

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Amy Garrard and Lee Garrard, Guardians Ad Litem for R.C.G., A Minor; and Dean Frailey and Kathryn Frailey, Guardians Ad Litem for C.F., A Minor, Richard Nelson and Cheryl Nelson, Guardians Ad Litem for D.G.N., A Minor; Adam Olsen Ackerman; and A.E.P., III ,
Plaintiffs,

v.

Charleston County School District, Kevin Clayton, Axxis Consulting Company, and Jones Street Publishers, LLC,
Defendants,

And

Eugene H. Walpole, Plaintiff,

v.

Charleston County School District, Kevin Clayton, Axxis Consulting Company, and Jones Street Publishers, LLC,
Defendants,

Of Whom Eugene H. Walpole, Amy Garrard and Lee Garrard, Guardians Ad Litem for R.C.G., A Minor; and Dean Frailey and Kathryn Frailey, Guardians Ad Litem for C.F., A Minor, Richard Nelson and Cheryl Nelson, Guardians Ad Litem for D.G.N., A Minor; Adam Olsen Ackerman; and A.E.P., III, are the Petitioners.

And

Of Whom Jones Street Publishers, LLC is the Respondent.

Appellate Case No.

ORDER

The request for an extension to serve and file the final reply brief of petitioner is granted and extended for fifteen days until June 21, 2022. Please refer to the order of the Supreme Court of South Carolina dated July 16, 2014 (<http://www.sccourts.org/whatsnew/displaywhatsnew.cfm?indexID=963>),¹ regarding any further extension requests in this matter.

FOR THE COURT



BY _____
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

Columbia, South Carolina
June 03, 2022

cc:
Wallace K. Lightsey, Esquire
Meliah Bowers Jefferson, Esquire
John E. Parker, Esquire
William Franklin Barnes, III, Esquire
John Elliott Parker, Jr., Esquire

¹ The Order provides that, in cases where a party seeks review of a decision of the Court of Appeals pursuant to Rule 242 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules, a party "may be granted extensions totaling no more than twenty (20) days during the proceedings before this Court." Extensions beyond twenty (20) days are permitted based only on "extraordinary circumstances such as illness or other circumstances beyond the control of the movant [that] warrant the granting of the extension. The parties are warned that the press of other business is not an extraordinary circumstance which will warrant the granting of an extension."