

The State of South Carolina
In The Supreme Court

Appeal From Greenville Courthouse of
Common Pleas
Hon. Letitia H. Verdine, Circuit Judge

Case No. : 2012-CP-23-02944
or : 2012-213437

RECEIVED

MAY 20 2013

S.C. Supreme Court

Mr. William C. McKinney, III ~~#550021~~ Petitioner

Mr. Robert E. Ward ("BWC") "et al" vs. Respondent(s)

The Petitioner's Petition For Rehearing
To Waive Filing Fees With Memorandum
Of Law In Support.

Comes now the Petitioner Mr. McKinney, III pursuant
to Rules 203(B)(iii); 22(a), (b), & (c); 240 (i) & (j); and 245 (a) & (b)
or "et seq".

The Petitioner originally filed the claims with the South Carolina
Department of Corrections ("BWC") Grievance System (G.A. 01.12)
for a Step 1 process that was denied along with a Step 2 Grievance.
So, the Petitioner appealed to the Administrative Law Court
("ALC"), and there was not any filing fees required. Which the
case was dismissed by the "ALC" (But, was appealed by the
Petitioner to the South Carolina Court of Appeals and decided
on or by May 20th 2009, and there was no filing fees required.
Because, it was a case against a state (D.C.) agency or an em-
ployee(s).

The Greenville County Courthouse of Common Pleas granted the Petitioner's request for the in forma pauperis status pursuant to S.C. Code § 24-27-100 ; § 24-27-150 ; &/or § 24-27-400 (Indigent Person) "et seq", as is the legislature's intent, which was appealed to the South Carolina Court of Appeals on or by 1/21/13, and was denied and the rehearing was dismissed, And, the petitioner then filed the notice with the South Carolina Supreme Court, that was dismissed on or by May 1st, 2013 or as ordered by the Clerk (Hon. D. Shearouse).

And, this is an "extraordinary" case, that shall be accepted under the in forma pauperis status, because it deals with the petitioner's lost of (15) days of good time and/or etc... by the respondents ("SCDC"), and it's a fundamental right to be heard on the merits of the instant case even in this, Supreme Court of South Carolina (See, Douglas v. California, 33 S.Ct. 814, 815-16; Griffin vs. I (Indiana), 76 S.Ct. 585, 590-91; Bounds vs Smith, 97 S.Ct. 1492-1493 (focus on the same entitlements of Access to the Courts), and also see, Mr William C. - McKinnedy, III vs. SCDC % ANc. : 2011-201846 (S.C. Supreme Court, Order, dated: 10/17/12).

Which is the above mentioned "extraordinary" reasons why the petitioner's instant motion for in forma pauperis, and writ of certiorari shall be granted for a rehearing and/or etc...

May 13th 2013
Fairfax, S.C.

Respectfully Submitted,
w/ 3rd Mr. William C. McKinnedy, III #256029

Wm C. McKinnedy, III / cc: Me 2 of 2

Mr. William C. McKinnedy, III
#256029
Allendale Cmn. Just. 3F-5/B-139
1057 Revolutionary Trail
P.O. Box 1151, Hwy 47
Fairfax, S.C. 29827

The State of South Carolina
In The Supreme Court

Appeal From Greenville Courthouse Common
Pleas
Hon. Letitia H. Verdin, Circuit Judge

Case No.: 2012-CP-23-02944
or 3; 2012-213437

Mr. William C. McKinney, III #250024, Petitioner
Mr. Robert E. Ward ("BDC") et al., Respondents

Certificate of Service

I certify, that Mr. William C. McKinney, III #250024 have placed a petition for Rehearing To Waive Filing Fees, pp. 1-2 of 2, and a petition for Rehearing for a Writ of Certiorari, pp. 1-5 of 5 in the Attendale Court, Just. mailroom system, with first-class postage prepaid for the U.S. Postal Service and addressed to the following: The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Hon. Jean H. Toal, C.J.

P.O. Box 11330
Columbia, S.C. 29211

Steven M. Pruitt, Esq.
P.O. Box 1547
Greenwood, S.C. 29018

May 13th, 2013
Fairfax, S.C.

WMC/SM/CC/PL

Mr. William C. McKinney, III #250024
Attendale Court, Just.: E-5/B-139
1057 Revolutionary Trail
P.O. Box 1151, Hwy 47
Fairfax, S.C. 29827

LEGAL MAIL