

June 7, 2022

VIA: First Class Mail  
Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk of Court  
1220 Senate Street  
Columbia, SC 29201

**RECEIVED**

JUN 09 2022

**SC Court of Appeals**

Dear SC Court of Appeals,

This is a response/ return for Appellant Attorney, Ms. Shanise Greenfield, request for court transcript.  
(Case No. 2022-000577)

Thank You,



Amy and Greg Hopkins

CC: Shanise A.B. Greenfield (via first class mail)

Amy N Hop @ Netscape.net

(864) 891-2261

Amy Hopkins  
108 Fairway Drive  
Lauras SC 29360

Response of Circuit  
Court Judge Eugene  
Griffith to Ms. Greenfield

-----Original Message-----

From: Griffith, Eugene <egriffithj@sccourts.org>

Sent: Friday, May 20, 2022 4:58 PM

To: shanise greenfield <greenfieldlawoffice@gmail.com>

Cc: Griffith, Eugene Secretary (Amy Shealy) <egriffithsc@sccourts.org>; Holmes, Tammie <tholmes@sccourts.org>; Tamika Cannon <tamika@scvan.org>; Transcripts <transcripts@sccourts.org>; trouppartridgelawfirm.com <troupp@partridgelawfirm.com>; Johnson, Penny <pjohnson@sccourts.org>

Subject: Re: Revised Transcript Request (Previously Sent on 5/12/22)

From: "trouppartridgelawfirm.com" <troupp@partridgelawfirm.com>

Date: May 20, 2022 at 5:38:26 PM EDT

To: Amy Hopkins <amynhop@netscape.net>

Subject: Revised Transcript Request (Previously Sent on 5/12/22)

RECEIVED

JUN 09 2022

SC Court of Appeals

-----Original Message-----

From: Griffith, Eugene <egriffithj@sccourts.org>

Sent: Friday, May 20, 2022 4:58 PM

To: shanise greenfield <greenfieldlawoffice@gmail.com>

Cc: Griffith, Eugene Secretary (Amy Shealy) <egriffithsc@sccourts.org>; Holmes, Tammie <tholmes@sccourts.org>; Tamika Cannon <tamika@scvan.org>; Transcripts <transcripts@sccourts.org>; trouppartridgelawfirm.com <troupp@partridgelawfirm.com>; Johnson, Penny <pjohnson@sccourts.org>

Subject: Re: Revised Transcript Request (Previously Sent on 5/12/22)

Dear Ms. Greenfield:

My recollection of the hearing is that a discussion was had among all parties trying to discern what was being appealed from the magistrate's order and why a petition to intervene was filed. I am very certain that no testimony was taken from anyone in this hearing. It is my further recollection that it was ruled that a petition to intervene was not appropriate since your client was a party to the original action. It was further ruled that my decision would be to decide whether the magistrate had "subject matter jurisdiction" or not. If he did, the order would be valid. If he did not, the matter would be remanded.

My suggestion is that all of the attorneys agree to appeal that my ruling on the pleadings and the statutes and cases which you both submitted via memorandum and emails. This is very similar to when a trial judge ruled on motions without a hearing but considers the briefs, case law, and statutes.

I do not think any of the arguments of counsel are needed to make an appeal unless you think I did not consider a case or statute in my ruling. If you believe that my summary above is inconsistent with your recollection, please so advise.

Hope this is helpful. Best

ECC

Court Reporter Manager  
South Carolina Judicial Branch  
1220 Senate Street, Ste. 200  
Columbia, SC 29201  
tholmes@sccourts.org<mailto:tholmes@sccourts.org>  
803-734-1825

From: Shanise Greenfield  
<greenfieldlawoffice@gmail.com<mailto:greenfieldlawoffice@gmail.com>>  
Sent: Monday, May 16, 2022 3:54 PM  
To: Holmes, Tammie <tholmes@sccourts.org<mailto:tholmes@sccourts.org>>;  
Transcripts <transcripts@sccourts.org<mailto:transcripts@sccourts.org>>  
Cc: Tamika Cannon <tamika@scvan.org<mailto:tamika@scvan.org>>; trouppartridgelawfirm.com<http://secure-web.cisco.com/1Ww-k45SbMuRT\_dr73Z1cabepkl44TQbQBqbOl9l\_BTThG8MlqWqGeOpxHI5yPkNdr4Yqfjd62vBzL9sKjBKO49tKzF8UCHGZAsy9imnf5CozB4YZmC-FfaeRWp6u-n4b\_wvllXNTUCo7rvvg7c2RkpP7Z8sK8rcSULqTmdcCtcaox-sQXbshqsQXDB-yfslIX1xLH7gNLhMn6LORxaLRH7H1yazwES8nzokZfJVvuwABhahF2lpAZ34CWgLOMEXQ6fGKssDaNd8oFE4e51XBL3-cKnyQfji97L\_dlckeCx2oPpd3xahd577insUtmZEsXYh7Zv9RHQFzKSZyn8G5EM\_HYHze0aBmgPI45GOAax2jMxWPhknfHhE8axSUh1RMKyo4tb4F91ZRywTIDBq18nNrQsYeK26tfr1LcLomGYVLINTj4kLfNhe6c5wmyX54Uw/http%3A%2F%2Fpartridgelawfirm.com><trouppartridgelawfirm.com<mailto:trouppartridgelawfirm.com>>  
Subject: Revised Transcript Request (Previously Sent on 5/12/22)

\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\* Good Morning,  
Attached please find the Transcript Request in the case of Gregory D. Hopkins and Amy N. Hopkins v. Jamie Hood-Hopkins from the Court of Common Pleas. The proceeding was recorded via WebEx. This transcript request was previously requested on 5/12/22, but it contained an error. I am resending this request as of today's date.

Thank You,

--

Shanise Greenfield, Esq.  
Greenfield Law Firm, LLC.  
(864) 660-9656 (Office)  
(864) 688-2443 (Fax)

Greenfieldlawoffice@gmail.com<mailto:Greenfieldlawoffice@gmail.com>

~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.

Columbia, SC 29201  
tholmes@sccourts.org<mailto:tholmes@sccourts.org>  
803-734-1825

From: Tamika Cannon <tamika@scvan.org>  
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2022 1:20 PM  
To: Holmes, Tammie <tholmes@sccourts.org>  
Cc: Shanise Greenfield <greenfieldlawoffice@gmail.com>; Transcripts <transcripts@sccourts.org>; troup partridgelawfirm.com <troup@partridgelawfirm.com>; Johnson, Penny <pjohnson@sccourts.org>  
Subject: Re: Revised Transcript Request (Previously Sent on 5/12/22)

\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\* Ms. Holmes:

Can you please provide some information regarding the process to have a transcript re-created? We have an ongoing appeal in the Court of Appeals for this case. Can you share what information would be included in a re-created transcript?

Tamika D. Cannon  
Senior Staff Attorney  
South Carolina Victim Assistance Network P.O. Box 170364 Spartanburg, SC 29301  
(864) 312-6455

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This email, and any attachments thereto, is intended for use only by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain confidential information, legally privileged information, and attorney-client work product. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this email, and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by email, telephone or fax, and permanently delete the original and any of any email and printout thereof.

On Thu, May 19, 2022 at 12:44 PM Holmes, Tammie <tholmes@sccourts.org<mailto:tholmes@sccourts.org>> wrote:

Good afternoon all,

I have received a transcript request regarding the above referenced hearing which took place on April 12, 2022.

It is with sincere regret that I inform you that the hearing on April 12, 2022 cannot be transcribed verbatim in its entirety, as the Webex recording only captured the audio from the judge. If still needed, the remaining portions of the hearing will need to be recreated.

We are assigning this transcription to Penny Johnson and her e-mail is pjohnson@sccourts.org<mailto:pjohnson@sccourts.org> . Ms. Johnson will be contacting you with the estimated cost of the transcript.

Respectfully,  
Tammie M. Holmes

Sent from my iPhone

On May 20, 2022, at 4:39 PM, shanise greenfield <greenfieldlawoffice@gmail.com> wrote:

\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. \*\*\* Good Afternoon Your Honor,

I hope this email finds you well. I was notified after submitting a transcript request to Court Administration and the necessary parties that the hearing you presided over on April 12, 2022 in Circuit Court in Laurens County, was not recorded in its entirety. The Court Reporter Manager, Tammie Holmes, stated that the hearing needs to be re-created because only your voice was picked up on audio. If you could, please advise on what you will have us do to re-create the record for purposes of obtaining a transcript for the pending Court of Appeals Case.

Thank You,

Shanise Greenfield, Esq.  
Greenfield Law Office  
(864) 660-9656 (Office)  
(864) 688-2443 (Fax)  
Greenfieldlawoffice@gmail.com<mailto:Greenfieldlawoffice@gmail.com>  
Greenfieldlawsc.com

From: Holmes, Tammie<mailto:tholmes@sccourts.org>  
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2022 1:57 PM  
To: Tamika Cannon<mailto:tamika@scvan.org>  
Cc: Shanise Greenfield<mailto:greenfieldlawoffice@gmail.com>;  
Transcripts<mailto:transcripts@sccourts.org>; trouppartridgelawfirm.com<mailto:trouppartridgelawfirm.com>; Johnson, Penny<mailto:pjohnson@sccourts.org>  
Subject: RE: Revised Transcript Request (Previously Sent on 5/12/22)

Good afternoon,

You would need to talk with Judge Griffith about re-creating the hearing.

Thank you,

Tammie M. Holmes  
Court Reporter Manager  
South Carolina Judicial Branch  
1220 Senate Street, Ste. 200

Amy Hopkins  
108 Fairway Drive  
Lakeside Country Club  
Laurens SC 29560



1000



29201

U.S. POSTAGE PAID  
FORM 3850 ENV  
LAURENS, SC  
29560  
JUN 07, 22  
AMOUNT  
**\$1.36**  
R2304M114083-04

**RECEIVED**

JUN 09 2022

SC Court of Appeals  
c/o Ms. Terry Abbott Kitchings, Clerk of Court  
1220 Senate Street  
Columbia, SC 29201