	42.00-	DAILY PERIODIC RATE	0.0004951
FINANCE CHARGE	29.96+	ANNUAL PERCENTAGE RATE	18.000%
		CREDIT LIMIT	2,000.00
NEW BALANCE AS OF 12-28-05	2,120.74	AVAILABLE CREDIT	120.74-

2005 FINANCE CHARGES BILLED YEAR-TO-DATE 308.45

PAYMENT INFORMATION

PAST DUE AMOUNT	.00
+ CURRENT PAYMENT DUE	41.01
TOTAL PAYMENT DUE	41.01

CURRENT PAYMENT DUE ON 01-12-06

IF MAILING A PAYMENT SUBMIT TO: BB&T CONSTANT CREDIT, PO BOX 200, WILSON NC 27894-0200

ADVANCES/OTHER DEBITS

DATE	AMOUNT	DESCRIPTION	REFERENCE NUMBER
12-28	29.96	FINANCE CHARGE	

PAYMENTS/OTHER CREDITS

DATE	AMOUNT	DESCRIPTION	REFERENCE NUMBER
12-14	42.00	DUE DATE 12-14-05	2005348 5124445290
		AUTOMATIC PAYMENT	2005348 5124445290
		ACCOUNT NUMBER [redacted]5298	2005348 5124445290

FOR ASSISTANCE, CALL YOUR LOCAL BB&T BRANCH OR FOR BB&T PHONELINE, CALL 1-800-226-5228.

BB&T_001968

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ACCORDING TO OUR RECORDS YOUR TAXES ARE PAID BY YOUR MORTGAGE COMPANY. THE COPY PROVIDED BELOW IS FOR YOUR INFORMATION ONLY. IF THIS IS IN ERROR, AND YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO PAY THIS BILL YOURSELF, YOU MAY USE THIS COPY TO PAY IT.

IF THERE WERE ANY CHANGES IN YOUR MORTGAGE IN THE PAST YEAR PLEASE CONTACT YOUR PRESENT MORTGAGE COMPANY.

2005 RICHLAND COUNTY REAL PROPERTY TAX NOTICE

[redacted]	AFTER JANUARY 17, 2006	AFTER FEBRUARY 1, 2006	AFTER MARCH 16, 2006	INDICATE AMOUNT PAID
2,063.77	2,125.68	2,270.15	2,373.34	

TAX MAP NUMBER 11308-14-17 PROPERTY LOCATION LOT 2 40.2X98.0X22.4X19.1X13.4X PRIOR YEAR TAX 2,763.37

[redacted]	NOTICE NUMBER	DISTRICT	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION
FEB	R-2005-026541	1CC	DEVINE ST

ACRES	ASSESSED VALUE TAXABLE		RATIO		ASSESSED VALUE		TAX		[redacted]	
	187,100	X	.04	=	7,480		1,013.57			49.0
		X		=			346.52			17.8
TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE			MILLS		TAX					
COUNTY 7,480		X	344.0	=	2,573.12		114.85		COUNTY T	5.6
COLUMBIA 7,480		X	83.6	=	625.33		78.54		COUNTY BONDS	3.8
			HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION		00		110.70		MRS SERVICE	5.4
			[redacted]		460.00-		95.74		PUBLIC LIBRARY	4.6
02-615			LOCAL OPTION SALES TAX CREDIT		674.68-		.00		RECREATION	.0
							13.71		RIVERBANKS ZOO	.8
			[redacted]		2,063.77		21.69		MIDLANDS TECH	1.1
							8.23		MENTAL HEALTH	.4
							.00		EAST RICH. PSD	.0
							238.22		CITY T	11.5
							.00			.0
							2,063.77		[redacted]	

COUNTY INCLUDES - OPERATIONS, LANDFILL, CAPITAL REPLACEMENTS, CONSERVATION COMMISSION AND NEIGHBORHOOD REDEVELOPMENT.

LOCAL OPTION SALES TAX CREDIT HAS BEEN APPLIED TO YOUR COUNTY AND CITY TAX DISTRIBUTION.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

COUNTY OF LEXINGTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

CLASSIFICATION	CURRENT TAX YEAR ACRES/LOTS	MARKET VALUE	X RATIO	= ASSMT	PREVIOUS TAX YEAR ACRES/LOTS	MARKET VALUE	X RATIO	= ASSMT
OWNER OCCUPIED		0		0		0		0
OTHER PROPERTY		200,000	.06	12,000		158,000	.06	9,100
MARKET VALUE AG.		0		0		0		0
USE VALUE AG.		0		0		0		0

83351 1 AV 0.276 *****AUTO**5-DIGIT 29201

BROOKSHIRE, DEBI BAKER
[redacted]
COLUMBIA SC 29201-4011

83351
358

OWNER NAME..... BROOKSHIRE, DEBI BAKER
DECEMBER 31,2004 OWNER: BROOKSHIRE, DANIEL K
LEGAL DESCRIPTION..... BRIDGEPOINTE UNIT 6D
LEGAL DESCRIPTION cont:
PROPERTY LOCATION..... [redacted]
TAX DISTRICT..... District 2 City W.Columbia TIF
PROPERTY TYPE..... REAL ESTATE
TAX YEAR..... 2005
RECEIPT NUMBER..... 2005-043093-107
TMS NUMBER..... 004679-08-004
LEGAL RESIDENCE..... No

CURRENT TAX YEAR -TAXING AGENCY	2005 MILLAGE	2005 TAXES	H/S EXEMPT	SC TAX RELIEF	NET TAXES	PREVIOUS TAX YEAR 2004 MILLAGE	2004 TAXES	H/S EXEMPT	SC TAX RELIEF	NET TAXES
SCHOOL										
SCHOOL 2 OPERATIONS	149.400	774.56	0.00	0.00	774.56	157.140	848.56	0.00	0.00	848.56
SALES TAX CREDIT	0.000	0.00	0.00	-99.40	-99.40	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SCHOOL 2 BONDS	25.000	130.00	0.00	0.00	130.00	26.000	140.40	0.00	0.00	140.40
SALES TAX CREDIT	0.000	0.00	0.00	-130.00	-130.00	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SUBTOTAL SCHOOL	168.400	825.56	0.00	-194.40	714.56	183.140	988.96	0.00	0.00	833.56
PERCENT OF TOTAL BILL	65.03%				30.69%	58.77%				37.15%
COUNTY										
COUNTY ORDINARY	19.529	105.43	0.00	0.00	105.43	21.084	113.71	0.00	0.00	113.71
LAW ENFORCEMENT	28.019	145.74	0.00	0.00	145.74	29.674	161.66	0.00	0.00	161.66
INCIDENT CAVE	1.800	9.42	0.00	0.00	9.42	0.750	4.27	0.00	0.00	4.27
LIBRARY OPERATIONS	5.662	29.69	0.00	0.00	29.69	6.253	33.63	0.00	0.00	33.63
SOLID WASTE	6.674	34.60	0.00	0.00	34.60	7.405	39.93	0.00	0.00	39.93
COUNTY NOTES & BONDS	4.180	22.03	0.00	0.00	22.03	4.037	25.15	0.00	0.00	25.15
LIBRARY BONDS	1.050	5.67	0.00	0.00	5.67	1.102	0.39	0.00	0.00	6.33
SUBTOTAL COUNTY	64.536	349.04	0.00	0.00	349.04	71.223	332.01	0.00	0.00	326.01
PERCENT OF TOTAL BILL	21.94%				10.08%	21.95%				14.85%
AGENCY										
RDC & ACOG COEN OPS	10.926	59.01	0.00	0.00	59.01	12.037	63.69	0.00	0.00	63.69
RDC & ACOG COEN BONDS	2.569	13.88	0.00	0.00	13.88	3.022	15.68	0.00	0.00	15.68
MIDLAND TECH OPERATIONS	3.024	16.79	0.00	0.00	16.79	3.283	17.74	0.00	0.00	17.74
MIDLAND TECH CAPITAL	1.332	7.49	0.00	0.00	7.49	0.631	3.33	0.00	0.00	3.33
RIVERBANK PARK BONDS	0.000	4.82	0.00	0.00	4.82	0.700	3.78	0.00	0.00	3.78
RIVERBANK PARK OPERATION	1.053	5.69	0.00	0.00	5.69	1.165	6.40	0.00	0.00	6.40
MENTAL HEALTH	0.658	3.54	0.00	0.00	3.54	0.729	3.93	0.00	0.00	3.93
SUBTOTAL AGENCY	20.521	109.78	0.00	0.00	109.78	22.003	118.89	0.00	0.00	118.89
PERCENT OF TOTAL BILL	8.09%				4.17%	6.79%				4.47%
MUNICIPAL										
CITY OF WEST COLUMBIA	51.000	275.40	0.00	0.00	275.40	51.000	275.40	0.00	0.00	275.40
CITY OF W. COLUMBIA TIF	0.000	2,006.82	0.00	0.00	2,006.82	0.000	855.88	0.00	0.00	855.88
SUBTOTAL MUNICIPAL	51.000	2,281.22	0.00	0.00	2,281.22	51.000	1,131.28	0.00	0.00	1,131.28
PERCENT OF TOTAL BILL	10.79%				86.58%	15.54%				42.53%
BILLING TOTALS	324.870	3,552.44	0.00	-194.40	3,458.04	325.369	2,569.74	0.00	0.00	2,659.74

THE SALES TAX CREDIT REFLECTS A HALF YEAR OF COLLECTIONS. TAX YEAR 2005 WILL CONTAIN AN ENTIRE YEAR.

THIS BILL REFLECTS OWNERSHIP AS OF 12/31/2004.
IF TAXES ARE PAID FROM YOUR ESCROW, PLEASE FORWARD THIS NOTICE TO MORTGAGE COMPANY.
IF THIS IS YOUR FULL TIME LEGAL RESIDENCE, YOU MAY QUALIFY FOR PROPERTY TAX RELIEF. FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT THE ASSESSOR'S OFFICE AT (803) 785-8150.
IF YOU ARE OVER AGE 65, 100% DISABLED, OR LEGALLY BLIND, AND THIS IS YOUR LEGAL RESIDENCE, YOU MAY QUALIFY FOR HOMESTEAD TAX EXEMPTION. FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY AUDITOR.
RETURN BOTTOM PORTION OF THIS BILL WITH YOUR PAYMENT. PLEASE USE THE ENCLOSED RETURN ENVELOPE OR MAIL TO THE TREASURER'S ADDRESS SHOWN ON THE BACK OF THIS BILL.
DISCOVER, MASTERCARD, AND VISA ACCEPTED FOR ONLINE PAYMENT ON LEXINGTON COUNTY'S WEB SITE [redacted] CREDIT CARD PAYMENTS CANNOT BE MADE BY MAIL, AT THE COUNTER, OR BY PHONE.

DETACH HERE

BB&T_001970

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016-Dec-09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

*** CREDIT CARD PAYMENT FOR TAX YEAR 2004 ONLY ***

RECEIPT NUMBER R-2004-026992

YOU CAN PAY BY CREDIT CARD AT WWW.RICHLANDONLINE.COM OR CALL 803-576-2282. THERE IS A 2% PROCESSING FEE.

MAP - BLOCK - PARCEL 13807-04-10

AMOUNT DUE $ 4,060.41

AMOUNT DUE AFTER 5/20/2005 $ 4,138.41

SIGNATURE JOSEF O JR

[redacted]

COLUMBIA SC 29201

MAKE PAYMENTS TO:

RICHLAND COUNTY TREASURER
PO Box 11947
Columbia SC 29211-1947

R

Jan 17 06 04:28p

7803 6062

P. 6


BB&T_001971

ELECTRONICALLY FILED: 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 7

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

February 23, 2007



Mrs. Debi Baker Brookshire
1707 Devine Street
Columbia, SC 29201

RE: Purchase of Motor Home

Dear Debi:

Please find this letter as a follow up to our numerous conversations regarding the purchase of the motor home. I want to express our sincere concern regarding your intent to purchase a motor home. I realize that you have expressed an interest in traveling the country for the next year and a desire to "get away" from Columbia, but we do not feel that purchasing a $250,000+ motor home is a prudent decision, based on several factors nor has the appropriate time been spent to evaluate certain matters. Those matters that need further examination and clarification are numerous, including:

*Paying for the motor home in full with the available cash in your trust will result in an extraordinary depletion of your available liquid assets, leaving less than $80,000 in cash in your Revocable Trust.

*You mentioned having the motor home refinanced or obtaining a line of credit after you have purchased the motor home and it is paid in full. We have tried to explain to you that the motor home will significantly depreciate once titled in your name and have explained that if you want to finance the motor home at any time, that the best time to seek financing arrangements is prior to the motor home being titled in your name.

*The terms of the custody arrangement regarding the children and if you are legally allowed to take the children out of South Carolina at your leisure. Does their medical coverage extend outside South Carolina, including necessary prescriptions, etc? We have strongly suggested you seek the guidance of your adoption attorney regarding this matter.

*Additional expenses and logistics of owning the motor home including where to park/store the motor home, maintenance, upkeep, insurance, taxes, satellite subscription, travel memberships, etc

BB&T WEALTH MANAGEMENT
1901 Assembly Street, 3rd Floor (29201), P. O. Box 687, Columbia, S. C. 29202
(803) 251-1333 FAX: (803) 251-1774

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

*As Jordan reaches "school age" this year, you mentioned home schooling while traveling. We have suggested that you ensure that the program is an accredited curriculum.

*We have also suggested that you seek some tax guidance from Mark Hobbs or Jeff Nates on the impact of owning the motor home.

*We have also recommended that you title the motor home in your name since you will be using your assets to purchase the motor home.

We have suggested and strongly believe that you should put more thought into this purchase before depleting your assets in such a manner without the above items being settled. We believe that you should at least wait until you have sold your home on Devine Street so that your liquid assets will not be depleted as greatly and have confirmed the custody arrangement. I understand that ultimately this is your decision but as trustee of this trust we have strongly advised against this purchase, at this time.

Finally, as we have discussed, if you choose to move forward on this purchase, we require that you submit something to BB&T in writing expressing your intent.

Johnny Hudgens and I are available to discuss this with you at anytime.

With kindest regards,

Missy

Melissa "Missy" L. Brown
Vice President
Wealth Management

MLB/m

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 8

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

REVOCATION OF THE DEBRA BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST AGREEMENT

TO: BB&T, care of Johnny Hudgins, Trustee
P.O. Box 687
Columbia, SC 29202-0687

I, Debra Baker Brookshire, as Settlor in a Revocable Trust Agreement wherein you, as BB&T, are designated as Trustee, do hereby revoke the powers and trusts created and conferred by Settlor in that Revocable Trust Agreement, pursuant to the terms thereof.

I hereby direct you, as trustee, to turn over and deliver to me all property held by you subject to the terms and provisions of the Revocable Living Trust Agreement, together with all accumulations of interest and income.

THIS REVOCATION OF THE BROOKSHIRE REVOCABLE TRUST AGREEMENT is made this the 23 day of MAY, 2007

Debra Baker Brookshire, Settlor

Sworn to and subscribed before me this the 25 day of May, 2007
Witness my hand and official seal.

Notary Public
My commission expires: 07/10/2012

EXHIBIT 2 5/31/16 Brookshire 31

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

EXHIBIT 9

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

General Power of Attorney

I, Debi Baker Brookshire, residing at 1707 Devine Street, Columbia, SC 29201, hereby appoint Benjamin Lee Hiott of 9 Topsail Lane, Salem, SC 29676, as my Attorney-in-Fact ("Agent").

I hereby revoke any and all general powers of attorney that previously have been signed by me. However, the preceding sentence shall not have the effect of revoking any powers of attorney that are directly related to my health care that previously have been signed by me.

My Agent shall have full power and authority to act on my behalf. This power and authority shall authorize my Agent to manage and conduct all of my affairs and to exercise all of my legal rights and powers, including all rights and powers that I may acquire in the future. My Agent's powers shall include, but not be limited to, the power to:

1. Open, maintain or close bank accounts (including, but not limited to, checking accounts, savings accounts, and certificates of deposit), brokerage accounts, and other similar accounts with financial institutions.

   a. Conduct any business with any banking or financial institution with respect to any of my accounts, including, but not limited to, making deposits and withdrawals, obtaining bank statements, passbooks, drafts, money orders, warrants, and certificates or vouchers payable to me by any person, firm, corporation or political entity.

   b. Perform any act necessary to deposit, negotiate, sell or transfer any note, security, or draft of the United States of America, including U.S. Treasury Securities.

   c. Have access to any safe deposit box that I might own, including its contents.

2. Sell, exchange, buy invest, or reinvest any assets or property owned by me. Such assets or property may include income producing or non-income producing assets and property.

3. Purchase and/or maintain insurance, including life insurance upon my life or the life of any other appropriate person.

4. Take any and all legal steps necessary to collect any amount of debt owned to me, or to settle any claim, whether made against me or asserted on my behalf against any other person or entity.


5. Enter into binding contracts on my behalf.

6. Exercise all stock rights on my behalf as my proxy, including all rights with respect to stocks, bonds, debentures, or there investments.

7. Maintain and/or operate any business that I may own.

8. Employ professional and business assistance as may be appropriate, including attorneys, accountants, and real estate agents.

9. Sell, convey, lease, mortgage, manage, insure, improve, repair, or perform another act with respect to any of my property (now owned or later acquired) including, but not limited to, real estate and real estate rights (including the right to remove tenants and to recover possession). This includes the right to sell or encumber any homestead that I now own or may own in the future.



10. Prepare, sign, and file documents with any governmental body or agency, including, but not limited to, authorization to:

a. Prepare, sign and file income and other tax returns with federal, state, local, and other governmental bodies.

b. Obtain information or documents from any government or its agencies, and negotiate, comprise, or settle any matter with such government or agency (including tax matters).

c. Prepare applications, provide information, and perform any other act reasonably requested by any government or its agencies in connection with governmental benefits (including military and social security benefits).

11. Make gifts from my assets to members of my family and to such other persons or charitable organizations with whom I have an established pattern of giving. However, my Agent may not make gifts of my property to the Agent.

12. Transfer any of my assets to the trustee of any revocable trust created by me, if such trust is in existence at the time of such transfer.

13. Disclaim any interest which might otherwise be transferred or distributed to me from any other person, estate, trust, or other entity, as may be appropriate.

The Power of Attorney shall be construed broadly as a General Power of Attorney. The listing of specific powers is not intended to limit or restrict the general powers granted in this Power of Attorney in any manner.

Any power of authority granted to my Agent under this document shall be limited to the extent necessary to prevent this Power of Attorney from causing: (i) my income to be taxable to my Agent, (ii) my assets to be subject to general power of appointment by my Agent, and (iii) my Agent to have any incidents of ownership with respect to any life insurance polices that may own on the life of my Agent.

My Agent shall not be liable for any loss that results from a judgment error that was made in good faith. However, my Agent shall be liable for willful misconduct or the failure to act in good faith while acting under the authority of this Power of Attorney.

I authorize my Agent to indemnify and hold harmless any third party who accepts and acts under this document.

My Agent shall be entitled to reasonable compensation of any services provided as my Agent. My Agent shall be entitled to reimbursement for all reasonable expenses incurred in connection with this Power of Attorney.

My Agent shall provide an accounting for all funds handled and all acts performed as my Agent, if I so request or if such request is made by any authorized personal representative of fiduciary acting on my behalf.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

This Power of Attorney shall become effective immediately and shall not be affected by my disability or lack of mental competence, except as may be provided otherwise by an application state statue. This is a Durable Power of Attorney. This Power of Attorney shall continue effective until my death. This power of Attorney may be revoked by me at any time by providing a written notice to my Agent.

Dated May 25, 2007 at Branch Banking & Trust Columbia, SC

YOUR SIGNATURE:

Dillon Barr Brooks

WITNESS' SIGNATURE:

Allison L Brackett

WITNESS' SIGNATURE

Shawanna Sturghill

WITNESS' PRINTED FULL LEGAL NAME:

Allison L Brackett

WITNESS' PRINTED FULL LEGAL NAME:

Shawanna Sturghill

Acknowledgement:

STATE OF South Carolina

COUNTY OF Richland

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 25 day of May, 2007 by Lucas Askins, who is personally known to me or who was produced South Carolina Drivers License as identification.

Signature of person taking acknowledgement

Lucas Askins

Name typed, printed, or stamped

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 10

ELECTRONICALLY FILED: 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM OCONEE COMMON PLEAS CASE#2014CP3700526

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

COUNTY OF OCONEE

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE,

Plaintiff,

vs. CASE NO. 2014-CP-37-529

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, INC., and
BENJAMIN LEE HIOTT,

Defendants.

REDACTED TRANSCRIPT

DEPOSITION OF: FREDERICK D. SHEPHERD, JR.

DATE: June 27, 2016

TIME: 9:30 AM

LOCATION: Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd
One North Main Street
2nd Floor
Greenville, SC

TAKEN BY: Counsel for the Plaintiff

REPORTED BY: AMY R. COPE,
Court Reporter

A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES

Fast, Accurate & Friendly

Charleston, SC (843) 722-8414	Hilton Head, SC (843) 785-3263	Columbia, SC (803) 731-5224
Greenville, SC (864) 234-7030	Myrtle Beach, SC (843) 839-3376	Charlotte, NC (704) 573-3919

Q. Every single one of them had stock?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So 2007 to 2011, at that time Ben Hiott is senior vice president, CFO, you were the CEO. You've testified earlier that you had direct supervisory role or direct supervision over Ben Hiott, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. During this time or even prior to 2007, did you ever discuss MPS's finances with Mr. Hiott?
A. I'm sure I did, I don't know. I mean, I don't recall specifics, but I'm sure I did.
Q. Well, you testified earlier that you didn't know that Mr. Hiott had signatory power over your account. Why would you talk to Ben Hiott about it if you didn't know?
A. I don't remember the dates, but I was not aware of him signing on the signature card. I was aware of his assisting with or advising or whatever you want to call it, at the golf course. He lived near there, that was on the way home or whatever you want to call it. I knew he liked golf and I know he was out there, so I knew, but I don't know that I -- I didn't discuss as much of the golf course with him.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED: 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 11

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

COUNTY OF OCONEE

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE,

Plaintiff,

vs. CASE NO: 2014-CP-37-529

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, INC., AND BENJAMIN LEE HIOTT,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF: PEG CRAVEN

DATE: June 15, 2016

TIME: 11:03 A.M.

LOCATION: Lexington County Public Library,
Irmo Branch
6251 St. Andrews Road
Columbia, SC

TAKEN BY: Counsel for the Defendant
Community First Bank, Inc.

REPORTED BY: LORI S. MORTGE,
Certified Court
Reporter, CCR

A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES

Fast, Accurate & Friendly

Charleston, SC (843) 722-8414
Hilton Head, SC (843) 785-3263
Myrtle Beach, SC (843) 839-3376
Columbia, SC (803) 731-5224
Greenville, SC (864) 234-7030
Charlotte, NC (704) 573-3919

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700528

Q. Okay. Are you currently married?
A. Yes.
Q. And is your husband Ron?
A. Ron.
Q. What is his full name?
A. Ronald, R-O-N-A-L-D, Owens, O-W-E-N-S, Craven.
Q. Okay. What does Mr. Craven do for a living -- or did for a living?
A. He did. He retired from Pillsbury, the company, doing resets in grocery stores.
Q. When you say resets in grocery stores, what does that mean?
A. Change everything around, make you confused when you go in. If you're used to working in a store and you know where everything is, or shopping in it, it's moved -- resets.
Q. Okay. And so he worked for Pillsbury --
A. Pillsbury Company as a reset merchandiser.
Q. Okay. So was he responsible for where -- what the Pillsbury setup --
A. That --
Q. -- in various stores?
A. Yes. Sorry.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

in around 2007 and 2008?

A. I think that's the time when Debi had asked my husband to take care of her finances and we said absolutely not. You know, I have enough on my plate, because I knew I would be the one doing it. If you're a wife you're a secretary, you're an accountant -- you're everything. He knew that they knew Ben and Ben worked at the bank and Ben had been doing our income taxes, you know, when they were simple income taxes. And I think my husband maybe said, well, see, you know, Ben -- or Debi mentioned Ben's name and we either said yes, I think he's a good person to do it. He's not a CPA but he's an accountant, certified maybe -- not a certified public accountant, but he -- he didn't pass the Bar. He almost did, I think he took it or whatever it is you pass.

Q. The CPA exam?

A. The CPA exam. He didn't pass that, but he's very knowledgeable.

Q. Okay. Why would Debi be asking Ron to take over her financial affairs?

MR. GIESE: Object to the form.

BY MS. BLACK:

Q. You can answer the question.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. And I think you said that you all said no --

A. Right.

Q. -- to her request?

A. Immediately.

Q. Why did you say no to that request?

A. We just -- my husband and I are pretty -- have a lot of common sense. We don't mess with other people's money, we barely had our own at the time with home equity line and all. It's just -- it would be too much to handle. We had no -- I mean, my husband didn't do well in math in college, so...

Q. Did she offer to pay Ron anything?

A. I think she did say that I will pay you something to handle all of my business.

Q. Do you remember what that was?

A. Huh-uh.

Q. Did it just not get to that level?

A. It never got that far. He's like, oh, no, no, no.

Q. How did Ben Hiott's name come up?

A. Maybe Ron, you know, said, you want to try Ben? But I'm not sure. Since we all knew him or she asked do you think he would be trustworthy

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

(DFT. EXH. 8, Two E-mails, dated December 22 and 23, 2009, Bates Stamp Number CFB005707, was marked for identification.)

BY MS. BLACK:

Q. Ms. Craven, I'm going to hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 8 to your deposition. Is this your e-mail exchange with Mr. Hiott from December 22nd and 23rd, 2009?

A. Yes, it is.

Q. Okay. I'll give you a second to read through it.

A. (Reading.) Okay.

Q. Is it your understanding that Ben and Vickie Hiott drove to Columbia to pick up the children and take them back up to their home for Christmas?

MR. GIESE: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I can't remember other than what I'm reading here.

BY MS. BLACK:

Q. Okay. Why did the children spend Christmas with the Hiotts?

MR. GIESE: Object.

THE WITNESS: I think they just offered.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

get paid for it.

(DFT. EXH. 11, Two E-mails, dated March 16, 2010, Bates Stamp Number CFB005597, was marked for identification.)

BY MS. BLACK:

Q. Ms. Craven, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 11 to your deposition.

A. Mm-hmm.

Q. Is this another e-mail exchange, I guess from you to Ben Hiott and the Russells, on March 16th, 2010 and then I guess at the top Ben replies only to you?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Take a second to read through that.

A. (Reading.) Okay.

Q. Did you have any -- we can see the e-mail exchange. Did you have any phone conversations with Mr. Hiott at this time frame about what was going on with Debi and Dan and the children?

A. Probably not.

Q. Okay. Mostly just an e-mail --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- conversation?

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

to this but that you took the kids out to Terry's house?

A. Mm-hmm.

Q. Is that just the one time that you did that?

A. I think it was once.

Q. Okay.

A. It's hard for me to recall, but I think it was one time.

Q. Okay. How did the children do at the Russells?

A. I think they did well. I mean, even at my house Jordan was good, you know. And with us taking the pizza there and him playing and all that he got along fine.

(DFT. EXH. 12, E-mail, dated March 22, 2010, Bates Stamp Number CFB004757, was marked for identification.)

BY MS. BLACK:

Q. I'm going to hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 21 --

THE COURT REPORTER: 12.

BY MS. BLACK:

Q. 12, sorry. Getting mixed up here, thank you. Is this your e-mail exchange with Mr. Hiott

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

and I guess also with the Russells?

A. I think it's from the Russell Ranch --

Q. Right.

A. -- to Ben and I.

Q. Right. And then I see below it says: Love you guys, Terry; and then it looks like --

A. They might have added.

Q. Or that yours came first, perhaps, and that -- and Mr. Russell was replying to you. That is the way I read it, but tell me if you see it --

A. That's true.

Q. -- differently. So is that the e-mail exchange of March 22nd, 2010?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. I'll give you a chance to read through it.

A. (Reading.) Okay.

Q. In your e-mail in the first paragraph you say: Hi Ben, all went well with Jordan and Macaw visiting with the Russells. Ron talked with Debi on the phone and he said she sounded bad and did not want anyone coming to her house to see her in that shape.

Do you recall what that was all about?

A. Maybe. Sounds like she was drinking. I

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. Okay. We make it too easy for her?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me what you meant by we make it too easy for her?
A. Probably we just helped her so much with picking kids up and taxiing them out there and picking them up and bringing them here.
Q. Okay.
A. Just made her life easy.
MS. BLACK: Okay.
(DFT. EXH. 14, E-mail, dated April 5, 2010, Bates Stamp Number CFB005754, was marked for identification.)
BY MS. BLACK:
Q. Ms. Craven, I'm handing you what has been marked Exhibit 14 to your deposition.
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. And I will tell you that I think that very top e-mail you were not on; that's a Ben Hiott and Russells e-mail. At the very top of the page.
A. Yes.
Q. But I think you are on either as the author or recipient of the e-mails below.
A. Yes.
Q. Probably we should have taken that out

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

but, anyway. Can you -- are the two e-mails, not the top e-mail but the others, your e-mail chain with the Russells and Mr. Hiott in early April -- April 5th or so of 2010?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. I'll give you a chance to read through, and then I'll have a couple of questions about your e-mail that's kind of at the bottom.

A. Okay.

Q. Well, the second half of the page.

A. (Reading.) Okay.

Q. Again, I'm kind of in the middle of the page here, but do you see the paragraph that begins -- in your e-mail that begins: How's it going with both kids?

A. Yes.

Q. On the fifth page -- fifth line, excuse me, of that e-mail you write the phrase: For her being a mindless addict.

A. Yes, I see that --

Q. Do you see that? Can you tell me what you meant by that?

MR. GIESE: I object.

THE WITNESS: Probably with her drinking and I think the doctor had her on medications. That

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 12

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

To: Baker and Baker Real Estate Developers
Attn: Vickie L. Schermbeck
1400 Pickens Street, 5th floor
Columbia, SC 29201

Dear Ms. Schermbeck:

I appreciate you mailing me the package of the annual meeting that was held on May 31, 2007 and the accompanying letter. I regret I was not allowed at the meeting but the information is helpful.

So that there are no misunderstandings of Mrs. Brookshire's intention we have both signed this statement asking that you forward her distributions in the form of a check to her residence at the address you have in your letter until you receive instructions from her when or if a change will be made by her.

Thanking you in advance for your help in this matter.

Respectfully,

Benjamin Lee Hiott
Power of Attorney

Debra Baker Brookshire

Debra Baker Brookshire

cc: Baker & Baker w/ letter
BB&T Trust Co. Wealth Mgt. 2571771
Mary Brown
Ben L. Hiott w/ copy of Power of Attorney

EXHIBIT
324
Brookshire

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 13

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK

June 14, 2007

BB&T Wealth Management
Attn: Ms. Missy Brown
1901 Assembly Street
Columbia, SC 29201

Originally transmitted via facsimile at 803-251-1332

Missy:

Please send Mrs. Brookshire's cash account via fedline wire transfer to:

Community First Bank
449 Highway 123 ByPass
Seneca, SC 29678
ABA routing number: 053202130

For further credit to:

Mrs. Debra Baker Brookshire
1707 Devine Street
Columbia, SC 29205
Account: [redacted]1950
ATTN: Ben Hiott

Respectfully,

Benjamin L. Hiott
Power of Attorney

Debra Baker Brookshire
Debra Baker Brookshire

Postal Box 1697
Walhalla, SC 29691-1697
(864) 638-2105

Postal Box 459
Seneca, SC 29679
(864) 882-2575

Postal Box 5106
Anderson, SC 29623
(864) 222-2440

Postal Box 247
Williamston, SC 29697-0247
(864) 847-5109

Postal Box 1059
Westminster, SC 29693
(864) 647-9554

MEMBER F.D.I.C

EXHIBIT 26 Brookshire 3/31/16



June 14, 2007

BB&T Wealth Management
Attn: Ms. Missy Brown
1901 Assembly Street
Columbia, SC 29201

Originally transmitted via facsimile at 803-251-1332

Missy:

Please send Mrs. Brookshire's cash account via fedline wire transfer to:

Community First Bank
449 Highway 123 ByPass
Seneca, SC 29678
ABA routing number: 053202130

For further credit to:

Mrs. Debra Baker Brookshire
1707 Devine Street
Columbia, SC 29205
Account: [redacted]1950
ATTN: Ben Hiott

Respectfully,

Benjamin L. Hiott
Power of Attorney

Debra Baker Brookshire

Postal Box 1897
Walhalla, SC 29691-1897
(864) 638-2165

Postal Box 459
Seneca, SC 29679
(864) 882-2575

Postal Box 5106
Anderson, SC 29623
(864) 221-2440

Postal Box 247
Williamston, SC 29697-0247
(864) 847-5109

Postal Box 1050
Westminster, SC 29693
(864) 647-9554


MEMBER F.D.I.C

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526


ELECTRONICALLY FILED: 2016 Dec 09, 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 14


ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526




DDA Debits - 04/21/2008



DDA Debits - 04/21/2008




ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526



Community First Bank
OFFICIAL CHECK

CREDIT MEMO


DDA Credits - 04/16/2008



DDA Credits - 04/16/2008


DDA/DDL — DEBIT TRANSACTION FORM

DDA Debits - 04/16/2008



DDA Debits - 04/16/2008

Giese-CFB 0101

Community First Bank
OFFICIAL CHECK

GENERAL LEDGER FEE

Item Number:		70004512		Amt:	$ 57,000.00	Fee:	$ 0.00
Date:	04/15/2008	Time:	15:03	Loc:	07	Oper:	01-BENH
Purch:	TAX [redacted]	BROOKSHIRE		Payee:	SC DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE		

⑈70004512⑈ ⑆053202130⑆ 3412000?⑈ 462

Community First Bank
OFFICIAL CHECK

CUSTOMER COPY

Item Number:		70004512		Amt:	$ 57,000.00	Fee:	$ 0.00
Date:	04/15/2008	Time:	15:03	Loc:	07	Oper:	01-BENH
Purch:	TAX [redacted]	BROOKSHIRE		Payee:	SC DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE		

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Community First Bank
OFFICIAL CHECK

GENERAL LEDGER FEE

Item Number:	70004513			Amt:	$ 336,329.00	Fee:	$ 0.00
Date:	04/15/2008	Time:	15:03	Loc:	07	Oper:	01-BRNH
Purch:	BROOKSHIRE [redacted]			Payee:	IRS		

⑈70004513⑈ ⑆053202130⑆ 31200078 462

Community First Bank
OFFICIAL CHECK

CUSTOMER COPY

Item Number:	70004513			Amt:	$ 336,329.00	Fee:	$ 0.00
Date:	04/15/2008	Time:	15:03	Loc:	07	Oper:	01-BRNH
Purch:	BROOKSHIRE [redacted]			Payee:	IRS		

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

1030

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
South Carolina Individual Income Tax Return

SC4868
(Rev 9/18/07)
3505

File Electronically www.sctax.org

File a South Carolina extension through SCnetFile. Zero and balance due extensions are accepted. Pay any balance due by credit card or Electronic Funds Withdrawal (EFW). We accept Visa and MasterCard. There is no additional charge for paying your balance due by credit card.

If you pay your balance due by Electronic Funds Withdrawal (EFW), funds are automatically withdrawn from your checking or savings account based on the payment date you select. EFW is also a FREE service to taxpayers.

Who May File:
Use SC4868 to request an extension of time to file SC1040 Individual Income Tax return for:
(1) individual income taxpayers, or
(2) nonresident shareholders of an S corporation, or
(3) nonresident partners of a partnership or LLC.

When to File:
File this request ON OR BEFORE April 15th, or before the original due date of your fiscal year return. If the due date for filing your return falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, substitute the next regular working day. This extension will allow you an additional six months to file your return.

If You Filed a Federal Extension:
If no income tax is anticipated to be due and you have been granted a federal extension of time to file a federal income tax return, the department will accept a copy of the federal extension. In this case, you do not need to send SC a copy of the federal form by the due date of the tax return.

How To File:
File your extension and pay your balance due on-line at www.sctax.org. Or file a paper form SC4868. Mail the original with payment if any. Keep two copies; one copy should be attached to the back of your return when it is filed, and the other should be retained for your records.

This extension cannot be processed without proper Social Security Number(s) for individuals or Employer Identification Number for S corporations or partnerships.

SC2012 10/27/07

State of South Carolina
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
Request for Extension of Time to File
South Carolina Individual Income Tax Return

SC4868
(Rev 9/18/07)
3505
Year 20

Or other fiscal year beginning ____ and ending ____

1 Your Name (Type or Print) DEBRA B BROOKSHIRE	3 Your Social Security number/EIN [redacted]
Spouse Name	Spouse's Social Security number
2 Home Address 1707 DEVINE STREET	4 New Filer or Change of Address, check here ☐ 5 Composite Filer, check here ☐
City, State and Zip Code COLUMBIA SC 29205	Do not write in this space — OFFICE USE
Make check or money order payable to: SC DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE Mail to: SC DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE INCOME TAX COLUMBIA SC 29214-0013	6 Balance Due from Worksheet ▶ 6 57,000

14-0801

35061027

CFB000948

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Complete the following worksheet to calculate the amount to be paid with this form if any.
Tax Computation Worksheet (Keep for your records.)

A	Total state income tax	A	$ 100,862.
B	Use Tax (Tax on Out-of-State Purchases)	B	$
C	Total Tax (Add lines A and B)	C	$ 100,862.
D	South Carolina income tax withheld	D	$
E	Payments on Declaration of Estimated Tax (including last year's overpayment transferred)	E	$ 38,916.
F	Tax credits	F	$ 4,946.
G	Total credits (add lines D, E, F)	G	$ 43,862.
H	Balance Due (subtract line G from line C). Enter this amount on Line 6 of the SC4868	H	$ 57,000.

SC4868 1607V07

35062025

D-410 (50)
10-10-06

Application for Extension for Filing Individual Income Tax Return

North Carolina Department of Revenue

Instructions

Purpose — Use Form D-410 to ask for 6 more months to file the North Carolina Individual Income Tax Return, Form D-400.

Even if you do not expect to owe additional tax, you must still apply for an extension and file the return by the extended due date for the return to be considered timely filed. You do not have to explain why you are asking for the extension. You do not have to attach this form to your return.

You cannot use this form to apply for an extension of time to file Form D-409, Application for Tax Credit for Qualified Business Investments. To apply for an extension of time to file Form D-409, you must submit a written statement by April 15 requesting additional time. However, the date for filing Form D-409 cannot be extended beyond September 15.

To receive the extra time you MUST:

1. Properly estimate your tax liability using the information available to you, and enter that amount on Line 1 of Form D-410.
2. File Form D-410 by the regular due date of your tax return. You are not required to send a payment of the tax you estimate as due. However, because an extension of time to file the return does not extend the time for paying the tax, it will benefit you to pay as much as you can.

If you already had 4 extra months to file because you were out of the country (explained below) when your return was due, then use this form to ask for an additional 2 months to file.

Filing Your Tax Return — You may file the income tax return at any time before the extended due date. But remember, Form D-410 does not extend the time to pay the tax. If you do not pay the amount due by the original due date, you will owe interest. You may also be charged penalties.

Interest — You will owe interest on tax not paid by the original due date of the return. Even if you had a good reason not to pay on time, you will still owe interest.

Late Payment Penalty — The late payment penalty is 10 percent (minimum $5) of the tax not paid by the due date of the return. The penalty will apply on any remaining balance due if the tax paid by the original due date of the return is less than 90 percent of the total amount of tax due. If the 90 percent rule is met, any remaining balance due, including interest, must be paid with the income tax return on or before the expiration of the extension period to avoid the late payment penalty.

Late Filing Penalty — A penalty is usually charged if your return is filed after the due date (including extensions). It is 5 percent of the tax not paid by the due date for each month, or part of a month, that your return is late (minimum $5, maximum 25 percent).

If you do not file the application for extension by the original due date of the return, you are subject to both the 5 percent per month late filing penalty and the 10 percent late payment penalty on the remaining tax due.

How To Claim Credit For Payments Made With This Form - When you file your return, include the amount paid with this extension on Line 20b of Form D-400. If you and your spouse each file a separate Form D-410, but file a joint return, enter the total paid with the two Forms D-410 on Line 20b of your return.

If you and your spouse jointly filed Form D-410, but file separate returns, you may enter the total amount paid with Form D-410 on either of your separate returns. Or, you and your spouse may divide the payment in any agreed amounts. Be sure each separate return has the social security numbers of both spouses.

Specific Instructions

Line 1 — Enter on this line the amount you expect to enter as Line 14 of Form D-400. If you do not expect to owe tax, enter zero.

Line 2 — Enter on this line any North Carolina income tax withheld, estimated tax payments (including any overpayment applied from the previous year), and any other payments and credits you expect to claim on your return.

Out of the Country — If you were a U.S. citizen or resident and were out of the country on the due date of your return, you are granted an automatic 4-month extension to file your return. You do not have to file this form on April 15. Instead, mark the 'Out of the Country' box on page 1 of Form D-400 to indicate you were out of the country on April 15. If you need an additional two months to file your return, select 'yes' for the 'Out of country on due date' indicator located on this form and file the form on or before August 15. For this purpose, 'Out of the Country' means either (1) you live outside the United States and Puerto Rico, AND your main place of work is outside the United States and Puerto Rico, or (2) you are in military or naval service outside the United States and Puerto Rico.

Important: Do not use this form to request extensions of time for filing partnership, estate, trust, gift, corporate income, or franchise tax returns.

Cut Here

D-410 (50)
8-26-02

Application for Extension for Filing Individual Income Tax Return

North Carolina Department of Revenue

[redacted]

Calendar year 2007 or tax year starting

DEBRA B BROOKSHIRE

Out of country on due date? N and ending

1707 DEVINE STREET

COLUMBIA SC 29205

1	Tax Liability for Year	3667.00
2	Payments for Year	7024.00
3	Balance Due $	0.00

7130180104

Mail to: NCDOR, P.O. Box 25000, Raleigh, NC 27640-0635

20079 [redacted] 6 0000000 06491

NCA4101 1008605

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

CFB000950

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

WHERE TO FILE YOUR EXTENSION
MAIL FORM 4868 (WITH PAYMENT IF APPLICABLE) TO THE ADDRESS LISTED BELOW

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE CENTER
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
ATLANTA GA 39901

▲ Detach Here ▲

Form **4868** — Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service

Application for Automatic Extension of Time To File U.S. Individual Income Tax Return

For calendar year 2007, or other tax year beginning ____, 2007, ending ____

1020 FDMA4501 GBTLSKD

2007

Part I Identification

1

DEBRA B BROOKSHIRE
1707 DEVINE STREET
COLUMBIA SC 29205

2 [redacted] 3

Part II Individual Income Tax

4	Estimate of total tax liability for 2007	$ 446,041.
5	Total 2007 payments	109,712.
6	Balance due. Subtract line 5 from line 4 (see instructions)	336,329.
7	Amount you are paying (see instructions) ▶	
8	Check here if you are 'out of the country' and a U.S. citizen or resident (see instructions) ▶ ☐	
9	Check here if you file Form 1040NR or 1040NR-EZ and did not receive wages as an employee subject to U.S. income tax withholding ▶ ☐	

[redacted] H0 BR00 3D 0 200712 670

CFB000951

Two-Year Comparison 2007

Name(s) Shown on Return	Social Security Number
Debra B Brookshire	

Income	2006	2007	Difference	%
Wages, salaries, tips, etc				
Interest and dividend income	52,072.	29,674.	-22,398.	-43.01
State tax refund	105.	24,426.	24,321.	999.00
Business income (loss)				
Capital and other gains (losses)	132,072.	1,431,039.	1,298,967.	983.53
IRA distributions				
Pensions and annuities				
Rents and royalties				
Partnerships, Scorps, etc	604,996.	622,437.	17,441.	2.88
Farm income (loss)				
Social security benefits				
Income other than the above				
Total Income	789,245.	2,107,576.	1,318,331.	167.04
Adjustments to Income				
Adjusted Gross Income	789,245.	2,107,576.	1,318,331.	167.04
Itemized Deductions				
Medical and dental				
Income or sales tax	116,037.	51,763.	-64,274.	-55.39
Real estate taxes	9,479.	0.	-9,479.	-100.00
Personal property and other taxes	1,644.		-1,644.	-100.00
Interest paid	18,897.		-18,897.	-100.00
Gifts to charity	9,156.	10,856.	1,700.	18.57
Casualty and theft losses				
Miscellaneous	22,355.	159.	-22,196.	-99.29
Phaseout of itemized deductions	14,280.	33,481.	19,201.	134.46
Total Itemized Deductions	163,288.	29,297.	-133,991.	-82.06
Standard or Itemized Deduction	163,288.	29,297.	-133,991.	-82.06
Exemption Amount	3,300.	3,399.	99.	3.00
Taxable Income	622,657.	2,074,880.	1,452,223.	233.23
Income tax	182,983.	447,836.	264,853.	144.74
Additional income taxes				
Alternative minimum tax	31,687.	0.	-31,687.	-100.00
Total Income Taxes	214,670.	447,836.	233,166.	108.62
Nonbusiness credits				
Business credits		1,795.	1,795.	
Total Credits		1,795.	1,795.	
Self-employment tax				
Other taxes	5,430.		-5,430.	-100.00
Total Tax After Credits	220,100.	446,041.	225,941.	102.65
Withholding				
Estimated and extension payments	281,200.	109,712.	-171,488.	-60.98
Earned income credit				
Additional child tax credit				
Other payments	50.		-50.	-100.00
Total Payments	281,250.	109,712.	-171,538.	-60.99
Form 2210 penalty	5,596.	5,444.	-152.	-2.72
Applied to next year's estimated tax				
Refund	55,554.		-55,554.	-100.00
Balance Due		341,773.	341,773.	

Current year effective tax rate ..... 21.16 %

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

2007 Payments FDI-80

Debra B Brookshire

Estimated Tax Payments for 2007

Federal		State			Local		
Amount	Date	Amount	Date	ID	Amount	Date	ID
59,712.	04/17/07		04/16/07			04/16/07	
	06/15/07		06/15/07			06/15/07	
50,000.	09/17/07	10,000.	09/17/07	SC		09/17/07	
	01/15/08		01/15/08			01/15/08	

Other Tax Payments for 2007

	Federal	State	ID	Local	ID
1 Applied to 2007		28,916.	SC		
2 K-1 estates and trusts (estimates)					
3 2007 extensions					
4 Form 1099-B					
5a Other withholding					
b Other withholding					
c Other withholding					

Tax Payments Paid in 2007 for Prior Years

(a) State or Local ID	(b) Paid with Extension	(c) Estimates Paid After 12/31/06	(d) Total Withheld/ Payments	(e) Paid with Return	(f) Total Overpayment	(g) Applied Amount
SC		10,000.	64,400.		28,916.	28,916.
NC			11,212.	504.		
GA				2,339.		
See Additional Prior Year State and Local Taxes Paid						
6 Totals	43.	10,000.	76,605.	3,081.	28,916.	28,916.

7 Other taxes paid during 2007 for prior years	State	ID	State	ID

Form 4868 Extension	
	8a Update and automatically calculate extension ☐
	b Fiscal year beginning /2007 Ending /20
	9 Amount paid with extension for individual income tax
	10 Enter an 'X' here if you are 'out of the country' and a U.S. citizen or resident ☐

Debra B Brookshire

FD1-80 Payments
Additional Prior Year State and Local Taxes Paid

(a) State or Local ID	(b) Paid With Extension	(c) Estimates Pd After 12/31	(d) Total Withheld/Pmts	(e) Paid With Return	(f) Total Overpayment	(g) Applied Amount
VA	43.		43.	1.		
NJ			950.	237.		

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 15

10/14/09


To: Ben
From: Deb B

Ben:

1) Change Benificary on the mass mutual Life Ins. (also, should we pay more towards the Policy or ADD any other Life Ins to this) such as Disability, marine Rider (business), IRA, stocks, Estate, trustee, POA, social security medicare, partnerships, or dependents or dept's, 3rd partys

2) Reinstate AARP thru wire transf of I Designs & BBT Bank
(See if you can add the ins. Protection of any risk to hold harmless so that my IRA is part of the group health for AARP

3) One the IRS question about S.S. being over shown ($10,000), this might be the $10,000 gift that I gave those (we care tutor back in (2006?) that Hubbs might have added to their paycheck & shown as payroll instead of indep contractor.



ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 16

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

9/19/08

Ben Hoff

Fax 864-8860934

From: Brookshire

New Ins

Ins by Nationwide

Trying to clean up past

Ins. ~~score~~ scores & Loss &

Casualty

TLD-84DP(C)

Please sign & make

corrections

Thanks Dos

ALEX ACOSTA

716 N

803-750-2511 F

[redacted]

772-4969

EXHIBIT 148 Brookshire 11/02/11

THE NATIONWIDE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY COMPANY
HOMEOWNERS INSURANCE APPLICATION
(NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS A VALID CONTRACT)

POLICY NUMBER: _____ EFFECTIVE DATE 9-18-08 1ST OF 2 PAGES
CONTINUOUS TERM

INSURED NAME & ADDRESS:
DEBRA BROOKSHIRE
1707 DEVINE STREET
COLUMBIA
SC 29201-0000

PHONE # 803-749-0070

INSURED DOB [redacted]

MORTGAGEE NAME & ADDRESS:
N/A

PROPERTY LOCATION: SAME AS ABOVE

POLICY TYPE: TENANT DEDUCTIBLE: $500

COVERAGE LIMITS:	DWELLING	OTHER STRUC	PERS PROP	L.O.U.	PERS LIAB	MED PAY
			$100,000	$20,000	$300,000	$1,000

DWELLING NO. OF FAMILIES 1 TENANT OCCUPIED SEASONAL N SECONDARY N

CURRENTLY OCCUPIED Y NO OF OCCUPANTS 1

CONSTR YR: 1945 CONSTRUCTION: FRAME DATE PURCH.: _____ Y APPROVED ROOF

WIRING: 60 +
NO.UNITS IN BLDG: 1 NO.UNITS BTWN FIREWALLS: 1

CENT HEAT THERMOSTAT CONTROLLED FUEL: ELEC-GAS-OIL

SUPP HEAT _____

CHIMNEY BUILT FROM GROUND OR APPROVED VENT:CEN Y SUP _ FLUE LINED:CEN Y SUP _

OTHER STRUCTURES: NONE DANGEROUS ANIMALS N

PAST LOSSES: NONE

EXPLAIN ANY 'YES' AND 'OTHER' ANSWERS: _____

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

POLICY NUMBER: _____ 2ND OF 2 PAGES

I HAVE RECEIVED AND READ A COPY OF THE "NATIONWIDE INSURANCE PRIVACY STATEMENT". BY SUBMITTING THIS APPLICATION, I AM APPLYING FOR ISSUANCE OF A POLICY OF INSURANCE AND, AT ITS EXPIRATION, FOR APPROPRIATE RENEWAL POLICIES ISSUED BY NATIONWIDE MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY AND/OR OTHER MEMBERS OF THE NATIONWIDE GROUP OF INSURANCE COMPANIES. I UNDERSTAND AND AGREE THAT ANY INFORMATION ABOUT ME THAT IS CONTAINED IN, OR THAT IS OBTAINED IN CONNECTION WITH, THIS APPLICATION OR ANY POLICY ISSUED TO ME MAY BE USED BY ANY COMPANY WITHIN THE NATIONWIDE GROUP TO ISSUE, REVIEW, AND RENEW THE INSURANCE FOR WHICH I AM APPLYING. I HEREBY DECLARE THAT THE FACTS STATED IN THE ABOVE APPLICATION ARE TRUE AND REQUEST THE COMPANY TO ISSUE THE INSURANCE AND ANY RENEWALS THEREOF IN RELIANCE THEREON.

I AGREE THAT IF MY PREMIUM REMITTANCE IS NOT HONORED BY THE BANK NO COVERAGE WILL BE BOUND. I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT MISREPRESENTATION OF INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION COULD VOID SOME OR ALL OF MY COVERAGES.

THE INSURER CAN CANCEL THIS POLICY FOR WHICH YOU ARE APPLYING WITHOUT CAUSE DURING THE FIRST 90 DAYS. THAT IS THE INSURER'S CHOICE. AFTER THE FIRST 90 DAYS, THE INSURER CAN ONLY CANCEL THIS POLICY FOR REASONS STATED IN THE POLICY.

*** REFER TO POLICY AND DECLARATIONS FOR COMPLETE COVERAGE INFORMATION ***

PREMIUM 80.00
AMT PAID 80.00
The Adams Agency Inc
SC AGENT #0024614

DATE MUST BE PERSONAL SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

DATE AGENT SIGNATURE

CFB001177

Applicant must signify with their initials that the following statements are true and accurate as indicated on the application:

	Initials
All operators and drivers who may operate the vehicle(s) identified in this application or may have access to the vehicle(s) for the purpose of operating and/or driving the vehicle(s) and all persons residing at the address listed on this application and at the garaging address(es) of said vehicle(s), have been disclosed and listed on this or another Nationwide application, with the exception of resident parents or grandparents insured with a company other than Nationwide.	X
I certify that I am the owner/lessee of the listed vehicle(s) and these vehicles are not owned or leased (fully or partially) by any other individuals, except as disclosed on this application.	X
I certify that I, any member of my household, or any operators of the vehicles listed on this application have not been convicted of an insurance related offense (not including accidents or moving violations).	X
I certify that the vehicles listed for coverage on this policy are not used for commercial use, the pick up and delivery of goods or people, which include but is not limited to pizza, mail, newspapers, taxi, debris/snow removal, for hire or fee.	X
I understand that vehicles not titled to the named insured or lessee will be excluded from coverage to the extent as allowed by the auto insurance policy.	X
All existing damage to the vehicle(s) indicated on the application has been disclosed and listed on the application.	X
The garaging address for the vehicle(s) indicated on this application is the same as the residence address listed on this application (except where noted to be different on same application).	X

Deb Baker Brooker

Deb Brooker X Date: Time: ET
Signature of Debra Brooksher

Date: Time: ET
Signature of Parent or Legal Guardian
(If Applicant is under 19 years of age)

Date: Time: ET
Signature of Albert Agar 2324814

6A38001 7/05

Policy Number 42761X669

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 17

CHECKING DATE: NOVEMBER 03, 2009

SEQUENCE:	87071800(880)
ORIG. SEQUENCE:	710000084580-000
POCKET:	1
DOCR:	C
SERIAL:	70008422
ROUTING:	053202130
ACCOUNT:	[redacted]083
TRANCODE:	12
AMOUNT:	$ 1,600.00

EXHIBIT 75 Brookshire

Community First Bank
OFFICIAL CHECK

CREDIT MEMO

Item Number:		70008422		Amt:	$ 1,600.00	Fee:	$ 0.00
Date:	10/30/2009	Time:	16:28	Loc:	07	Oper:	01-BENN
Purch:	37 PALMETTO WOOD PARKWAY NOV			Payee:	SECURITY FEDERAL BANK		

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

⑈70008422⑈ ⑆053202130⑆ [redacted]083⑈ 012

11/03/2009 710000084588 S053202130[illegible] Seneca N

Sell C.C. 1,600.00
11/03/2009 10:39:01 AM
0007 03 0072 Mast
Serial #: 70008422
ID = Manual


ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526


ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

PROCESSING DATE: NOVEMBER 04, 2009

SEQUENCE: 99000007160
ORIG. SEQUENCE: 1000000311387070
POCKET: 1
DRCR: D

SERIAL: 70008422
ROUTING: 053202130
ACCOUNT: [redacted]083
TRANCODE: 68
AMOUNT: $ 1,600.00





CFB003370



BB&B Builders/M&L Construction

Office: 803-932-0970 Fax: 803-932-0971

Facsimile Transmittal Sheet

DATE: 10-6-09

TO: ~~Ben Hiatt~~ ADD

Phone: _____ Fax: 864-886-0934

FROM: BB&B Builders / Assoc

Phone: _____ Fax: _____

Date: _____ Pages: _____

Notes: Recibl Agreement on Debbie Brookshire

250 B Columbia Ave Chapin, South Carolina 29036

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Rental Agreement

This Lease Agreement (this "Lease") is made effective as of _____ by and between LaDain Owens ("Landlord"), and Deb. Banks, Inc. ("Tenant"). The parties agrees as follows:

PREMISES: Landlord, in consideration of the lease payments provided in this Lease, leases to Tenant a residential home (the "Premises") located at:

37 Palmetto Wind Parkway, Irmo, SC 29063

TERM: The lease term will begin on Oct 1, 2009 and will terminate on Oct 1, 2010.

SECURITY DEPOSIT: Tenant shall pay to Landlord, in trust, a security deposit of ____ to be held and disbursed for Tenant damages to the Premises (if any) as provided by law. The Tenant understands according to the rules and regulations of the South Carolina Real Estate Commission and the code of laws of South Carolina, 1976, as amended, the Property Manager in Charge has the option to place security deposit funds collected by Property Manager in Charge into an interest bearing account and to retain all interest incurred in said account. Tenant agrees to and understands that the Tenant has been informed of Tenant's right to ownership of the interest but relinquishes to the Property Manager in Charge by this written agreement said right of ownership.

$500.00 Security Dep. from Aug 2008

LEASE PAYMENTS: Tenant shall pay to Landlord monthly payments of $ 1600.00 per month payable in advance of the first day of each month. Lease payments shall be made to:

Security Federal Bank

1185 Sunset Blvd - West Columbia, SC 29169

ATTN: Bill Boyle Lot 32 AP

RENEWAL TERMS: This Lease shall automatically renew for an additional period of one month per renewal term, unless either party gives written notice of the termination no later than 30 days prior to the end of the term or renewal term. The lease terms during any such renewal term shall be the same as those contained in this Lease.

HOLDOVER: If Tenant maintains possession of the Premises for any period after the termination of this Lease ("Holdover Period"), Tenant shall pay to Landlord a lease payment for the Holdover Period based on the terms of the following Lease Payments paragraph. Such holdover shall constitute a month-to-month extension of this Lease.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

LATE PAYMENTS: Tenant shall pay a late fee equal to 10.0% of the required installment payment for each payment that is not paid within 10 days after the due date for such late payment. The Landlord will begin eviction process 10 days after the due date.

NON-SUFFICIENT FUNDS: Tenant shall be charged $25.00 for each check that is returned to Landlord for lack of sufficient funds.

POSSESSION: Tenant shall yield possession of the property to Landlord on the last day of the term of this Lease, unless otherwise agreed by both parties in writing.

USE OF PREMISES: Tenant shall occupy and use the premises as a residential home.

REPAIRS: The Landlord must approve all repairs in advance. The Landlord will not be responsible for payment of repairs made without advance approval.

KEYS: The Tenant will have in possession 2 key(s) to the Premises. If all keys are not returned to the Landlord at the end of the Lease, the Tenant shall be charged $25.00.

LOCKOUT: If the Tenant becomes locked out of the Premises or loses key and must have a replacement delivered, the Tenant will be charged $35.00 upon receipt to regain entry.

ACCESS BY LANDLORD TO PREMISES: Subject to Tenant's consent (which shall not be unreasonably withheld), the Landlord shall have the right to enter the Premises to make inspections, provide necessary services, or show the unit to prospective buyers, mortgages, tenants or workers. As provided by law, in the case of an emergency, Landlord may enter the Premises without Tenant's consent.

PROPERTY INSURANCE: Landlord and Tenant shall each by responsible to maintain appropriate insurance for their respective interests in the Premises and property located on the Premises.

DANGEROUS MATERIALS: Tenant shall not keep or have on the Premises any article or thing of a dangerous, flammable, or explosive character that might substantially increase the danger of fire on the Premises, or that might be considered hazardous by a responsible insurance company, unless the prior written consent of Landlord is obtained and proof of adequate insurance protection is provided by Tenant to Landlord.

WATER INFILTRATION: This property has evidence of prior water infiltration, which is a condition deemed to increase the likelihood of mold growth. LANDLORD MAKES NO CLAIM OR WARRANTY, EXPRESSES OR IMPLIED, as to the current or future of mold in this property. Tenant agrees to assume all risks and liability for the potentially harmful effects thereof.

DEFAULTS: Tenant shall be in default of this Lease, if Tenant fails to fulfill any lease obligation or term by which Tenant is bound. Subject to any governing provisions of law,

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

to the contrary, if Tenant fails to cure any financial obligation within five days (or Landlord to Tenant), Landlord may take possession of the Premises without further notice, and without prejudicing Landlord's rights to damages. In the alternative, Landlord may elect to cure any default and the cost of such action shall be added to Tenant's financial obligations under this Lease. Tenant shall pay all costs, damages, and expenses suffered by Landlord by reason of Tenant's defaults. All sums of money or charges required to be paid by Tenant under this Lease shall be additional rent, whether or not such sums or charges are designated as 'additional rent';

UTILITIES AND SERVICES: Tenant shall be responsible for the follow utilities and services in connection with Premises:

- Electricity
- Heating and Cooling
- Telephone Service
- Cable Service

HABITABILITY: If current condition of premises changes so that, in Tenant's opinion, the habitability and rental value of the Premises are adversely affected, Tenant shall promptly provide reasonable notice to Landlord.

ASSIGNABILITY/SUBLETTING: Tenant may not assign of sublease any interest in the Premises without the prior written consent of Landlord, which shall not be unreasonably withheld.

ENTIRE AGREEMENT/AMENDMENT: This Lease Agreement contains the entire agreement of the parties and there are no other promises or conditions in any other agreement whether oral or written. This Lease may be modified or amended in writing, if the party obligated under the amendment signs the writing.

SEVERABILITY: If any portion of this Lease shall be held to be invalid or unenforceable for any reason, the remaining provisions shall continue to be valid and enforceable. If a court finds that any provision of this Lease is invalid or unenforceable, but that by limiting such provision it would become valid and enforceable, then such provision shall be deemed to be written, construed, and enforced as so limited.

CUMULATIVE RIGHTS: The rights of the parties under this Lease are cumulative, and shall not be construed as exclusive unless otherwise required by law.

GOVERNING LAW: This Lease shall be construed in accordance with the South Carolina Code of Laws Title 27 Chapter 40 and the South Carolina Landlord and Tenant Act.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

NOTICE: Notices under this Lease shall not be deemed valid unless given or served in writing and forwarded by mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

LANDLORD

Name: 38+B Builders

Address: 256 B Columbia Ave. Chapin, SC 29036

Phone: 803-932-0970

ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS: The Tenant is responsible for the maintenance of the yard – keeping the grass and shrubs trimmed and yard free of liter. The Tenant is responsible for keeping the roof free of debris.

The Tenant is responsible for keeping a clean filter in any heating or cooling unit. These must be changed at least every 2 months to maintain an efficient unit and to prevent damages.

LANDLORD:

Signature of Landlord _____ Date _____

TENANT: [signature] PLA

Signature of Tenant for DOT DRIVER BUILDING

Date 11-19-09

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 18

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Rule 1006, SCRE Summary of All Disbursements from Account (Chronological Order)
(Exhibit 18 to Community First's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Date	Debit	Recipient of Disbursement	Disputed/Not Disputed	Sources
2	7/12/2007	$500,000.00	Crosby	Disputed	CFB002563, CFB002565-2567
3	8/14/2007	$ 20,000.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002568, CFB002570-2572
4	8/14/2007	$25,000.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002568, CFB002570, CFB002573
5	9/19/2007	$50,000.00	Taxes (IRS)	Not Disputed	CFB002574, CFB002578-2579
6	9/19/2007	$10,000.00	Taxes (South Carolina)	Not Disputed	CFB002574,CFB002576-2577
7	10/4/2007	$36,626.00	Car (Jim Hudson)	Not Disputed	CFB002580, CFB002582-2583
8	10/10/2007	$4,587.29	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002580, CFB002584, CFB002589-2590
9	10/10/2007	$4,460.22	Taxes (South Carolina)	Not Disputed	CFB002580, CFB002584, CFB002588
10	10/10/2007	$349.39	Taxes (Virginia)	Not Disputed	CFB002580, CFB002584-2587
11	10/15/2007	$1,038.50	Gilbert Jean	Not Disputed	CFB002580, CFB002591, CFB002596
12	10/15/2007	$2,339.00	Taxes (Georgia)	Not Disputed	CFB002580, CFB002591, CFB002597
13	10/15/2007	$237.00	Taxes (New Jersey)	Not Disputed	CFB002580, CFB002591, CFB002593
14	10/15/2007	$504.00	Taxes (North Carolina)	Not Disputed	CFB002580, CFB002591, CFB002594-2595
15	10/15/2007	$1.00	Taxes (Virginia)	Not Disputed	CFB002580, CFB002591-2592
16	10/18/2007	$15,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002580, CFB002598-2601
17	10/24/2007	$67,337.00	Stock (Ferris Baker Watts)	Disputed	CFB002580, CFB002602-2603
18	10/25/2007	$1,197.91	Insurance (Mass Mutual)	Disputed	CFB002580, CFB002604-2605
19	10/31/2007	$50,000.00	Hiott (Upstate Real Estate)	Disputed	CFB002580, CFB002606-2608
20	11/9/2007	$2,500.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB002613, CFB002620
21	11/14/2007	$1,147.61	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002613, CFB002621, CFB002626
22	11/14/2007	$11,235.51	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002613,CFB002621-2623
23	11/14/2007	$15,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002613,CFB002621, CFB002624-2625
24	11/14/2007	$2,500.00	Hiott (Hilltop, Inc.)	Disputed	CFB002613, CFB002615-2616

Rule 1006, SCRE Summary of All Disbursements from Account (Chronological Order)
(Exhibit 18 to Community First's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment

	A	B	C	D	E
1	**Date**	**Debit**	**Recipient of Disbursement**	**Disputed/Not Disputed**	**Sources**
25	11/19/2007	$748.48	Taxes (Georgia)	Not Disputed	CFB002613, CFB002627-2628
26	11/19/2007	$888.68	Litton Loan Servicing	Disputed	CFB002613, CFB002627, CFB002629-2630
27	11/28/2007	$200,000.00	Crosby	Disputed	CFB002613, CFB002631-2634
28	12/12/2007	$1,146.98	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002635, CFB002637-2638
29	12/17/2007	$173.02	Taxes (South Carolina)	Not Disputed	CFB002635, CFB002639-2641
30	12/18/2007	$40,000.00	Taxes (IRS)	Not Disputed	CFB002635, CFB002642-2644
31	12/31/2007	$310,510.75	CFB (Transaction Reversed 1/7/08)	Disputed	CFB002635, CFB002645
32	1/14/2008	$20,000.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002646, CFB002648-2650
33	1/16/2008	$850.00	Accountant (Hobbs)	Not Disputed	CFB002646, CFB002651-2652
34	1/16/2008	$1,525.00	Accountant (Hobbs)	Not Disputed	CFB002646, CFB002651, CFB002653
35	1/17/2008	$5,000.00	Hiott (Marc Hiott)	Disputed	CFB002646, CFB002654-2659
36	1/23/2008	$4,275.16	Hiott (Sue Hiott)	Disputed	CFB002646, CFB002661-2663
37	2/7/2008	$1,345.00	Bridgepoint	Not Disputed	CFB002664, CFB002666-2667
38	2/7/2008	$5,000.00	Gilbert Jean	Not Disputed	CFB002664, CFB002666, CFB002668-2670
39	2/13/2008	$23,608.75	Ridge Clearing & Outsourcing Solutions	Disputed	CFB002664, CFB002671-2672
40	2/25/2008	$75.00	CFB (Deposited Item Returned)	Disputed	CFB002664, CFB002673-2674, CFB002684
41	2/26/2008	$1,156.01	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002664, CFB002675, CFB002677
42	2/26/2008	$37.22	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002664, CFB002675-2676
43	2/29/2008	$4.00	CFB (Service Charge)	Disputed	CFB002664
44	2/29/2008	$8,500.00	Hiott (Marc Hiott)	Disputed	CFB002664, CFB002678-2679
45	3/3/2008	$8,000.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB002685, CFB002687-2688
46	3/13/2008	$2,224.00	Stock (Account No. 1222001)	Disputed	CFB002685, CFB002689
47	3/13/2008	$16,000.00	Stock (William Steele)	Disputed	CFB002685, CFB002689-2695
48	3/27/2008	$14,686.31	Crosby	Disputed	CFB002685, CFB002698
49	3/31/2008	$20,000.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002685, CFB002699, CFB002701-2703
50	3/31/2008	$550.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB002685, CFB002699-2700

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Rule 1006, SCRE Summary of All Disbursements from Account (Chronological Order)
(Exhibit 18 to Community First's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Date	Debit	Recipient of Disbursement	Disputed/Not Disputed	Sources
51	4/2/2008	$1,146.98	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002704, CFB002706-2707
52	4/10/2008	$15,000.00	Terry Russell	Disputed	CFB002704, CFB002708-2710
53	4/16/2008	$336,329.00	Taxes (IRS)	Not Disputed	CFB002704, CFB002714-2715
54	4/16/2008	$57,000.00	Taxes (South Carolina)	Not Disputed	CFB002704, CFB002711-2714
55	4/25/2008	$7,922.00	Stock (R.T. Harris, III)	Disputed	CFB002704, CFB002716-2717
56	4/29/2008	$1,146.98	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002704, CFB002718-2720
57	4/29/2008	$29,372.62	Crosby	Disputed	CFB002704, CFB002721
58	5/5/2008	$150,000.00	Crosby	Disputed	CFB00002722, CFB002724, CFB002726-2727
59	5/5/2008	$7,922.00	Stock (Amanda Harris)	Disputed	CFB00002722, CFB002724-2725
60	5/12/2008	$10,000.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB00002722, CFB002728-2729
61	5/23/2008	$235.35	BB&T	Disputed	CFB00002722, CFB002730-2732
62	6/4/2008	$1,146.98	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002733, CFB002735, CFB002736,
63	6/5/2008	$165.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002733, CFB002737
64	6/5/2008	$1,005.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002733, CFB002737
65	6/10/2008	$10,000.00	Taxes (South Carolina)	Not Disputed	CFB002733, CFB002738-2739, CFB002741-2744
66	6/16/2008	$35,000.00	Taxes (IRS)	Not Disputed	CFB002733, CFB002745-2747
67	6/16/2008	$10,000.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002733, CFB002742-2744
68	6/23/2008	$3,000.00	Gilbert Jean	Not Disputed	CFB002733, CFB002748-2750
69	6/25/2008	$1,146.98	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002733, CFB002751, CFB002753
70	6/25/2008	$945.42	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002733, CFB002751-2752
71	7/3/2008	$10,000.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002754, CFB002756-2757
72	7/11/2008	$124.95	Bills (U-Haul)	Not Disputed	CFB002754, CFB002758-2759
73	7/16/2008	$10,000.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002754, CFB002760-2763
74	7/22/2008	$134.95	Bills (U-Haul)	Not Disputed	CFB002754, CFB002764-2765
75	7/22/2008	$12,000.00	Crosby	Disputed	CFB002754, CFB002768
76	7/22/2008	$756.00	Stock (Betty Padgett)	Disputed	CFB002754, CFB002764, CFB002766-2767
77	7/25/2008	$1,146.98	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002754, CFB002769-2771

Rule 1006, SCRE Summary of All Disbursements from Account (Chronological Order)
(Exhibit 18 to Community First's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Date	Debit	Recipient of Disbursement	Disputed/Not Disputed	Sources
78	7/25/2008	$4,100.00	Bills (Rent)	Not Disputed	CFB002754, CDB002772-2774
79	7/28/2008	$10,000.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002754, CFB002775-2776
80	7/30/2008	$888.68	Litton Loan Servicing	Disputed	CFB002754, CFB002777-2778
81	8/1/2008	$15,000.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002779, CFB002781-2788
82	8/22/2008	$5,000.00	Attorney (Robert Jackson)	Not Disputed	CFB00002779, CFB002790-2792
83	8/22/2008	$15,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00002779, CFB002789, CFB002793
84	8/25/2008	$15,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00002779, CFB002795-2798
85	8/26/2008	$15,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00002779, CFB002799-2800, CFB002811
86	8/26/2008	$11,600.29	Stock (Robert McCorkle, Ben Wilson)	Disputed	CFB002779, CFB002799, CFB002801, CFB002809
87	8/28/2008	$14,686.31	Crosby	Disputed	CFB002779, CFB002812
88	8/29/2008	$65,000.00	Crosby	Disputed	CFB002779, CFB002813-2817
89	9/8/2008	$10,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002818, CFB002822-2825
90	9/8/2008	$8,052.25	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002818, CFB002820-2821
91	9/15/2008	$3,200.00	Bills (Rent)	Not Disputed	CFB002818, CFB002826-2828
92	9/16/2008	$10,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002818, CFB0028423-2846
93	9/16/2008	$2,103.36	Crosby	Disputed	CFB002818, CFB002829--2833
94	9/16/2008	$12,528.79	Crosby	Disputed	CFB002818, CFB002829, CFB002839-2842
95	9/17/2008	$15,000.00	Crosby	Disputed	CFB002818, CFB002847-2851
96	9/22/2008	$1,532.10	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002818, CFB002853-2854
97	9/22/2008	$5,426.23	BB&T	Disputed	CFB002818, CFB002853, CFB002855
98	9/22/2008	$1,000.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB002818, CFB002852
99	9/23/2008	$10,000.00	Dan Brookshire	Not Disputed	CFB002818, CFB002856-2858
100	9/29/2008	$445.00	Bills (Richland County Traffic Tickets)	Not Disputed	CFB002818, CFB002859--2861
101	9/30/2008	$15,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002818, CFB002847-2851
102	9/30/2008	$30,195.00	Stock (Larry Brandt)	Disputed	CFB002818, CFB002862-2863
103	10/3/2008	$139.70	Insurance (Nationwide)	Disputed	CFB002867, CFB002869
104	10/3/2008	$496.00	Insurance (Nationwide)	Disputed	CFB002867, CFB002869

Rule 1006, SCRE Summary of All Disbursements from Account (Chronological Order)
(Exhibit 18 to Community First's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Date	Debit	Recipient of Disbursement	Disputed/Not Disputed	Sources
105	10/3/2008	$512.00	Insurance (Nationwide)	Disputed	CFB002867, CFB002869
106	10/3/2008	$901.90	Insurance (Nationwide)	Disputed	CFB002867, CFB002869
107	10/8/2008	$450.00	Accountant (Hobbs)	Not Disputed	CFB002867, CFB002870, CFB 002874
108	10/8/2008	$1,377.98	Bills (Smith Dray Line)	Not Disputed	CFB002867, CFB002870, CFB002875
109	10/8/2008	$380.00	Taxes (Georgia)	Not Disputed based on presumed year dating error in discovery	CFB002867, CFB002870, CFB002873
110	10/8/2008	$374.00	Taxes (New Jersey)	Not Disputed	CFB002867, CFB002870, CFB002872
111	10/8/2008	$302.00	Taxes (North Carolina)	Not Disputed	CFB002867, CFB002870, CFB002871
112	10/10/2008	$20,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002867, CFB002876-2880
113	10/14/2008	$4,632.76	Taxes (Richland County)	Not Disputed	CFB002867, CFB002881-2882
114	10/16/2008	$3,500.00	Dan Brookshire	Disputed	CFB002868, CFB002883-2884
115	10/21/2008	$2,500.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB002868, CFB002886
116	10/24/2008	$842.61	Taxes (Richland County)	Not Disputed	CFB002868, CFB002890-2891
117	10/24/2008	$3,500.00	Dan Brookshire	Disputed	CFB002868, CFB002885, CFB002887-2889
118	10/28/2008	$15,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002868, CFB002892-2896
119	11/1/2008	$85,000.00	James McCoy	Disputed	CFB002897, CFB002899-2900
120	11/3/2008	$27,708.15	Dan Brookshire	Not Disputed	CFB002897, CFB002902, CFB002904
121	11/3/2008	$16,560.00	Crosby	Disputed	CFB002897, CFB002903, CFB002905
122	11/5/2008	$3,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002897, CFB002906, CFB002908-2910
123	11/5/2008	$167.98	Bills (SCE&G)	Not Disputed	CFB002897, CFB002906-2907
124	11/6/2008	$46.99	Bills (ADT)	Not Disputed	CFB002897, CFB002911-2912
125	11/6/2008	$3,200.00	Bills (Rent)	Not Disputed	CFB002897, CFB002913-2915
126	11/21/2008	$10,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002897, CFB002916-2920

Rule 1006, SCRE Summary of All Disbursements from Account (Chronological Order)
(Exhibit 18 to Community First's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment)

	A	B	C	D	E
1	**Date**	**Debit**	**Recipient of Disbursement**	**Disputed/Not Disputed**	**Sources**
127	11/21/2008	$27,573.00	Stock (William Zearley)	Disputed	CFB002897, CFB002921-2922
128	12/3/2008	$7,500.00	CFB (Transaction Reversed 12/8/08)	Disputed	CFB002923, CFB002925
129	12/5/2008	$10,000.00	Blue Ridge Bank of Walhalla	Disputed	CFB002923, CFB002926-2928
130	12/17/2008	$21,500.00	Crosby	Disputed	CFB002923, CFB002932-2933
131	12/17/2008	$16,500.00	Terry Russell	Disputed	CFB002923, CFB002929-2931
132	12/18/2008	$15,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002923, CFB002934-2936
133	12/26/2008	$40,200.00	Stock (Ables)	Disputed	CFB002923, CFB002937-2938
134	1/8/2009	$17,000.00	Terry Russell	Disputed	CFB002939, CFB002941-2943
135	1/15/2009	$5,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002939, CFB002947-2949
136	1/15/2009	$7,500.00	Terry Russell	Disputed	CFB002939, CFB002944-2946
137	1/16/2009	$10,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002939, CFB002956-2958
138	1/16/2009	$2,221.11	Bills (Rent, BB&T, SCE&G, ADT)	Not Disputed	CFB002939, CFB002952-2953
139	1/16/2009	$449.76	Bills (Smith Dray Line)	Not Disputed	CFB002939, CFB002950-2951
140	1/20/2009	$12,000.00	Terry Russell	Disputed	CFB002939, CFB002959-2961
141	1/23/2009	$663.02	Toyota	Disputed	CFB002939, CFB002962
142	1/26/2009	$552.97	Bills (ADT, BB&T, City of Columbia)	Not Disputed	CFB002939, CFB002963, CFB002965
143	1/27/2009	$3,000.00	Gilbert Jean	Not Disputed	CFB002939, CFB002966-2968
144	1/29/2009	$7,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002939, CFB002971-2973
145	1/29/2009	$2,000.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB002939, CFB002969-2970
146	2/9/2009	$2,383.07	Bills (Rent, SCE&G, Daycare, ADT)	Not Disputed	CFB002974, CFB002976, CFB002979
147	2/11/2009	$7,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002974, CFB002980-2982
148	2/19/2009	$10,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002974, CFB002983-2986
149	2/25/2009	$7,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB002974, CFB002987-2991
150	3/16/2009	$4,925.91	Bills (Daycare, Lexus, BB&T, SCE&G, City of Columbia, ADT, Rent)	Not Disputed	CFB00002992, CFB002995-2996
151	3/18/2009	$1,319.06	Toyota	Disputed	CFB002992, CFB003011
152	3/30/2009	$5,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00002992, CFB003015-3017
153	3/30/2009	$2,500.00	Dan Brookshire	Not Disputed	CFB00002992, CFB003012-3014
154	4/6/2009	$5,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00003018, CFB003020-3022
155	4/8/2009	$10,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00003018, CFB003023-3025

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Rule 1006, SCRE Summary of All Disbursements from Account (Chronological Order)
(Exhibit 18 to Community First's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment

	A	B	C	D	E
1	**Date**	**Debit**	**Recipient of Disbursement**	**Disputed/Not Disputed**	**Sources**
156	4/15/2009	$5,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00003018, CFB003026
157	4/24/2009	$3,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00003018, CFB003031-3037
158	4/28/2009	$133.92	Bills (ADT, Time Warner, City of Columbia)	Not Disputed	CFB00003018, CFB003038-3039
159	4/28/2009	$5,847.00	Taxes (IRS, North Carolina, New Jersey, Georgia)	Not Disputed	CFB00003018, CFB003038, CFB003049
160	4/28/2009	$4,082.73	Taxes (Richland County)	Not Disputed	CFB00003018, CFB003038, CFB003046-3048
161	5/1/2009	$5,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003058, CFB003060-3064
162	5/7/2009	$1,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003058, CFB003065-3067
163	5/13/2009	$130.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003058, CFB003068-3071
164	5/13/2009	$286.01	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003058, CFB003068, CFB003072-3074
165	5/14/2009	$10,000.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB003058, CFB003075-3076, CFB003081-3083
166	5/15/2009	$537.14	Bills (ADT, Daycare, SCE&G)	Not Disputed	CFB003058, CFB003077, CFB003084
167	5/15/2009	$4,579.00	Bills (Attorney, GMAC Insurance)	Not Disputed	CFB003058, CFB003085
168	5/19/2009	$500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003058, CFB003093092
169	5/26/2009	$5,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003058, CFB003093-3097
170	6/3/2009	$3,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003098, CFB003100, CFB003102-3106
171	6/5/2009	$1,961.50	Bills (BB&T, Rent, Time Warner, City of Columbia)	Not Disputed	CFB003098, CFB003107-3108
172	6/5/2009	$5,000.00	Dan Brookshire	Not Disputed	CFB003098, CFB003107, CFB003117-3119
173	6/5/2009	$25,387.20	CFB	Disputed	CFB003098, CFB003120
174	6/9/2009	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003098, CFB003121-3125
175	6/11/2009	$967.50	Bills (ADT, SCE&G, American Express, BB&T)	Not Disputed	CFB003098, CFB003126-3127
176	6/17/2009	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003098, CFB003136-3140
177	6/24/2009	$3,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003098, CFB003141-3144
178	6/25/2009	$2,000.00	Dan Brookshire	Not Disputed	CFB003098, CFB003145-3146

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Rule 1006; SCRE Summary of All Disbursements from Account (Chronological Order)
(Exhibit 18 to Community First's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Date	Debit	Recipient of Disbursement	Disputed/Not Disputed	Sources
179	6/30/2009	$3,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003098, CFB003147-3150
180	7/3/2009	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00003151, CFB003153-3156
181	7/8/2009	$3,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00003151, CFB003157-3160
182	7/15/2009	$1,057.30	Bills (City of Columbia, SCE&G, Lexus)	Not Disputed	CFB00003151, CFB003161-3162
183	7/16/2009	$121.80	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00003151, CFB003169
184	7/17/2009	$4,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00003151, CFB003171-3175; CFB003176
185	7/17/2009	$1,000.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB003151, CFB003170
186	7/20/2009	$5,600.00	Bills (Dan Brookshire, Rent)	Not Disputed	CFB00003151, CFB003175
187	7/23/2009	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00003151, CFB003178; CFB003186-3189
188	7/23/2009	$275.88	Bills (Time Warner, ADT, AT&T)	Not Disputed	CFB00003151, CFB003178; CFB003179
189	7/27/2009	$850.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00003151, CFB003190-3193
190	7/30/2009	$3,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB00003152, CFB003194-3197
191	8/3/2009	$792.63	Bills (City of Columbia, Lexus)	Not Disputed	CFB003198, CFB003200-3201
192	8/5/2009	$3,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003198, CFB003206-3209
193	8/10/2009	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003198, CFB003210-3213
194	8/17/2009	$3,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003198, CFB003214-3217
195	8/18/2009	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003198, CFB003218-3221
196	8/25/2009	$1,200.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003198, CFB003222-3226
197	8/31/2009	$1,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003198, CFB003227-3230
198	8/31/2009	$1,600.00	Bills (Rent)	Not Disputed	CFB003198, CFB0032313232
199	9/1/2009	$1,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003233, CFB003235-3239
200	9/2/2009	$151.00	Hiott (Marc Hiott)	Disputed	CFB003233, CFB003240-3242
201	9/4/2009	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003233, CFB003243, CFB003249-3253
202	9/4/2009	$442.00	Bills (Sylvan)	Not Disputed	CFB003233, CFB003243-3246
203	9/4/2009	$491.00	Insurance (GMAC Insurance)	Disputed	CFB003233, CFB003247-3248
204	9/8/2009	$857.02	Bills (City of Columbia, AT&T, Lexus)	Not Disputed	CFB003233, CFB003254-3255
205	9/9/2009	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003233, CFB003262-3265

Rule 1006, SCRE Summary of All Disbursements from Account (Chronological Order)
(Exhibit 18 to Community First's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Date	Debit	Recipient of Disbursement	Disputed/Not Disputed	Sources
206	9/9/2009	$3,623.93	Bills (Clark's Pest, SCE&G, ADT, Smith Dray Line, Hobbs Group)	Not Disputed	CFB003233, CFB003266, CFB003274
207	9/9/2009	$2,735.64	Bills (SCE&G, BB&T, BB&T)	Not Disputed	CFB003233, CFB003266-3267
208	9/9/2009	$4,000.00	Dan Brookshire	Not Disputed	CFB003234, CFB003266, CFB003285-3286
209	9/16/2009	$2,654.00	Bills (Sylvan, Adams Agency)	Not Disputed	CFB003234, CFB003287-3288
210	9/22/2009	$3,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003234, CFB003293-3296
211	9/23/2009	$109.92	Bills (City of Columbia, Time Warner)	Not Disputed	CFB003234, CFB003299-3300
212	9/23/2009	$5,000.00	Keith Norton	Disputed	CFB003234,
213	9/24/2009	$656.02	Toyota (Lexus)	Disputed	CFB003234, CFB003305-3308
214	9/25/2009	$570.00	Sterling Currency Group	Disputed	CFB003234, CFB003309-3312
215	10/2/2009	$1,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003313, CFB003315-3318
216	10/2/2009	$3,200.00	Bills (Rent)	Not Disputed	CFB003313, CFB003319-3320
217	10/5/2009	$3,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003313, CFB003321-3324
218	10/9/2009	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003313, CFB0033253328
219	10/14/2009	$1,145.83	Bills (AT&T, SCE&G, ADT, Lexington Radiology, Womens Health and Diagnostic, Lexington Medical Center, BB&T)	Not Disputed	CFB003313, CFB003329-3330
220	10/15/2009	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003313, CFB00334-3348
221	10/21/2009	$984.66	Insurance (Nationwide)	Disputed	CFB003313, CFB003349-3352
222	10/22/2009	$1,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003313, CFB003353-3356
223	10/26/2009	$3,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003313, CFB003357-3360
224	11/2/2009	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003361, CFB003363-3366
225	11/3/2009	$4,891.02	Bills (Sylvan, BB&T, AT&T, Lexus, SCE&G, Time Warner, Smith Dray Line, Rent)	Not Disputed	CFB003361, CFB003367-3368
226	11/6/2009	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003361, CFB003385-3388
227	11/9/2009	$3,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003361, CFB003389-3393
228	11/16/2009	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003361, CFB003394-3397
229	11/18/2009	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003361, CFB003399-3402
230	11/18/2009	$500.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB003361, CFB003398
231	11/23/2009	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003361, CFB003403-3407
232	11/27/2009	$4,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003361, CFB003408-3410

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Rule 1006, SCRE Summary of All Disbursements from Account (Chronological Order)
(Exhibit 18 to Community First's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Date	Debit	Recipient of Disbursement	Disputed/Not Disputed	Sources
233	12/3/2009	$1,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003411, CFB003413-3415, CFB003451
234	12/14/2009	$3,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003411, CFB003416
235	12/14/2009	$7,397.28	Bills (Lexus, BB&T, SCE&G, Time Warner, Sylvan, AT&T, Positive Young People, Hance Oliver (Rent))	Not Disputed	CFB003411, CFB003416-3417, CFB003451
236	12/14/2009	$5,000.00	Hiott (Hilltop, Inc.)	Disputed	CFB003411, CFB003439
237	12/17/2009	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003411, CFB003440-3443
238	12/21/2009	$4,000.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB003411, CFB003444
239	12/23/2009	$3,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003411, CFB003445-3448
240	12/23/2009	$1,728.64	Bills (GMAC Insurance)	Not Disputed	CFB003411, CFB003449, CFB003451
241	12/28/2009	$3,500.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB003411, CFB002450
242	12/30/2009	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003411, CFB003455-3458
243	12/30/2009	$2,000.00	Bills (Logan Voxx)	Not Disputed	CFB003411, CFB003451-3454
244	1/4/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003459, CFB003461-3464
245	1/6/2010	$1,200.00	Bills (Logan Voxx)	Not Disputed	CFB003459, CFB003465-3467
246	1/8/2010	$4,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003459, CFB003468-3471
247	1/12/2010	$20,000.00	Car (Jim Hudson Lexus)	Not Disputed	CFB003459, CFB003472-3475
248	1/12/2010	$31,261.76	Car (Jim Hudson Lexus)	Not Disputed	CFB003459, CFB003476-2478
249	1/14/2010	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003459, CFB003479-3482
250	1/20/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003459, CFB003483-3486
251	1/22/2010	$3,462.20	Bills (Rent, BB&T, SCE&G, AT&T, City of Columbia, ADT, Sylvan)	Not Disputed	CFB003459, CFB003487--3488
252	1/26/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003459, CFB003503-3506
253	1/27/2010	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003459, CFB3507-3510
254	1/29/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003459, CFB003511-3515
255	2/1/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003516, CFB003518-3521
256	2/5/2010	$3,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003516, CFB003522, CFB003537-3540
257	2/5/2010	$3,407.26	Bills (AT&T, City of Columbia, BB&T, SCE&G, Sylvan, Rent, Clark's Pest)	Not Disputed	CFB003516, CFB003522-3523

Rule 1006, SCRE Summary of All Disbursements from Account (Chronological Order)
(Exhibit 18 to Community First's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Date	Debit	Recipient of Disbursement	Disputed/Not Disputed	Sources
258	2/8/2010	$4,000.00	Hiott (Marc Hiott)	Disputed	CFB003516, CFB003541
259	2/9/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003516, CFB3542-3545
260	2/12/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003516, CFB003546-3549
261	2/17/2010	$5,000.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB003516, CFB003550
262	2/18/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003516, CFB003551-3554
263	2/19/2010	$1,065.00	Bills (Adams Agency x2)	Not Disputed	CFB003516, CFB003555-3556
264	2/22/2010	$500.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB003516, CFB003561
265	2/23/2010	$3,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003516, CFB003562-3565
266	2/25/2010	$500.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB003516, CFB003566
267	2/26/2010	$5,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003516, CFB003567-3570
268	3/3/2010	$4,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003571, CFB003579-3583
269	3/3/2010	$3,635.00	Bills (Logan Voxx, Rent)	Not Disputed	CFB003571, CFB003573-3574
270	3/5/2010	$1,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003571, CFB003584-3588
271	3/12/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003571, CFB003589-3592
272	3/16/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003571, CFB003593-3596
273	3/17/2010	$2,000.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB003571, CFB003597
274	3/23/2010	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003571, CFB003597-3598
275	3/23/2010	$2,013.51	Bills (Logan Voxx)	Not Disputed	CFB003571, CFB00359--3601
276	3/25/2010	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003571, CFB003607-3610
277	3/25/2010	$1,944.39	Toyota	Disputed	CFB003571, CFB003606
278	3/30/2010	$1,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003571, CFB003611-3614
279	4/5/2010	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003616, CFB003618-3621
280	4/13/2010	$4,000.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB003616, CFB003622
281	4/14/2010	$2,974.49	Bills (Smith Dray Line, Sylvan, BB&T, SCE&G, Time Warner, ADT)	Not Disputed	CFB003616, CFB003624-3625
282	4/14/2010	$3,500.00	Hiott (Hilltop, Inc.)	Disputed	CFB003616, CFB003623, CFB003638
283	4/15/2010	$512.47	Bills (GMAC Insurance)	Not Disputed	CFB003616, CFB003617
284	4/16/2010	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003616, CFB003645-3649
285	4/16/2010	$1,032.00	Taxes (Georgia, New Jersey)	Not Disputed	CFB003616, CFB003639-3640
286	4/19/2010	$1,356.00	Insurance (Victoria Insurance)	Disputed	CFB003616, CFB003650
287	4/21/2010	$500.00	Ann Gel Hamiter	Not Disputed	CFB003616, CFB003651-3654

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Rule 1006, SCRE Summary of All Disbursements from Account (Chronological Order)
(Exhibit 18 to Community First's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment

	A	B	C	D	E
1	**Date**	**Debit**	**Recipient of Disbursement**	**Disputed/Not Disputed**	**Sources**
288	4/22/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003616, CFB003655-3657
289	4/26/2010	$2,586.30	John Gates (RV)	Disputed	CFB003616, CFB003658-3661
290	4/27/2010	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003616, CFB003662-3664
291	4/29/2010	$1,600.00	Logan Voxx	Disputed, but total debit reflected on statement wsa $1,800.00, of which $1,600.00 was to Logan Voxx.	CFB003616, CFB003665
292	4/29/2010	$200.00	Terry Russell	Disputed, but total debit reflected on statement was $1,800.00, of which $200.00 was to Terry Russell.	CFB003616, CFB003665
293	4/30/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003616, CFB003668-3670
294	5/5/2010	$4,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003672, CFB003674-3676
295	5/10/2010	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003672, CFB003677-3679
296	5/11/2010	$2,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003672, CFB003680-3682
297	5/14/2010	$3,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003672, CFB003689-3691
298	5/14/2010	$2,980.63	Bills (Sylvan, Smith Dray Line)	Not Disputed	CFB003672, CFB003683-3684
299	5/17/2010	$2,000.00	Community First (Credit Card)	Disputed	CFB003672, CFB003692--3693
300	5/24/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003672, CFB003694-3696
301	5/24/2010	$648.13	Toyota	Disputed	CFB003672
302	5/27/2010	$3,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003672, CFB003697-3699
303	5/27/2010	$394.26	Bills (GMAC Insurance)	Not Disputed	CFB003672, CFB003673
304	5/28/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003672, CFB003700-3702
305	6/2/2010	$3,100.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB003703, CFB003705-3707
306	6/3/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003703, CFB003708-3710
307	6/4/2010	$12,000.00	Bills (Rent - Hance Oliver)	Not Disputed	CFB003703, CFB003711-3713
308	6/7/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003703, CFB003714-3719
309	6/11/2010	$3,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003704, CFB003720-3722
310	6/14/2010	$2,500.00	Community First (Credit Card)	Disputed	CFB003704, CFB003724
311	6/15/2010	$3,000.00	Hiott (Ben Hiott)	Disputed	CFB003704, CFB003725

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Rule 1006, SCRE Summary of All Disbursements from Account (Chronological Order)
(Exhibit 18 to Community First's Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment

	A	B	C	D	E
1	Date	Debit	Recipient of Disbursement	Disputed/Not Disputed	Sources
312	6/16/2010	$3,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003704, CFB003725-3728
313	6/22/2010	$1,136.64	Bills (Smith Dray Line)	Not Disputed	CFB003704, CFB003729-3732
314	6/23/2010	$648.13	Toyota	Disputed	CFB003704, CFB003733
315	6/24/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003704, CFB003734-3736
316	6/24/2010	$2,729.74	Community First (Credit Card)	Disputed	CFB003704, CFB003737-3739
317	6/25/2010	$3,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003704, CFB003740-3742
318	6/29/2010	$16,524.00	American Express	Disputed	CFB003704, CFB003743
319	7/1/2010	$3,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003744, CFB003746-3748
320	7/6/2010	$5,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003745, CFB003783-3786
321	7/13/2010	$250.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003744, CFB002749, CFB003751-3753
322	7/13/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003744, CFB003750, CFB003754-3758
323	7/14/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Disputed	CFB003744
324	7/16/2010	$2,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003744, CFB003759-3761
325	7/22/2010	$7,500.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003745, CFB003763-3765
326	7/22/2010	$648.13	Toyota	Disputed	CFB003745, CFB003762
327	7/26/2010	$4,207.49	Community First (Credit Card)	Not Disputed	CFB003745, CFB003766, CFB003771-3772
328	7/26/2010	$33,235.82	CFB (Transaction Reversed 7/27/10)	Disputed	CFB003745, CFB003767-3770, CFB003776
329	7/27/2010	$5,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003745, CFB003773-3775
330	7/27/2010	$9,086.68	Bills (Rent - Keith Miller/Hilton Head)	Not Disputed	CFB003745, CFB003776-3779
331	7/30/2010	$10,000.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003745, CFB003780-3782
332	8/3/2010	$10,119.17	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003787, CFB003789-3791
333	8/11/2010	$202,928.00	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003787, CFB003792-3794
334	8/24/2010	$648.13	Toyota	Disputed	CFB003787, CFB003795
335	9/23/2010	$648.13	Toyota	Disputed	CFB003796, CFB003798
336	2/23/2011	$285,233.34	BB&T	Not Disputed	CFB003801, CFB003803-3806
337	2/24/2011	$0.01	CFB	Disputed	CFB003801
338	TOTAL	$4,057,114.34			

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 19

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

From: Ben Hiott
To: 'Peggy Craven'
Sent: 12/23/2009 8:03:44 AM
Subject: RE: Debi

Yes her and Dan are not doing well so the kids are with us for a while. Everything is fine they are having a great time enjoying Christmas and we are too. Hope The Cravens have a Great and Merry Christmas. Karlee is growing up quickly.

Thanks for checking on us all and hello to you, Ronnie, Ian and Logan.


Ben

From: Peggy Craven [mailto:peggycraven@sc.rr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2009 9:03 PM
To: Ben Hiott
Subject: Debi

Hi Debi has called us a couple of times in the past 3 days. I think she said you and Vickie came down to get Jordan and McCall. Is that right? And are you keeping them until Christmas?
She was talking so fast I couldn't understand her.

HAPPY HOLIDAYS. I loved the picture of Karlee. she's a beauty!

Peggy



ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 20

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

From: Ben Hiott
To: 'Peggy Craven'
Sent: 3/16/2010 12:42:32 PM
Subject: RE: stuff

I have talked with Debi the past two days and now is not the time to be taking him out of school so close to year's end and she just did not hear me or want to hear me on that. Jordan has been going to Sylvan and from my conversations with them Jordan is very smart and does high level work there when he has the attention and is in need of attention and that is why he is showing out at school in my opinion because then he gets their attention has nothing to do with behavioral issues that Debi thinks he has and that is my take but that is a pretty dysfunctional place there and you know it takes its toll on me from time to time and I am not in the middle of it either but the virtual school has so many snags for those children because of the guardianship custody and all that the last thing that needs to be done is open all that up right now Debi if I can get her calmed down I think I can get Jordan through school this year and then address the situation during the summer and if we can do something that is the time now is just not the time in my opinion. It would be great for Jordan to go out to Terry's for spring break probably do them both some good. Terry can make a little money and Jordan can see some normalcy. Anyway gotta get back to it but let me know what your thoughts are on this. It is a mess and Dan is somewhere between hotels and shows up and that is just not good for any of them whether it is Dan, Debi, Jordan or Macaw. Let me know what you think?

Ben

From: Peggy Craven [mailto:peggycraven@sc.rr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 16, 2010 12:23 PM
To: Ben Hiott; Terry and Chris Russell
Subject: stuff

Hi Ben...and Terry....

Debi called me last night.....and today I have looked into Virtual Schools online...and homeschooling for Jordan. the law states that with either....you must be in your own home and have a parent teaching you.....now maybe Debi could get someone to live there and do the teaching for her. But he needs to be under her roof and not in someone else's home. If she can get him to school these next 2 months then he could go to Terry and Chris's for the spring break and maybe the summer. If she would give temporary guardianship to Terry then Jordan could be taught in their home. BUT with the court order when Debi got the children, they were supposed to be in their home together with Dan and her and the children were NOT to be separated. Debi said Jordan could be home on weekends if Terry could help through the week. Dan was at her house last night when she was talking to me. I guess he got there by taxi who knows.....I may try this week to get him to a hospital or doctor for a check up.....I know, good luck with that.....

that's about all the info for now to think about.

Peg



CFB005597

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 21

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

From:	russellranch@windstream.net
To:	Ben Hiott; Peggy Craven
Sent:	3/22/2010 2:26:37 PM
Subject:	Re: hi


Hi Ben and Peggy,

I am glad that the kids had a good time. Jordan stayed outside with me most of the weekend and was very helpful at driving the tractor and helping me take up fence posts. He is very smart and I only have to show him things one time. Macaw got up on stage at rehearsal and I think she wanted to be in the play. She is so cute. She got beside me on the bed Saturday night while I watched a movie and fell asleep. They crave love and attention.

Jordan definitely has ADDH but we chose to not give him meds on Saturday so that he would eat better. The longer he was off of the meds the more he ate. He ate five pancakes on Sunday morning. I did give him ADD meds after breakfast so that he could sit still in church. He ended up falling asleep on my lap. I'm thinking that his dosage may be set to high. I'm going to consult with my brother again and see what he has to say. He did say that some parents took their children off of the meds over the weekends.

We did enjoy them and will take care of them whenever Debi needs us.

Love you guys,
Terry
--- Peggy Craven <peggycraven@sc.rr.com> wrote:
> Hi Ben, all went well with Jordan and Macaw visiting with the 'Russells'. Ron talked with Debi on the phone and he said she sounded "BAD" and did not want anyone coming to her house to see her in that shape. Dan came to our house (I was at work) to get the children.
>
> Ron said that Jordan told Chris and Terry that he would see them next Friday. He had a good time and that he would be back on Friday.... Chris said Mr Terry won't be there Saturday. Jordan said "I'm coming Friday!" so he must have really enjoyed himself and he wanted to go without Macaw the next time....Terry and family were a blessing to those children.
>
> I felt bad giving them back to Debi, but atleast Dan seemed normal. He said Debi wants him to live there again. Tomorrow Dan has to go to the school nurse with Jordan's meds for them to count them etc.... wonder how that will go....Debi told me she takes them sometimes too. Who knows.
> gotta go to bed now.....tired from work.....
>
> love, Peg



CFB004757

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 22

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

From: Ben Hiott
To: 'russellranch@windstream.net'
Sent: 4/5/2010 5:03:58 PM
Subject: RE: hello

Thanks a bunch Terry. You call me if you need anything and good to know they are all doing fine. Talk to you later my friend.

Ben


-----Original Message-----
From: russellranch@windstream.net [mailto:russellranch@windstream.net]
Sent: Monday, April 05, 2010 5:01 PM
To: Ben Hiott; Peggy Craven
Subject: Re: hello

Hey Peg,

I think that from talking to Ken (aka: Logan, Kennan), Debi perceived the medial release note as being something more sinister. The kids are doing great and had a nice Easter. Ken wasn't able to find shorts for them so we got some Walmart specials. I feel as if I know Debi just from being around Macaw! She never mentions Jordan when she calls.

I'm sorry that you are having to work so hard. You do have a demanding job. I do hope that all of you (you too Ben) might be able to see the play. It is pretty darned entertaining. If you are tired and need me to come to Columbia just let me know. There is always some part or tool that I need to pick up anyway.

Will talk soon,
Terry
---- Peggy Craven <peggycraven@sc.rr.com> wrote:
> Hi I will be leaving my house around 1030, 1100 ish...depends on how I feel after getting up. I work 7pm - 11pm tonight, and we have alot of very demanding total care patients that don't let you sit down for one minute, let alone eat or pee. this weekend was very hard on me physically. (my arthritic feet)
>
> The A/C man is coming by today again.. was here Sat/Sun....so hate to see that bill. We had a compressor put in December..4 months ago...and now he says it's the compressor again....it should be under warranty again....the unit is only 5-6 years old.
> In the meant time I have pollen in my house from putting fans in the windows at night to blow cool air around....yuk.
>
> How's it going with both kids? Debi called on Saturday and left a message for me.....told Ron to tell me she won't be needing my help anymore with the kids. Since I got so upset on Friday when I wanted her to get some shorts and Jordan's meds for me to give to ya'll. She said that I must NOT be used to being around small children and that I got upset. Funny how she put that off on the kids...for her being a mindless addict....So since I had forced her to be somewhat responsible she doesn't want me having anything to do with me. (again) She said that was Ken's job to get the clothes together and he would get some and drive out to Terry's....I said "that will never happend Debi just go upstairs and get some shorts together and throw them out the front door where you said you put Jordan's meds and I will pick them up ."
>
> Ben, I think the kids would be better off with their mother and grandmother at times.
>
> Hey good luck with the play on Wed/Thurs....I want to see you both in action...maybe next time...I'll be with my parents until Wed late that evening and may even spend that night also....since Debi doesn't want me involved...if Macaw wants to go home maybe Terry could drive her home so he can meet the infamous Debi and Dan.
>
> talk to ya later, if I don't get an e-mail from you, Chris or Terry.
> Peg



CFB005754

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 23

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

COUNTY OF OCONEE

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE,

Plaintiff,

vs. CASE NO.: 2014-CP-37-529

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, INC., and BENJAMIN LEE HIOTT,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF: CAROL WILSON

DATE: February 25, 2016

TIME: 9:16 AM

LOCATION: Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd
One North Main Street
2nd Floor
Greenville, SC

TAKEN BY: Counsel for the Plaintiff

REPORTED BY: AMY R. COPE,
Court Reporter

A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES

Fast, Accurate & Friendly

Charleston, SC (843) 722-8414
Hilton Head, SC (843) 785-3263
Columbia, SC (803) 731-5224
Greenville, SC (864) 234-7030
Myrtle Beach, SC (843) 839-3376
Charlotte, NC (704) 573-3919

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. It says trust accounts, but this is a checking account.

Q. All right. Do you know what a trust account is?

A. I have some knowledge, we don't do trust accounts at Community First.

Q. Well, why not?

A. We've never had a trust department, we don't do trust accounts. We open a checking account in the name of a trust.

Q. But that's not what Ms. Reed did here, would you agree with me?

MS. BLACK: Object to the form.

BY MR. SCOTT:

Q. This is not -- this is not an account agreement opening a checking account in the name of a trust, would you agree?

MS. BLACK: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I don't agree. This is a checking account, this is an account agreement for a checking account.

BY MR. SCOTT:

Q. Even though it says trust account?

A. Right, because we don't do trust accounts. We open a checking account for a trust.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 24

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF OCONEE

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Case No. 2014-CP-37-526

Debi Baker Brookshire,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Community First Bank, Inc. and Benjamin Lee Hiott,

Defendant.

AFFIDAVIT OF CAROL WILSON

Personally appeared before me, Carol Wilson, who, being duly sworn, deposes and states as follows:

1. My name is Carol Wilson. I am over the age of 18 and make this affidavit upon my own personal knowledge and upon the records kept in the ordinary course of business by my employer, Community First Bank ("Community First"), and to which I have access to in the regular performance of my duties.

2. I am currently the Senior Vice President of Deposit Operations for Community First, a position I have held since January 2016. I previously served as the Vice President of Deposit Operations for Community First (including during the time period from 2007 through 2011) and have worked at Community First since January 1990.

3. The deposit operations department of Community First is responsible for, among other things, the preparation, processing, and mailing of the periodic (typically, monthly) account statements for the more than 25,000 accounts held by Community First customers. The operations department also processes, organizes, and archives the periodic account statements

that are returned to Community First by the United States Postal Service ("USPS") when they are unable to be delivered to the addressee for one reason or another.

4. My office, as well as the deposit operations department itself, is located at the Walhalla branch of Community First, 3685 Blue Ridge Boulevard, Walhalla, South Carolina 29691. My office and the deposit operations department are located on the second floor of the Walhalla branch. A small staff of 1-2 people, who report directly to me, is in charge of account statement mailing. The statement preparation work area is small, and those of us who work there can easily observe all activity in the statement preparation work area.

5. Benjamin L. Hiott's office was at the Walhalla branch until April 2006, when he moved to an office located at 449 Highway 123 Bypass, Seneca, South Carolina 29678 ("Seneca-North"). The Seneca-North branch is approximately eight miles from the Walhalla branch.

6. After Mr. Hiott's office moved to the Seneca-North branch, it was very uncommon for him to personally visit the Walhalla branch. Most of my personal interactions with Mr. Hiott occurred at the Seneca-North branch after his office moved. Even when I saw him in the Walhalla branch after he moved, I did not see him in the statement preparation work area on the second floor.

7. Among other information provided, the periodic account statement recites all deposits into and withdrawals from the account for the given time period.

8. The periodic account statement is automatically generated and printed for each account held at Community First. A process to suppress the auto-generation and printing does not exist.

9. Community First holds a permit with the USPS for purposes of mailing the periodic account statements and other bank correspondence. Pursuant to this permit, the

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

operations department prepares trays of account statements for mailing by the USPS. There are 10-15 cycles of mail each month, and each account that receives a monthly account statement is part of a specific cycle. The month-end cycle is the largest cycle by volume of mail, and the statements printed during this cycle fill between 10-15 trays. Each tray is 11.25 inches wide and either 13.5 inches (small) or 24 inches (large) long.

10. Until approximately mid to late 2009, the preparation of account statements for mailing was relatively manual following the auto-generation and printing of each statement, which printed based on and in order of ZIP Code. Account statements prior to this time were hand-folded and hand-stuffed into envelopes by my staff. My staff then placed the statements in ZIP Code order into the mailing trays. When the cycle of statements was completed, my staff would physically transport the trays of statements to the USPS for mailing via car. At all times during this process, the statements were in the physical custody and control of the members of my staff. On certain limited occasions when the cycle did not finish printing until late in the work day, the statements would be folded, stuffed into envelopes, and placed into the mailing trays. These mailing trays would then be looked in the Walhalla branch vault until the following work day when they would be retrieved by a member of my staff and transported via car to the USPS for mailing.

11. The Walhalla branch vault is only accessible via a combination lock known to approximately five people, including my 1-2 person staff and me. To my knowledge, Mr. Hiott did not know the combination to the Walhalla branch vault from June 2007 through February 2011.

12. In mid to late 2009, the deposit operations department began using an inserter to fold the auto-generated and printed statements and stuff them into envelopes. The inserter would

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

automatically generate a count of how many statements were in each group. My staff would place the envelopes in the mailing trays and transport them to the USPS as before (see ¶ 10 above) but would also transport to the USPS the count of statements generated by the inserter. If the number of pieces of mail the USPS received did not match the statement count, the USPS would return the entire tray until the discrepancy was resolved.

13. The account held by Ms. Brookshire at Community First (ending in the number 1950) (the "Account") was opened in June 2007, closed for the first time at the end of October 2010, and was both reopened and closed for the final time in February 2011.

14. For each month of the Account's existence, a monthly Account statement was automatically generated, printed, and prepared for mailing as set forth in paragraphs 10 and 12 above.

15. To my knowledge, Mr. Hiott never asked to see, review, or pull from the printed statements any of the Account statements or statements for any other account at Community First. Given the small size of the statement preparation work area and the fact that I or a member of my staff is physically present with the printed and stuffed statements at all times until they are transported to the USPS (with the exception of certain, limited, and unpredictable occasions when the trays of statements are locked in the Walhalla branch vault as described in paragraph 10 above), it would have been nearly impossible for Mr. Hiott to access the statements during an individual month, much less on a regular basis.

16. As a money market checking account for the vast majority of its existence (until April 22, 2010, which it was changed to a different kind of checking account), the Account was on the month-end cycle for each given month.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

17. For each monthly Account statement representing June 2007 through January 2010, the monthly Account statement was transmitted by Community First to the USPS for mailing to Ms. Brookshire and was not returned by the USPS to Community First.

18. The February 2010 monthly Account statement was transmitted to the USPS for mailing to Ms. Brookshire, but returned to Community First on or about April 19, 2010, with the USPS label attached stating "Return to Sender, Attempted – Not Known, Unable to Forward" and dated April 6, 2010. A true and correct color copy of the envelope and statement contained therein are attached hereto as Exhibit 1. A true and correct copy of Community First's mail log showing the date this Account statement was returned to Community First is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

19. Subsequent monthly Account statements were either mailed out and returned to Community First in the same manner as the February 2010 statement or were not actually mailed due to the application of an "R" code in Community First's account management system, which directs the system to apply an "EXCLUDE-DoNotMailAddr" code to the auto-generated and printed statement. Statements coded "R" are printed and filed in a locked room until such time as the account owner directs their delivery via a change of address form or other request to Community First. Plaintiff's returned and "R"-coded monthly Account statements were kept in this manner, and were retrieved at my direction during the course of this litigation.

20. The account management system records every change, modification, or entry regarding an account's address, type, or other coding for handling of the statements, such as the "R" code. If a change is made in the system, the system maintains a record of that change. No mechanism exists to delete records showing that changes occurred.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

21. As a matter of Community First's normal business practices, only one original statement is ever generated and printed. The single original is mailed to the account holder, or, if the account holder chooses a different delivery method, delivered to the account holder pursuant to his or her instructions to Community First.

22. Any reprints of account statements are recreated from a print file stored on Community First's computer servers.

23. Any reprint of an account statement contains the same address and financial transaction information as the original statement. While the operative information is the same when the statement is reprinted, Community First does not, as a matter of cost efficiency and regular practice, reprint statements onto statement paper (colored, watermarked, and heavier-grade paper). Instead, reprints are printed onto plain white printer paper.

24. There have been a few isolated events where the customer asks for a reprint onto statement paper for presentation to the United States government or for some official purpose. These reprints onto statement paper are not a common practice and occur only at the customer's specific request.

25. I have provided reprints of Ms. Brookshire's Account statements several times since 2010 to my supervisors and attorneys for Community First. Each time, I have reprinted the statements from the print files onto plain white printer paper. I have never reprinted Ms. Brookshire's Account statements onto statement paper.

26. Depending on the computer system on which the print files are archived (a determination based on date), the reprint may have a "CheckQuest Web-Research: Print Statement" header. Such a header does not appear on the original statement.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

27. On May 20, 2016, I traveled to Columbia, South Carolina from my office in Walhalla, South Carolina for the purpose of reviewing several original documents produced by Plaintiff in this lawsuit. I reviewed these original documents at the Giese Law Firm, one of the firms representing Plaintiff in this lawsuit. Copies of the original documents I reviewed are attached as Exhibits 3 (Giese-CFB 1724-27), 4 (Giese-CFB 1728-29), and 5 (Giese-CFB 1731-34) hereto.

28. The original documents in the possession of Plaintiff's counsel and represented in copy form at Exhibit 3 is the entire original monthly statement for the Account dated November 30, 2007.

29. The original documents in the possession of Plaintiff's counsel and represented in copy form at Exhibit 4 is the first page only (front and back) of the original monthly statement for the Account dated December 31, 2007.

30. The original documents in the possession of Plaintiff's counsel and represented in copy form at Exhibit 5 is the entire original monthly statement for the Account dated January 31, 2008.

31. The original documents at Exhibits 3, 4, and 5 all have the following characteristics demonstrating that they are the original statements:

a. The first page (front and back) of Exhibits 3, 4, and 5 is statement paper.

i. The front of each first page is light blue in color with a dark/royal blue double border and a white Community First watermark in the middle.

ii. The letterhead on Exhibits 3, 4, and 5 is printed in dark/royal blue ink and contains only six Community First branch locations. By January 2010, any statement paper in use by Community First had seven Community

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP370526

First branch locations listed on the letterhead. *Compare* Ex. 1 *with* Exs. 3, 4, 5.

iii. There are noticeable creases in the middle of these pages where it has been folded consistent with mailing in an envelope.

iv. It is 3-hole punched on the left side of the page.

v. The back side of each first page is a preprinted gray form on a white back.

b. The remaining pages of Exhibits 3 and 5 are also 3-hole punched and not on the light blue statement paper. They are also noticeably creased in the middle of the page where they have been folded consistent with mailing in an envelope.

c. The print quality of Exhibits 3, 4, and 5 is very good and better than any reprint would be.

d. The text of the statement (including address, list of transactions, etc.) is larger on the original; on any reprint/reprint file, everything would be condensed/shrunk down with the font slightly smaller. I compared the originals to known reprints by holding them up to the light together, and the printing files are distinctly different. The margins are very different, thus leading me to believe the statements Plaintiff produced are the originals mailed to her by Community First.

32. The original documents at Exhibits 3, 4, and 5 hereto are the original statements mailed to Plaintiff within a few days of the closing date of each respective statement period.

33. I also reviewed the document in Plaintiff's counsel's possession that was produced at Giese-CFB 1418. A copy of this document is attached hereto as Exhibit 6.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

34. The document in Plaintiff's counsel's possession attached as Exhibit 6 is a photocopy of the first page (front only) of the original monthly statement for the Account dated July 31, 2007. This is a photocopy of the original for the following reasons:

a. It is on letterhead with a double border.

b. The letterhead contains only six Community First branch locations (see ¶ 31(a)(ii), above and *compare* Ex. 1 with Ex. 6).

c. The 3-hole punches on the left side are visible.

d. The photocopy picks up on the crease in the middle of the page because the printing is slightly smudged.

e. The font size is not shrunk down (see ¶ 31(d), above).

f. Due to the older computer system on which the reprint file for the July 31, 2007 statement is kept, all reprints of this particular statement would have a CheckQuest header at the top (see ¶ 26, above). This statement does not have such a header.

35. The document in Plaintiff's counsel's files represented in copy form as Exhibit 6 hereto is a photocopy of the original statement mailed to Plaintiff within a few days of the July 31, 2007.

36. A true and correct copy of Community First's account agreement for the Account with Plaintiff is attached hereto as Exhibit 7.

[signature on following page]

Carol Wilson

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME
This 7 day of October, 2016.

Notary Public for South Carolina
My Commission Expires 9-25-18

DM: 4680434 v.1

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Exhibit 1



P.O. Box 1097
Walhalla, S.C. 29691

RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
WALHALLA, SC
PERMIT NO. 7

**********AUTO**MIXED AADC 296
3666 0.8280 MB 0.382 17 13 3
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST
COLUMBIA SC 29201-4011

NIXIE 292 DE 1 00 04/05/10
RETURN TO SENDER
ATTEMPTED - NOT KNOWN
UNABLE TO FORWARD
BC: 29691109797 *2480-06417-05-35

29691@1097

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#

CFB006822

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#: CFB006823

Putting Our Customers First!

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK

Seven Locations To Serve You.

3685 Blue Ridge Blvd. Walhalla, SC 29691	1600 Sandifer Blvd. Seneca, SC 29678	449 Hwy. 123 By-Pass Seneca, SC 29678	1101 East Main St. Westminster, SC 29693
4002 Clemson Blvd. Anderson, SC 29621	208 East Main St. Williamston, SC 29697	2007 E. Greenville St. Anderson, SC 29621	

FDIC

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK

000 00007 03
ACCOUNT: [redacted]1950
DOCUMENTS: 15

PAGE: 1
02/26/20

3685 Blue Ridge Blvd.
P.O. Box 1097
Walhalla, S.C. 29691
(864) 638-2105

1600 Sandifer Blvd.
P.O. Box 459
Seneca, S.C. 29679
(864) 882-2575

4002 Clemson Blvd.
P.O. Box 5106
Anderson, S.C. 29623
(864) 222-2440

208 East Main St.
P.O. Box 247
Williamston, S.C. 29697
(864) 847-5109

1101 East Main St.
P.O. Box 1050
Westminster, S.C. 29693
(864) 647-9554

649 Hwy. 123 By-Pass
P.O. Box 459
Seneca, SC 29678
(864) 886-0206

2007 E. Greenville St.
P.O. Box 5106
Anderson, SC 29621
(864) 224-0178

**********AUTO**MIXED AADC 296
3666 0.8280 MB 0.382 17 13 3

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST
COLUMBIA SC 29201-4011

30-0
2
13

****
* AS PART OF THE ECONOMIC STABILIZATION BILL, A TEMPORARY INCREASE *
* IN FDIC INSURACE COVERAGE IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY. *
* COVERAGE RAISES TO $250,000 PER DEPOSITOR THROUGH DECEMBER 31,2013 *
****

AS OF SEPTEMBER 18, 2009, ALL INTERNATIONAL ACH TRANSACTIONS MUST BE VERIFIED AGAINST THE LIST OF SPECIALLY DESIGNATED NATIONALS. THIS ACTION MAY CAUSE A DELAY IN THE SETTLEMENT OF SUCH FUNDS TO YOUR ACCOUNT.
****

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

DESCRIPTION	DEBITS	CREDITS	DATE	BALANCE
BALANCE LAST STATEMENT			01/29/10	17,559.48
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	2,500.00		02/01/10	15,059.48
DEPOSIT		31,261.76	02/05/10	46,321.24
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	3,407.26		02/05/10	42,913.98
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	3,000.00		02/05/10	39,913.98
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	4,000.00		02/08/10	35,913.98
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	2,500.00		02/09/10	33,413.98
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	2,500.00		02/12/10	30,913.98
BAKERANDBAKEROP BOPER		5,433.76	02/16/10	36,347.74
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	5,000.00		02/17/10	31,347.74
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	2,500.00		02/18/10	28,847.74
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	1,065.00		02/19/10	27,782.74
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	500.00		02/22/10	27,282.74
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	3,000.00		02/23/10	24,282.74
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	500.00		02/25/10	23,782.74
DEPOSIT		43.49	02/26/10	23,826.23
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	5,000.00		02/26/10	18,826.23
INTEREST		10.99	02/26/10	18,837.22
BALANCE THIS STATEMENT			02/26/10	18,837.22

TOTAL CREDITS	(4)	36,750.00	AVG AVAILABLE BALANCE	24,265.37
TOTAL DEBITS	(13)	35,472.26	AVERAGE BALANCE	27,616.40

* * * C O N T I N U E D * * *

THIS FORM IS PROVIDED TO HELP YOU BALANCE YOUR STATEMENT

WITHDRAWALS OUTSTANDING-NOT CHARGED TO ACCOUNT

No.	$	

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950


- - - - - - - - - - I N T E R E S T - - - - - - - - - -

AVERAGE LEDGER BALANCE:	27,616.40	INTEREST EARNED:	10.99
AVERAGE AVAILABLE BALANCE:	24,265.37	DAYS IN PERIOD:	28
INTEREST PAID THIS PERIOD:	10.99	ANNUAL PERCENTAGE YIELD EARNED:	.59%
INTEREST PAID 2010:	36.47		
INTEREST PAID 2009:	108.01		

- - - ITEMIZATION OF OVERDRAFT AND RETURNED ITEM FEES - - -


	TOTAL FOR THIS PERIOD	TOTAL YEAR TO DATE
TOTAL OVERDRAFT FEES:	.00	.00
TOTAL RETURNED ITEM FEES:	.00	.00

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526



ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526



02/05 Deposit $ 31,261.76

02/26 Deposit $ 43.49

02/05 Debit $ 3,407.26

02/19 Debit $ 1,065.00

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Exhibit 2

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK

HOLD, POST OFFICE RETURN, AND UNDELIVERABLE STATEMENT MAIL OR DEBIT CARD DISPOSITION LOG

Branch 095

Retain a copy in branch. Send a copy along with items to Operations at 30-day intervals for items without disposition other than debit cards which are destroyed. This log is used for all POR mail including that of the Loan Department based on ID Theft Red Flags.

Debit Card or Account Number or PIN Return *	Type of Correspondence Held, Post Office Return **	Branch Follow-up Action Taken	Branch Date Received	Mail Received From Operations Logged and Placed in Dual Control by ____ and ____	Date POR Item Mailed to Customer or Returned to Central Location or Destroyed (Debit Cards Only)	Hold Mail Delivered by	Hold Mail Customer Signature Upon Receipt
Redacted	Statement	filed in ret mail	4-19-10	SL and YE	4-19-10	Sylvia	filed in ret mail YE
1950				____ and ____			
Debi Bobi Bombshire				____ and ____			
Redacted				____ and ____			
				____ and ____			
				____ and ____			

Debit Cards received must be noted on this Log under dual control and placed under dual control upon receipt by branch.

* PIN returns must not be forwarded to or retained in the branches.

** POR return items such as statements or Thank You letters (for Thank you letters which are POR on accounts opened within the past 30 days – contact the ID Theft Red Flag or BSA/Customer Due Diligence Officer IMMEDIATELY).

0203

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Exhibit 3

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK FDIC

3828 Blue Ridge Blvd. P.O. Box 1527 Walhalla, S.C. 29691 (864) 638-3196

(800 Sangster Blvd. P.O. Box 456 Seneca, S.C. 29679 (864) 882-2575

4602 Clemson Blvd. P.O. Box 5109 Anderson, S.C. 29623 (864) 225-2440

208 East Main St. P.O. Box 247 Williamston, S.C. 29697 (864) 847-5109

1101 East Main St. P.O. Box 1050 Westminster, S.C. 29693 (864) 647-9554

448 Hwy. 123 By-Pass P.O. Box 498 Seneca, SC 29678 (864) 888-0900

000 00007 03
ACCOUNT: [redacted]1950
DOCUMENTS: 10

PAGE: 1
11/30/2007

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST

COLUMBIA SC 29205

30-0
4
6

***

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

THIS FORM IS PROVIDED TO HELP YOU BALANCE YOUR STATEMENT

WITHDRAWALS OUTSTANDING-
NOT CHARGED TO ACCOUNT

No.	$	
TOTAL	$	

BEFORE YOU START-

PLEASE BE SURE YOU HAVE ENTERED IN YOUR REGISTER ALL AUTOMATIC TRANSACTIONS SHOWN ON THE FRONT OF YOUR STATEMENT.

YOU SHOULD HAVE ADDED IF ANY OCCURRED:

1. Loan advances.
2. Credit memos.
3. Other automatic deposits.
4. Interest paid.

YOU SHOULD HAVE SUBTRACTED IF ANY OCCURRED:

1. Automatic loan payments.
2. Automatic savings transfers.
3. Service charges.
4. Debit memos.
5. Other automatic deductions and payments

BALANCE SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT $

ADD

DEPOSITS NOT SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT (IF ANY) $

TOTAL $

SUBTRACT-

WITHDRAWALS OUTSTANDING $

BALANCE $

SHOULD AGREE WITH YOUR REGISTER BALANCE AFTER DEDUCTING SERVICE CHARGE IF ANY SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT

Please examine immediately and report if incorrect. If no claim is received within 60 days the account will be considered correct.

IN CASE OF ERRORS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR ELECTRONIC TRANSFERS

Telephone or write to us at the telephone number or address located on the front of this statement as soon as you can. If you think your statement or receipt is wrong or if you need more information about a transfer on the statement or receipt. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the FIRST statement on which the error or problem appeared.

(1) Tell us your name and account number (if any).
(2) Describe the error or the transfer you are unsure about, and explain as clearly as you can why you believe there is an error or why you need more information.
(3) Tell us the dollar amount of the suspected error.

We will investigate your complaint and will correct any error promptly. If we take more than 10 business days to do this, we will recredit your account for the amount you think is in error, so that you will have use of the money during the time it takes us to complete our investigation.

YOUR DEMAND DEPOSIT LOAN ACCOUNT SUMMARY OF RIGHTS IS OUTLINED BELOW.

There is a summary of your rights, a full statement of your rights and our responsibilities under the Federal Fair Credit Billing Act will be sent to you both upon request and in response to a billing error notice.

Your Demand Deposit Loan Account is operated in conjunction with your Demand Deposit Account. Any charges for your checking account will be made to the Demand Deposit Account and they will be the same charges as are made for Demand Deposit Accounts not operated in conjunction with Demand Deposit Loan Accounts. The following information thus applies only to loans made to you under your Demand Deposit Loan Account line of credit.

IN CASE OF ERRORS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR LOAN

If you think your bill is wrong, or if you need more information about a transaction on your bill, write us on a separate sheet at the address located on the front of this bill as soon as possible. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the first bill on which the error or problem appeared. You can telephone us, but doing so will not preserve your rights. In your letter, give us the following information:

(1) Your name and account number.
(2) The dollar amount of the suspected error.
(3) Describe the error and explain, if you can, why you believe there is an error. If you need more information, describe the item you are unsure about.

You do not have to pay any money in question while we are investigating, but you are still obligated to pay the parts of your bill that are not in question. While we investigate your question, we cannot report you as delinquent or take any action to collect the amount you question.

We figure a portion of the **FINANCE CHARGE** on your Loan Account by applying the daily periodic rate to the "Daily Balance" of your account for the billing cycle. To get the "Daily Balance" we take the beginning balance of your account each day, add any new advances and subtract any new payments or credits and unpaid **FINANCE CHARGES**. This gives us the daily balance.

The minimum periodic payment required is shown on the front of this bill. You may pay off your Loan balance at any time, or make voluntary additional payments. Payments shall be applied, first to any unpaid **FINANCE CHARGES**, next applied to principal loan balance outstanding in your Loan Account. Periodic statements may be sent to you at the end of each billing cycle showing your loan transactions.

Send payments and inquiries to address shown on front of bill.

NOTE: Payments received after close of business shall be deemed received on the following business day for purposes of crediting your

Giese-CFB 1725

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

I N T E R E S T


AVERAGE LEDGER BALANCE:	466,844.86	INTEREST EARNED:	1,654.24
AVERAGE AVAILABLE BALANCE:	456,608.73	DAYS IN PERIOD:	30
INTEREST PAID THIS PERIOD:	1,654.24	ANNUAL PERCENTAGE YIELD EARNED:	4.50
INTEREST PAID 2007:	13,000.17		

ITEMIZATION OF NSF PAID AND RETURNED ITEM FEES


	THIS PERIOD	YEAR TO DATE
NSF PAID ITEM FEE:	.00	.00
NSF RETURNED ITEM FEE:	.00	.00
OVERDRAFT FEES:	.00	.00

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526


ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526




11/03 Deposit $ 98,527.85




11/05 Deposit $ 11,066.72



11/19 Deposit $ 5,433.76



11/19 Deposit $ 25,000.00



11/14 Check $ 1,147.61

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Exhibit 4

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526



000 00007 03
ACCOUNT: [redacted]1950
DOCUMENTS: 4

PAGE: 1
12/31/200

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST

COLUMBIA SC. 29205

30-0
1
3

***

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

THIS FORM IS PROVIDED TO HELP YOU BALANCE YOUR STATEMENT

WITHDRAWALS OR OTHER DEBITS NOT SHOWN IN YOUR ACCOUNT		
No.		
TOTAL	$	

BEFORE YOU START:

PLEASE BE SURE YOU HAVE ENTERED IN YOUR REGISTER ALL AUTOMATIC TRANSACTIONS SHOWN ON THE FRONT OF YOUR STATEMENT.

YOU SHOULD HAVE ADDED IF ANY OCCURRED:

1. Loan advances.
2. Credit memos.
3. Other automatic deposits.
4. Interest paid.

YOU SHOULD HAVE SUBTRACTED IF ANY OCCURRED:

1. Automatic loan payments.
2. Automatic savings transfers.
3. Service charges.
4. Debit memos.
5. Other automatic deductions and payments

BALANCE SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT	$
ADD:	
DEPOSITS NOT SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT (IF ANY)	$
TOTAL	$
SUBTRACT:	
WITHDRAWALS OUTSTANDING	$
BALANCE	$

THIS SHOULD AGREE WITH YOUR REGISTER BALANCE AFTER DEDUCTING SERVICE CHARGE (IF ANY) SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT

Please examine it carefully at once. If no reply is received within 30 days the account will be considered correct.

IN CASE OF ERRORS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR ELECTRONIC TRANSFERS

Telephone or write to us at the telephone number or address listed on the front of this statement as soon as you can, if you think your statement or receipt is wrong or if you need more information about a transfer listed on the statement or receipt. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the FIRST statement on which the error or problem appeared.

(1) Tell us your name and account number (if any).
(2) Describe the error or the transfer you are unsure about, and explain as clearly as you can why you believe there is an error or why you need more information.
(3) Tell us the dollar amount of the suspected error.

We will investigate your complaint and will correct any error promptly. If we take more than 10 business days to do this, we will recredit your account for the amount you think is in error, so that you will have the use of the money during the time it takes us to complete our investigation.

YOUR DEMAND DEPOSIT LOAN ACCOUNT (SUMMARY OF RIGHTS IS OUTLINED BELOW.

There is a summary of your right... a bill for unauthorized use, and our responsibilities under the Federal Fair Credit Billing Act will be sent to you both upon request and in response to a billing error notice.

Your Demand Deposit Loan account is provided in connection with your Demand Deposit Account. Any charges for your checking account will be made to the Demand Deposit Account and they will be the same charges as are made for Demand Deposit Accounts not operated in conjunction with Demand Deposit Loan Accounts. The following information does apply to transactions involving your Demand Deposit Loan Account line of credit.

IN CASE OF ERRORS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR LOAN

If you think your bill is wrong, or if you need more information about a transaction on your bill, write us on a separate sheet at the address listed on the front of this bill as soon as possible. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the first bill on which the error or problem appeared. You can telephone us, but doing so will not preserve your rights. In your letter, give us the following information:

(1) Your name and account number.
(2) The dollar amount of the suspected error.
(3) Describe the error and explain, if you can, why you believe there is an error. If you need more information, describe the item you are unsure about.

You do not have to pay any amount in question while we are investigating, but you are still obligated to pay the parts of your bill that are not in question. While we investigate your question, we cannot report you as delinquent or take any action to collect the amount in question.

We figure a portion of the finance charge on your Loan Account by applying the daily periodic rate to the "Daily Balance" of your account for the billing cycle. To get the "Daily Balance" we take the beginning balance of your account each day, add any new advances and subtract any new payments or credits and unpaid FINANCE CHARGES. This gives us the daily balances.

The minimum periodic payment ... [illegible] ... on this bill. You may pay off your Loan balance at any time, or make voluntary additional payments. Payments shall be applied, first to any ... [illegible] ... and then to the principal balance. Each payment reduces your outstanding balance in your Loan Account. Periodic statements may be sent to you at the end of each billing cycle ... [illegible] ...

Send payments and inquiries to ... [illegible] ...


NOTE: Payments received ... [illegible] ... business day will be credited ... [illegible] ... payments may not be credited to your ...

Giese-CFB 1729

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Exhibit 5

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016-Dec-09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526



3838 Blue Ridge Blvd.
P.O. Box 1067
Williamston, S.C. 29697
(864) 847-9654

1800 Bandmar Blvd.
P.O. Box 490
Seneca, S.C. 29679
(864) 882-2676

4002 Clemson Blvd.
P.O. Box 5108
Anderson, S.C. 29623
(864) 221-2440

208 East Main St.
P.O. Box 247
Williamston, S.C. 29697
(864) 847-9103

1101 East Main St.
P.O. Box 1050
Westminster, S.C. 29693
(864) 647-9654

446 Hwy. 123 By-Pass
P.O. Box 488
Seneca, SC 29678
(864) 885-0206

000 00007 03
ACCOUNT: [redacted]1950
DOCUMENTS: 7

PAGE: 1
01/31/2008

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST

30-0
2
5

COLUMBIA SC 29205

****
* PLEASE VISIT OUR NEW OFFICE NOW OPEN AT 2007 EAST GREENVILLE ST, *
* ANDERSON, SC. (ACROSS FROM ANMED HEALTH CAMPUS ON HIGHWAY 81). *
* PHONE (864)224-0178. *
****

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

DESCRIPTION	DEBITS	CREDITS	DATE	BALANCE
BALANCE LAST STATEMENT			12/31/07	82,801.60
DEPOSIT		12,400.00	01/07/08	95,201.60
TAX		310,510.75	01/07/08	405,712.35
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	20,000.00		01/14/08	385,712.35
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	850.00		01/16/08	384,862.35
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	1,525.00		01/16/08	383,337.35
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	5,000.00		01/17/08	378,337.35
DEPOSIT		5,433.76	01/18/08	383,771.11
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	4,275.16		01/23/08	379,495.95
INTEREST		1,218.06	01/31/08	380,714.01
BALANCE THIS STATEMENT			01/31/08	380,714.01

TOTAL CREDITS	(4)	329,562.57	AVG AVAILABLE BALANCE	328,067.08
TOTAL DEBITS	(5)	31,650.16	AVERAGE BALANCE	329,168.21

* * * C O N T I N U E D * * *

Giese-CFB 1731

NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

THIS FORM IS PROVIDED TO HELP YOU BALANCE YOUR STATEMENT

WITHDRAWALS OUTSTANDING - NOT CHARGED TO ACCOUNT

No.	$	
TOTAL	$	

BEFORE YOU START-

PLEASE BE SURE YOU HAVE ENTERED IN YOUR REGISTER ALL AUTOMATIC TRANSACTIONS SHOWN ON THE FRONT OF YOUR STATEMENT.

YOU SHOULD HAVE ADDED IF ANY OCCURRED:

1. Loan advances.
2. Credit memos.
3. Other automatic deposits.
4. Interest paid.

YOU SHOULD HAVE SUBTRACTED IF ANY OCCURRED:

1. Automatic loan payments.
2. Automatic savings transfers.
3. Service charges.
4. Debit memos.
5. Other automatic deductions and payments

BALANCE SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT $

ADD

DEPOSITS NOT SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT (IF ANY) $

TOTAL $

SUBTRACT-

WITHDRAWALS OUTSTANDING $

BALANCE $

SHOULD AGREE WITH YOUR REGISTER BALANCE AFTER DEDUCTING SERVICE CHARGE (IF ANY) SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT.

Please examine immediately and report if incorrect. If no reply is received within 60 days the account will be considered correct.

IN CASE OF ERRORS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR ELECTRONIC TRANSFERS

Telephone or write to us at the telephone number or address located on the front of this statement as soon as you can, if you think your statement or receipt is wrong or if you need more information about a transfer on the statement or receipt. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the FIRST statement on which the error or problem appeared.

(1) Tell us your name and account number (if any).
(2) Describe the error or the transfer you are unsure about, and explain as clearly as you can why you believe there is an error or why you need more information.
(3) Tell us the dollar amount of the suspected error.

We will investigate your complaint and will correct any error promptly. If we take more than 10 business days to do this, we will recredit your account for the amount you think is in error, so that you will have use of the money during the time it takes us to complete our investigation.

YOUR DEMAND DEPOSIT LOAN ACCOUNT SUMMARY OF RIGHTS IS OUTLINED BELOW.

There is a summary of your rights, a full statement of your rights and our responsibilities under the Federal Fair Credit Billing Act will be sent to you both upon request and in response to a billing error notice.

Your Demand Deposit Loan Account is operated in conjunction with your Demand Deposit Account. Any charges for your checking account will be made to the Demand Deposit Account and they will be the same charges as are made for Demand Deposit Accounts not operated in conjunction with Demand Deposit Loan Accounts. The following information thus applies only to loans made to you under your Demand Deposit Loan Account line of credit.

IN CASE OF ERRORS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR LOAN

If you think your bill is wrong, or if you need more information about a transaction on your bill, write us on a separate sheet at the address located on the front of this bill as soon as possible. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the first bill on which the error or problem appeared. You can telephone us, but doing so will not preserve your rights. In your letter, give us the following information:

(1) Your name and account number.
(2) The dollar amount of the suspected error.
(3) Describe the error and explain, if you can, why you believe there is an error. If you need more information, describe the item you are unsure about.

You do not have to pay any money in question while we are investigating, but you are still obligated to pay the parts of your bill that are not in question. While we investigate your question, we cannot report you as delinquent or take any action to collect the amount you question.

We figure a portion of the FINANCE CHARGE on your Loan Account by applying the daily periodic rate to the "Daily Balance" of your account for the billing cycle. To get the "Daily Balance" we take the beginning balance of your account each day, add any new loans, and subtract any new payments or credits and unpaid FINANCE CHARGES. This gives us the daily balance.

The minimum periodic payment required is shown on the front of this bill. You may pay the full Loan balance at any time, or make voluntary additional payments. Payments shall be applied, first to any unpaid FINANCE CHARGES and second to the principal loan balance outstanding in your Loan Account. Periodic statements may be sent to you at the end of each billing cycle showing your loan transactions.

Send payments and inquiries to address shown on front of bill.

NOTE: Payments received after close of business shall be credited as received on the next business day for purposes of crediting your acc

Glese-CFB 1732

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

- - - - - - - - - - I N T E R E S T - - - - - - - - - -


AVERAGE LEDGER BALANCE:	329,168.21	INTEREST EARNED:	1,218.06
AVERAGE AVAILABLE BALANCE:	328,067.08	DAYS IN PERIOD:	31
INTEREST PAID THIS PERIOD:	1,218.06	ANNUAL PERCENTAGE YIELD EARNED:	4.46%
INTEREST PAID 2008:	1,218.06		
INTEREST PAID 2007:	14,215.40		

- - - ITEMIZATION OF NSF PAID AND RETURNED ITEM FEES - - -


	THIS PERIOD	YEAR TO DATE
NSF PAID ITEM FEE:	.00	.00
NSF RETURNED ITEM FEE:	.00	.00
OVERDRAFT FEES:	.00	.00

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Glese-CFB 1733



01/07 Deposit $ 12,400.00



01/18 Deposit $ 5,433.76

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Exhibit 6

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK FDIC

000 00007
ACCOUNT: [redacted]1950
DOCUMENTS: 2

PAGE: 1
07/31/2007

1868 Blue Ridge Blvd. P.O. Box 1087 Walhalla, S.C. 29691 (864) 638-3105
1900 Sandifer Blvd. P.O. Box 489 Seneca, S.C. 29678 (864) 882-2678
4002 Clemson Blvd. P.O. Box 5106 Anderson, S.C. 29623 (864) 222-2440
208 East Main St. P.O. Box 247 Williamston, S.C. 29697 (864) 847-5109
1101 East Main St. P.O. Box 1060 Westminster, S.C. 29693 (864) 647-9654
448 Hwy. 123 By-Pass P.O. Box 489 Seneca, SC 29678 (864) 886-8200

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST

COLUMBIA SC 29205

30-0
1
1

****
* SHOULD YOU BE A BORROWER, COBORROWER, OR GUARANTOR ON A CONSUMER *
* PURPOSE LOAN WITH COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, WE MAY REPORT INFORMATION *
* ABOUT YOUR ACCOUNT TO CREDIT BUREAUS. LATE PAYMENTS, MISSED PAYMENTS, *
* OR OTHER DEFAULTS ON YOUR ACCOUNT MAY BE REFLECTED IN YOUR CREDIT *
* BUREAU REPORT. *
****

********** NOTIFICATION OF CHANGE IN TERMS **********

ON JUNE 15, 2007, THE FOLLOWING FEES WILL TAKE EFFECT:
$30.00 PER ITEM FEE FOR RETURNING OVERDRAFTS
$30.00 PER ITEM FEE FOR PAYING OVERDRAFTS
$30.00 PER ITEM FOR STOP PAYMENT (ALL ITEMS, CHECKS, ACH PAYMENTS)

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

DESCRIPTION		DEBITS	CREDITS	DATE	BALANCE
BALANCE LAST STATEMENT				06/29/07	1172,450.39
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT		500,000.00		07/12/07	672,450.39
FUNDS TRANSFER			4,294.92	07/16/07	676,745.31
INTEREST			3,697.08	07/31/07	680,442.39
BALANCE THIS STATEMENT				07/31/07	680,442.39
TOTAL CREDITS	(2)	7,992.00	AVG AVAILABLE BALANCE		862,097.85
TOTAL DEBITS	(1)	500,000.00	AVERAGE BALANCE		862,097.85

- - - - - - - - - INTEREST - - - - - - - - -

AVERAGE LEDGER BALANCE:	862,097.85	INTEREST EARNED:	3,697.08
AVERAGE AVAILABLE BALANCE:	862,097.85	DAYS IN PERIOD:	32
INTEREST PAID THIS PERIOD:	3,697.08	ANNUAL PERCENTAGE YIELD EARNED:	5.00%
INTEREST PAID 2007:	4,323.89		

* * * CONTINUED * * *

Glese-CFB 1418

NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Exhibit 7

Account Agreement

Date: June 22, 2007

Financial Institution

Community First Bank, member FDIC
449 Hwy 123 By-Pass
Seneca, SC 29678
(864)886-0206

Rep: LR

IMPORTANT ACCOUNT OPENING INFORMATION: Federal law requires us to obtain sufficient information to verify your identity. You may be asked several questions and to provide one or more forms of identification to fulfill this requirement. In some instances we may use outside sources to confirm the information. The information you provide is protected by our privacy policy and federal law.

Enter Non-Individual Owner information on page 2. There is additional Owner/Signer information space on page 2.

Owner/Signer Information

Name	DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
Relationship to Account (Owner and/or Signer, etc.)	
Address	1707 DEVINE ST, COLUMBIA, SC 29205
Mailing Address (if different)	
Home Phone	(803)790-7440
Work Phone	
Mobile Phone	
E-Mail	
Birth Date	[redacted]
SSN/TIN	[redacted]
Gov't Issued Photo ID, Type, Number, State, Issue Date, Exp. Date	DL#: [redacted] St: SC Issued: 09/06/2006 Exp: 08/04/2011
Other ID (Description, Details)	Issued: Exp:
Employer's Name & Address	
Previous Financial Inst.	

Co-Owner/Signer Information

Name	
Relationship to Account (Owner and/or Signer, etc.)	
Address	
Mailing Address (if different)	
Home Phone	
Work Phone	
Mobile Phone	
E-Mail	
Birth Date	
SSN/TIN	
Gov't Issued Photo ID, Type, Number, State, Issue Date, Exp. Date	
Other ID (Description, Details)	
Employer's Name & Address	
Previous Financial Inst.	

Internal Use

Account Owner(s)

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST
COLUMBIA, SC 29205

Ownership Options

The specified ownership will remain the same for all accounts.

- ☐ Individual
- ☐ Joint with Survivorship (not as tenants in common)
- ☐ Joint with No Survivorship (as tenants in common)
- ☐ Corporation - For Profit
- ☐ Corporation - Nonprofit
- ☐ Partnership
- ☐ Sole Proprietorship
- ☐ Limited Liability Company
- ☐ Trust-Separate Agreement Dated:
- ☒ Trust Accounts

Account Options

(Check appropriate ownership above.)

- ☐ Revocable Trust
- ☐ Pay-On-Death (POD)
- ☐ _____

Beneficiary Designation

(Check appropriate beneficiary designation above.)

☐ If checked, this is a temporary account agreement.

Number of signatures required for withdrawal: 1

Agreement

The undersigned authorize the financial institution to investigate credit and employment history and obtain reports from consumer reporting agency(ies) on them as individuals. Except as otherwise provided by law or other documents, each of the undersigned is authorized to make withdrawals from the account(s), provided the required number of signatures indicated above is satisfied. The undersigned personally and as, or on behalf of, the account owner(s) agree to the terms of, and acknowledge receipt of copy(ies) of, this document and the following:

- ☒ Terms and Conditions
- ☐ Electronic Fund Transfers
- ☐ Substitute Checks
- ☒ Common Features
- ☐ Privacy
- ☐ Truth in Savings
- ☐ Funds Availability
- ☐ _____

[X Debi Baker Brookshire]
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE

[X _____]

[X _____]

[X _____]

☐ Authorized Signer (If checked and account is individual and consumer purpose, the last of the above signers is an Authorized Signer.)

Expersoft © 2003 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form MPMF-LAZ-SC 4/19/2004

FILED: 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Name	DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
EIN	[redacted]
Phone	(803)790-7440
Mobile Phone	
E-Mail	
Type of Entity	
State/Country & Date of Organization	
Nature of Business	
Address	1707 DEVINE ST, COLUMBIA, SC 29205
Mailing Address (if different)	
Authorization/ Resolution Date	
Previous Financial Inst.	

Business Premium Investment Checking	[redacted]1950	$ 1,171,833.58 ☐ Cash ☐ Check ☒ WIRE
		$ ☐ Cash ☐ Check ☐
		$ ☐ Cash ☐ Check ☐

☐ ATM ☐ Debit/Check Cards (No. Requested) _____

☐ _____ ☐ _____

☐ _____ ☐ _____

Name	
Relationship to Account (Owner and/or Signer, etc.)	
Address	
Mailing Address (if different)	
Home Phone	
Work Phone	
Mobile Phone	
E-Mail	
Birth Date	
SSN/TIN	
Gov't Issued Photo ID, Type, Number, State, Issue Date, Exp. Date	
Other ID (Description, Details)	
Employer's Name & Address	
Previous Financial Inst.	

Name	
Relationship to Account (Owner and/or Signer, etc.)	
Address	
Mailing Address (if different)	
Home Phone	
Work Phone	
Mobile Phone	
E-Mail	
Birth Date	
SSN/TIN	
Gov't Issued Photo ID, Type, Number, State, Issue Date, Exp. Date	
Other ID (Description, Details)	
Employer's Name & Address	
Previous Financial Inst.	

(If not a "U.S. Person," certify foreign status separately.)

TIN [redacted]

☒ **Taxpayer I.D. Number (TIN)** - The number shown above is my correct taxpayer identification number.

☒ **Backup Withholding** - I am not subject to backup withholding either because I have not been notified that I am subject to backup withholding as a result of a failure to report all interest or dividends, or the Internal Revenue Service has notified me that I am no longer subject to backup withholding.

☐ **Exempt Recipients** - I am an exempt recipient under the Internal Revenue Service Regulations.

I certify under penalties of perjury the statements checked in this section and that I am a U.S. person (including a U.S. resident alien).

x Debi Baker Brookshire, Trustee 6/29/07

SEE ADDENDUM.

DBB

© 2002 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form MPMP-LAZ-SS 4/19/2004

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

5

TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF YOUR ACCOUNT

AGREEMENT - This document, along with any other documents we give you pertaining to your account(s), is a contract that establishes rules which control your account(s) with us. Please read this carefully. If you sign the signature card or open or continue to have your account with us, you agree to these rules. You will receive a separate schedule of rates, qualifying balances, and fees if they are not included in this document. If you have any questions, please call us.

This agreement is subject to applicable federal laws and the laws of the state of South Carolina (except to the extent that this agreement can and does vary such rules or laws). The body of state and federal law that governs our relationship with you, however, is too large and complex to be reproduced here. The purpose of this document is to:

(1) summarize some laws that apply to common transactions;
(2) establish rules to cover transactions or events which the law does not regulate;
(3) establish rules for certain transactions or events which the law regulates but permits variation by agreement; and
(4) give you disclosures of some of our policies to which you may be entitled or in which you may be interested.

If any provision of this document is found to be unenforceable according to its terms, all remaining provisions will continue in full force and effect. We may permit some variations from our standard agreement, but we must agree to any variation in writing either on the signature card for your account or in some other document.

As used in this document the words "we," "our," and "us" mean the financial institution and the words "you" and "your" mean the account holder(s) and anyone else with the authority to deposit, withdraw, or exercise control over the funds in the account. The headings in this document are for convenience or reference only and will not govern the interpretation of the provisions. Unless it would be inconsistent to do so, words and phrases used in this document should be construed so the singular includes the plural and the plural includes the singular.

LIABILITY - You agree, for yourself (and the person or entity you represent if you sign as a representative of another) to the terms of this account and the schedule of charges. You authorize us to deduct these charges directly from the account balance as accrued. You will pay any additional reasonable charges for services you request which are not covered by this agreement.

Each of you also agrees to be jointly and severally (individually) liable for any account shortage resulting from charges or overdrafts, whether caused by you or another with access to this account. This liability is due immediately, and can be deducted directly from the account balance whenever sufficient funds are available. You have no right to defer payment of this liability, and you are liable regardless of whether you signed the item or benefited from the charge or overdraft. This includes liability for our costs to collect the deficit including, to the extent permitted by law, our reasonable attorneys' fees.

DEPOSITS - We will give only provisional credit until collection is final for any items, other than cash, we accept for deposit (including items drawn "on us"). Actual credit for deposits of, or payable in, foreign currency will be at the exchange rate in effect on final collection in U.S. dollars. We are not responsible for transactions by mail or outside depository until we actually record them. We will treat and record all transactions received after our "daily cutoff time" on a business day we are open, or received on a day we are not open for business, as if initiated on the next following business day that we are open.

WITHDRAWALS - Unless clearly indicated otherwise on the account records, any of you, acting alone, who signs in the space designated for signatures on the signature card may withdraw or transfer all or any part of the account balance at any time. Each of you (until we receive written notice to the contrary) authorizes each other person signing the signature card to indorse any item payable to you or your order for deposit to this account or any other transaction with us. We may charge your account for a check even though payment was made before the date of the check, unless we have received written notice of the postdating in time to have a reasonable opportunity to act. We may refuse any withdrawal or transfer request which you attempt on forms not approved by us, by any method we do not specifically permit, which is greater in number than the frequency permitted, or which is for an amount greater or less than any withdrawal limitations. Even if we honor a nonconforming request, we may treat continued abuse of the stated limitations (if any) as your act of closing the account. We will use the date the transaction is completed by us (as opposed to the date you initiate it) to apply the frequency limitations. The fact that we may honor withdrawal requests that overdraw the available account balance does not obligate us to do so later. See the funds availability policy disclosure for information about when you can withdraw funds you deposit. For those accounts for which our funds availability policy disclosure does not apply, you can ask us when you make a deposit when those funds will be available for withdrawal.

We may require not less than 7 days' notice in writing before each withdrawal from an interest-bearing account other than a time deposit, or from any other savings account as defined by Regulation D. Withdrawals from a time account prior to maturity or prior to any notice period may be restricted and may be subject to penalty. See your notice of penalty for early withdrawal.

OWNERSHIP OF ACCOUNT AND BENEFICIARY DESIGNATION - These rules apply to this account depending on the form of ownership and beneficiary designation, if any, specified on the account records. We make no representations as to the appropriateness or effect of the ownership and beneficiary designations, except as they determine to whom we pay the account funds. **Individual Account** - is an account in the name of one person. **Joint Account - With Survivorship (And Not As Tenants in Common)** - is an account in the name of two or more persons. Each of you intend that when you die the balance in the account (subject to any previous pledge to which we have agreed) will belong to the survivor(s). If two or more of you survive, you will own the balance in the account as joint tenants with survivorship and not as tenants in common. **Joint Account - No Survivorship (As Tenants in Common)** - is owned by two or more persons, but none of you intend (merely by opening this account) to create any right of survivorship in any other person. We encourage you to agree and tell us in writing of the percentage of the deposit contributed by each of you. This information will not, however, affect the "number of signatures" necessary for withdrawal. **Revocable Trust or Pay-On-Death Account** - If two or more of you create this type of account, you own the account jointly with survivorship. Beneficiaries cannot withdraw unless: (1) all persons creating the account die, and (2) the beneficiary is then living. If two or more beneficiaries are named and survive the death of all persons creating the account, beneficiaries will own this account in equal shares, without right of survivorship. The person(s) creating either of these account types may: (1) change beneficiaries, (2) change account types, and (3) withdraw all or part of the account funds at any time.

BUSINESS ACCOUNTS - Earnings in the form of interest, dividends, or credits will be paid only on collected funds, unless otherwise provided by law or our policy. We may require the governing body of the legal entity opening the account to give us a separate authorization telling us who is authorized to act on its behalf. We will honor the authorization until we actually receive written notice of a change from the governing body of the legal entity.

©1993, 1999, 1991, 1993 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form TC-BC 4/13/2001

STOP PAYMENTS - A stop-payment order must be given in the manner required by law, must be received in time to give us a reasonable opportunity to act on it, and must precisely identify the number, date and amount of the item, and the payee. We will honor a stop-payment request by the person who signed the particular item, and, by any other person, even though such other person did not sign the item, if such other person has an equal or greater right to withdraw from this account than the person who signed the item in question. A release of the stop-payment request may be made only by the person who initiated the stop-payment order.

TELEPHONE TRANSFERS - A telephone transfer of funds from this account to another account with us, if otherwise arranged for or permitted, may be made by the same persons and under the same conditions generally applicable to withdrawals made in writing. Unless a different limitation is disclosed in writing, we restrict the number of transfers from a savings account to another account or to third parties, to a maximum of six per month (less the number of "preauthorized transfers" during the month). Other account transfer restrictions may be described elsewhere.

AMENDMENTS AND TERMINATION - We may change any term of this agreement. Rules governing changes in interest rates are provided separately. For other changes, we will give you reasonable notice in writing or by any other method permitted by law. We may also close this account at any time upon reasonable notice to you and tender of the account balance personally or by mail. Notice from us to any one of you is notice to all of you.

STATEMENTS - You must examine your statement of account with "reasonable care and promptness." If you discover (or reasonably should have discovered) any unauthorized signatures or alterations, you must promptly notify us of the relevant facts. As between you and us, if you fail to do either of these duties, you will have to bear the entire loss. Your loss could be not only with respect to items on the statement but other items with unauthorized signatures or alterations by the same wrongdoer. We lose these protections if you establish that we failed to exercise ordinary care in paying an item with an unauthorized signature or alteration.

You agree that the time you have to examine your statement and report to us will depend on the circumstances, but will not, in any circumstance, exceed a total of 14 days from when the statement is first sent or made available to you.

You further agree that if you fail to report any unauthorized signatures, alterations, forgeries, or any other errors in your account within 60 days of when we first send or make the statement available, you cannot assert a claim against us on any items in that statement, and as between you and us the loss will be entirely yours. This 60-day limitation is without regard to whether we used ordinary care. The limitation in this paragraph is in addition to that contained in the first paragraph of this section.

ACCOUNT TRANSFER - This account may not be transferred or assigned without our prior written consent.

DIRECT DEPOSITS - If, in connection with a direct deposit plan, we deposit any amount in an account which should have been returned to the Federal Government for any reason, you authorize us to deduct the amount of our liability to the Federal Government from the account or from any other account you have with us, without prior notice and at any time, except as prohibited by law. We may also use any other legal remedy to recover the amount of our liability.

TEMPORARY ACCOUNT AGREEMENT - If this option is selected, this is a temporary account agreement. Each person who signs in the space designated for signatures on the signature card (except as indicated to the contrary) may transact business on this account. However, we may at some time in the future restrict or prohibit further use of this account if you fail to comply with the requirements we have imposed within a reasonable time.

SETOFF - We may (without prior notice and when permitted by law) set off the funds in this account against any due and payable debt you owe us now or in the future, by any of you having the right of withdrawal, to the extent of such persons' or legal entity's right to withdraw. If the debt arises from a note, "any due and payable debt" includes the total amount of which we are entitled to demand payment under the terms of the note at the time we set off, including any balance the due date for which we properly accelerate under the note.

This right of setoff does not apply to this account if: (a) it is an IRA or other tax-deferred retirement account, or (b) the debt is created by a consumer credit transaction under a credit card plan (but this does not affect our rights under any consensual security interest), or (c) the debtor's right of withdrawal only arises in a representative capacity. We will not be liable for the dishonor of any check when the dishonor occurs because we set off a debt against this account. You agree to hold us harmless from any claim arising as a result of our exercise of our right of setoff.

AUTHORIZED SIGNER (Individual Accounts only) - A *single* individual is the owner. The authorized signer is merely designated to conduct transactions on the owner's behalf. We undertake no obligation to monitor transactions to determine that they are on the owner's behalf.

RESTRICTIVE LEGENDS - We are not required to honor any restrictive legend on checks you write unless we have agreed in writing to the restriction. Examples of restrictive legends are "must be presented within 90 days" or "not valid for more than $1,000.00."

ACH AND WIRE TRANSFERS - This agreement is subject to Article 4A of the Uniform Commercial Code - Fund Transfers as adopted in the state in which you have your account with us. If you originate a fund transfer for which Fedwire is used, and you identify by name and number a beneficiary financial institution, an intermediary financial institution or a beneficiary, we and every receiving or beneficiary financial institution may rely on the identifying number to make payment. We may rely on the number even if it identifies a financial institution, person or account other than the one named. You agree to be bound by automated clearing house association rules. These rules provide, among other things, that payments made to you, or originated by you, are provisional until final settlement is made through a Federal Reserve Bank or payment is otherwise made as provided in Article 4A-403(a) of the Uniform Commercial Code. If we do not receive such, we are entitled to a refund from you in the amount credited to your account and the party originating such payment will not be considered to have paid the amount so credited. If we receive a credit to an account you have with us by wire or ACH, we are not required to give you any notice of the payment order or credit.

ABB (page 2 of 2)

Expert ©1993, 1999, 1991, 1993 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form TC-6C 4/13/2001

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Community First Bank, member FDIC
Seneca, SC 39678

ADDENDUM: This account agreement addendum is incorporated into and made a part of the accompanying account agreement for all poses.

I BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST
COLUMBIA, SC 29205

Account Agreement Dated: 06/22/07

Additional Terms and Conditions of Your Account:

PAYMENT ORDER OF ITEMS - The law permits us to pay items (such as checks or drafts) drawn on your account in any order. To assist you in handling your account with us, we are providing you with the following information regarding how we process the items that you write. When processing items drawn on your account, our policy is to pay them according to the dollar amount. We pay the smallest items first. The order in which items are paid is important if there is not enough money in your account to pay all the items that are presented. Our payment policy minimizes the number of items that may result in an overdraft or NSF fee. If an item is presented without sufficient funds in your account to pay it, we may, at our discretion, pay the item (creating an overdraft) or return the item (NSF). The amount of the overdraft and NSF fees are disclosed elsewhere. We encourage you to make careful records and practice good account management. This will help you to avoid writing checks or drafts without sufficient funds and incurring the resulting fees.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 25

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

COUNTY OF OCONEE

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CASE NO. 2014-CP-37-529

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, INC. and
BENJAMIN LEE HIOTT,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF: JAMES W. TABOR

DATE: August 18, 2016

TIME: 9:33 AM.

LOCATION: Law Offices of
Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd, PA
1201 Main Street, 22nd Floor
Columbia, SC

TAKEN BY: Counsel for the Defendants

REPORTED BY: TERRI L. BRUSSEAU, RPR, CRR

A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES

Fast, Accurate & Friendly

Charleston, SC (843) 722-8414
Hilton Head, SC (843) 785-3263
Myrtle Beach, SC (843) 839-3376
Columbia, SC (803) 731-5224
Greenville, SC (864) 234-7030
Charlotte, NC (704) 573-3919

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And that was after you finished at SLED, is that right?

A. That's correct.

Q. How long were you an employee of the solicitor's office?

A. Probably -- I'm going to say I -- maybe a year and a half, two years. I --

Q. Okay. Not too long?

A. No, not really. Barney -- the day that I told my boss that I was retiring, he said Barney wanted to see me and I went up to Barney's office at the solicitor's office and he offered me a job so --

Q. Well, there you go. And Mr. Tabor, I understand that you have done investigative work for Miss Brookshire, is that correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. When were you first engaged by Miss Brookshire?

A. You know, it was probably -- I want to say around 2012 --

Q. Okay.

A. -- she called me and said that she -- I had been referred to her by Jack Swirling and that

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

she needed me to try and locate somebody. I can't even remember the young man's name now who she believed had been involved in taking some jewelry and some other personal items that she had. Logan Fox was his -- I believe that was the name he was going by but that wasn't his original name, he had had his name changed.

Q. Might it have been Ken Williams or something --

A. No.

Q. -- like that?

A. No.

Q. Brandon Cox?

A. No, Brandon Cox was his -- one of his significant others.

Q. Okay.

A. And I tracked this young man's grandmother down and found out where he was at and he was supposed to have his team as he referred to them meet with me but he never did about the jewelry but -- you know, he said that they had taken her jewelry and most of it was gone.

Q. Okay. And to the best of your recollection this was sometime around 2012?

A. Yeah, that's -- that is close as I can

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

put it right now.

Q. Okay. Did she engage you to do any other investigative work other than the jewelry aspect?

A. Yeah, Miss Brookshire had all kind of things that she wanted me to look into for her. Some things I could do, some things I couldn't do. One of them involved trying to find a -- I can't remember if she referred to it as an annuity or a life insurance policy or something on two of her -- two of the children that she had at one time.

Q. The custody --

A. The custody --

Q. -- of the children -- yes. Yes. Okay.

A. And she said it -- the best she could tell it was with a company, I believe it was called Lafayette Insurance Company, and she even furnished me some records where Lafayette had said to her -- or provided her information they had no record of any such annuity or documents being issued.

Q. Okay. Any other subjects that she wanted you to look into or that you looked into on her behalf?

A. You know, she wanted me to go to Alabama and work on the custody issue of those

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

documents from Debi. It may have been like a single letter or something that she said, here, here, take this. As far as going and getting boxes of records, no, I think any records that I got Debi pretty much brought to me.

BY MS. BLACK:

Q. Okay. Did she come up to Columbia and give them to you in Columbia?

A. Yeah.

Q. Okay. So she didn't like hand you a box of documents and say, here, go find whatever you need, is that --

A. Oh, yeah, I mean, that was in essence -- the boxes that she gave me --

Q. Oh.

A. -- it might have bank records in it, it might have things about the children in it, it may have personal letters in it.

Q. Okay. So did you yourself go sift through those boxes?

A. Yeah, I would have.

Q. Okay. How many boxes did she bring to you?

A. I remember it was two boxes and probably a plastic file folder that she had. A lot

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

of it had to do with -- I don't know. She may have given me some boxes prior to me even knowing about Community First Bank with her missing jewelry and --

Q. Did --

A. -- bank boxes she had. Debi had -- it was a convoluted case working with Miss Brookshire.

Q. Um-hum. Did she leave it up to you as to what you would pull out of the boxes to find to be relevant?

A. She would tell me, this is in this box.

Q. Okay.

A. And then I would go and search to try and find it.

Q. Okay. Did you ever receive any documents from Michael Sgobbo?

A. You know, I -- I don't think I ever got any documents from Mr. Sgobbo in regard to Debi.

Q. Did you ever receive any documents related to Miss Brookshire from any accountant that Miss Brookshire may have had?

A. You know, the Lafayette Insurance Company that I mentioned back at the very beginning, I think that she had -- I can't remember if it was an attorney she had working on that or an

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. Okay. And if you turn to the next page --

A. Um-hum.

Q. -- that says Giese-CFB 1418, is this a document you got from Miss Brookshire?

A. It is.

Q. Okay. When did you get this document?

A. I have no idea. I -- it was probably I'm going to say sometime in -- just based on this -- Exhibit Number 2 I'm going to say it was sometime in 2012 --

Q. Okay.

A. -- when I got this.

Q. Okay. Did you -- did she hand this document to you or was it in one of the boxes she brought?

A. This -- it was -- they were in the boxes but I think she gave me the top copy.

Q. And by top copy are you referring to --

A. Of --

Q. -- 1417?

A. 1417. I'm sorry.

Q. Okay. Okay.

A. I think she showed me that copy, you know, without any other copies at some point in

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Trust documents from Community First Bank, Giese-CFB 1731 to 1734, was marked for identification.)

BY MS. BLACK:

Q. Mr. Tabor, I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibits 7, 8 and 9 --

A. Um-hum.

Q. -- to your deposition. Were these documents that Miss Brookshire provided to you? I'll tell you, I don't have a nice cover page like the other one.

A. You know, if they were -- if this was information that you all received from the Giese Law Firm --

Q. Um-hum.

A. -- I would have to say yes --

Q. Okay.

A. -- she did.

Q. And I'll represent to you that if it has a Giese-CFB Bates prefix we did receive those --

A. Okay.

Q. -- from the Giese Law Firm.

A. Okay. I would say then that it would be fair to say that she gave me these.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Q. Okay. What did you do with the materials that Miss Brookshire gave to you?

A. Made them into that spreadsheet, an Excel spreadsheet.

Q. Okay. Did you wind up giving those documents to the Giese Law Firm at some point?

A. Um-hum. I did.

Q. Okay. Mr. Tabor, I've handed you a document that has the Bates Number S -- Sgobbo 00027 at the bottom.

A. Um-hum.

Q. Is this a document you've seen before?

A. If it is --

Q. I'll represent to you we did not get this from the Giese Law Firm.

A. Sgobbo it looks like probably.

Q. Yeah, we -- we did, we got it from him and I didn't know if this is something you'd seen before.

A. I see the name JW Hunt. I've never seen the name JW Hunt on anything that I can remember because I know Jim Hunt if that's Jim Hunt.


Q. Okay. Well, I won't mark it but wanted to see if you would recognize it and that's --

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 26

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526



Statement Community First
Deposit-6/29/07 $1,172,450.39
Miscellaneous Debit-7/12/07 $500,000.00

Glese-CFB 1416

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVENS ST
COLUMBIA SC 29205

SHOULD YOU BE A BORROWER, COBORROWER, OR GUARANTOR ON A CONSUMER PURPOSE LOAN WITH COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, WE MAY REPORT INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR ACCOUNT TO CREDIT BUREAUS. LATE PAYMENTS, MISSED PAYMENTS, OR OTHER DEFAULTS ON YOUR ACCOUNT MAY BE REFLECTED IN YOUR CREDIT BUREAU REPORT.

NOTIFICATION OF CHANGE IN TERMS

ON JUNE 15, 2007, THE FOLLOWING FEES WILL TAKE EFFECT:
$30.00 PER ITEM FEE FOR RETURNING OVERDRAFTS
$30.00 PER ITEM FEE FOR PAYING OVERDRAFTS
$32.00 PER ITEM FOR STOP PAYMENT (ALL ITEMS, CHECKS, ACH PAYMENTS)

PERFORMANCE INVESTMENT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

DESCRIPTION		DEBITS	CREDITS	DATE	BALANCE
BALANCE LAST STATEMENT				06/29/07	175,450.39
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT		500,000.00		07/12/07	675,450.39
FUNDS TRANSFER			4,294.92	07/16/07	679,745.31
INTEREST			3,697.08	07/31/07	683,442.39
BALANCE THIS STATEMENT				07/31/07	683,442.39
TOTAL CREDITS	(2)	7,992.00	AVG AVAILABLE BALANCE		862,097.85
TOTAL DEBITS	(1)	500,000.00	AVERAGE BALANCE		862,097.85

I N T E R E S T

AVERAGE LEDGER BALANCE:	862,097.85	INTEREST EARNED:	3,697.08
AVERAGE AVAILABLE BALANCE:	862,097.85	DAYS IN PERIOD:	32
INTEREST PAID THIS PERIOD:	3,697.08	ANNUAL PERCENTAGE YIELD EARNED:	5.004
INTEREST PAID 2007:	4,323.89		

C O N T I N U E D

http://cqserver/CQASP/prntsuml.asp?Sum=0x0014f844&Img=no

Clerk-CFB 1417

449

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK FDIC

000 00007 L
ACCOUNT: [redacted]1950
DOCUMENTS: 2

PAGE: 1
07/31/2007

2565 Blue Ridge Blvd. P.O. Box 1067 Walhalla, S.C. 29691 (864) 638-7100
1609 Bowman Hwy. P.O. Box 648 Bowman, S.C. 29018 (803) 992-2575
4007 Clemson Blvd. P.O. Box 5108 Anderson, S.C. 29622 (864) 722-2440
708 East Main St. P.O. Box 747 Westminster, S.C. 29693 (864) 647-5109
1101 East Main St. P.O. Box 1089 Westminster, S.C. 29693 (864) 647-2854
448 Hwy. 123 By-Pass P.O. Box 458 Seneca, SC 29678 (864) 882-0106

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST

COLUMBIA SC 29205

30-0
1
1

****
* SHOULD YOU BE A BORROWER, COBORROWER, OR GUARANTOR ON A CONSUMER *
* PURPOSE LOAN WITH COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, WE MAY REPORT INFORMATION *
* ABOUT YOUR ACCOUNT TO CREDIT BUREAUS. LATE PAYMENTS, MISSED PAYMENTS, *
* OR OTHER DEFAULTS ON YOUR ACCOUNT MAY BE REFLECTED IN YOUR CREDIT *
* BUREAU REPORT. *
****

********** NOTIFICATION OF CHANGE IN TERMS **********

ON JUNE 15, 2007, THE FOLLOWING FEES WILL TAKE EFFECT:
$30.00 PER ITEM FEE FOR RETURNING OVERDRAFTS
$30.00 PER ITEM FEE FOR PAYING OVERDRAFTS
$30.00 PER ITEM FOR STOP PAYMENT (ALL ITEMS, CHECKS, ACH PAYMENTS)

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

DESCRIPTION	DEBITS	CREDITS	DATE	BALANCE
BALANCE LAST STATEMENT			06/29/07	1172,450.39
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	500,000.00		07/12/07	672,450.39
FUNDS TRANSFER		4,294.92	07/16/07	676,745.31
INTEREST		3,697.08	07/31/07	680,442.39
BALANCE THIS STATEMENT			07/31/07	680,442.39

TOTAL CREDITS	(2)	7,992.00	AVG AVAILABLE BALANCE	862,097.85
TOTAL DEBITS	(1)	500,000.00	AVERAGE BALANCE	862,097.85

- - - - - - - - - - I N T E R E S T - - - - - - - - - -


AVERAGE LEDGER BALANCE:	862,097.85	INTEREST EARNED:	3,697.08
AVERAGE AVAILABLE BALANCE:	862,097.85	DAYS IN PERIOD:	32
INTEREST PAID THIS PERIOD:	3,697.08	ANNUAL PERCENTAGE YIELD EARNED:	5.00%
INTEREST PAID 2007:	4,323.89		

* * * C O N T I N U E D * * * D


Clese-CPB 1418


NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION




Glass CPB 1420



Glese-CFD 1421


Glass-CFB 1422



Glese-CFB 1423

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526



Letter August 28, 2010
From: Kathleen M. McDaniel
To: Debi Baker-Brookshire
Re: Conflict Waiver

Clerk-CFB 1424

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

FILE COPY

Kathleen M. McDaniel - Attorney
803-404-6900
KathleenMcDaniel@callisontighe.com

CALLISON TIGHE

August 23, 2010

John Baker
Baker and Baker Real Estate Developers, LLC
1400 Pickens Street
Columbia, SC 29201

RE: Waiver of Conflict of Interest

Dear Mr. Baker:

Mike Tighe and I recently met with your sister, Debi Baker-Brookshire, and at your request we shall be assisting her in matters concerning her personal finances.

Because of the litigation between the two of you in the past, possible conflicts of interests may arise from our ongoing representation of you and our undertaking representation of her at this time. I have described those conflicts in the enclosed Waiver of Conflict of Interest. I do not anticipate those conflicts arising, but it is my responsibility to advise you of them. To ensure that you are informed of the potential conflicts that may arise and consent to our representation of your sister despite those possible conflicts, I ask that you review and sign the enclosed Waiver of Conflict of Interest.

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding this matter.

With kindest regards, I am


Sincerely,

CALLISON TIGHE & ROBINSON, LLC

Kathleen M. McDaniel

KMM:lfh
Enclosures

Glese-CFB 1425


Glese-CFB 1426

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 27

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK

FDIC

000 00007 03 PAGE: 1
ACCOUNT: [redacted]1950 11/30/2007
DOCUMENTS: 10

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST 30-0
4
COLUMBIA SC 29205 6

****

* PLEASE VISIT OUR NEW OFFICE NOW OPEN AT 2007 EAST GREENVILLE ST, *
* ANDERSON, SC. (ACROSS FROM ANMED HEALTH CAMPUS ON HIGHWAY 81). *
* PHONE (864)224-0178. *

****

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

DESCRIPTION	DEBITS	CREDITS	DATE	BALANCE
BALANCE LAST STATEMENT			10/31/07	398,925.25
DEPOSIT		88,527.85	11/02/07	487,453.10
DEPOSIT		11,066.72	11/05/07	498,519.82
TRF TO 100252360	2,500.00		11/09/07	496,019.82
TRF TO 500213467	2,500.00		11/14/07	493,519.82
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	11,235.51		11/14/07	482,284.31
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	15,000.00		11/14/07	467,284.31
CHECK	1,147.61		11/14/07	466,136.70
DEPOSIT		5,433.76	11/19/07	471,570.46
DEPOSIT		25,000.00	11/19/07	496,570.46
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	748.48		11/19/07	495,821.98
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	888.68		11/19/07	494,933.30
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	200,000.00		11/28/07	294,933.30
INTEREST		1,654.24	11/30/07	296,587.54
BALANCE THIS STATEMENT			11/30/07	296,587.54

TOTAL CREDITS	(5)	131,682.57	AVG AVAILABLE BALANCE	456,608.73
TOTAL DEBITS	(8)	234,020.28	AVERAGE BALANCE	466,844.86

* * * C O N T I N U E D * * *

DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 7 Tabor 8-18-16 TB

Glese-CFB 1724

NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

THIS FORM IS PROVIDED TO HELP YOU BALANCE YOUR STATEMENT

WITHDRAWALS OUTSTANDING-NOT CHARGED TO ACCOUNT

No.	$	
TOTAL	$	

BEFORE YOU START-

PLEASE BE SURE YOU HAVE ENTERED IN YOUR REGISTER ALL AUTOMATIC TRANSACTIONS SHOWN ON THE FRONT OF YOUR STATEMENT.

YOU SHOULD HAVE ADDED IF ANY OCCURRED:

1. Loan advances.
2. Credit memos.
3. Other automatic deposits.
4. Interest paid.

YOU SHOULD HAVE SUBTRACTED IF ANY OCCURRED:

1. Automatic loan payments.
2. Automatic savings transfers.
3. Service charges.
4. Debit memos.
5. Other automatic deductions and payments.

ENTER AS SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT $

ADD

ITEMS NOT SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT, IF ANY $

TOTAL $

SUBTRACT-

WITHDRAWALS OUTSTANDING $

BALANCE $

SHOULD AGREE WITH YOUR REGISTER BALANCE AFTER DEDUCTING SERVICE CHARGE (IF ANY) SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT

Please examine immediately and report if incorrect. If no reply is received within 10 days the account will be considered correct.

IN CASE OF ERRORS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR ELECTRONIC TRANSFERS

Telephone or write to us at the telephone number or address found on the front of this statement as soon as you can, if you think your statement or receipt is wrong or if you need more information about a transfer on the statement or receipt. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the FIRST statement on which the error or problem appeared.

(1) Tell us your name and account number (if any).
(2) Describe the error or the transfer you are unsure about, and explain as clearly as you can why you believe it is an error or why you need more information.
(3) Tell us the dollar amount of the suspected error.

We will investigate your complaint and will correct any error promptly. If we take more than 10 business days to do this, we will recredit your account for the amount you think is in error, so that you will have use of the money during the time it takes us to complete our investigation.

YOUR DEMAND DEPOSIT LOAN ACCOUNT AND A SUMMARY OF RIGHTS IS OUTLINED BELOW

There is a summary of your rights, a full statement of your rights and other information about the Federal Fair Credit Billing Act will be sent to you both upon request and in response to a billing error notice.

Your Demand Deposit Loan Account is operated in conjunction with your Demand Deposit Account. Any charges for your checking account will be made to the Demand Deposit Account and they will be the same charges as are made for Demand Deposit Accounts not operated in conjunction with Demand Deposit Loan Accounts. The following information also applies only to loans made to you under your Demand Deposit Loan Account line of credit.

IN CASE OF ERRORS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR LOAN

If you think your bill is wrong, or if you need more information about a transaction on your bill, write us on a separate sheet at the address located on the front of the bill as soon as possible. We must hear from you no later than 90 days after we sent you the first bill on which the error or problem appeared. You can telephone us, but doing so will not preserve your rights. In your letter, give us the following information:

(1) Your name and account number.
(2) The dollar amount of the suspected error.
(3) Describe the error and explain, if you can, why you believe there is an error. If you need more information, describe the item you are unsure about.

You do not have to pay any money in question while we are investigating, but we are still obligated to pay the parts of your bill that are not in question. While we investigate your question, we cannot report you as delinquent or take any action to collect the amount you question.

We figure a portion of the FINANCE CHARGE on your Loan Account by applying periodic rates to the "Daily Balance" of your account for the billing cycle. To get the "Daily Balance" we take the beginning balance of your account each day, including advances and any new payments or credits and unpaid FINANCE CHARGES. This gives us the daily balance.

The minimum periodic payment required is shown on the front of this statement. You may pay your Loan balance at any time, or make voluntary additional payments. Payments shall be applied, first to any unpaid FINANCE CHARGES, and then to the principal balance owing in your Loan Account. Periodic statements may be sent to you at the end of each billing cycle showing your loan transactions.

Send payments and inquiries to address shown on front of bill.

NOTE: Payments received after close of business shall be considered received on the following business day for purposes of crediting your

Giese-CFB 1725

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

- - - - - - - - - - I N T E R E S T - - - - - - - - - -

AVERAGE LEDGER BALANCE:	466,844.86	INTEREST EARNED:	1,654.24
AVERAGE AVAILABLE BALANCE:	456,608.73	DAYS IN PERIOD:	30
INTEREST PAID THIS PERIOD:	1,654.24	ANNUAL PERCENTAGE YIELD EARNED:	4.50%
INTEREST PAID 2007:	13,000.17		


- - - ITEMIZATION OF NSF PAID AND RETURNED ITEM FEES - - -

	THIS PERIOD	YEAR TO DATE
NSF PAID ITEM FEE:	.00	.00
NSF RETURNED ITEM FEE:	.00	.00
OVERDRAFT FEES:	.00	.00


ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Glese-CFB 1726


ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526




11/02 Deposit $ 98,527.85




11/05 Deposit $ 11,066.72



11/19 Deposit $ 5,433.76



11/19 Deposit $ 25,000.00



11/14 Check $ 1,147.61

Glese-CFB 1727

ELECTRONICALLY FILED: 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 28

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK FDIC

3685 Blue Ridge Blvd. P.O. Box 1987 Walhalla, S.C. 29691 (864) 638-3105
1808 Bendiffer Blvd. P.O. Box 4888 Seneca, S.C. 29679 (864) 882-2975
4002 Clemson Blvd. P.O. Box 8128 Anderson, S.C. 29622 (864) 222-3440
208 East Main St. P.O. Box 347 Williamston, S.C. 29697 (864) 847-5709
1101 East Main St. P.O. Box 1080 Westminster, S.C. 29693 (864) 647-9564
449 Hwy. 123 By-Pass P.O. Box 450 Seneca, SC 29678 (864) 886-2570

000 00007 03
ACCOUNT: [redacted]1950
DOCUMENTS: 4

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST

COLUMBIA SC 29205

30-0
1
3

***

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

THIS FORM IS PROVIDED TO HELP YOU BALANCE YOUR STATEMENT

WITHDRAWALS NOT CHECKED ON STATEMENT

No.	$
TOTAL	$

BEFORE YOU START

BE SURE YOU HAVE ENTERED IN YOUR REGISTER ALL AUTOMATIC TRANSACTIONS SHOWN ON THE FRONT OF YOUR STATEMENT.

YOU SHOULD HAVE ADDED IF ANY OCCURRED:

1. Loan proceeds
2. Credit memos
3. Other automatic deposits
4. Interest paid

YOU SHOULD HAVE SUBTRACTED IF ANY OCCURRED:

1. Automatic loan payments.
2. Automatic savings transfers.
3. Service charges.
4. Debit memos
5. Other automatic deductions and payments

BALANCE SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT $

ADD

DEPOSITS NOT SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT (IF ANY) $

TOTAL $

SUBTRACT

OUTSTANDING WITHDRAWALS (IF ANY) $

BALANCE $

THIS SHOULD AGREE WITH YOUR REGISTER BALANCE AFTER SUBTRACTING SERVICE CHARGE (IF ANY) SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT

If you find any error or you need more information about any item listed on this statement or receipt, write us as soon as you can. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the FIRST statement on which the error or problem appeared.

(1) Tell us your name and account number (if any).
(2) Describe the error or the item you are unsure about, and explain as clearly as you can why you believe there is an error or why you need more information.
(3) Tell us the dollar amount of the suspected error.

We will investigate your complaint and will correct any error promptly. If we take more than 10 business days to do this, we will recredit your account for the amount you think is in error, so that you will have the use of the money during the time it takes us to complete our investigation.

IN CASE OF ERRORS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR ELECTRONIC TRANSFERS

Telephone or write to us at the address or telephone number on the front of this statement as soon as you can, if you think your statement or receipt is wrong or if you need more information about any electronic fund transfer (EFT) listed on the statement or receipt. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the FIRST statement on which the error or problem appeared.

(1) Tell us your name and account number (if any).
(2) Describe the error or the transfer you are unsure about, and explain as clearly as you can why you believe there is an error or why you need more information.
(3) Tell us the dollar amount of the suspected error.

We will investigate your complaint and will correct any error promptly. If we take more than 10 business days to do this, we will recredit your account for the amount you think is in error, so that you will have the use of the money during the time it takes us to complete our investigation.

YOUR BILLING RIGHTS IS OUTLINED BELOW.

There is a summary of your rights to obtain and enforce credit under the Federal Fair Credit Billing Act. Notices of your possible rights under the Federal Fair Credit Billing Act will be sent to you both upon request and in response to a billing error notice.

Your Demand Deposit Loan Account is a revolving credit plan. Overdrafts on your Demand Deposit Account and any charges for your checking account will be made to the Demand Deposit Account and they will be the same charges as are made for Demand Deposit accounts not operated in conjunction with Demand Deposit Loan Accounts. The following information does not apply to transactions involving your Demand Deposit Account line of credit.

IN CASE OF ERRORS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR LOAN

If you think your bill is wrong, or if you need more information about a transaction on your bill, write us on a separate sheet at the address located on the front of this bill as soon as possible. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the first bill on which the error or problem appeared. You can telephone us, but doing so will not preserve your rights. In your letter, give us the following information:

(1) Your name and account number.
(2) The dollar amount of the suspected error.
(3) Describe the error and explain, if you can, why you believe there is an error. If you need more information, describe the item you are unsure about.

You do not have to pay any amount in question while we are investigating, but you are still obligated to pay the parts of your bill that are not in question. While we investigate your question, we cannot report you as delinquent or take any action to collect the amount you question.

We figure a portion of the finance charge on your account by applying the periodic rate to the "Daily Balance" of your account for the billing cycle. To get the "Daily Balance" we take the beginning balance of your account each day, add any new loans and subtract any new payments or credits and unpaid FINANCE CHARGES. This gives us the daily balances.

The minimum payment requirement for each billing period is [illegible] of your Loan Balance, or any lesser amount, or make voluntary additional payments. Payments shall be applied, first to any unpaid FINANCE CHARGES, then to the principal balance owing in your Loan Account. Each statement may be sent to you at the end of each billing period whether or not there is any [illegible].

Send payments and inquiries to: [illegible]

NOTE: Payments received after close of business [illegible] on any banking day may be considered received on the following business day for purposes of crediting your account.

Giese-CFB 1729

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 29

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK

FDIC

3685 Blue Ridge Blvd. P.O. Box 1067 Walhalla, S.C. 29691 (864) 638-2108
1800 Banister Blvd. P.O. Box 488 Seneca, S.C. 29679 (864) 882-2676
4003 Clemson Blvd. P.O. Box 5128 Anderson, S.C. 29623 (864) 222-2440
208 East Main St. P.O. Box 247 Williamston, S.C. 29697 (864) 847-5109
1103 East Main St. P.O. Box 1030 Westminster, S.C. 29693 (864) 647-8364
448 Hwy. 123 By-Pass P.O. Box 488 Seneca, SC 29678 (864) 886-0200

000 00007 03
ACCOUNT: [redacted]1950
DOCUMENTS: 7

PAGE: 1
01/31/2008

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST

COLUMBIA SC 29205

30-0
2
5

****
*
* PLEASE VISIT OUR NEW OFFICE NOW OPEN AT 2007 EAST GREENVILLE ST, *
* ANDERSON, SC. (ACROSS FROM ANMED HEALTH CAMPUS ON HIGHWAY 81). *
* PHONE (864)224-0178. *
*
****

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

DESCRIPTION	DEBITS	CREDITS	DATE	BALANCE
BALANCE LAST STATEMENT			12/31/07	82,801.60
DEPOSIT		12,400.00	01/07/08	95,201.60
TAX		310,510.75	01/07/08	405,712.35
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	20,000.00		01/14/08	385,712.35
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	850.00		01/16/08	384,862.35
MISCELLANEOUS DEBIT	1,525.00		01/16/08	383,337.35
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	5,000.00		01/17/08	378,337.35
DEPOSIT		5,433.76	01/18/08	383,771.11
INVESTMENT COUNTER WITHDRAWAL	4,275.16		01/23/08	379,495.95
INTEREST		1,218.06	01/31/08	380,714.01
BALANCE THIS STATEMENT			01/31/08	380,714.01

TOTAL CREDITS	(4)	329,562.57	AVG AVAILABLE BALANCE	328,067.08
TOTAL DEBITS	(5)	31,650.16	AVERAGE BALANCE	329,168.21

* * * C O N T I N U E D * * *

EXHIBIT 9
Tabor
8-10-16 TB

Glese-CFB 1731

NOTICE: SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

THIS FORM IS PROVIDED TO HELP YOU BALANCE YOUR STATEMENT

WITHDRAWALS OUTSTANDING NOT CHARGED TO ACCOUNT

No.	$	
TOTAL	$	

BEFORE YOU START-

PLEASE BE SURE YOU HAVE ENTERED IN YOUR REGISTER ALL AUTOMATIC TRANSACTIONS SHOWN ON THE FRONT OF YOUR STATEMENT.

YOU SHOULD HAVE ADDED IF ANY OCCURRED:

1. Loans made.
2. Credit memos.
3. Other automatic deposits.
4. Interest paid.

YOU SHOULD HAVE SUBTRACTED IF ANY OCCURRED:

1. Automatic loan payments.
2. Automatic savings transfers.
3. Service charges.
4. Debit memos.
5. Other automatic deductions and payments.

BALANCE SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT $

ADD

DEPOSITS NOT SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT IF ANY $

TOTAL $

SUBTRACT

WITHDRAWALS OUTSTANDING $

BALANCE $

THIS SHOULD AGREE WITH YOUR REGISTER BALANCE AFTER DEDUCTING SERVICE CHARGE IF ANY SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT

Please examine immediately and report if incorrect. If no reply is received within 60 days the account will be considered correct.

IN CASE OF ERRORS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR ELECTRONIC TRANSFERS

Telephone or write to us at the telephone number or address printed on the front of this statement as soon as you can, if you think your statement or receipt is wrong or if you need more information about a transfer on the statement or receipt. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the FIRST statement on which the error or problem appeared.

(1) Tell us your name and account number (if any).
(2) Describe the error or the transfer you are unsure about, and explain as clearly as you can why you believe there is an error or why you need more information.
(3) Tell us the dollar amount of the suspected error.

We will investigate your complaint and will correct any error promptly. If we take more than 10 business days to do this, we will recredit your account for the amount you think is in error, so that you will have use of the money during the time it takes us to complete our investigation.

YOUR DEMAND DEPOSIT LOAN ACCOUNT - SUMMARY OF RIGHTS IS OUTLINED BELOW.

There is a summary of your rights, a full explanation of your rights and our responsibilities under the Federal Fair Credit Billing Act will be sent to you both upon request and in response to a billing error notice.

Your Demand Deposit Loan Account is operated in conjunction with your Demand Deposit Account. All transactions for your checking account will be made to the Demand Deposit Account and they will be the same charges as are made on Demand Deposit Accounts not operated in conjunction with Demand Deposit Loan Accounts. The following information thus applies only to loans made to you under your Demand Deposit Loan Account at our discretion.

IN CASE OF ERRORS OR QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR LOAN

If you think your bill is wrong, or if you need more information about a transaction on your bill, write us on a separate sheet at the address located on the front of this bill as soon as possible. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent you the first bill on which the error or problem appeared. You can telephone us, but doing so will not preserve your rights. In your letter, give us the following information:

(1) Your name and account number.
(2) The dollar amount of the suspected error.
(3) Describe the error and explain, if you can, why you believe there is an error. If you need more information, describe the item you are unsure about.

You do not have to pay any money in question while we are investigating, but you are still obligated to pay the parts of your bill that are not in question. While we investigate your question, we cannot report you as delinquent or take any action to collect the amount you question.

We figure a portion of the FINANCE CHARGE on your Loan Account by applying the daily periodic rate to the "Daily Balance" of your account for the billing cycle. To get the "Daily Balance" we take the beginning balance of your account each day, add any new advances and subtract any new payments or credits and unpaid FINANCE CHARGES. This gives us the daily balance.

The minimum periodic payment required is shown on the front of this bill. You may pay off your Loan balance at any time, or make voluntary additional payments. Payments shall be applied, first to any unpaid FINANCE CHARGES and second to principal in the order in which they were incurred. Periodic statements may be sent to you at the end of each billing cycle showing your loan transactions.

Send payments and inquiries to address shown on front of bill.

NOTE: Payments received after close of business shall be credited on the following business day for purposes of crediting your account.

Giese-CFB 1732

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST

PERFORMANCE INVESTMT ACCOUNT [redacted]1950

- - - - - - - - - - I N T E R E S T - - - - - - - - - -


AVERAGE LEDGER BALANCE:	329,168.21	INTEREST EARNED:	1,218.06
AVERAGE AVAILABLE BALANCE:	328,067.08	DAYS IN PERIOD:	31
INTEREST PAID THIS PERIOD:	1,218.06	ANNUAL PERCENTAGE YIELD EARNED:	4.46%
INTEREST PAID 2008:	1,218.06		
INTEREST PAID 2007:	14,215.40		

- - - ITEMIZATION OF NSF PAID AND RETURNED ITEM FEES - - -


	THIS PERIOD	YEAR TO DATE
NSF PAID ITEM FEE:	.00	.00
NSF RETURNED ITEM FEE:	.00	.00
OVERDRAFT FEES:	.00	.00

Giese-CFB 1733

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526



01/07 Deposit $ 12,400.00



01/10 Deposit $ 5,433.76

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 30

IN ACCOUNT WITH

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK
P O BOX 1097
WALHALLA S C 29691

AUDIT CONFIRMATION

AS PART OF A REGULAR AND ROUTINE AUDIT WE ASK THAT Y
COMPARE THE INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT W
YOUR RECORDS. PLEASE REPORT ANY DISCREPANCY BY US
THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS FORM AND RETURNING IN
ENCLOSED ENVELOPE

PORTFOLIO NUMBER: [redacted]234

ACCOUNT TYPE: PERFORMANCE INVESTMT
ACCOUNT NUMBER: [redacted]1950
BALANCE LAST STMT: 296,587.54
DATE LAST STATEMENT: 11/30/07

IF THIS INFORMATION AGREES WITH YOUR RECORD NO REPLY IS NECESSARY.

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DEVINE ST

COLUMBIA SC 29205

J.M. HUNT AND COMPANY, LLP
P.O. BOX 265
COLUMBIA SC 29201

REFERENCE: 100033

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700528

DATE: 11/30/07 REFERENCE: 100033 PAGE: 01

ACCOUNT TYPE:	PERFORMANCE INVESTMT
ACCOUNT NUMBER:	[redacted]1950
CURRENT BALANCE:	392,097.12
DATE LAST STATEMENT:	11/30/07
BALANCE LAST STATEMENT:	296,587.54
DATE LAST DEPOSIT:	12/18/07
AMOUNT LAST DEPOSIT:	136,829.58
DATE LAST CHECK:	12/17/07
AMOUNT LAST CHECK:	173.02
DATE LAST INTEREST:	11/30/07
AMOUNT LAST INTEREST:	1,654.24
INTEREST PAID THIS YEAR:	13,000.17

THE CURRENT BALANCE INCLUDES SERVICE CHARGE AND/OR INTEREST THROUGH 12/26/07.

SGOBBO00027

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 31

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF OCONEE

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Debi Baker Brookshire,

Plaintiff,

v.

Community First Bank, Inc. and Benjamin Lee Hiott,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 2014-CP-37-526

DEFENDANT COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, INC.'S THIRD REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION TO PLAINTIFF

TO: W. BARNEY GIESE, ESQ., ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF:

Pursuant to Rule 36, SCRCP, Community First Bank, Inc. requests Debi Baker Brookshire, ("Plaintiff") answer in writing the following request for admission:

1. Admit that Plaintiff received the document labeled Sgobbo00027 and attached hereto as Exhibit A prior to March 31, 2008.

HAYNSWORTH SINKLER BOYD, P.A.

By: _____

Robert Y. Knowlton, SC Bar No. 3589
Elizabeth H. Black, SC Bar No. 76067
1201 Main Street – 22nd Floor
PO Box 11889 (29211-1889)
Columbia, SC 29201
(803) 779-3080 Tel
(803) 765-1243 Fax
bknowlton@hsblawfirm.com
eblack@hsblawfirm.com
Attorneys for Defendant Community First Bank, Inc.

May 12, 2016
Columbia, South Carolina

DM: 4523189 v.1

IN ACCOUNT WITH

COMMUNITY FIRST BANK
P O BOX 1097
WALHALLA S C 29691

AUDIT CONFIRMATION

AS PART OF A REGULAR AND ROUTINE AUDIT WE ASK THAT Y COMPARE THE INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS STATEMENT WI YOUR RECORDS. PLEASE REPORT ANY DISCREPANCY BY US THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS FORM AND RETURNING IN T ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.

PORTFOLIO NUMBER: [redacted]934

ACCOUNT TYPE: PERFORMANCE INVESTMT
ACCOUNT NUMBER: [redacted]1950
BALANCE LAST STMT: 296,587.54
DATE LAST STATEMENT: 11/30/07

IF THIS INFORMATION AGREES WITH YOUR RECORD NO REPLY IS NECESSARY.

DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUST
DEBI BAKER BROOKSHIRE TRUSTEE
1707 DIVINE ST

COLUMBIA SC 29205

J.W. HUNT AND COMPANY, LLP
P.O. BOX 268
COLUMBIA SC 29201

REFERENCE: 100033

DATE: 11/30/07 REFERENCE: 100033 PAGE: 01

ACCOUNT TYPE:	PERFORMANCE INVESTMT
ACCOUNT NUMBER:	[redacted]1960
CURRENT BALANCE:	392,097.12
DATE LAST STATEMENT:	11/30/07
BALANCE LAST STATEMENT:	296,587.54
DATE LAST DEPOSIT:	12/18/07
AMOUNT LAST DEPOSIT:	136,829.58
DATE LAST CHECK:	12/17/07
AMOUNT LAST CHECK:	173.02
DATE LAST INTEREST:	11/30/07
AMOUNT LAST INTEREST:	1,654.24
INTEREST PAID THIS YEAR:	13,000.17

THE CURRENT BALANCE INCLUDES SERVICE CHARGE AND/OR INTEREST THROUGH 12/26/07.

EXHIBIT A

SGOBSO00027

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016-Dec-09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF OCONEE

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Debi Baker Brookshire,

Plaintiff,

v.

Community First Bank, Inc. and Benjamin Lee Hiott,

Defendants.

Case No: 2014-CP-37-526

I, the undersigned employee of Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd, P.A., do hereby certify that I have this 16th day of May 2016, caused the foregoing document(s) to be served via U.S. Mail, postage-prepaid, *and/or by some other means*, on counsel of record at the address(es) shown below:

Documents to be Served:

1. Community First Bank, Inc.'s Fourth Requests for Production of Documents to Plaintiff;
2. Community First Bank, Inc.'s Third Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff; and
3. Community First Bank, Inc.'s Third Requests for Admission to Plaintiff.

W. Barney Giese, Esq.
The Giese Law Firm
1315 Blanding Street
Columbia, SC 29201
Attorney for Plaintiff

M. David Scott, Esq.
Jordan, Rauton & Scott, LLC
PO Box 948
Lexington, SC 29071
Attorney for Plaintiff

Daryl G. Hawkins, Esq.
Law Office of Daryl G. Hawkins, LLC
PO Box 11906
Columbia, SC 29211-1906
Attorney for Defendant Benjamin Lee Hiott

Caroline Warner

Caroline Warner

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF OCONEE Debi Baker Brookshire, Plaintiff, v. Community First Bank, Inc. and Benjamin Lee Hiott, Defendants.	)	COURT OF COMMON PLEAS Case No.: 2014-CP-37-526 **PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT COMMUNITY FIRST BANK, INC.'S THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES**

TO: ELIZABETH BLACK, ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANT:

Pursuant to Rule 33 of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff Debi Baker Brookshire, by and through the undersigned counsel, does hereby respond to the interrogatories of the Defendant, Community First Bank, Inc. as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

A. Plaintiff objects to these interrogatories to the extent that they call for information and material that is protected from disclosure by the attorney/client privilege, the work product doctrine, trade secrecy, or any other applicable privilege law or rule.

B. Plaintiff's responses to these interrogatories do not constitute an admission or acknowledgment that any such information is relevant to any issue in the litigation between the parties or that such document or documents referenced by any response is responsive to any request.

C. Plaintiff objects to these interrogatories to the extent that they attempt, whether individually or collectively, to impose a burden on Defendant that exceeds the requirements of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure.

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CR3700526

In responding to these Interrogatories, furnish such information as is available to you, not merely such information as is of your own knowledge. This means you are to furnish information which is known by, or in the possession of, you or any of your agents, and, unless privileged, your attorneys.

If you cannot fully respond to any of these Interrogatories or portions thereof, you should respond to the extent possible and state your reasons for not responding more fully. If you assert a claim of privilege concerning the subject matter of any of these interrogatories, state clearly and in detail the nature of the privilege and the factual basis thereof.

These Interrogatories are continuing in character, so as to require Defendant to file supplementary answers if it obtains further or different information before trial. The term "Defendant," its plural or any synonym thereof, is intended to and shall embrace and include in addition to the named party or parties, counsel for said party, and all agents, servants, employees, representatives, investigators, insurers, indemnitors, and others who are in possession of or may have obtained information for or on behalf of the named party or parties Defendant.

As to each person named in response to each question herein, state the person's full name, the last known address and telephone number, last known business address and telephone number, and job title, capacity or position at such last known employment.

If you cannot answer any of the following Interrogatories in full and complete detail, after exercising due diligence to secure the information to do so, state and answer to the extent possible, specifying your inability to answer the remainder, and stating whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the unanswered portion.

INTERROGATORIES

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

2. Describe in complete narrative detail when, from whom, and where you first received the original documents produced by you in copy form at Giese-CFB 1418 (within a document beginning at Giese-CFB 1416), Giese-CFB 1724-1727, Giese-CFB 1728-1729, and Giese-CFB 1731-1734, and further describe in compete narrative detail who had possession and when such person had possession of the original documents at these bates numbers from the time of receipt by you until their production in this litigation on February 8, 2016. Identify all the documents that support or relate to this answer.

RESPONSE: Plaintiff was asked this question in her deposition. Specifically counsel asked, "Do you remember where these came from?" Plaintiff answered, "I remember we got some from Kathleen McDaniel, but I can't tell you that they were all of them. I do not know. I might need to ask my husband. He might know a little bit more about that because he's the one that found..." As testified in her deposition, Plaintiff does not know when, from whom, or where she first received the original documents.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE: As reflected in Mr. Tabor's deposition, he was not asked to look into the $500,000 withdrawal until late 2012. He did so as reflected by his fax and phone call with Carol Wilson. After being unable to get a response, Mr. Tabor and Mrs. Brookshire enlisted the help of The Giese Law Firm, LLC. In March of 2013, a letter was sent from The Giese Law Firm, LLC to Benjamin Lee Hiott, Frederick Shepherd, Jr., James McCoy and Carol Wilson requesting a copy of Mrs. Brookshire's entire file and a full accounting. The Giese Law Firm would have received some of Mrs. Brookshire's documents through Mr. Tabor by this time but not before the beginning of 2013. Thereafter, the rest of the documents would have been provided to The Giese Law Firm.

3. Describe in complete narrative detail when, from whom, and where you first received the original document produced by Michael Sgobbo, Esq. in copy form at Sgobbo00027 (see Exhibit A to CFB's Third Requests for Admission dated May 12, 2016), and further describe in complete narrative detail who had possession and when such person had possession of the original document at that bates number from the time of receipt by you until its production in this litigation on May 6, 2016. Identify all documents that support or relate to this answer.

RESPONSE: Plaintiff admits that she received document labeled Sgobbo00027, but cannot identify the date that this document came into her possession. Moreover, this

document seems to be a copy and not the original, as the original looks as though to have a second page on the back.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE: Again, Plaintiff cannot identify the date that this document came into her possession. She did give it to Mr. Sgobbo at some point in time, however, Mr. Sgobbo cannot identify this date.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan D. Waller
THE GIESE LAW FIRM, LLC
1315 Blanding Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
barneygiese@gmail.com
Phone: 803-708-6767
Fax: 803-708-6769

M. David Scott
JORDAN, RAUTON & SCOTT, LLC
Post Office Box 948
Lexington, South Carolina 29071
dscott@jrslawsc.com
Phone: 803-785-7878
Fax: 803-785-7880

ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF

Columbia, South Carolina
September 28, 2016

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 1:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

EXHIBIT 32

Rule 1006, SCRE Summary of Deposits into Account from Baker and Baker

(Exhibit 32 to Community First's Memeorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment)

	A	B	C
1	**Date**	**Deposit Amount**	**Source References**
2	11/2/2007	$84,373.80	CFB002390, Giese-CFB_1084 (total deposit reflected on statement was $88,527.85, but included deposit of non-Baker check)
3	11/19/2007	$5,433.76	CFB002390, Giese-CFB_1087
4	11/19/2007	$25,000.00	CFB002390, Giese-CFB_1088
5	12/18/2007	$136,829.58	CFB002392, CFB002394
6	1/7/2008	$12,400.00	CFB002395, CFB002397
7	1/18/2008	$5,433.76	CFB002395, CFB002399
8	2/21/2008	$5,433.76	CFB002400, CFB002404
9	3/17/2008	$5,433.76	CFB002405, CFB002407
10	4/4/2008	$7,700.00	CFB002408, CFB002410
11	4/14/2008	$308,482.28	CFB002408, CFB002411
12	4/18/2008	$5,433.76	CFB002408, CFB002412
13	5/19/2008	$5,433.76	CFB002413, CFB002416
14	6/9/2008	$68,108.77	CFB002417, CFB002419
15	6/20/2008	$5,433.76	CFB002417, CFB002423
16	7/18/2008	$5,433.76	CFB002421, CFB002423
17	7/18/2008	$18,000.00	CFB002421, CFB002424
18	8/19/2008	$5,433.76	CFB002425, CFB002427
19	9/12/2008	$69,120.00	CFB002429, CFB002431
20	9/17/2008	$5,433.76	CFB002429, CFB002432
21	10/15/2008	$7,560.00	CFB002433-2435
22	10/17/2008	$5,433.76	CFB002434
23	11/14/2008	$5,433.76	CFB002436
24	11/17/2008	$1,600.00	CFB002436, CFB002438 (Murfreesboro Shopping Center)
25	12/15/2008	$5,433.76	CFB002439
26	12/17/2008	$36,720.00	CFB002439
27	12/19/2008	$18,900.00	CFB002439, CFB002442
28	1/13/2009	$8,800.00	CFB002443, CFB002446
29	2/13/2009	$5,433.76	CFB002448
30	3/13/2009	$5,433.76	CFB002450
31	4/15/2009	$5,433.76	CFB002543
32	4/27/2009	$10,000.00	CFB002453, CFB002459
33	5/15/2009	$5,433.76	CFB002462
34	6/5/2009	$42,983.51	CFB002468
35	6/15/2009	$5,433.76	CFB002468
36	6/23/2009	$600.00	CFB002468, CFB002470 (Murfreesboro Shopping Center)
37	7/15/2009	$5,433.76	CFB002471
38	7/17/2009	$6,400.00	CFB002471, CFB002476
39	7/17/2009	$11,600.00	CFB002471, CFB002477
40	8/14/2009	$5,433.76	CFB002478
41	9/4/2009	$43,200.00	CFB002482

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526

Rule 1006; SCRE Summary of Deposits into Account from Baker and Baker
(Exhibit 32 to Community First's Memeorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment)

	A	B	C
1	**Date**	**Deposit Amount**	**Source References**
42	9/15/2009	$5,433.76	CFB002483
43	9/23/2009	$440.00	CFB002483; CFB002485 (Murfreesboro Shopping Center)
44	10/15/2009	$5,433.76	CFB002486
45	10/22/2009	$7,000.00	CFB002486; CFB002488
46	11/13/2009	$5,433.76	CFB002489
47	12/14/2009	$115,560.00	CFB002493
48	12/15/2009	$5,433.76	CFB002493
49	1/15/2010	$5,433.76	CFB002496
50	2/16/2010	$5,433.76	CFB002499
51	3/15/2010	$5,433.76	CFB002503
52	4/9/2010	$30,240.00	CFB002508
53	4/15/2010	$5,433.76	CFB002508
54	5/13/2010	$15,000.00	CFB002511; CFB002513
55	5/14/2010	$5,433.76	CFB002511
56	6/8/2010	$52,641.93	CFB002515
57	6/15/2010	$5,433.76	CFB002515
58	7/15/2010	$5,433.76	CFB002518
59	**TOTAL**	$1,307,706.43	

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2016 Dec 09 11:22 AM - OCONEE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2014CP3700526